A STUDY OF THE

MADHYĀNTAVIBHĀGA-BHĀŞYA-ŢIKĀ

A Thesis submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the Australian National University

April, 1988

by

Richard Stanley

This thesis is the result of my own research carried out while enrolled as a Ph.D. candidate at the Australian National University 1984 - 1988.

Richard Stanley

Dedication

for Hannah and Ellie

ABSTRACT

This work contains two main components: (a) an English translation of the Sanskrit texts comprising the Buddhist Yogācāra philosophical work known as the Madhyāntavibhāga. It includes the verses (kārikā) of Maitreya/Asaṅga, commentary (bhāṣya) of Vasubandhu and sub-commentary (tīkā) of Sthiramati. (b) Text critical remarks for the establishment of the Sanskrit text of Sthiramati's commentary based upon: (i) a photographed copy of the original manuscript, (ii) the Edited Sanskrit text prepared by S. Yamaguchi and (iii) the Peking and Derge (sde dge) editions of the canonical blockprints of the Tibetan bsTan lgyur.

The Madhyāntavibhāga contains an exposition of the analysis (vibhāga) of the middle way (madhya) in relation to the various extreme views (anta). It is arranged in five chapters: The first chapter, "the defining characteristics" (lakśaṇa) provides a detailed account of both the nature of the phenomenal world and the way that it is imaginatively constructed (parikalpyate) in consciousness, as well as the Yogācāra understanding of emptiness (śūnyatā). Chapter two identifies the main obscurations (āvaraṇa) to enlightenment for the śrāvaka, the pratyekabuddha and the bodhisattva. Chapter three provides an explanation of the ten realities (tattva) and their intrinsic relationship with the three natures (svabhāva), i.e the imaginary (parikalpita), the other-dependent (paratantra) and the perfected (parinispanna). Chapter four is concerned with the development of meditative practices (bhāvanà), the various states (avasthā) of the latter and the results (phala) obtained from those states. Chapter Five extols the virtues of the universal vehicle (mahāyāna) in comparison to the other vehicles especially in regard to spiritual practice (pratipatti), objective support (ālambana) and full attainment (samudāgama).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere thanks to all the people who, in various ways, made this study possible. Firstly, I wish to thank Professor J. W. de Jong for his guidance both during my early years as a student of the Sanskrit language and literature and in the preparation of this work. Without the benefit of his scholarly expertise and learning the completed work would certainly have been of a much lower standard. I must also thank my co-supervisor, Dr. Tissa Rajapatirana, whose infinite patience and skills as a teacher of the Sanskrit and Tibetan languages made it possible for me to embark upon this project. This work has also benefitted immensely from his corrections and suggested changes made after reading through the entire work in its final stages.

I am also in debt to my friend Dr. Michael Comans with whom, as a fellow Ph.D. student at the A.N.U., I had the good fortune to share an office for three years. Michael's discipline and dedication to his task is an inspiration to all who work with him. To Peter Oldmeadow, a true kalyāṇamitra and fellow Ph.D. student, I owe much for having sparked my initial inters t in Indian Religions especially in regard to the theory and practice of Buddhism. The value of our discussions on the subject over the years has been immense.

Thanks are also due to the other members of the South and West Asia Centre: Dr. Luise Hercus, Dr. Richard Barz and Mr. Yogendra Yadav for their friendship and help. Special thanks are due to the Secretary of the Centre Miss Betty Kat whose good humour, abundant energy and willingness to be of assistance have eased the difficulties on countless occasions.

I would like to express my gratitude to Professor Gadjin M. Nagao who kindly provided me with photocopies of the actual manuscript of the Tīkā used by Susumu Yamaguchi in the preparation of his Sanskrit Edition. Thanks are due to Dr. Johannes Bronkhorse of the Kern Institute who generously provided advice concerning the proper interpretation of a Sanskrit grammatical term used by Sthiramati. I must acknowledge the help of Professor Michael Hahn who first suggested that I enquire of the Nepal-German Manuscript Preservation Project on the expectation that the original manuscript of the Madhyāntavibhāga-tīkā may have been re-discovered there and I must also express my gratitude to Dr. Horst Brinkhaus of the Nepal-German Manuscript Preservation Project who supervised the copying process and ensured that the copy was despatched to Australia without undue delay.

I am indebted to Professor Monika Theil-Horstmann and Dr. Akira Saito for their generous assistance in the translation of some articles in the German and Japanese languages, respectively, which were essential to my research. Thanks are due also to Mr. Yasuo Tsukada for material support given in the true spirit of $d\bar{a}na$.

Finally, I wish to thank the Faculty of Asian Studies for the excellent facilities and opportunity to carry out this research.

ERRATA

1

p. v.1	Theil-Horstmann; read: Thiel-Horstmann
p. xii.4	Mahāyana; read: Mahāyāna
p. xiv.7	as well his; read: as well as his
p. xiv.16	Stcherbatsky's and well annotated; <u>read</u> : Stcherbatsky and is well annotated
p. xiv.29	Sanskrityāyana; <u>read</u> : Sankrityāyana
p. xv.3	1976?; <u>read</u> : 1976
p. xvi.7	were listed; <u>read</u> : might be listed
p. xvii.41	accomodate; read: accommodate
p. xviii.25	imminent; read: immanent
p. xix.27	incumbent; <u>read</u> : future
p. xix.28	Heavens; read: Heaven
p. xx.5	Abhisamāyālamkāra; read: Abhisamayālamkāra
p. xx.9	Madhyāmika-prāsangika; <u>read</u> : Mādhyamika-prāsangika
p. xxi.5	upadhyāya; read: upādhyāya
p. xxi, n.38	Geistesgeschte; read: Geistesgeschichte
p. xxii	Insert immediately prior to the reference to Samyutta-nikāya:
	Saṃdhinirmocana-sūtra #20.2 L99 & 219 N43.11
	" Y133.12-13
p. xxiii.7	contempory; read: contemporary
p. 2.22	(a) by demonstrating what is expressed; <u>read</u> : (a) by demonstrating that it is expressed
p. 3, n.9	nan pa'i; read: fian pa'i
p. 9.28	common to the <i>bodhisattvas</i> and the <i>śrāvakas</i> together with their novices ⁴⁶ ; <u>read</u> : common to the <i>bodhisattvas</i> and the <i>śrāvakas</i> etc. who are inferior [to them] ⁴⁶
p. 9, n.46	Delete and replace with: Read: sarvam apy etat sottaraśrāvakādînām sādhāraṇam bodhisattvānām in place of sarvam apy etat sottarāc chrāvakādibhiḥ sādhāraṇam bodhisattvānām; Tib.(D193a.2): de dag thams cad kyan bla ma dan bcas pas byan chub sems dpa' mams dan nan thos la sogs pa dan thun mon ste
p. 11, n.51	Sūļasufifiata; read: Cūļasufifiata
p. 15.24	devoidedness; read: devoidness
p. 17.10	possess a nature; read: possesses a nature
p. 21.25	refer to the six; read: refers to the six
p. 28.1	Insert the following sentence after "subject.": The three natures are thus included in the imagination of what is unreal.
p. 35.24	Noble Ones and ordinary people etc.; read: Noble Persons etc.
p. 41.1	from that matured 'seed' which has undergone a special transformation; read: from that special transformation which is obtained due to the maturation of the 'seed'
p. 43.23	It is due to suffering that the world is completely defiled, by birth, old-age and death; read: It is due to being made to suffer by birth, old-age and death, that the world is completely defiled
p. 49.19	It is due to suffering that the world is completely defiled, by birth, old-age and death ²⁹⁰ ; read: It is due to being made to suffer by birth, old-age and death, that the world is completely defiled ²⁹⁰
p. 49, n.283	mnon par, read: mnon par
p. 76.7-11	even when no counteragent has arisen,liberation would be in vain.;
	read: even when no counteragent has [yet] arisen, because of the absence of defilement, all sentient beings would be liberated quite without effort. But if, even when the counter-agent has arisen, should [emptiness] not be pure, the under-taking [of effort] with a view to liberation would be fruitless

ERRATA 2

p. 77.6-9	even when no counteragent has arisenbecause of the absence of defilement; read: even when no counteragent has [yet] arisen - because of the word 'even' this [would be] like [the case where the counteragent] had arisen - then, because of the absence of defilement, all sentient beings would be
	liberated quite without effort ⁴²¹
p. 77.17-20	Now, even when the counteragent has arisenliberation would be in vain; read: But if, even when the counteragent has arisen - because of the word 'even' it [would be] like [the case when the counteragent] had not arisen - should [emptiness] not ⁴²⁵ be pure, then the undertaking [of effort] with a view to liberation would be fruitless
p. 81.22	skeptics who believe that [emptiness] is subject to defilement and purification; <u>read</u> : those who are uncertain whether defilement or purification will ensue in this way
p. 83.9	refers to obscuration consisting in both moral defilement and the knowable; <u>read</u> : refers both to obscuration consisting in moral defilement and [obscuration] in regard to the knowable
p. 84.8	refers to obscuration consisting in both moral defilement and the knowable; read: refers both to obscuration consisting in moral defilement and [obscuration] in regard to the knowable
p. 84.15	Insert: "[obscuration] in regard to" after "consists in moral defilement and"
p. 84.20	that consists in; read: of
p. 84.22	sphere; read: object
p. 84.31	Delete: "[those consisting in moral defilement and the knowable]"
p. 85.16	that consists in; read: of
p. 85.19-25	Similarly,as is ignorance; <u>read</u> : Similarly, nescience in regard just to the sphere of the truth of suffering etc. is not [nescience] in regard to other spheres known as ignorance and moral defilement. In regard to other spheres it is just nescience, and neither ignorance nor defiled. Hence, since this [nescience] is known as the obscuration of the knowable because of obstructing the activity of direct intuition only in respect to the knowable, moral defilement, <i>karma</i> and rebirth are not produced as is ignorance
p. 85.25	that consists in; read: of
p. 85.27	that consists in; read: of
p. 86.32	and the knowable; read: and that in regard to the knowable
p. 87.12	that consists in; read: of
p. 94.3	from from; read: from
p. 108.11	a craftsman; read: knowledge of craft
p. 114.20	a craftsman; read: knowledge of craft
p. 114, n.155	Delete and replace with: Ms.(26a.1): paripūrņaśukladharmā ca, but Y's emendation to paripūrņaśukladharmaś ca is preferred.
p. 114, n.157	stritāni; <u>read</u> : sūtritāni
p. 114, n.158	āvaraṇan; <u>read</u> :āvaraṇavan
p. 118, n.173	Insert: "which should be deleted" after "sentence"
p. 122.7	relevent; read: relevant
p. 123.7	relevent; read: relevant
p. 126, n.220	parşanmandeleşu, read: parşanmandaleşu
p. 150, n.27	Delete and replace with: Read perhaps: -pratikṣepo 'pavādadarśanam iti / grā-hakapratikṣepa iti cintyam etat in place of: -parihāroetat; Tib.: spon ba ni skur pa 'debs par Ita ba'o źes zer te / 'dzin pa spon ba 'di ni bsam dgos pa (D244b.3).
p. 151.23	in regard existent; <u>read</u> : in regard to existent
p. 154.14	does exit; read: does exist
p. 171.20	karmadhārya; <u>read</u> : karmadhāraya
p. 189.7	Sāṃkya; <u>read</u> : Sāṃkhya

ERRATA 3

n 107 12	hamtigg mad Nihilista
p. 197.12	heretics; read: Nihilists
p. 199.12 p. 201, n.280	Iimpossible; read: Impossible
p. 210.26	tathtāgatacakravartinor, <u>read</u> : tathāgatacakravartinor goes forth; <u>read</u> : obtains liberation
•	•
p. 211	Insert: N48 on line 1 in left-hand margin
p. 211.2	goes forth [as a mendicant]; read: obtains liberation
p. 211.5	goes forth; read: obtains liberation
p. 211.33	goes forth [as a mendicant]; read: obtains liberation
p. 212.1	goes forth; <u>read</u> : obtains liberation
p. 212.4	goes forth; read: obtains liberation
p. 212.11	goes forth; read: obtains liberation
p. 212.14	a going forth; read: liberation
p. 212.18	a going forth; <u>read</u> : liberation
p. 212.19	a going forth; <u>read</u> : liberation
p. 217.7	going forth; read: obtaining liberation
p. 217.8	going forth; read: obtaining liberation
p. 218.2	going forth; read: obtaining liberation
p. 218.3	going forth; <u>read</u> : liberation
p. 218.5	going forth; read: obtaining liberation
p. 218.6	goes forth; <u>read</u> : obtains liberation
p. 236.18	respectively; <u>read</u> : respectively
p. 237.20	unnourised; <u>read</u> : unnourished
p. 265.12	(k) the higher meditative development; read: (k) the inferior meditative development
p. 266.27	(k) The higher meditative development; read: (k) The inferior meditative development
p. 272, n.9	analagy; <u>read</u> : analogy
p. 274.4	(c) prerogative; read: (c) service
p. 274.13	(c) the highest degree of prerogative; <u>read</u> : (c) the highest degree of service
p. 274.23	(c) The highest degree of prerogative is due to the prerogative for deeds of benefit for all beings; <u>read</u> : (c) The highest degree of service is due to the service of deeds of benefit for all beings
p. 274.29-31	(f) The highest degree of non-hardshipthrough his approval alone; read: (f) The highest degree of non-hardship is due to the fulfilment of the perfections merely through the act of approv-ing of the generosity, etc., of others
p. 276.3	while rejoicing in the birth of a Buddha; <u>read</u> : and attains [re-birth] when a Buddha is living
p. 277.12	[3] The highest degree of prerogative is due to the prerogative for deeds of benefit for all beings; read: [3] The highest degree of service is due to the service of deeds of benefit for all beings
p. 278.15-19	Delete paragraph 7 and replace with: The highest degree of non-hardship is due to the fulfilment of the perfections merely through the act of approving of the generosity, etc., of others. The bodhisattvas with joyous mind express their approval of [all other] beings' roots of the wholesome consisting in generosity, etc., in such a way that merely through the act of approving of them, the perfections of generosity, etc., are fulfilled [in themselves].
p. 283.10	which consist in the transformation; read: which are transformed
p. 283.13	while rejoicing in the birth of a Buddha ⁵⁸ ; read: and attains [rebirth] when a Buddha is living ⁵⁸

p. 283.16-18	The actionin each of one's rebirths; read: The actions pertinent to this are the attainment [of rebirth] when a Buddha is living in each of one's births and engagement in generosity etc. at all times
p. 288.17	Having paid respect to it, the giving of the written works etc. to others ⁸¹ ; read: The giving of the written works etc. to others ⁸¹ , carefully,
p. 288.18	Having paid respect to it, listening when it is being recited by another, read: Listening carefully when it is being recited by another
p. 321.1-4	These are due tois non-existent; <u>read</u> : These are due to imagin-ing that: (a) there exists a personal entity whose destruction emptiness brings about, or else, (b) insubstantiality [means that it] does not exist
pp. 333.28 to 33	34.4

emptiness is for the destruction of ...that insubstantiality does not 311; read: these are due to imagining that: (a) there exists a personal entity whose destruction emptiness brings about, or else, (b) insubstantiality [means that it] does not exist. If [knowledge] does not cause the dharmas to be empty through emptiness [knowledge] because they are empty by nature, then, (a) there exists a personal entity whose destruction emptiness brings about, or else, (b) insubstantiality [means that it] does not exist because of the absence of the personal entity; for, without an adverse element, there is no counteragent. Therefore, the existence of the personal entity or its absence due to its insubstantiality 311 is necessarily to be accepted

p. 357, n.408 Sāstra; read: -śāstra. cārya; read: Ācārya

Contents

									Pages
Acknowledgements		,		\$					٧
Contents .									vii
Abbreviations									x
Introduction .									xii
Index by Paragraph						•	•	•	xxiv
Chapter One	•	•	•	•		,	•	•	****
The Defining C	harra	aminein	. .						
1. The Imaginati				enol					
-	QII UI	MINE	is On	1041					
2. Emptiness									
Introduction			•						2
The 'Body' of the	Treati	se							6
1. The Imaginati	on of '	What is	Unreal:						
(a) The Characte	ristic of	Existence	e and No	n-existen	ce .				11
(b) The Individua	al Chara	cteristic							18
(c) The Characte									25
(d) The Characte Non-existence	ristic of	the Expe	dient for	Entry in	to the C	haracteri	stic of		20
(e) The Characte		tha Diffe	Pentintin		•	•	•	•	28 35
(f) The Characte						•	•	•	37
(g) The Actualiz						:	·		39
(h) The Characte	ristic of	Defilem	ent						43
The Summary Me	eaning	of the i	magina	tion of	What is	s Unrea	1.		5 5
2. Emptiness:									
Introductory						_			56
(a) The Characte	ristic of	Emotine	ss .						57
(b) The Synonyr									61
(c) The Meaning				tiness					62
(d) The Different									64
The Sixteen K						•		•	66 76
(e) The Logical		•			•	•	•	•	70 80
The Summary M	eaning	or em	puness	•	•	•	•	٠	80
C15									
Chapter Two									
The Obscuration	18								
1. The Five Obs	curatio	ns Beg	inning v	vith the	'Perva	ding'			83
2. The Obscurat	on tha	t Consis	sts in th	e Nine	Fetters	to App	lication		87
3. The Obscurati	on Per	tinent to	the Bo	dhisatt	vas:				
(a) The Obscurat	ion to th	ie Tenfol	d (Qualit	ies) Beg	inning w	vith Virtu	ie .		95
(b) The Ten (Inst	niment:	ill Cance	•	_					106

 The Obscurations to the Factors that Co the Perfections and the Spiritual Levels 	i:	-			
(a) The Obscurations to the Factors that Contr					115
(b) The Obscurations to the Perfections .		•			121
(c) The Obscurations to the Spiritual Levels .	•				127
5. The Totality of Obscuration		•		٠	139
The Summary Meaning of Obscuration .			٠		141
Chapter Three					
Reality					
Introductory	,				144
1. The Basic Reality					146
2. The Reality of Characteristic	•				149
3. The Reality Free from Erroneous Inver					153
4. The Reality of the Cause and the Result					158
5. Gross and Subtle Reality		•	•		163
		•	•	•	168
•		•	•	•	
7. The Reality of the Sphere of Purity .		•	•	•	172
8. The Inclusion Reality			•	•	174
9. The Reality of Differentiation .					176
10. The Reality of the Skills					180
(a) The Meaning of the Aggregates					187
(b) The Meaning of the Elements . (c) The Meaning of the Sense-fields . (d) The Meaning of Dependent Origination .			•	•	189
(c) The Meaning of the Sense-fields	•	•	•		191 195
(e) The Meaning of the Possible and the Impo	ssible .	•	•	•	198
		•			203
(g) The Meaning of the Times			·		207
(h) The Meaning of the Four Truths					208
(i) The Meaning of the Three Vehicles .					210
(j) The Meaning of the Conditioned and the Ur	conditioned				212
The Summary Meaning of Reality .		•	•		217
Chapter Four					
1. The Meditative Development of the	a Count				
2. The State Therein	ie Coante	ragent			
3. The Result					
					221
Prologue		•	•	•	221
The Meditative Development of the Co.	unteragent	:			221
Introductory	٠	•	٠	٠	221
(a) The Four Applications of Mindfulness (b) The Four Correct Exertions		•	•	•	227
, ,			•	•	229
The Five Faults	•	•	•	•	231
The Eight Formative Forces That Facilitate	Relinguishn	nent .	:		232
(d) The Five Faculties	-				235

(e) The Five Powers					237
(f) The Seven Limbs of Enlightenment					241
(g, The Hight Limbs of the Path					244
(h) The Differentiation of the Meditative Development	t of the C	Countera	gent		249
2. The State Therein					253
3. The Attainment of the Result					260
The Summary Meaning of the Meditative Develor Counteragent, The State Therein and The Resul		of the			265
Chapter Five					
The Supremacy of the Vehicle					
1. The Three Kinds of Supremacy				,	270
2. The Supremacy of Spiritual Practice .					273
(a) The Highest Spiritual Practice					274
(b) Spiritual Practice in Relation to Mental Attention					284
(c) Spiritual Practice that Conforms with the Dharma					290
That Which Becomes Free from Distraction					291
That Which Becomes Free from Erroneous Inversion	1				294
The Ten Vajra Words					309
(d) Spiritual Practice Which Avoids the Two Extremes	3				318
(e) Specific and Non-specific Spiritual Practice		•		•	341
3. The Supremacy of the Objective Support					343
4. The Supremacy of Full Attainment .		•			348
The Explanation of the Name of the Treatise					352
The Summary Meaning of the Supremacy of the	Vehicl	ie			354
Appendix I - Sample of Tikā Manuscript .					358
Bibliography					359
Stonograph)	•	•	•	'	337

Abbreviations

from the Abhidharmasamuccaya of Asamga.

V.V. Gokhale's edition of the Abhidharmasamuccaya: Fragments

AS (G...)

	trom the monathagemocally of mannage.
AS (P)	P. Pradhan's edition of the Abhidharmasamuccaya: Abhidharmasamuccaya of Asanga.
AS-Bhāşya	Abhidharmasamuccaya-Bhāşya,
вв	N. Dutt's edition of the Bodhisattvabhūmi: <u>Bodhisattvabhūmi of Asanga</u>
Bhāsya	Madhyäntavibhäga-Bhäşya.
BHSD	F. Edgerton's Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit Dictionary.
BSOAS	Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies.
D	Derge (sde dge) Edition of the relevant Tibetan text.
DD-Vrtti	J. Nozawa edition of the Dharmadharmatāvibhāga and Vṛtti: <u>Studies in Indology and Buddhology</u> .
DS	J. Rahder's edition of the Dašabhūmika Sūtra: Dašabhūmikasūtra.
de Jong	J. W. de Jong: "Notes on the Second Chapter of the Madhyānta-vibhāgaṭīkā" in Central Asiatic Journal, Vol. XXI, 1977.
Jaini	P.S. Jaini's: "The Sanskrit Fragments of Vinitadeva's Trimśikä-tikä" in Bulletin of the School of Oriental And African Studies, Vol. XLVIII Part 3 1985
Kośa	P. Pradhan's edition of the Abhidharmakośa-Bhāşya: Abhidharma-kośabhāşyam of Vasubandhu
Kośa Index	A. Hirakawa et al: Index to the Abhidharmakośabhäsya, Part One
Kośa Vyākhyā	U. Wogihara's edition of the Abhidharmakośa-Sphuţārtha-Vyākhyā: Sphuţārthā Abhidharmakośavyākhyā by Yaśomiţra.
KP	Baron A. von Staël-Holstein's edition of the Kāśyapaparivarta.
LVP Kośa	L. de La Vallée Poussin's translation & annotation of the Abhidharmakośa-Bhāṣya: <u>L'Abhidharmakośa de Vasubandhu</u> ,
Ms.	Copy of the original manuscript of the Madhyāntavibhāga-Tīkā re- discovered in Nepal by the Nepal German Manuscript Preservation Project.
MSA	Mahāyānasūtrālamkāra.
MSA (L/B)	S. Lévi's edition of the Mahāyānasūtrālamkāra re-edited by S. Bagchi: Mahāyāna-Sūtrālankāra of Asanga.
MSG	É. Lamotte's translation and Tibetan edition of the Mahāyāna-samgraha in two tomes: La Somme du Grand Véhicle D'Asanga (Mahāyāna-samgraha)
Mvy.	Mahāvyutpatti.
N. Amend.	G. Nagao: "Collation of the Madhyāntavibhāgatīkā with its Manuscript, Chapter I, Lakṣaṇapariccheda" in Annual of Oriental and Religious Studies (Suzuki Gakujutsu Zzidan Kenkyu Nempo), No.15 1978.
0	E. Obermiller's review of the G. Tucci & V. Bhaṇācārya edition of the Madhyāntavibhāga-Ţīkā, <u>Indian Historical Quarterly</u> , Vol. IX, March 1933.
P	Peking Edition of the relevant Tibetan text.

	Vijnaptimātratāsiddhi: <u>Vijnaptimātratāsiddhi: La Siddhi de Hiuan-</u> Tsang.
SN	É. Lamotte's translation and Tibetan edition of the Sandhinirmocana Sutra: Samdhinirmocana Sutra - L'Explication des Mystères.
St.	Th. Stcherbatsky's translation & annotation of the Madhyantavibhaga-Tlka (Ch. I): Discourse on Discrimination Between Middle and Extremes.
T & B	G. Tucci & V. Bhaṭṭācārya's edition of the Madhyāntavibhāga-Ţīkā (Ch. I): <u>Madhyāntavibhāgasūtrabhāsyatlkā</u> .
T-Bhāşya	S. Lévi's edition of the Trimšíkā-Bhāşya: Vijūantimātratāsiddhi.
UCR	University of Ceylon Review.
V-Vŗtti	S. Lévi's edition of the Vimsatikā-Vptti: Vijnaptimātratāsiddhi.
Υ	Susumu Yamaguchi's edition of the Madhyāntavibhāga-Ţīkā: <u>Sthiramati - Madhyāntavibhāgatīkā</u> .

Siddhi

L. de La Vallée Poussin's translation and annotation of the

Introduction

The Madhyāntavibhāga (MAV) contains a comprehensive and detailed account of the philosophical thought of the Yogācāra school of Mahāyāna Buddhism at an early stage of its development. As a systematic exposition of the Mahāyana from the perspective of the early Yogācāra it is unique; thus its understanding is fundamental to a proper appreciation of Yogācāra thought.

In the Indian Yogacara tradition the MAV comprises three essential works: (a) the Kārikā text attributed to Maitreya / Asanga, (b) Vasubandhu's Bhāṣya and (c) the Tīkā of Sthiramati. Considering that all three of these works have been available for more than fifty years it is remarkable that they have not been comprehensively studied todate. The French translation and annotation of the Vijnaptimātratāsiddhi by Louis de La Vallée Poussin in 1928-292 remains the most authoritative study of the Yogācāra-vijnaptimātra doctrine. However, this work is not representative of the Yogācāra as a whole but is heavily influenced by Dharmapāla's interpretation of the thought of Maitreya and Vasubandhu and has fundamental differences from Sthiramati's interpretations as contained in the MAV-Tīkā³. Apart from a flurry of scholarly activity that coincided with the publication of the Sanskrit Editions of Sthiramati's Tīkā⁴ in the early 1930's and Vasubandhu's Bhāṣya⁵ in the 1960's, the MAV has received only piecemeal attention.

There are three main reasons for this neglect: (a) The MAV- TIKā stands virtually alone as a broad ranging account of early Yogācāra thought; consequently it is often not possible to seek help from other parallel texts for a proper understanding of some of its more complex passages. The Mahāyānasūtrālamkāra (MSA) is closely related to the MAV and a study of Sthiramati's commentary will undoubtedly shed more light on the MAV; however, the MSA reflects a more practice oriented doctrine and many of its ideas are generally at an earlier stage of development. There are other shorter works in existence that obviously share common doctrinal elements with the MAV, such as the Dharmadharmatāvibhāga and Vasubandhu's Vijňaptimātratāsiddhi; however, these works are relevant only to specific aspects of the early Yogācāra and

Commonly known also as the vijfansväda.

Vijnaptimarratasiddhi - La Siddhi de Hiuan-Tsang. Tomes I & II, Paris: Librairie Orientaliste Paul Geuthner, 1928-29.

Cf. Y. Ueda: "Two Main Streams of Thought in Yogacara Philosophy" in Philosophy East and West, 17 (Jan-Oct.) 1967, pp.155-65.

⁴ Cf. Sthiramati - Madhyantavibhagatika. Exposition systématique du Yogacaravijňaptivada. Edited by Susumu Yamaguchi. Tome I Texte, Nagoya: Hajinkaku, 1934; and Madhyantavibhagas@trabhasyatika of Sthiramati, Part I, Edited by V. Bhattacarya and G. Tucci. Calcutta Oriental Series, No.24, 1932.

⁵ Cf. Madhvantavibhaga-bhasya. Edited by Gadgin M. Nagao. Tokyo: Suzuki Research Foundation, 1964; and Madhvanta-vibhaga-bhasya. Edited by N. Tatia and A. Thakur. Tibetan Sanskrit Works Series, Vol. X, Patna: KP Jayaswal Research Institute, 1967.

are themselves in need of further study. (b) An additional hindrance to the study of this school lies in the fact that the precise meaning of many of the words and concepts employed by Sthiramati is often of quite an enigmatic nature. This is due partly to his terse commentarial style but also because the reader's familiarity with many of the subjects discussed is assumed and no attempt is made at further clarification. In addition, when presenting the alternative views held by other schools Sthiramati never identifies the particular school intended; presumably because this is also assumed to be common knowledge. (c) The third factor that has had an inhibiting influence on the study of the MAV is the quality of the Sanskrit text of Sthiramati's Tika edited and published by S. Yamaguchi. The manuscript used by Yamaguchi was hand-copied from a badly damaged original, a large portion of which was reconstructed with the help of the Tibetan translations. Since it is often impossible to recast much more than the known technical terms from the Tibetan into Sanskrit with any certainty, such an enterprise is never entirely satisfactory and this edition stands in need of improvement.

It was the French scholar Sylvain Lévi who first discovered the Sanskrit manuscript of the MAV-TIka in Nepal in 1928 and arranged to have a copy prepared by hand. Inevitably scribal errors were incorporated during the copying process. As mentioned above, the original manuscript was incomplete with approximately one third of all the folios missing on the left-hand side. The copied manuscript was then entrusted to Susumu Yamaguchi who prepared a Sanskrit edition, the first two chapters of which were published over the next couple of years in various issues of Otani Gakuho6. Meanwhile, the MAV-Tīkā was discovered for a second time in Nepal by Guiseppe Tucci, who, with the help of Vidhusekhara Bhattācārya, also engaged in the preparation of a Sanskrit edition. Although originally intending to edit and reconstruct the missing portions of the entire text, they eventually published only the first chapter in 1932. This is a work of good quality (in devanăgari script) and the reconstructed sentences are sometimes preferable to those of Yamaguchi's edition8. In 1934 S. Yamaguchi published an edition of the complete Sanskrit text in five chapters (in Romanised form) which includes the portions recast from the Tibetan9. Although this edition of the MAV-TIka has many shortcomings, it must be regarded as a significant and valuable scholarly achievement; this edition is used as the basis of the rresent study.

⁶ Vol. XI, 1930, pp.576-602; Vol. XII, 1931, pp.24-67, 307-335, 719-775; Vol. XIII, 1932, pp.59-99.

⁷ Madhyāntavibhāgasūtrabhāsyatīkā of Sthiramati. Part I, Calcutta Oriental Series No.24, 1932.

⁸ This work was reviewed by E. Obermiller in IHO, Vol. IX, 1933, (pp.1019-1030) where he suggests some valuable alternative readings, especially in the reconstructed portions.

⁹ Cf. fn. 4 above; this edition was reprinted in 1966 by the Suzuki Research Foundation, Tokyo.

A Japanese translation of the MAV-Tika was published by S. Yamaguchi in The following year the great Russian scholar of Buddhism Theodore Stcherbatsky published an English translation of just the first chapter 1 of the MAV-Tikā. This work which includes a translation of Vasubandhu's Bhāsya from the Tibetan is based on the Tucci and Bhattacarya edition of the Tika as well as the Tibetan translation. As far as the translation is concerned, it is not a very useful work since it suffers both from Stcherbatsky's somewhat florid and free style as well his many philosophical misconceptions regarding the Yogacara doctrine. However, his textcritical remarks and annotations where he often provide: a more literal translation are quite valuable; many are difficult to improve upon and are incorporated in the present study. At the same time that Stcherbatsky was preparing his translation, the Dutch scholar David Friedmann was also translating the first chapter of the Tika based on Yamaguchi's edition. When he discovered that the Russian scholar was translating the same work. Friedmann almost abandoned his translation but fortunately was persuaded by Stcherbatsky himself to complete his study¹². The result is a good translation, generally superior to that of Stcherbatsky's and well annotated particularly through its references to the LVP Kośa and Siddhi; however, no attempt has been made to rectify the Sanskrit textual problems.

The MAV-Bhāṣya then became the focus of scholarly attention with the publication in 1937 of S. Yamaguchi's edition of Vasubandhu's Bhāṣya which included the Tibetan translation and the Chinese translations of Hsūan-tsang and Paramārtha¹³. In 1953-54 P.W. O'Brien published an English translation of the third chapter of the Bhāṣya in two issues of Monumenta Nipponica¹⁴. This is a very thorough and readable work based on the Tibetan and Chinese translations and includes abundant references to Sthiramati's Tkā (Yamaguchi's ed.) and also to the LVP Kośa and Siddhi. An important contribution to the study of the MAV was made in 1964 when Gadjin M. Nagao published a Sanskrit (Romanised) edition of Vasubandhu's Bhāṣya which incorporates the Kārikā text¹⁵. It was prepared from a manuscript discovered in the Ngor Monastery in Tibet by Rahula Sanskrityāyana in 1934 and is an exceptionally fine work requiring only a few minor corrections. The publication of this edition now made it possible to revise Yamaguchi's edition of the Tikā since the latter contains many quotations from the Bhāṣya that were reconstructed

¹⁰ Anne ashariya zō Chühenfunbetsuron shakusho. Nagoya: Hajinkaku, 1935. Reprinted in 1966 by Suzuki Research Foundation, Tokyo.

¹¹ Madhyanta-vibhanga - Discourse on Discrimination Between Middle and Extremes, English translation and annotation. Reprint, Calcutta; Indian Studies: Past & Present, 1971.

¹² Sthiramati - Madhyāntavibhāgatlkā, Analysis of the Middle Path and the Extremes, English translation and annotation. Utrecht, 1937.

Kanzo taisho Benchübenron. Nagoya: Hajinkaku, 1937. Reprinted in 1966 by the Suzuki Research Foundation, Tokyo.

¹⁴ Vol. IX April 1953, No. 1/2, pp.277-303 and Vol. X April 1954, No. 1/2, pp.227-269.

¹⁵ Madhyāntavibhāga-bhāsya. Tokyo: Suzuki Research Foundation, 1964.

from the Tibetan. The Bhāşya component of the present study is based on this edition of the Sanskrit. Nagao also published a Japanese translation of chapters I and III of the Bhāşya¹⁶ and a translation of the whole Bhāşya in 1976?¹⁷ Another edition of the Sanskrit Bhāşya was published in 1967 by Nathmal Tatia and Anantalal Thakur¹⁶.

An attempt was made to improve upon Yamaguchi's edition of the Tikā by the Indian scholar Ramachandra Pandeya who published a revised edition in 1971¹⁹ incorporating the Bhāṣya and Kārikā text. In the introduction to this work Pandeya claims that his corrections to Yamaguchi's edition are made on the basis of the Tibetan translation (Peking Ed.). Pandeya's edition was reviewed by J.W. de Jong²⁰ who demonstrated that in many instances his emendations appear to be made quite arbitrarily without recourse to the Tibetan text and for this reason Pandeya's edition has not been consulted in the present study. In his review, de Jong resolves many of the textual problems of the second chapter of the Tikā.

In 1982 Thomas A. Kochumuttom published a study of the essential doctrines from the works of Vasubandhu²¹ which includes an English translation of the first chapter of the MAV-Bhāṣya as well as selected parts of the Tīkā. Although his translation of the Bhāṣya is generally quite accurate, his translation of the Tīkā passages (upon which much of his interpretative comment depends) is based on Pandeya's edition which, as we have seen, is not completely reliable. It should be noted that Kochumuttom's understanding of the philosophy of Vasubandhu is controversial for he argues that it is open to interpretation as a system that embraces "realistic pluralism". An English translation of the whole MAV-Bhāṣya was included in a publication by Stephan Anacker in 1984²². This is an unsatisfactory work which abounds with errors and misunderstandings²³.

When my own project was first conceived it was envisaged to have three main components: (a) A translation of the Kārikā, Bhāṣya and Tīkā texts based on Nagao's and Yamaguchi's respective editions. (b) Text-critical annotations to the Yamaguchi edition of the Tīkā with the help of the Sanskrit Bhāṣya and two versions of the Tibetan translation, i.e. the Derge (sde dge) and Peking editions, with the aim of making a significant contribution towards the establishment of a more reliable Sanskrit

¹⁶ Sekai no meicho, Vol. 2: <u>Daijō butten</u>. Tokyo, 1967, (pp.397-426).

¹⁷ Daijo butten. Vol. 15 (Tokyo Chuokoronsha), pp.215-358, 380-409.

¹⁸ Madhyānta-vibhāga-bhāsya. Tibetar: Sanskrit Works Series, Vol. X. Patna: KP Jayaswal Research Institute, 1967.

¹⁹ Madhyānta-vibhāga-śāstra. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1971.

^{20 &}quot;Notes on the Second Chapter of the Madhyantavibhagattka" in Central Asiatic Journal, Vol. XXI, 1977, pp.111-117.

²¹ A Buddhist Doctrine of Experience. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1982.

²² Seven Works of Vasubandhu - The Buddhist Psychological Doctor. Religions of Asia Series No.4, Delhi: Mottlal Banarsidass, 1984.

²³ Cf. my review of this work in Indo-Iranian Journal. Vol. 30, No.1, 1987, pp.57-60.

TIMA text. (c) Time permitting, I also hoped to produce a preliminary exegesis of the work.

Several months after beginning my study I was fortunate enough to discuss my research with Professor M. Hahn of the Indologisches Seminar of the University of Bonn who was visiting the A.N.U. at the time. Following his suggestion I contacted the Nepal-German Manuscript Preservation Project in Kathmandu, Nepal, on the chance that the original manuscript of the MAV-TIKa were listed among their records. As it turned out, this was in fact the case - the TTkä had indeed been discovered for a third time and was catalogued and microfilmed in 1970 by that organization. Many months later I managed to secure a copy of the manuscript which was photographed from the microfilmed copy. The script of the manuscript is clearly a variety of Nevari and the reproduction is generally of a very high quality, most characters being quite legible as can be seen from the samples shown in Appendix I. It soon became obvious that it was the very manuscript found by Lévi and Tucci more than fifty years earlier although a little worse for wear. When compared with Yamaguchi's edition, the lacunae coincide almost exactly; the only differences being caused by further deterioration along the damaged side of some folios where up to ten characters may be lost. The first folio (1b) is in a particularly bad state with only a small fragment that can be read with certainty. Also, two folios are completely absent (31b and 32a equivalent to Y105.15 to 106.27). The microfilm is kept in the National Archives, Kathmandu, Nepal, and the details found on the title page are as follows: Manuscript No. 5 - 233 vi bauddhadaršana 66; Catalogue: brhat samksipta sūcipatram; Title: Madhyāntavibhaga-kārikā: No. of leaves: 85: Size: 56 x 5.5 cm.: Date of filming: 22.9.70; Remarks: palm-leaf - half of the foll. very badly damaged; Reel No. A38/10.

The re-discovery of the original manuscript of the MAV-Tka has thus made it possible to include new material in this study, material that is particularly relevant to the establishment of the Sanskrit text. By comparing the original manuscript with the Yamaguchi edition it has been possible to detect and rectify many mis-readings and scribal errors that were incorporated into the Yamaguchi edition. This aspect of my work has been further complemented through the acquisition of a photo-copy of the actual manuscript used by Yamaguchi which was kindly supplied by Prof. G.M. Nagao.

As my research progressed it became apparent that there would not be time to carry out the third component originally envisaged, i.e. the exegesis of the text; rather, the completed study would be restricted to the translation and textual emendations. In addition to the textual problems encountered in the Sanskrit Tika, the Tibetan translation is also quite corrupt in many places, thus compounding the difficulties in establishing the Sanskrit. Although I am now reasonably satisfied that the majority of the textual problems have been resolved, the completed work cannot not be regarded as

definitive or conclusive. The MAV-TIKA will no doubt yield greater coherence to future scholars and many of its more enigmatic passages will be translated with more certainty only after the writings of Sthiramati are understood in greater depth. Suffice it to say that, although there is considerable scope for improvement through future research, it is intended that this study may serve as the basis for a critical edition of the Sanskrit text of the TIKA and that the translation will be of value to those seeking a proper understanding of the philosophy and doctrine of the early Yogacara.

The main materials used in the preparation of this study are as follows:

- Dbus dan mthah rnam par hbyed pahi tshig lehur byas pa, Tibetan Tripitaka, Derge (sde dge) Edition, Sems Tsam. Vol. 1, No.4021. Tokyo: University of Tokyo, 1980.
- <u>Dbus dan mthah rnam par hbyed pa</u>, Tibetan Tripitaka, Peking Edition, Vol. 108, No.5522. Kyoto: Otani University, 1957.
- Madhyāntavibhāga-Bhāsya. Sanskrit text edited by Gadjin M. Nagao. Tokyo: Suzuki Research Foundation, 1964.
- Dbus dan mthah mam par bbyed pahi hgrel pa, Tibetan Tripitaka, Derge (sde dge) Edition, Sems Tsam Vol. 2, No.4027. Tokyo: University of Tokyo, 1980.
- Dbus dan mthah mam par hbyed pahi hgrel pa, Tibetan Tripitaka, Peking Edition, Vol. 108, No.5528. Kyoto: Otani University, 1957.
- Madhyāntavibhāga-Tīkā, Sanskrit text edited by Susumu Yamaguchi. Reprint, Tome I, Tokyo: Suzuki Research Foundation, 1966.
- Madhyāntavibhāga-Tikā, a photographed copy of the original manuscript from which S. Lévi prepared the hand-written copy used as the basis for the Yamaguchi Edition (6. above). The Ms. was re-discovered and catalogued in 1970 by the Nepal German Manuscript Preservation Project, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Madhyāntavibhāga-Tīkā, a photo-copy of the actual hand-written copy of the manuscript that was used by S. Yamaguchi to prepare his edition. Courtesy of G.M. Nagao.
- Dbus dan mthah mam par hbyed pahi hgrel bśad, Tibetan Tripitaka, Derge (sde dge) Edition, Sems Tsam, Vol. 2, No.4032. Tokyo: University of Tokyo, 1980.
- Dbus dan mthah rnam par hbyed pahi hgrel bsad, Tibetan Tripitaka, Peking Edition, Vol. 109, No.5534. Kyoto: Otani University, 1957.
- Madhyäntavibhäga-Tikä, [Chapter One] Sanskrit text edited by G. Tucci & V. Bhattäcärya. Calcutta Oriental Series, No.24, 1932.

Synopsis of the Madhyantavibhaga.

As its title suggests, the central theme of the Madhyāntavibhāga is the analysis (vibhāga) of the traditional Buddhist concept of the Middle Way (madhya) in relation to the various extreme views (anta) recast to accommodate the spiritual perspective of the Yogācāra. The extremes are normally identified as belonging to two essential categories, i.e. as the extreme views which lead to imputation (samāropa) or negation

(apavåda) in regard to the existence (bhāva) of phenomena in contrast to the way that they are to be understood in reality. The MAV is arranged in five chapters which deal with seven main subjects: (a) the defining characteristics (lakṣaṇa), (b) the obscurations (āvaraṇa), (c) the realities (tattva), (d) the meditative development of counteragents (pratipakṣa-bhāvaṇā), (e) the various states (avasthā) in the latter, (f) the attainment of results (phala-prāpti) and (g) the supremacy of the [universal] vehicle (yānānuttarya). Each chapter concludes with an abridged summary (pindārtha) of contents which, according to Sthiramati²⁴, is included to enable the student to easily remember both the contents and sequential order of the subjects explained.

The first chapter, which is possibly the most complex of the five, provides a detailed account of the essential philosophy of this school. The chapter is divided into two parts: the defining characteristics (lakşana) of: (a) the imagination of what is unreal (abhūta-parikalpa) and (b) emptiness (śūnyatā). Part (a) explores both the nature of the phenomenal world which is understood in essence as unreal (abhūta), and the way in which it comes into being or is imaginatively constructed (parikalpa). This part is divided into nine sections which include explanations of the three natures (trisvabhāva), the dynamics of the actualizing consciousnesses (pravrtti-vijāānāni) and an extremely detailed re-interpretation of the theory of dependent origination (pratityasamutpāda) according to this school. Part (b) defines the correct understanding of emptiness (śūnyatā) in the context of Yogācāra thought. This includes a sixteenfold differentiation of emptiness and contains some of the more enigmatic portions of the text particularly where emptiness is described as having some sort of positive ontological value, i.e. the characteristic of emptiless is defined as the existence of a non-ens. One of the most predominant themes throughout this chapter is the constantly re-stated significance of the imminent relationship between the conventional understanding of phenomena (dharma) and their real nature (dharmata).

The second chapter has a more practical orientation than the first insofar as it examines the main obscurations (<code>ävaraṇa</code>) to enlightenment (<code>bodhi</code>). These are reduced to two broad categories, namely, the obscuration that consists in moral defilement and that which consists in the knowable (<code>kleśa-jñeya-āvaraṇa</code>). Firstly, it identifies obscuration that is common to the <code>śrāvaka</code>, the <code>pratyekabuddha</code> and the <code>bodhisattva</code>. It then concentrates specifically on the <code>bodhisattva</code> by defining the obscurations to his attainment of (a) the factors that contribute to enlightenment (<code>bodhi-pakṣya</code>), (b) the perfections (<code>pēramitā</code>) and (c) the spiritual levels (<code>budmi</code>).

The third chapter provides an explanation of the ten realities (tattva). These are identified as the ten main areas where one's understanding of phenomena as they are in reality (yathā-bhūta) is susceptible to confusion especially in regard to erroneous inversion (viparyāsa), imputation and negation. Fundamental to the ten realities are the

²⁴ Cf. MAV-Tika: Y109.5-6 & 164.23ff.

three natures (tri-svabhāva), i.e. the imaginary (parikalpita), the other-dependent (para-tantra) and the perfected (parinispanna). These three natures collectively comprise the basic reality (mūla-tattva) which has an intrinsic relationship with the other nine realities. The latter half of the chapter is devoted solely to the tenth reality, the reality of the skills (kauśalya-tattva), which leads into a detailed explanation of many of the essential Buddhist doctrines from the Yogācāra point of view. It includes explanation of the meaning of the five aggregates (paāca-skandha), the elements (dhātu) and the sense-fields (āyatanā).

Chapter four is divided into three sections concerned mainly with description of various aspects of spiritual practice (pratipatti). These are: (a) the correct practices of meditative development (bhāvanā) for the generation of the thirty-seven factors that contribute to enlighterment (bodhi-paksya). The practices are examined through their modes as counteragents (pratipaksa) to particular adverse elements (vipakṣa). These factors include the applications of mindfulness (smṛty-upasthāna), the correct exertions (samyak-prahāna) and the bases of psychic power (ṛddhi-pāda). (b) The nine states (avasthā) which define the progress of the bodhisattva engaged in these meditative practices. (c) The respective results (phala) that are attained by the bodhisattva stationed in any of these nine states.

The fifth chapter extols the virtues of the universal vehicle (mahāyāna) and indeed its superiority over the śrāvaka-yāna and the pratyekabuddha-yāna. This superiority is explained in relation to three categories: (a) spiritual practice (pratipatti) that is based on the ten perfections, (b) objective support (ālambana) which is twelvefold and (c) full attainment (samudāgama) which is tenfold. The chapter depends heavily on the Kāšyapaparivarta section of the Ratnakāţa for a scriptural basis.

The Authorship of the Madhyantavibhaga

The Madhyantavibhaga [-Karika] is one of the five treatises which the Mahayana Buddhists traditionally ascribe to Maitreyanatha, the bodhisattva and incumbent Buddha who resides in the Tuşita Heavens. The legendary accounts in the Tibetan tradition²⁵ tell of his materialization in the presence of the Arya Asanga after he had completed twelve gruelling years of meditative practices. After transporting Asanga to the Tuşita Heavens, it is said that Maitreya instructed him in the fundamental doctrines of the Mahayana and then passed on to him five important treatises to be taught for the benefit of sentient beings. They are:

²⁵ Cf. E. Obermiller: The History of Buddhism in India and Tibet by Bu-ston. Translation from Tibetan. Reprint, Delhi: Sri Satguru, 1986 & A. Chattopadhyāya and L. Chimpa: <u>Taranātha's History of Buddhism in India</u>. Translation from Tibetan. Simla: Indian Institute of Advanced Study, 1970.

Madhyäntavibhäga Dharmadharmatävibhäga Mahäyänasüträlamkära Ratnagotravibhäga [Uttaratantra] Abhisamäyälamkära

The first three of these stand in close relation as works belonging to the early strata of the Yogācāra. The fourth, wherein the tathāgatagarbha doctrine features prominently, has some links with the Yogācāra (particularly the MSA) but is classified as belonging to the Madhyāmika-prāsangika school by certain Tibetan writers, notably Tson kha pa²⁶. The fifth is generally regarded as a Madhyamaka work which presents the bodhisattva path based on the Prajūāpāramitā literature.

For the past sixty years scholars have remained divided over the precise identity of Maitreyanātha with some, notably Ui²⁷ and Tucci²⁸ preferring to explain him as a historical personage. Others such as Obermiller²⁹ and Demiéville^{3C} argue quite convincingly that Maitreya is intended as the tutelary inspiration of Asanga and disclaim the need to read an unwarranted historical facticity into the legendary material. Obermiller³¹ has already drawn attention to the fact that it is in the MAV-Tīkā³² that Maitreya is explicitly described as the bodhisatīva who has reached the tenth spiritual level and is separated from Buddhahood by just one birth - thus adding credence to his identification as a tutelary entity.

No such confusion exists concerning the historicity of Vasubandhu, the author of the MAV-Bhāṣya; rather, it is over the actual number of Vasubandhus that contemporary scholars are unable to reach agreement. Traditionally it is believed that there was only one Vasubandhu, who was the younger brother of Asaṅga. Paramārtha³³ reports that he was born in Puruṣapura (modern day Peshawar) in the eleventh century after the parinirvāṇa of the Buddha and that he was initially allied with various sarvāstivāda schools. During this time he composed his definitive work illustrating the vaibhāṣika and sautrāntika doctrines in the Abhidharmakośa and Bhāṣya. Although originally he was a staunch skeptic of the Mahāyana, it is said that through the efforts of Asaṅga he eventually became convinced of its theoretical and

²⁶ Cf. E. Obermiller: "The Sublime Science of Maitreya" in Acta Orientalia. IX, 1932, p.83.

²⁷ H. Ui: "Maitreya as a Historical Personage" in <u>Indian Studies in Honor of Charles Rockwell Lanman</u>. Cambridge, 1929

²⁹ G. Tucci: On Some Aspects of the Doctrines of Maitreya(natha) and Asanga. Celcutta: University of Calcutta, 1930.

²⁹ E. Obermiller: "Sublime Science of Maitreya" ... pp.81-306.

³⁰ P. Demiéville: "La Yogacarabhumi de Sangharakşa" in <u>Bulietin de l'École Française d'Éxtrème</u> Orient. No.44, 1954.

³¹ Cf. E. Obermiller's review of V. Bhanacarya & G. Tucci's Sanskrit edition of the first chapter of the MAV-Tika entitled: "Madhyantavibhagasütrabhasyatika of Sthiramati..." in <u>The Indian Historical Quarterly</u>, Vol. IX, March 1933, No.1, p.1024.

³² Cf. Y1-2.

³³ J. Takakusu: "The Life of Vasu-bandhu by Paramartha (A.D. 499-569)" in <u>Toung Pao</u>, Vol. 5, 1904, pp.269-296.

practical integrity. It is reported that when he made the decision to enter the Mahāyāna, five hundred of his disciples also made the transition. He is credited with the authorship of numerous original works and commentaries³⁴ and his reputation for excellence in meditative practices and learning was known throughout the North of India. After the death of Asanga, Vasubandhu became upadhyāya (Abbot) of Nālanda and Tāranātha records that he was responsible for the creation of 654 Dharma centres in and around Magadha.

The dates of Vasubandhu are problematical. Some scholars argue in favour of the acceptance of more than one author with this name during the period of the third to fifth centuries A.D., while others maintain that the available evidence does not allow for such an interpretation³⁵. It is a particularly hazy area of study that is based essentially on attempts to reconcile the Tibetan and Chinese historical accounts and hence necessarily contains considerable supposition and speculation. Suffice to say that Vasubandhu, the author of the MAV-Bhāṣya, probably lived during the third and fourth centuries A.D.

Bu-ston describes Sthiramati as a pupil of Vasubandhu who was more learned in the Abhidharma than his teacher. He is reputed to have joined Vasubandhu at the age of seven and worked diligently at his studies until becoming proficient in all the five sciences. According to the Chinese pilgrim I-Tsing³⁶, Sthiramati was at Nalanda for some years before moving on to Valabhi where he established a monastery and composed most of his works. He was a prolific writer who wrote sub-commentaries to most of Vasubandhu's commentaries in addition to numerous other works³⁷. Scholars are in rough agreement concerning his dates which can be put approximately at 500-570 A.D.³⁸

³⁴ For a complete list of his works as recorded in the Tibetan bsTan hgyur see L. Chimpa & A. Chattopadhyaya: Taranatha's History..., pp.395-7.

³⁵ The most recent studies in this regard are by: E. Frauwallner: On the Date of the Buddhist Master of the Law Vasubandhu. Rome: Serie Orientale Roma III, 1951 & "Landmarks in the History of Indian Logic" in Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde Süd-und Ostasiens, Band V, 1961, pp. 125-148; P.S. Jaini: "On the Theory of Two Vasubandhus" in BSOAS. Vol. XXI, 1958, pp. 48-53; A. Wayman: Analysis of the Sravakabhlimi Manuscript. University of California Press, 1961, pp. 19-24.

J. Takakusu: A Record of the Buddhist Religion as Practised in India and the Malay Archipelago (A.D. 671-695) by I-Tsing. Reprint, Delhi: Munshiram Manoharlal, 1966.

For a complete list of his works as recorded in the Tibetan bsTan hgyur see L. Chimpa & A. Chattopadhyaya: Taranatha's History..., pp.399-400.

³⁸ Cf. E. Frauwallner: "Landmarks... & Y. Kajiyama: "Bhāvaviveka, Sthiramati and Dharmapāla" in Beitrage zur Geistesgeschte Indiens - Festschrift für Erich Frauwallner; Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde Süd-un', Ostasiens, Band XII:XIII, 1968/1969, pp. 193-203.

Works Cited in the Bhasya and Tika.

Work cited	Page & line No. of Bhāşya or Tīkā
Majjhima-nikāya-sutta No.121	N18.4ff.
Kāšyapaparivarta #60	Y15.22ff.
Abhisamayālamkāra V.21	Y29.7-8
Abhidharma-sūtra	Y34.1-2
Anguttara-nikāya III.54	Y40.22ff.
Mahäyänasüträlamkära X1.60	Y66.28-29
Daśabhūmika-sūtra R26.12ff.	N35.22ff.
" R25.21ff.	Y100.19ff.
" R33.15ff.	Y101.21ff.
" " R42.2ff.	Y103.9ff.
Abhidharma-sūtra	Y112.8-11
Samyutta-nikāya	N45.4
Bahudhātuka-sutta (cf. Majjhima-nikāya 115)	N.46.20
Dhammapada 223	Y150.13-14
Kāśyapaparivarta #52	Y233.13ff.
" #63	Y243.13-14
" #64	Y244.18
" #66	Y245.12ff.
" #67	Y246.11ff.
" #68	Y247.12ff.
" #69	Y248.11ff.
" #70	Y249.11ff.
" #71	Y250.12ff.

Notes on the Translation.

The arrangement of topics and sub-topics under their respective headings follows Nagao's edition of the Sanskrit Bhāṣya and sometimes differs slightly to the arrangement of Yamaguchi's edition of the Tikā. The Kārikā and Bhāṣya text is translated in bold type and is placed immediately above the relevant Tīkā text. When the Kārikā or Bhāṣya is quoted in the Tīkā, this is also rendered in bold type. The numbers in the left-hand margin indicate: (a) the page number of the Sanskrit texts when a page break occurs or (b) the page and line number of the Sanskrit texts at the beginning of a new topic. The paragraph divisions of the Tīkā generally follow

Yamaguchi's arrangement although this is occasionally changed for the sake of a more coherent separation of Sthiramati's argument and discussion.

I have aimed for consistency in the choice of an English term for its Sanskrit or Tibetan equivalent although this principle is occasionally waived when it is felt that the context demands a diffurent nuance. For example, svabhāva is usually translated as "own-being", but when used in the context of the tri-svabhāva doctrine, it is rendered as "nature" as is the normal practice of most contempory commentators. Due to their multivalent meaning and cumbersome English equivalents, some Sanskrit words have not been translated: the word dharma is not translated when its sense as "constituent of reality", or "ontological category" etc. is intended; when it is used to refer to the doctrine or teachings of the Buddha, it is rendered as "Dharma". Where the word karma is used chiefly in the sense of "action" it is translated as such, however, it is not translated when it is clear that its other more abstract moral connotations (as the fruition of the actions of the past) are intended. The word inana is usually rendered as "knowledge" and occasionally "cognition" except when it signifies the knowledge that is free from conceptual discrimination (nirvikalpa), in which case it is translated as "direct intuition". This latter sense is often clarified by the Tibetan translation which renders it as ve ses rather than ses pa.

My fundamental principle when translating both Bhāṣya and Tīkā hao been to take the Sanskrit text as the reference point. If it reads differently to the Tibetan but maintains coherence both syntactically and philosophically, its reading is adopted in preference to the Tibetan and the latter is noted as a variant. In the case of the Tīkā, any difference between the manuscript reading and Yamaguchi's text is noted. If a coherent rendering is not possible from the manuscript, the Tibetan forms the basis of the translation and an emendation to the Sanskrit is suggested. The translation of the missing portions of the manuscript is always based on the Tibetan, and an improvement is annotated where I am unable to agree with Yamaguchi's reconstruction.

Index by Paragraph

Chapter One

Introduction

The 'Body' of the Treatise

The Defining Characteristics

1. The Imagination of What is Unreal:

(a) The Characteristic of Existence and Non-existence

- 1. Introductory
- 2. Rejection of the negation of the dharmas
- The imagination of what is unreal (abhūtaparikalpa) is a bare' existent devoid of the duality of subject and object
- 4. Avoiding the extremes of imputation and negation (samāropāpavāda)
- 5. The relationship between defilement and purification
- 6. abhūtaparikalpa
- 7. The characteristic of emptiness (sūnyatā)
- 8. All dharmas are established as neither empty nor not empty
- 9. This is the middle way

(b) The Individual Characteristic

- 1. The individual characteristic (svalaksana) of abhūtaparikalpa
- The establishment of the sense faculties, sense-objects and consciousness (vijiāna) in relation to abhūtapsrikalpa
- 3. abhūtaparikalpa is the essential nature of consciousness
- 4. The four kinds of appearance (pratibhāsa)
- The appearance as object (artha) and sentient being (sattva) is without aspect (nirākāra).
 The appearance as self (3tman) and representation (vijtapta) is a false appearance (vitatha-pratibhāsa).
- The object cannot be differentiated from the nature of consciousness
- Since the existence of the object cannot be established, consciousness as discerning agent - is non-existent
- 8. Consciousness does not exist as such, nor is it non-existent
- 9. Why the non-existence of consciousness is not accepted
- 10. Why consciousness does not exist in the way in which it manifests

(c) The Characteristic of the Totality

- 1. The three natures (svabhāva)
- 2. Explanation of the three natures in the context of abhūtaparikalpa
- abhütaparikalpa is eq...valent to the other-dependent nature (paratantra-svabhāva)

(d) The Characteristic of the Expedient for Entry into the Characteristic of Non-existence

- 1. The characteristic of non-existence refers to the non-existence of the duality
- The perception of representation-only (vijfapti-mātra) is the basis for the non-perception of the object
- 3. Consciousness arises in the nature of the appearance of the object
- 4. The nature of the objective support (Mambana)
- Rejection of the various 'atomistic' theories as attempts to account for the objective support
- 6. Rejection of the sign (nimitta) as the objective support
- Rejection of non-resistant matter (apratigham rūpam) as comprising the objective support
- 8. Rejection of the theory that the objective support derives from past experience

- The non-perception of the object is the basis for the non-perception of representation-
- 10. The sequential progression of the bodhisattva's understanding that non-perception has non-perception for its basis
- 11. The essential nature of perception is non-perception
- 12. Non-perception is equivalent to perception
- 13. Alternative explanation of 12
- 14. 2nd alternative explanation of 12
- 15. 3rd alternative explanation of 12

(e) The Characteristic of the Differentiation

- 1. Why the differentiation of abhūtaparikalpa is necessary
- 2. abhūtaparikalpa consists in mind and the mental concomitants of the three realms
- 3. Alternative explanation of the differentiation among realms
- 4. 2nd alternative explanation of 3
- 5. 3rd alternative explanation of 3
- 6. 4th alternative explanation of 3
- 7. Summary of the differentiation

(f) The Characteristic of its Synonyms

- 1. Why the synonyms are relevant
- The perception of the object pertains to the object; the perception of particulars pertains. to the mental concomitants
- 3. Rejection of the view that the mental concomitants are special modes of mind

(g) The Actualizing Characteristic

- 1. The cause / result dynamics of consciousness
- 2. The store-consciousness (Alava-viinana) is the causal condition for the other consciousnesses
- The actual consciousnesses (prayrtti-vijfiāna) are the basis of the sense experiences etc.: the store-consciousness is not
- 4. The relationship of sense experience, discrimination and stimulation to their respective skandhae
- 5. Alternative explanation of 4

(h) The Characteristic of Defilement

- 1. The explanation of dependent origination in the context of abhūtaparikalpa
- 2. Phenomena, as they are in reality, are concealed by ignorance
- 3. The latent impressions are implanted by the formative forces
- 4. The 'seed' of the new existence is conducted to the place of rebirth by consciousness
- 5. The individual nature is encapsulated by name/form
- 6. The individual nature is completed by the six sense-fields
- 7. There are three modes of discrimination through contact
- 8. There is sense experience through sensation
- The new existence is attracted through craving
- Consciousness is fettered through grasping
- 11. The karms performed causes the karms-result to be directed towards the new existence by becoming
- 12. The world is defiled by birth, old-age and death
- Explanation of: "the world is defiled"
- 14. Threefold, twofold and sevenfold defilement
- 15. Threefold defilement: (a) moral defilement, (b) karms and (c) rebirth Twofold defilement: (a) cause and (b) result
- Sevenfold defilement: (a) erroneous inversion, (b) projection, (c) leading, (d) possession, (e) sense experience, (f) auraction and (g) agitation
- 18. Moral defilement and karms are the general and specific causes respectively
- 19. There is no agent of action etc. that is defiled
- There are two kinds of dependent origination: (a) that characterized by projection (kksepa) and (b) that characterized by actualization (abhinirvrtu)
- All defilement manifests from abhūtaparikaipa

The Summary Meaning of the Imagination of What is Unreal

2. Emptiness:

Introductory

1. Explanation of the subjects discussed in relation to emptiness

(a) The Characteristic of Emptiness

- 1. Emptiness is characterized by the essential nature of a non-existent
- Emptiness is equivalent to the absolute non-existence of the subject / object duality on the part of abh@taparikalpa
- 3. The essential nature of emptiness neither exists nor does it not exist
- 4. The characteristic of emptiness is neither different from nor identical to abhūtaparikalpa
- 5. Why this differs from the Nirgrantha doctrine of the Jains
- 6. Summary

(b) The Synonyms of Emptiness

 The five main synonyms are: (a) tathatā, (b) bhūtakoti, (c) nimitta, (d) paramārthatā and (e) dharmadhātu

(c) The Meaning of the Synonyms of Emptiness

1. The meaning of the five main synonyms

(d) The Differentiation of Emptiness

- 1. Emptiness is differentiated as defiled or pure
- 2. If it possesses the quality of change, why is emptiness not impermanent?

The Sixteen Kinds of Emptiness

- Although its essential nature is undifferentiated, it can be differentiated in relation to four foundations (vastu; gát)
- The four foundations are: (a) the enjoyer, (b) the enjoyment, (c) the physical body and (d) the inanimate world
- 3. The sixteen kinds of emptiness are: (a) Internal emptiness
- 4 (b) External emptiness
- 5. (c) Internal and external emptiness
- 6. (d) Universal emptiness
- 7. (e) The emptiness of emptiness and (f) the emptiness of the absolute
- 8. (g) The emptiness of the conditioned and (h) the emptiness of the unconditioned
- 9. (i) Absolute emptiness
- 10. (j) Emptiness without beginning and end
- 11. (k) The emptiness of non-rejection
- 12. (1) Intrinsic emptiness
- 13. (m) The emptiness of the characteristic marks of a supreme being
- 14. (n) The emptiness of all dharmas
- 15. (o) The emptiness of non-existence and (p) the emptiness of the essential nature of non-existence
- Re-assessment of the fourteen kinds of emptiness in the light of the two kinds of emptiness mentioned in 15
- The object and essential nature of emptiness and the aim of the meditative development of emptiness

(e) The Logical Proof of Emptiness

- 1. If it were not defiled, all beings would by liberated
- 2. If it were not pure, effort would be in /ain
- 3. It is neither defiled nor undefiled, neither pure nor impure
- 4. The justification for the latter fourfold differentiation of emptiness

The Summary Meaning o. Emptiness

- 1. In terms of its characteristics
- 2. In terms of its establishment

Chapter Two

1. The Five Obscurations Beginning with the 'pervading'

- 1. The 'pervading' is pertinent to the bodhisattva
- 2. The 'limited' pertains to the śrāvaka etc.
- 3. The 'excessive' pertains to the bodhisativa and śrāvaka etc.
- 4. The 'equal' pertains to the bodhisattva and śravaka etc.
- 5. The 'acceptance and rejection' of samsara pertains to the bodhisativa
- 6. Summary of the five obscurations

2. The Obscuration that Consists in the Nine Fetters to Application

- 1. The nine fetters
- 2. Attachment and repugnance relate to anxiety and equanimity
- 3. Pride obscures the clear comprehension of the false view of individuality
- 4. Ignorance obscures the clear comprehension of the foundation of the latter
- 5. False view obscures the clear comprehension of the truth of cessation
- 6. Clinging obscures the clear comprehension of the truth of the path
- 7. Doubt obscures the clear comprehension of the three 'jewels'
- 8. Envy obscures the clear comprehension of gain and honour
- 9. Avarice obscures the clear comprehension of austerity
- Summary of the nine fetters

3. The Obscuration Pertinent to the Bodhisattvas:

(a) The Obscuration to the Tenfold [Qualities] Beginning with Virtue

- 1. The ten qualities
- 2. Why these are relevant to enlightenment
- 3. The sequential order of the development of these qualities
- The obscurations to the wholesome are: (a) lack of application, (b) the application to unworthy objects and (c) superficial application
- The obscurations to enlightenment are: (a) the non-arising of the wholesome, (b) lack of mental attention and (c) incomplete accumulations
- The obscurations to complete acceptance are: (a) deprivation of spiritual lineage,
 (b) deprivation of good friends and (c) mental exhaustion
- The obscurations to intelligence are: (a) deprivation of spiritual practice, (b) living with foolish people and (c) living with pernicious people
- The obscurations to absence of error are: (a) the disquiet of error, (b) the defilement of
 passion etc. and (c) the non-maturation of wisdom
- The obscurations to the relinquishment of obscuration are: (a) innate disquiet, (b) laziness and (c) carelessness
- The obscurations to transformation are: (a) attachment to existence, (b) attachment to
 enjoyment and (c) faintheartedness
 The obscurations to lack of fear are: (a) a low opinion of people, (b) lack of firm
- conviction and (c) undue deliberation on the literal meaning
- 12. The obscurations to lack of avarice are: (a) lack of enthusiasm, (b) enthusiasm for gain etc. and (c) lack of compassion
- The obscurations to mastery are: (a) loss of what is learnt, (b) learning little and (c) lack
 of the necessary preparation for samādhi

(b) The Ten [Instrumental] Causes

- 1. The ten instrumental causes
- 2. The causes for origination
- 3. The causes for the continuation of enlightenment
- 4. The causes for the support, i.e. bodhicitta
- 5. The causes for the manifestation of intelligence
- 6. The causes for the modification of error, i.e. into non-error
- 7. The causes for the disjunction from obscuration
- 8. The causes for the transformation into universal enlightenment
- 9. The causes for belief
- 10. The causes for belief in others

- 11. The causes for the attainment of mastery
- 12. Alternative explanation of the ten causes by way of a sequential progression
- 13. Summary of the ten causes in two antara-slokas

4. The Obscurations to the Factors that Contribute to Enlightenment, the Perfections and the Spiritual Levels

1. Introductory

(a) The Obscurations to the Pactors that Contribute to Enlightenment

- 1. Introductory
- 2. Lack of skill as obscuration to the applications of mindfulness
- 3. Laziness as obscuration to the complete relinquishments
- 4. The two deficiencies in samādhi as obscurations to the bases of psychic power
- 5. The non-engendering of elements conducive to liberation as obscurations to the faculties
- 6. The weakness of the faculties as obscuration to the powers
- 7. False views as obscuration to the limbs of enlightenment
- 8. Disquiet as obscuration to the limbs of the path

(b) The Obscurations to the Perfections

- 1. These are shown through the obscuration to the relevant result of each perfection
- 2. The obscuration to dominion and sovereignty
- 3. The obscuration to the propitious states of existence
- 4. The obscuration to the non-abandonment of beings
- 5. The obscuration to the diminution of faults and the augmentation of virtues
- 6. The obscuration to the guidance of those to be trained
- 7. The obscuration to liberation
- 8. The obscuration to the imperishability of generosity etc.
- 9. The obscuration to the emergence of the wholesome elements
- 10. The obscuration to the assurance that the latter will arise
- 11. The obscuration to the enjoyment of the Dharma and the maturation of others
- 12. Substantially, there are six perfections; nominally, there are ten

(c) The Obscurations to the Spiritual Levels

- 1. Description of the bodhisatta's progression through the ten spiritual levels
- Undefiled nescience (aklistam ajfillnam) is a tenfold obscuration to each spiritus!
- 3. On the first spiritual level the bodhisattva penetrates the all-pervadingness of the dharmadhātu
- 4. On the second level he penetrates the latter as foremost
- 5. On the third level he penetrates that which flows out of the dharmadhatu as being pre-
- 6. On the fourth level he penetrates the dharmadhatu as being devoid of possession
- 7. On the fifth level he penetrates it as a non-differentiation in mental continuum
- 8. On the sixth level he penetrates it as devoid of defilement and purity
- 9. On the seventh level he penetrates it as being devoid of multiplicity
- 10. On the eighth level he penetrates it as being neither diminished nor increased
- 11. Mastery is fourfold: (a) mastery over conceptual differentiation, (b) mastery over the purification of the 'field'
- 12. (c) Mastery over direct intuition
- 13. (d) Mastery over karma
- 14. Summary

5. The Totality of Obscuration

- 1. All obscuration is subsumed in two: (a) obscuration that consists in moral defilement and (b) obscuration that consists in the knowable
- 2. The obscuration to the Buddha level

The Summary Meaning of Obscuration

Chapter Three

Introductory

- The differentiation of realities (tarva) is necessary to enable the understanding of their essential natures
- 2. The relevance of the realities, in brief
- 3. Alternative explanation of 2
- 4. Various views on the realities

1. The Basic Reality

- 1. The basic reality comprises the three natures
- 2. Why the three natures should be studied
- 3. The imaginary nature (parikalpita-svabhāva) is eternally non-existent
- 4. The other-dependent nature (paratantra-svabhāva) exists, but not as a reality
- 5. The perfected nature (parinispanna-svabhava) both exists and does not exist as a reality

2. The Reality of Characteristic

- 1. The reality-characteristic in relation to the imaginary nature
- 2 The reality characteristic in relation to the other-dependent nature
- 3. The reality characteristic in relation to the perfected nature

3. The Reality Free from Erroneous Inversion

- The reality free from erroneous inversion consists in the knowledge of what is impermanent (anitya), painful (duhkha), empty (śūnya) and insubstantial (nairātmya)
- 2. The threefold nature of the impermanent object
- 3. Suffering that is due to appropriation
- 4. Suffering that is due to the characteristic
- 5. Suffering that is due to association
- 6. Emptiness as: (a) non-existence, (b) existence as something other and (c) intrinsic nature
- Insubstantiality as: (a) the absence of characteristic, (b) a difference in characteristic and (c) the individual characteristic
- Explanation of the difference between emptiness (\$\sum{\text{\$U}}\text{nyat\$}\text{\$a}\) and insubstantiality
 (nair\text{\$u\$rnya})
- 9. The three kinds of impermanence as the counteragents to imputation and negation

4. The Reality of the Cause and the Result

- 1. The reality of cause and result refers to the four noble truths
- 2. The truth of suffering
- 3. The truth of origination
- 4. The truth of cessation
- 5. The truth of the path

5. Gross and Subtle Reality

- 1. The gross and subtle realities refer to the conventional and the absolute
- 2. The conventional as designation
- 3. The conventional as knowledge
- 4. The conventional as utterance
- 5. Explanation of the three aspects of the conventional
- 6. The absolute consists in the perfected nature
- 7. The absolute as object is thusness
- 8. The absolute as attainment is nirvāņa
- 9. The absolute as spiritual practice is the path
- The perfected nature includes both nirvana (the unconditioned) and the path (the conditioned)

6. Well Established Reality

- 1. What is generally established is due to the imaginary nature
- 2. What is established through reasoning is due to the three natures

7. The Reality of the Sphere of Purity

- 1. The sphere of purity is twofold
- 2. The removal of obscuration that consists in both moral defilement and the knowable
- 3. The twofold sphere of purity is due just to the perfected nature

8. The Inclusion Reality

- 1. The five categories
- 2. The causal-sign (nimitta) and conceptual differentiation (vikalpa) are included in the otherdependent and the name is included in the imaginary
- 3. Thusness and correct direct intuition are included in the perfected nature

9. The Reality of Differentiation

- 1. The reality of differentiation is sevenfold
- 2. The imaginary and other-dependent consist in 'continuance'
- 3. The latter two also consist in 'arrangement' and 'wrong course'
- The perfected consists in the 'characteristic', 'representations', 'purification' and 'correct spiritual practic's'
- 5. Alternative explanation of the sevenfold differentiation

10. The Reality of the Skills

- 1. The ten false views in regard to the existence of a self among the aggregates
- 2. The skills are included within the basic reality in terms of: (a) the imaginary (parikalpita), (b) conceptual differentiation (vikalpa) and (c) real nature (dharmath)
- 3. The latter three apply equally to all five aggregates
- 4. The aggregates in relation to the three natures
- 5. How the imaginary nature can be conceptually differentiated
- 6. The refutation of the theory that the object is generated by the name
- 7. Summary

(a) The Meaning of the Aggregates

1. They are considered in the sense of: (a) multiple, (b) collected and (c) disparate

(b) The Meaning of the Elements

- They are considered in the sense of the 'seeds' of: (a) the subject, (b) the object and (c) the
 perception of these
- 2. Alternative explanation of the alaya-vijnana as support for the three groups of elements

(c) The Meaning of the Sense-fields

- These are considered as the medium of origination for the experience of: (a) sensation and (b) the discrimination of the object
- 2. There is no 'agent' of experience, nor 'object' of experience
- 3. Explanation of the sense-field theory in the light of the vijflap.i-mātra doctrine
- 4. Reply to the objection that the latter conflicts with the traditional theory
- Reply to the objection that the vijfapti-mätra interpretation does not allow the appearance (nirbhāsa) of the object to be differentiated from consciousness

(d) The Meaning of Dependent Origination

- Considered in the sense of an absence of imputation and negation in regard to cause, result and efficacy
- 2. The imputation of causality
- 3. The negation of causality
- 4. The imputation of the result 5. The negation of the result
- 6. The imputation of efficacy
- 6. The imputation of efficac
- 7. The negation of efficacy
- 8. The absence of imputation and negation is due to the absence of these six

(e) The Meaning of the Possible and the Impossible

- The possible and the not possible should be understood in the sense of a sevenfold dependency upon something other
- 2. Dependency in relation to what is not desired
- 3. Dependency in relation to what is desired
- 4. Dependency in relation to purity
- 5. Dependency in relation to the concurrent births of two tathagatas or two cakravartins
- 6. Dependency in relation to sovereignty
- 7. Dependency in relation to complete attainment
- 8. Dependency in relation to behaviour
- 9. Summary

(f) The Meaning of the Paculties

- The twenty-two faculties exercise an influence in five main areas: (a) perception,
 (b) duration, (c) continuity, (d) experience and (e) the two purities
- 2. The sense faculties are the influences in the perception of the object
- 3. The vital faculty is the influence in the duration of life-span
- 4. The female and male faculties are the influences in the continuation of the family
- 5. The faculties of sensation are the influences in experience
- 6. The faculties of faith etc. are the influences in mundane purity
- The faculty of understanding what is not understood is the influence in supramundane purity
- 8. This arrangement is different for the yogācāra

(g) The Meaning of the Times

1. The perception and non-perception of cause and result

(h) The Meaning of the Four Truths

- 1. The truth of suffering is considered in the sense of sensation
- 2. The truth of origination is considered in the sense of the practice caused by 1
- 3. The truth of cessation is considered in the sense of the appearement of 1 & 2
- 4. The truth of the path is considered in the sense of the counteragent

(i) The Meaning of the Three Vehicles

- 1. In order to go forth via the śrāvaka vehicle
- 2. In order to go forth via the praty-kabuddha vehicle
- 3. In order to go forth via the universal vehicle
- 4. Alternative explanation of the differentiation of the three vehicles

(i) The Meaning of the Conditioned and the Unconditioned

- 1. The conditioned consists in the causal-sign accompanied by both its designation and
- 2. Various alternative views on the definition of the causal-sign
- The elements incorporated in the actual consciousness are: (a) mind, (b) apprehending and (c) conceptual differentiation
- 4. The unconditioned consists in: (a) tranquillity and (b) thusness the object of tranquillity

The Summary Meaning of Reality

Chapter Four

Prologue

1. The Meditative Development of the Counteragent

Introductory

1 The counteragents are the factors that contribute to enlightenment

(a) The Four Applications of Mindfulness

- The meditative development of the applications of mindfulness leads to the understanding
 of the four truths
- 2. Disquiet (dausthulya) is made manifest through the body
- 3. The cause of craving is sensation
- 4 Mind is the foundation for notional attachment to the self
- 5. The investigation of the dharmas leads to the comprehension of the truth of the path
- 6. Explanat on of the effects of the four mindfulnesses

(b) The Four Correct Exertions

 The understanding engendered by mindfulness produces a fourfold vigour for the eradication of adverse elements and the production of the counteragents

(c) The Four Bases of Psychic Power

 The psychic powers incorporate the mental stability that results from the abovementioned fourfold vigour

The Five Paults

1. Explanation of the five faults

The Eight Formative Forces That Facilitate Relinquishment

- 1. Four are counteragents to the fault of laziness
- 2. Four are counteragents to the other four faults

(d) The Five Faculties

- The five are: (a) will-power, (b) application, (c) non-loss of objective support, (d) non-diffusion and (e) analysis
- 2. Alternative explanation of the latter five

(e) The Five Powers

- The five elements beginning with faith are called 'faculties' when mixed with adverse elements and 'powers' when these are eradicated
- 2. Why they can be described both as 'faculties' and 'powers'
- 3. The faculties that comprise the elements conducive to liberation
- 4. The elements conducive to penetration
- The states of 'heat' and the 'summit' are faculties; the 'receptivities' and 'highest mundane realizations' are powers

(f) The Seven Limbs of Enlightenment

- 1. Definition of terms
- The seven limbs are: (a) mindfulness, (b) the analysis of the dharmas, (c) vigour, (d) delight, (e) quiescence, (f) meditative concentration and (g) equanimity
- 3. Further explanation of the latter three limbs
- 4. Alternative explanation of equanimity

(g) The Eight Limbs of the Path

- The eightfold path is established by way of: (a) accurate determination, (b) attainment for others. (c) the confidence of others and (d) the counteragent to adverse elements
- 2. Correct view is the limb for the accurate determination of the path of vision
- 3. Correct intention and correct speech are the limbs for attainment for others
- Correct speech, correct action and correct livelihood are the limbs for confidence on the part of others
- Correct effort, correct mindfulness and correct meditative concentration are the counteragents to the adverse elements

(h) The Differentiation of the Meditative Development of the Counteragent

- 1. The threefold differentiation
- 2. The threefold differentiation for the bodhisattva

2. The State Therein

- There are nine states in the meditative development of the counteragent: (a) the 'causal' state.
- (b) 'Arrival', (c) 'preparatory', (d) 'result', (e) 'with duties to be performed', (f) 'without duties to be performed', (g) 'excellence', (h) 'superior' and (i) 'unsurpassable
- 3. The nine states in the context of the spiritual levels and the Buddha Bodies
- 4. These states are differentiated as threefold in relation to the dharmadhātu
- 5. The states as the criteria for the assessment of individuals

3. The Attainment of the Result

- The five main results are: (a) the karma-result, (b) strength, (c) inclination, (d) growth and (e) purification
- 2. Alternative description of these five results
- 3 Ten additional results

The Summary Meaning of the Meditative Development of the Counteragent, The State Therein and The Result

- 1. The summary meaning of the meditative development of the counteragent
- 2. The summary meaning of the states
- 3. The summary meaning of the results

Chapter Five

1. The Three Kinds of Supremacy

- 1. Since this is the final topic, it is now explained
- There are three supremacies: (a) spiritual practice, (b) objective support and (c) full attainment
- 3. Supremacy consists in the universal vehicle which possesses a sevenfold universality

2. The Supremacy of Spiritual Practice

1. Spiritual practice is sixfold in relation to the perfections

(a) The Highest Spiritual Practice

- 1. The highest spiritual practice is twelvefold: (a) the highest degree of magnanimity
- 2. (b) The highest degree of duration
- 3. (c) The highest degree of prerogative
- 4. (d) The highest degree of inexhaustibility
- 5. Alternative explanation of 4
- 6. (e) The highest degree of continuity
- 7. (f) The highest degree of non-hardship
- 8. (g) The highest degree of wealth
- 9. (h) The highest degree of possession
- 10. (i) The highest degree of enterprise
- 11. (j) The highest degree of acquisition
- 12. (k) The highest degree of natural outcome
- 13, (1) The highest degree of accomplishment
- 14. Alternative explanation of 13
- 15. These twelve practices are contained within the perfections
- 16. The ten perfections and the actions pertinent to each: (a) through his generosity, the bodhisativa assists beings
- 17. (b) Through his morality, the bodhisattva does not injure beings
- 18. (c) Through his patience, he endures the injury inflicted by others
- 19. (d) Through vigour, he increases his virtues
- 20. (e) Through the meditative absorptions, he initiates beings
- 21. (f) Through his wisdom, he liberates beings
- (g) Through the perfection of skill in expedients, his generosity etc. becomes inexhaustible
- 23. (h) Through the perfection of vows, he engages in generosity etc. at all times

- 24. (i) Through the perfection of strength, he engages eternally in generosity etc.
- 25. (j) Through the perfection of direct intuition, be experiences the enjoyment of the Dharma

(b) Spiritual Practice in Relation to Mental Attention

- 1. The mental attention to the Dharma through the three modes of wisdom
- 2. Mental attention acquires virtue through these three modes of wisdom
- 3. This spiritual practice is associated with the ten acts of the Dharma
- Only in the universal vehicle do these Dharmic acts result in the immeasurable collection of merit
- 5. Alternative explanation of 4

(c) Spiritua! Practice that Conforms with the Dharma

1. It is twofold: (a) without distraction and (b) without erroneous inversion

That Which Becomes Free from Distraction

- 1. There are six kinds of distraction: (a) innate distraction
- 2. (b) External distraction
- 3. (c) Internal distraction
- 4. (d) The distraction of signs
- 5. (e) The distraction of disquiet
- 6. (f) The distraction of mental attention

That Which Becomes Free from Erroneous Inversion

- The absence of erroneous inversion manufests in relation to ten things; they are:

 (a) syllables
- 2. (b) The object
- 3. (c) Mental activity
- 4. (d) Non-dispersal of mind
- 5. (e) The individual characteristic
- 6. (f) The universal characteristic
- 7. The difference between the individual and universal characteristics
- 8. (g) The dharmadhātu's lack of purity and purity
- 9. (h) The adventitious nature of 8
- 10. (i) The absence of fear and (j) absence of arrogance
- 11. Alternative explanation of the lack of fear
- 12. Neither the pudgala nor the dharmas exist it is all dependent origination
- 13. This is intended in the conventional sense, not the absolute
- 14. The ten absences of erroneous inversion in relation to the three natures

The Ten Vajra Words

- Explanation of the ten vajra words which correspond respectively with the ten absences of erroneous inversion
- 2. The 'body' of the vajra words is established as fourfold:
- 3. (a) By way of the three natures
- 4. (b) By way of the objective support
- 5. (c) By way of the absence of conceptual differentiation
- 6. (d) By way of objections and their refutations
- 7. The second explanation of the 'body' of the vajra words in relation to error and non-error
- 8. The ten vajra words summarized as two antara-ślokas
- 9. Summary of the ten absences of erroneous inversion

(d) Spiritual Practice Which Avoids the Two Extremes

- 1. That which is taught as the Middle Way in the Ratnakuta
- 2. The extremes in regard to separateness and identity
- 3. The extremes of the tirthikas and śrāvakas
- 4. The extremes of imputation and negation in regard to the pudgala
- 5. The extremes of imputation and negation in regard to the dharmas
- 6. The extremes in regard to adverse elements and their counteragents
- 7. The extremes of eternalism and annihilationism
- 8. The extremes in regard to the subject and object
- 9. The extremes in regard to defilement or purification of the dharmadhātu

- 10. The seven kinds of dual extremes consisting in conceptual differentiation: (a) in regard to existent and non-existent entities
- 11. (b) In regard to the object of appeasement and the act of appeasing
- 12. (c) In regard to the object of fear and the dread of the latter
- 13. (d) In regard to the subject and object
- 14. (e) In regard to correctness and falsity
- 15. (f) In regard to the performance of action and its non-performance
- 16. (g) In regard to non-origination and simultaneity

(e) Specific and Non-specific Spiritual Practice

1. The perfections that predominate on specific spiritual levels

3. The Supremacy of the Objective Support

1. There are twelve kinds of objective support considered to be supreme

4. The Supremacy of Full Attainment

- 1. There are ten kinds of full attainment; they are: (a) absence of defects in conditions
- 2. (b) The non-rejection of the universal vehicle
- 3. (c) The absence of distraction to the lesser vehicle
- 4. (d) The fulfilment of the perfections
- 5. (e) The generation of the noble path
- 6. (f) The development of the roots of the wholesome
- 7. (g) The pliability of mind
- 8. (h) Non-fixation in samsāra or nirvāņa
- 9. (i) The absence of obscuration
- 10. (j) The non-interruption of the Buddha level

The Explanation of the Name of the Treatise

1. It consists in the analysis of the middle in relation to the extremes...

The Summary Meaning of the Supremacy of the Vehicle

- 1. Supremacy is threefold
- 2. The highest degree of spiritual practice
- 3. The absence of erroneous inversion

Chapter One

The Defining Characteristics:

- 1. The Imagination of What is Unreal
- 2. Emptiness

Introductory

(Homage to the Buddha)

N17.3

After honouring the author of this treatise, the son of the sugata, and the one who expounded it to us etc., I shall strive for a critical examination of its meaning.

[Sthiramati]

(Homage to the Noble Manjusri - Kumarabhūta)

Υl

¹Since pre-eminent people, as a rule, engage in activities after paying homage to their preceptor and to their tutelary deity, in order to show that he too was a follower in the course of pre-eminent people, [Vasubandhu] wishing to compose a commentary on the Madhyāntavibhāga Sūtra says: "the author of this treatise etc.", demonstrating that he has undertaken an analysis of its meaning after paying respect to both the author and the expounder. What virtue is obtained when this is done? When respect is paid to one who possesses virtue and is beneficent, merit is accumulated; when merit is accumulated, with little effort one completes one's enterprise which is unafflicted by impediments and hindrances.

Y 2

Alternatively, in order to generate reverence towards the author, the expounder, the Sütra and the commentary by stating that: (a) the author had undertaken the treatise and (b) the expounder [had undertaken] the commentary, he says all this: "the author of this treatise [etc.]". In this respect, (a) by demonstrating what is expressed by the author², reverence is generated towards the Sütra for the Noble Maitreya is the author of this treatise in verse form. Since he is separated [from the attainment of Buddhahood] only by one birth, he has reached the highest perfection of all the bodhisattvas' higher knowledges, mystical formulae, analytical knowledge, meditative concentration, masteries³, intellectual receptivity and emancipations. He has also dispelled the obscurations in their entirety on all the bodhisattva levels. (b) Through the correct presentation of the expounder, reverence is generated towards the commentary [of

The first folio of the Ms.(1b) is in a particularly bad condition with just occasional words that can be read with any certainty, hence the translation of this folio is based essentially on the Tib. (D189b.2 - 190a.7).

Read (with St. p.13 fs.19); pranetroktapradarśanāt in place of pranetrā vaktum upadistāt; Tib. mdzad pas bśad par bstan pas (D189b.6).

³ Read (with St. p.14 fn.26): vasitā in place of indriya; Tib. dban (D189b.7).

Vasubandhul, for the expounder here is the Noble Asanga⁴. The Venerable Ācārya Vasubandhul, after receiving the teaching from him, composed the commentary to it. Reverence is generated towards the commentary because the meaning of the Sūtra is unerringly stated in it because these two, since they possess the highest wisdom⁵, are able to understand, retain and explain [it], without erring. In this way reverence arises towards both the Sūtra and the commentary on the part of those who rely on the authority of individual people⁶. Also, on the part of those who rely on the Dharma, reverence arises towards both the author and the expounder because after the true meaning of the Sūtra and the commentary has been understood, when a positive determination occurs, it is brought about through just speculation and scriptural tradition⁷ thus reverence is generated towards the author and the expounder.

This now should be discussed: what is the nature of a treatise and why is it [described as] a \$\frac{3}{8}\stra*? A treatise consists in representations/conceptualizations appearing as groups of names, words, and syllables. Or rather, a treatise consists in representations appearing as specific words that cause the attainment of supramundane direct intuition. [Objection]: How can representations\(^8\) be \(^6\)\cdots\(^6\)\text{mulated} or commented upon? [Response]: There is no fault here since the hearer's representations arise from the representations of the author and the expounder\(^9\). It is a treatise (\(^5\)\strate{3}\stra*\) because it is an instruction for novices (\(^5\)\strate{3}\strana\)\(^1\). In order to generate excellence in morality, meditative concentration and wisdom, an instruction for novices dissuades them from the actions of body, speech and mind that do not produce the accumulations [of merit and direct intuition], and induces them [to engage] in actions that produce the accumulations. Alternatively, it is a treatise because it conforms with the characteristic of a treatise\(^1\). The characteristic of a treatise consists in the fact that, when the teaching is practised, one relinquishes moral defilements along with their latent impressions and is also protected from both becoming and the wretched states of existence which are

⁴ Read: vaktā hy atrāryāsangas as per Ms.(1b.4); in place / vaktā punar atrācārya Asangas but Tib.(D189b.7).: slob dpon (ācārya) for ārya

Read: uttamaprajñāvatau ...s0z.ārtham abhrāntam upadiśata iti in place of uttamaprajñāvato
...sūtrārtho "brāntam upadiṣta iri; Tib.de grlis kyan ses rab mchog dan ldan pas... 'dir mdo'i
don ma nor va bstan to (D190a.1).

⁶ Read (with St. fn.32 p.15): yo pudgalaprāmāṇikās in place of pudgalam pramāṇikurvanti; Tib. gai dag gan zag ishad mar byed pa (D190a.2). Ms.(1b.5): -ās teṣām.

⁷ Read: Liścayaś ced utpadyate sa pranetyvaktrāvabodhād ani prabhāvito bhavati na tu tarkāgama-mātrena prabhāvito bhavatiti... in place of jāta ca niścaye iyam pranetur vaktuś ca pratityā prabhāvanā na tu tarkāgamamātvena prabhāvanet...; Tib. nes pa skyes na di mdzad pa dan 'chad pa yan trogs pas rab tu phye ba yin gyi / rtog ge dan lun tsam gyis rab tu phye bar ni ma zad do żes .. (D190a.3).

⁸ Ms.(1b.7); -jflaptayah ; disregard Y's fn.7 p.2.

⁹ Read: śravanavijňaptīnām in place of prajňaptīnām; Tib. nan pa'i mam par rig pa mams (D190a.5).

¹⁰ Ms.(1b.7): -syaśāsanāc chāstra-.

¹¹ Read: atha vă śāstralakṣaṇayogāc chāstram in place of atha vā śāstralakśaṇasya śāsanāc chāstram. Tib. yadı abstan bcos kyi mishan fiid du 'thad pa'i phyir bstan bcos te (D190a.6). Cf. St. fn.44, p.19.

fearful on account of their manifold sufferings which are intense, continual and longlasting. 12 Therefore, it has the characteristic of a treatise (\$\frac{3}{3}stra\$) because it rules over (\$\frac{3}{3}sana\$) the enemy-like moral defilements, and because it protects (trana) 13 from becoming and the wretched states of existence. Moreover, this pair (i.e. 'ruling over' and 'protecting') are found in all works of the universal vehicle and in their interpretations - but nowhere else - hence this (work) is a treatise (\$\frac{3}{3}stra\$). It is said:

That which rules over the enemy-like moral defilements in their entirety; which rescues [beings] from the wretched states of existence and becoming, is a treatise, by virtue of its authority and protection. These two are non-existent in any other doctrinal view.

Of this; the term "of this" is a direct reference to the verses of the Madhyāntavibhāga śāstra which is a compendium of seven topics and enables the relinquishment of obscuration that consists both in moral defilement and the knowable, by way of the triple vehicle since it is firmly fixed in the [author's] heart.

The author refers to the composer. Although this verbal root [nf] has the sense of 'conveying', nevertheless, since it is compounded with the prefix pra, it is to be understood in the sense of 'effecting' [lit, 'making'] 14, for it is said:

The meaning of a verbal root is forcibly changed through the addition of a prefix, just as the sweetness of the waters of the Ganges [is changed through mixing] with the waters of the sea.¹⁵

16 The son of the sugata; the sugata refers to one who has excellently gone (susthugata) to the nivāṇa in which [the bodhisattva] is not permanently fixed which is [free] from the obscurations that consist in moral defilements, together with their latent impressions, and the obscurations that consist in the knowable. One who has relinquished the obscurations of all latent impressions, who has the understanding of all the dharmas, in every respect, for his essential nature, who is the basis of all might,

¹² Read: tac ca śāstralakṣaṇam yad upadeśe 'bhyasyamāne savāsanākleśaprahāṇam niramaradīrgha-vividhatīvraduḥkhabhītadurgatibhyo bhavāc ca trāṇam bhavati in place of tac ca śāstralakṣaṇam yad upadeśo bhāsamano 'bhyastaḥ savāsanākleśaprahāṇāyāpaqotae nirantarphavividhattvraduḥkhabhītāyāś ca durgater bhavāc ca samtrayate, Tib.: lun mnos pa goma par byas pas bag chags dañ beas pa'i non mońs pa spoń bar 'gyur ba dañ / bar chad med pa yun rin ba'i sdug bsñal drag po sna tshogs kyis 'jigs pa'i nan soń mams dañ / srid pa las skyob pa gañ yin pa de ni bstan beos kyi mtshan ñid (D190a.6).

¹³ Read: trănăc in place of samtărăc; Tib. skyob pas. This reading is more appropriate in the context of this etymological explanation of the word śāstra.

¹⁴ Read (with T&B3.17): karane in place of viśeşārthako on the basis of the Tib. byed par (D190b.3).

¹⁵ This verse is also quoted in Candrakirti's Prasannapadā Madhyamakavrttiḥ; cf. Y's fns. 3 & 4 p.3 for more details.

¹⁶ The Ms.(2a.3) inserts a passage here which, according to the Tib. arrangement, belongs in a subsequent portion of the text; cf. Y's fn.6 p.3.

whose body¹⁷ possesses inconceivable power like the wishfulfilling gem¹⁸, who is capable of performing all benefit for all sentiest beings effortlessly and who has the nature of the excellence of direct intuition free from conceptual differentiation - he is the sugata. His nature consists in the thusness of purity¹⁹. Since his direct intuition which is free from conceptual differentiation is brought forth²⁰ from the latter, the son of the sugata is born from, or in, that [thusness of purity].

Alternatively, he is the son of the sugata insofar as he is bon, in the nature of the sugata; as has been said in another Sūtra: "he is born in the lineage of the tathāgata because he has obtained the essential qualities of the latter". This being the case, an entity that is to be known²¹ in all its aspects appears to a bodhisattva established on the tenth spiritual level like a myrobalan fruit on the palm of his hand; however it is as though his eyes were covered by a fine silk veil. But for the Venerable One, the covering over the eyes is removed as it were - this is the difference. By the term "son of the sugata" in this context [i.e. here sugata means perfectly understood], the perfect understanding of reality is indicated on the part of the author of the treatise²², and similarly perfect compassion and perfect wisdom [are indicated] through his authorship of the treatise without regard for gain and honour.

The expounder; i.e. the agent of exposition. This [term] is connected with the words "after honouring". Others believe that the term "son of the sugata" also refers to him. Moreover, he is the Noble Asanga, for this treatise was disclosed and elucidated to him through the [meditative concentration named] 'stream of dharmas'²³ due to the Noble Maitreya's miraculous power.

²⁴ [The word] and has a conjunctive sense or it is a superfluous word, i.e. it is an expletive. It also implies that he [Vasubandhu] pays respect to the other Buddhas and bodhisativas, not only to the author and the expounder. In response to the question as to whom it is expounded, he replies: to us etc., which means that 'we etc.' comprise those of whom we are the first; it is to the latter that "to us etc.," refers. Hereby it is shown that we ourselves have been instructed in a reliable manner²⁵.

¹⁷ Tib. omits: vigrahah; cf. D190b.6.

¹⁸ Ms.(2a.4): cintāmaniramavad; the reason for Y's parenthesis is not clear.

This sentence is omitted from the Ms. and is inserted on the basis of the Tib.; cf. Y's fn.3 p.4.

²⁰ Read: tarprabhāvitatvān in place of tajjanitatvān; Ms.(2a.5): -vitatvān, Tib.: rab tu byun ba'i phyir (D190b.7).

²¹ Read: jñeyavastu as per Ms.(2a.5) in place of jñeyam vastu.

Read (with St. fn.70 p.24): atra sugarātmaja iti śāstrapraņetus tattvāvabodhasampan nirdistā... in place of atra hi sugarātmajas tasyaiva ēāstrapraņayanasyāvabodhasampat pradarsitā. Tib.: dir ni bde bar gšegs pai bdag ñid las skyes pas / bstan bcos muzad de kho na thugs su chud pa phun sum tshogs par bstan pa... (D191a.2).

²³ Read: dharmasrotasă în place of dharmasamtănena; Tib.; chos kyi rgyun gyis (D191a.4).

In the Tib. the second part of this paragraph precedes the first part, i.e. it begins from kebhyo vaktāram, =su la 'chad pa że na (D191a.4).

²⁴ Read: anena vayam eva avisamvādena upadistā iti daršitam in place of anenātmano imrajā upadesio bihāsamāno nirdistah; Tib.: di ni bdag nid kyi mi slu ba las lun mnos par ston to (Di91a.4).

After honouring; i.e. after paying respect [to him] as though he were actually present and positioned nearby. After honouring, i.e. after paying respect with body, speech and mind. Having paid respect to the author of the treatise and its expounder, what should you do then? He says:

I shall strive for a critical examination of its meaning; i.e. I shall undertake the effort to critically examine its meaning and to explain its meaning or to make distinctions between things. And here the locative case²⁶ (in the terms arthavivecane etc.) has the sense of purpose; what is meant is: for the purpose of a critical examination of its meaning.

The 'Body' of the Treatise.

N17.6 In this regard, the 'body' of the treatise is respectively determined from the beginning.

(a) the characteristic, (b) obscuration, (c) reality, (d) the meditative development of the counteragent,
(e) the stage therein, (f) the attainment of the result and (g) the supremacy of the vehicle.

For these seven subjects are expounded in this treatise, namely: (a) the characteristic, (b) obscuration, (c) reality, (d) the meditative development of the counteragent, (e) the state in regard to that meditative development of the counteragent, (f) the attainment of the result and (g) the supremacy of the vehicle which is the seventh subject.

[Sthiramati]

The subjects here [comprise] the 'body' of the treatise because of the statement: these seven subjects are expounded in this treatise²⁷. Why has this treatise been composed? (a) In order to generate correct²⁸ direct intuition devoid of conceptual differentiation belonging to the Buddhas, the Venerable Ones, (b) because direct intuition that is free from conceptual differentiation is generated on account of the

²⁶ Tib. omits saptamit cf. D191a.7.

²⁷ Read: ...cchāstra upadiśvanta in place of śāstra "khyāyanta; cf. Bhāsya N17.9.

²⁸ Ms.(2b/2): samvanvinirvikalpa contrary to Y's fn.2 p.5 but his emendation to samyagnirvikalpa is preferred; this term is omitted from the Tib. (cf. D191b.1).

teaching²⁹ of the insubstantiality of the *dharmas*, and (e) due to the practice of the latter [the *bodhisattva*] obtains the complete relinquishment of obscuration consisting in both the knowable and moral defilement together with their latent impressions. Furthermore, concerning the insubstantiality of the *dharmas*, this treatise is undertaken in order to present the insubstantiality of the *dharmas* as it is in reality by refuting these two incompatible views: (a) that the non-existence of all *dharmas* is [equivalent to] the insubstantiality of the *dharmas*, and (b) that the non-existence or an internally active being is [equivalent to] are insubstantiality of the *dharmas*³⁰.

Others believe [the treatise is undertaken] in order to remove both the lack of insight and wrong insight on the part of those who lack insight or possess wrong insight in regard to the characteristic and obscuration etc. through the generation of correct understanding.

Alternatively, [the treatise is undertaken] in order to remove the faintheartedness of the bodhisattvas who, in regard to the fivefold objects of knowledge consisting in the realms of³¹: (a) the world sphere, (b) sentient beings, (c) dharmas, (d) moral discipline and (e) expedients, may believe that these are difficult to discern individually because of their infinite differentiation; hence he says:

(a) the characteristic, (b) obscuration, (c) reality etc.

In this regard, the 'body' of the treatise is respectively determined from the beginning³². "In this regard" [means]: in regard to the critical examination of the subjects of the treatise, or else, in regar the treatise [itself]. "From the beginning" [means]: from the very outset. The term creatise" has already been elucidated³³. Its 'body' is an abridgement or summary meaning; or it is the 'body' in the sense of a basis. For, just as the physical body, which has the external and internal sense-fields for its basis, is described as a 'body', similarly, the subjects upon which a treatise depends and proceeds form its 'body'; and these subjects are seven, beginning with the characteristic. By "respectively determined" is meant: 'designated', or, 'explained'³⁴.

¥6

²⁹ Read: deśanayā as per Ms.(2b.2) in place of deśanatayā; Tib.: bstan pas (D191b.2).

³⁰ Read (essentially in agreement with St. fn.81 p.26): dharmanairātmyam punar sarvadharmanāstitvam dharmanairātmyam antarvyāpārapuruşanāstitvam ca dharmeşu nairātmyam ity etayor vişamavādayor pratişedhena yathābhītam dharmanairātmyapratipādanārtham šāstrārambhah in place of ayam punar dharmanairātmyaya virodhavādo yat sarvadharmarahitatā dharmanairātmyayam / antarvyāpārapuruṣarahitatā ca dharmanairātmyam ity atas tatpratigedhena tathābhītanairātmyapratipādanārtham šāstrārambhah; Tib: .chos la bdag med pa yad chos denams cad med pa fild chos la bdag med pa yad chos denams cad med pa fild chos la bdag med pa dad / nah na byod pa'i skyes bu med pa fild chos mams la bdag med pa'o zes mi mthun par sımra ba de dag bkag pas) / chos bdag med pa yad dag pa'i ji lta ba bzin du bistan par bya ba'i phyir bstan bcos brisam mo (D191ba).

³¹ Tib. omits dhātu; cf. D191b.4.

³² Read: śāstraśarfram vyavasthāpyata in place of śāstraśarfravyavasthāpanam; cf. Bhāṣya N17.5; Ms.(2b.4): tatrāditaḥ śāstraśarfram vyava-.

³³ Read (with T&B6.18): vyākhyātam in place of vivaranam; Tib. béad par zad (D191b.6).

³⁴ Read: vyavasthāpyata iti prajfiapyate / nirdiáyata ity arthah in place of vyavasthāpanam iti prajfiaptir ucyate abhidhānam iti arthah; Tib. mam par gžag ces bya ba ni bcas pa ste / bśad ces bya ba' iha sthig go (D191b.7).

[Objection]: is it not so that this 'body' will be discerned just through an understanding of the treatise and thus its respective determination at the beginning serves no purpose? [Response]: No, it is not without purpose for the subjects are helpful for novices because a novice who has an appreciation of the subjects understands the details with ease when they are being discussed, like a horse galloping without fear on familiar ground 35, it is not for any other reason. For these seven subjects are expounded36 in this treatise. What is meant by this statement is that the 'body' of the treatise is complete³⁷. By "these" (is meant) the (subjects) beginning with the characteristic that are listed. "Seven" is their number: it is an enumeration in order to reveal the total³⁸. They are subjects (artha) because they are sought (arthyante), i.e. insofar as they are understood. By "in this treatise" is meant; in [this work] titled the Madhyantavibhaga. By "expounded" is meant; "explained" or "ascertained"39. Namely40; this is the term that introduces these subjects. The characteristic: it is a characteristic (lakşana) insofar as [things] are characterized (lakşyante) by this. And it is twofold: the characteristic of defilement and the characteristic of purification. Of these, the characteristic of defilement is ninefold, beginning with: "there is unreal imagination" (I.1a), and concluding with: "because of the seven kinds of unreal imagination" (I.11d). The characteristic of purification is explained in the remaining half [of the first chapter]. [Objection]: If it is said that it is a characteristic because [something] is characterized by it, this being the case, the characteristic would be something different from defilement and purification. [Response]: This is not so because the characteristic of something is none other than its own-being. For example, the element earth has the characteristic of solidity, and the element earth is not something separate from solidity⁴¹. Alternatively, it is a characteristic insofar as [something] is characterized as that. For thus, defilement and purification are characteristics insofar as [something] is characterized as being of the nature of defilement or purification. Or again, the characteristic of both defilement and purification is a twofold characteristic: the individual characteristic and the universal characteristic. Obscuration (avarana) is so-called because it conceals (avrnoti) the wholesome dharmas; or else, it is an obscuration (avarana) since the wholesome dharmas are concealed (vriyante) by it since it prevents their arising. Furthermore, these obscurations have fifty-three modes. Reality implies that 'this'

³⁵ Read: drstabhūminiháankāšvavāhanam iva in place of drstā bhūmih niháankam ašvavāhanam iva; Tib. dkyu sa kyis bstan pai rta thags thogs med par rgyug pa bžin te (D192a.1) - dkyu sa kyis is problematical and should possibly read: dkyus kyis sa; cf. St's fn.85 p.29. Ms.(2b.6): drstabhūminihšānkāšvavāha-; disregard Y's fn.1 p.6.

³⁶ Read: upadiśyanta in place of uddistä; cf. Bhāsya N17.9.

³⁷ Ms.(2b.7): samāptyarthah, Y's rendering is better; cf. his fn.2 p.6.

³⁸ Tib. omits: paryantādhigamārtham upādānam and the sentence order does not agree with the Sanskrit.

³⁹ Read: upadiśyanta iti nirdiśyante nirnayante vā in place of uddistā ity upadistā viniścitā vā; Tib. 'chad ces bya ba ni bstan pa 'am gtan la 'bebs pa'o (D192a.3).

⁴⁰ Read: yad uteti in place of tatheti; cf. Bhāsva N17.9.

⁴¹ Read: pṛthividhātuḥ khakkhaṭalakṣano na ca khakkhaṭatvāt as per Ms.(3a.1) in place of pṛthividhātuḥ kharalakṣano na ca kharatvāt, disregaid Y's in.1 p.7.

here is none other than 'that'; the state (bhāva - -(va)) of 'that' (tat) is 'reality' (tattva); what is meant is: it is free from erroneous inversion and it has ten modes. The counteragent is the side that has the relinquishment of the adverse elements for its aim - it is the path; the practice of that is meditative development. The state refers to the particular (states) of that [path] which arise in a continuous sequence. This has nineteen modes beginning with the state of the spiritual lineage. The attaiament of the result⁴²; i.e. obtaining the 'fruit'; this has fifteen modes beginning with the karmaresult. The supremacy of the vehicle; it is a vehicle since one travels by it, and since it is a vehicle and is also supreme, it is described as the supremacy of the vehicle. Furthermore, it is threefold beginning with the supremacy of spiritual practice. He states that this is the seventh subject so as to delimit [the exact number of subjects] and also to (show) their sequential order. Just this many subjects are explained, i.e. there are none other than these.

Now, this sequence is for conformity with supramundane direct intuition: for thus the bodhisattva stationed at the spiritual level⁴³ of one who courses in firm conviction and is established in morality, should firstly become skilled in defilement and purification. Then, that particular obscuration to each wholesome dharma should be known because liberation is not possible without relinquishing it; and one is unable to relinquish what has not been discerned⁴⁴ since the fault is not seen. Then, that objective support through which the mind is liberated from a particular obscuration should be understood as reality⁴⁵. After that, the application which destroys that obscuration on account of that particular objective support is to be known as the meditative development of the counteragent. Then, owing to the diminution of the adverse elements and the increase in the counteragent, the state in regard to that meditative development of the counteragent should be known as the state of the spiritual lineage etc. Following from that there is the actual presence of the supramundane dharmas, i.e. the results which should be known as the result of winning the stream etc. And this whole [sequential progression] is common to the bodhisattyas and the śrāvakas together with their novices 46 as has been stated in a Sūtra: "this recluse is trained in the instructions, conduct, associations and modes of address of the śrāvaka, is trained in the instructions, conduct, associations and modes of address of the pratyekabuddha, is trained in the instructions, conduct, associations and modes of address of the bodhisattva." However, since the supremacy of the bodhisattva is not common [to the others], supremacy is the seventh subject.

⁴² Ms.(3a,3); phalaprapres but Y's rendering of phalapraprih is better; cf. his fn.4 p.7.

⁴³ Tib. omits bhūmi; cf. D192b.5.

⁴⁴ Read avijāātam as per Ms.(3a.5) in place of avijāānam...

⁴⁵ Read (with O. p.1027): tat tativam ity avagantavyam in piace of tat tativam veditavyam; Tib. de ni de kho na yin par khon du chud par bya'o (D192b.7).

⁴⁶ Read (with O. p. 1027): sasisyasrāvakādīnām in place of sottarācchrāvakādibhiln Tib. bla ma dan bcas pas (D193a.2).

However, another [school] says that the characteristic [is explained] at the beginning in order to generate skill in regard to the characteristic of defilement and purification. Of these, defilement is [equivalent to] obscuration, and purification is [equivalent to] a reality; and since the relinquishment of obscuration is due to the understanding of reality, hence the [subjects of] obscuration and reality [follow in successive order]. After that comes the counteragent together with its associated elements, i.e. the path, in order to demonstrate the expedients for the relinquishment of that [obscuration]. The state therein [is then explained] in order to demonstrate the weak, middling and higher differentiations⁴⁷ in relation to the beginning, middle and end of the path. And since the state brings a corresponding result⁴⁸, the result [is explained] immediately after that. All these are common to the bodhisattva and the strāvaka etc., thus the supremacy of the vehicle [is then explained] in order to proclaim that the universal vehicle is not common [to the śrāvaka etc.].

Others again say that the characteristic is stated at the beginning in order to show the characteristics of existence and non-existence. When the characteristic is known, obscuration should be relinquished and reality should be realized, thus, immediately after that, obscuration and reality [are explained]. The meditative development of the counteragent [is explained next] since this is the expedient for both the relinquishment and realization [respectively] of the latter two and the special states are [equivalent to] the successive degrees of that [meditative development]. And the result is the relinquishment caused by the latter. In order to demonstrate that immediately after that [comes] the supremacy of the vehicle, this sequence [has been explained].

Others now believe⁴⁹ that the explanation of the characteristic is for the purpose of relinquishing negation and imputation for one who is confused about the existence or non-existence of the *dharmas*. Obscuration is for the purpose of [developing] skill in regard to obscuration for one whose confusion has been relinquished. Since reality is obscured by the latter, reality comes immediately after that for the purpose of [developing] skill in regard to reality. Since there is the relinquishment of obscuration through meditative development which penetrates reality⁵⁰, the meditative development of the counteragent [is explained] immediately after reality. In order to develop skill in the classification of these [counteragents] the state [is then explained]. And since the result is constituted by the state, immediately after the state comes the result in order to generate skill in that. The supremacy of the vehicle is explained at the end because this whole [sequential progression takes place] owing to the universal vehicle.

⁴⁷ Ms.(3b.1) line begins: -bhedapradar\u00edan\u00e4ratham...; these words are not reconstructed as Y's text suggests; cf. T&B8.20-22 which accords with Ms.

⁴⁸ Read avasthā cānurūpam as per Ms.(3b.1) in place of avasthānurūpam; disregard Y's fn.1 p.8.

⁴⁹ Read aparo 'pi manyate in place of anyac ca sanyasya; Tib, azan yan sems pa (D193b.1).

⁵⁰ Read prativedha as per Ms.(3b.3), in place of pratividdha.

The Defining Characteristics

- 1. The Imagination of What is Unreal.
- a. The Characteristic of Existence and Non-Existence.

N17.15 Therein, concerning the characteristic, he says:

I.1 abcd

There is the imagination of what is unreal; the duality is not found therein; but here emptiness is found and the former is found in the latter.

NIB

In this [verse], the imagination of what is unreal refers to the conceptual differentiation of the apprehended object and the apprehending subject. The duality refers to the apprehended object and the apprehending subject. Emptiness is the absence of apprehended object and apprehending subject on the part of that unreal imagination. When he says: "and the former is found in the latter", ["the former" refers to] the imagination of what is unreal. Thus, the characteristic of emptiness which is not erroneously inverted is shown by this quotation⁵¹: "One perceives [phenomena] as they are in reality by realizing that where something is non-existent, it is empty of that, and furthermore one correctly comprehends [phenomena] as they are in reality by realizing that what is left as a remainder in this respect does really exist here".

I.2 abcd

Therefore all is established as neither empty nor not empty, because of existence, non-existence and again existence; and this is the middle way.

[All] is neither empty of emptiness and unreal imagination, nor not empty of the duality of the apprehended object and apprehending subject. "All" refers to both the conditioned [phenomena] which are called 'the imagination of what is unreal', and the unconditioned [phenomena] which are called 'emptiness'. The term "is established" [means] "is explained". Because of the existence of: unreal imagin-

⁵¹ G. Nagao has shown that this passage probably comes from the Sūlasuńūata sutta (Maijhimanikāya sutta no. 121); cf. his "What Remains' in Sūnyata: A Yogacara Interpretation of Emptimess' in Mahayana Budhist Meditation....

ation; because of the non-existence of: the duality; and because of the existence of emptiness in the imagination of what is unreal and [the existence of] the imagination of the unreal in the former. Moreover, this is the middle way. All [phenomena] are neither absolutely empty nor are they absolutely not empty. Thus, this passage in the Prajñāpāramitās etc.: "all this is neither empty nor not empty", is in agreement.

[Sthiramati]

[1]

Y10

Therein, concerning the characteristic, he says:

I.1 abcd

There is the imagination of what is unreal; the duality is not found therein; but here emptiness is found, and the former is found in the latter.

Therein [means] with reference to, or with regard to the characteristic, among the seven subjects mentioned above, such as the characteristic and obscuration, he says: "[there is] the imagination of what is unreal"⁵². Considering that the explanation complies with the way [the subjects] are listed and the characteristic was listed firstly, hence, the explanation of just that [subject] is undertaken initially rather than the other [subjects].

[2] Some believe⁵³ that all *dharmas* are devoid of own-being in every respect, like horns on a hare, hence, in order to refute their total negation he says: "there is the imagination of what is unreal"; the ellipsis here is: "by way of own-being". [Objection]: Is it not so that such a statement is at variance with the Sūtras because it is said in a Sūtra: "all *dharmas* are empty"? [Response]: There is no contradiction because: "therein the duality is not found". For the imagination of what is unreal is described as empty, i.e. as devoid of the essential nature of the apprehended object and apprehending subject, but not as devoid of own-being in every respect; consequently, it is not at variance with the Sūtras. [Objection]: If the duality is thus non-existent in every respect, like horns on a hare, and unreal imagination does exist by way of own-being in an absolute sense, then emptiness would be non-existent. [Response]: This is not so because: "but here emptiness is found". For, since this is the very emptiness which consists in the absence of the apprehended object and apprehending subject in the imagination of what is unreal, emptiness is not non-existent. If emptiness is free

⁵² Ms.(3b.5); abhūtaparikalpa ityādi, contrary to Y's rendering of abhūtaparikalpo 'sti ityādi which agrees with the Tib, (cf. D193b.5)

⁵³ Read: kecin manyante in place of ke cid virundhanti; Tib. la la dag... sñam du sems pa (D193b.6).

from the duality and exists in unreal imagination, why is it that we are not [already] liberated; and if it were something existing, why is it not apprehended? In order to remove such a doubt, he says: "and the former is found in the latter"; because the imagination of what is unreal is found in emptiness too, therefore one is not [already] liberated. And this is why [emptiness] cannot be perceived, like the clear water element [cannot be perceived], because it is accompanied by stain.

[3] Alternatively, in order to refute that [false] view of those who believe that mind. the mental concomitants and also form exist substantially 55, he says; "there is the imagination of what is unreal". The latter certainly exists substantially but form does not exist separately from it; [form] does not exist substantially. What is the reason? Because: "the duality is not found therein"; for, the imagination of the unreal is not the apprehending of something nor is it apprehended by anyone. What is it then? It is just a 'bare' existent devoid of the apprehended object and apprehending subject. For thus, form and the like are not apprehended externally to consciousness; consciousness arises in the appearance of form etc., like in dreams etc., and if it has a cause, its arising in the absence of one is not tenable. Therefore it is devoid of an objective support, just as in dreams and the like; elsewhere too it is to be concluded that consciousness is produced in the appearance of the object due to the maturation of an individual 'seed'. The existence of the apprehending subject is not tenable if the apprehended object does not exist because, if the apprehended object does not exist, the apprehending subject [too] does not exist⁵⁶. Therefore, form does not exist separately from unreal imagination. [Objection]: If the apprehended object does not exist, there can be no liberation because of the absence of an objective support of purity. [Response]: This is not so because: "but here emptiness is found"; the word "but" has the sense of "because". For, emptiness is the objective support of purity⁵⁷ and since it exists as an absence of the apprehended object and apprehending subject in the imagination of what is unreal, liberation is not non-existent. [Objection]: If it exists and is present in unreal imagination, what is the reason that it is not apprehended? [Response]: It is not apprehended because it is obscured by unreal imagination, like the stainlessness⁵⁸ of space (is not apprehended] - but not because it does not exist. In order to illustrate this point he says: "and the former is found in the latter".

54 Ms.(3b.8): grhpata but grhyata is preferred as suggested by N. Amend. p.19.

⁵⁵ Read atha va cittam caitasikam ca rūpam ca dravyato sutti in place of atha va cittacaittebhyo inyatra rūpādayo dravyatvena santti; Tib. yan na sems dan sems las byun ba mams dan / gzugs dan rīdzas nid du yod par... (D194a.4). St. (p.43 fn.11) is probably correct when he suggests thet a better rendering of this statement would be: "form "xists substantially like mind and the mental concomitants", in agreement with T-Bhāṣya (L16.9).

Read (with St. p.44 fn.15): grāhyābhāve grāhakasyābhāvād asati grāhye grāhakabhāvo na yujyate in place of grāhyābhāve grāhakasyābhāvād grāhye sati grāhako stum na yujyate; Tib. gzuh ba med na 'dzin pa med par 'gyur bas gzuh ba med na 'dzin pa yod par mi ruh ste (D194b.1).

⁵⁷ Read: viśuddhyālambanam as per Ms.(4a.3) in place of -ālambanā.

⁵⁸ Read: 3k3śanairmalyayat as per Ms.(4a.4) in place of nairmalyayat.

[4] Alternatively, in order to refute all negation, he says: "there is the imagination of what is unreal"; considering that it is not entirely non-e: stent, nor does it have the nature of an existent since it exists in the nature of the transformation of consciousness. However, there are those who believe that form etc. exists, by way of own-being, in exactly that way in which they manifest, i.e. as separate from unreal imagination. With regard to such [people] and in order to refute imputation in regard to what is unreal⁵⁹. he says: "the duality is not found therein". The intended meaning is that there is just bare unreal imagination. The non-existence of the duality 60 is apprehended by some as of the nature of annihilation 61, like (the absolute non-existence of) the son of an infertile woman. The absence of an internally active being is said to be [equivalent to] the emptiness of the dharmas by others. Hence, in order to refute the negation of emptiness, and in order to reveal insubstantiality in reality, he says: "but here emptiness is found". [Objection]: If emptiness exists in the imagination of what is unreal, then all living beings would be liberated effortlessly. [Response]: This is not so because: "and the former is found in the latter"; since there is no liberation in an emptiness that has not been purified and [emptiness] that has been defiled⁶² is purified by a mighty effort, thus there is no liberation without effort.

[5] Alternatively, there is no characteristic other than that mentioned in regard to defilement and purification, hence in order to explain the characteristic of defilement and purification, he says: "there is the imagination of what is unreal". Defilement has the imagination of what is unreal for its own-being because it is characterized by error. How should the fact that it is characterized by error be understood? Because: "the duality is not found therein". It is known to have an essential nature that consists in error because it manifests in the aspect of the apprehended object and apprehending subject which do not exist in its own nature. Now in order to examine⁶³ the nature of purification, he says: "but here emptiness is found"; for the own-being of emptiness is purification because its own-being consists in the non-existence of the duality. And in this context, the inclusion of both the path and cessation should be understood because they are constituted by emptiness. In order to demonstrate that the side of purification is to be sought from the side of defilement and the individual continuum does not exist separately⁶⁴, he says: "here". The question arises: if the duality does not exist, how can

Ms.(4a.5): abhūtasamāropa u- contrary to Y's fn.1 p.12 but his reading of abhūtasamāro-papratisedhārtham is preferred on the basis of the Tib.

⁶⁰ Read: kaiś cid dvayābhāvo in place of dvayam abhāvo; Tib. kha cig gñis po med pa (D194b.6).

⁶¹ Read: vandhyāputravad ucchedarūpo as per Ms.(4a.6) in place of -putravac chedarūpo.

⁶² Read: saṃkliṣṭā ca as per Ms.(4a,7) in place of saṃkliṣṭaś ca.

⁶³ Read partkşārtham which agrees with Y's original reading in place of pradarśanārtham since D has brtag pa'i phyir; cf. Y's errata p.128.

⁶⁴ Read perhaps: na punah prthak svasamiāna asyāstīti in place of na punah prthaktvam asyāstīti on the basis of the Tib.: ran gyi rayud gud na med par (D195a.5); cf. St's fn.33 p.48. Ms.(4b.2) pethaps: na punah prthak sattvam asyāstīti, but is not clear.

the world be in error if that [emptiness] exists? Hence he says: "and the former is found in the latter".

- [6] There is the conceptual differentiation⁶⁵ of the apprehended object and apprehending subject, just like the aspects etc. of elephants (which are imagined) in a magical creation that is empty of the aspects of elephants etc. 66. It is the imagination of what is unreal (abhūtaparikalpa) since the duality which is unreal (abhūta) is imagined (parikalpyate) in it, or by it. By the word "unreal" he demonstrates that this (phenomenal world) does not exist in the way in which it is imagined, i.e. as the [dichotomy of] apprehended object and apprehending subject. By the word "imagination" he demonstrates that the way in which an object is imagined [qua existent object), it does not exist as such. Thus the characteristic of this [unreal imagination is revealed as being quite devoid of the apprehended object and apprehending subject. What then is it? The mind and the mental concomitants of the past, present and the future, which consist in cause and result, which pertain to the three realms of existence, which exist from time immemorial, which end in nirvana and which are in conformity with samsara, are, without exception, unreal imagination; but especially it refers to the conceptual differentiation of the apprehended object and apprehending subject. Therein the conceptual differentiation of the apprehended object refers to consciousness with its appearances as objects and sentient beings. The conceptual differentiation of the apprehending subject refers to the appearances as the self and mental representations.
- [7] The duality refers to the apprehended object and apprehending subject; of these, the apprehended object refers to form etc.; the apprehending subject, to eye consciousness etc. For, emptiness is the absence⁶⁷, c devoidedness, of the apprehended object and apprehending subject on the part of the imagination of what is unreal, however the imagination of what is unreal itself is not non-existent; just as a rope is empty of the own-being of a snake⁶⁸ because never at any time does it possess such an own-being, but it is not that a rope⁶⁹ is empty of own-being. It is the same in this respect [i.e. unreal imagination is not empty of own-being]. When he says: "the former is found in the latter", ["the former" refers to] the imagination of what is unreal; for thus it has been described as not apprehended because it is defiled by adventitious obscuration, like the [clarity of the] water-element etc. Thus... "one perceives [phenomena]... by realizing that where

⁶⁵ Ms.(4b.3): vikalpo contrary to Y's fn.1 p.13.

⁶⁶ Tib. is slightly different: "just like a magical creation appears as an elephant etc. but is empty of elephants etc." (cf. D195a,6).

⁶⁷ Read: virahitatā in place of rahitatā; cf. Bhāsya N18.3.

⁶⁸ Ms. (4b.6): sarpasvabhāvena although, as N. Amend. (p.19) notes, Y's Ms. reads sarpatvabhāvena which agrees with T&B (cf. fn.128 p.12); Tib. shrul gyi dnos pos (D195b.4).

⁶⁹ Ms.(4b.6): rajjulr; disregard Y's fn.1 p.14.

comething 70 is non-existent, it is empty of that. What does not exist in what? The duality [does not exist] in the imagination of the unreal; consequently, one sees that the imagination of the unreal is empty of the duality; ...and furthermore what is left as a remainder in this respect does really exist here...". Just what is left as a remainder here? The imagination of the unreal and emptiness - since both of these exist herein, seeing without superimposition and negation, one correctly comprehends [phenomena] as they are in reality. It is free from superimposition in this regard because one sees the absence of the duality in the imagination of the unreal; and it is free from negation because one sees the existence of both the imagination of the unreal and emptiness. The characteristic of emptiness, which is not erroneously inverted, is shown...; because of the actual existence of that which is empty and because of the non-existence therein of that which it is empty of. If on the one hand all [dharmas] exist or on the other, all were non-existent, the characteristic of emptiness would not be non-erroneously inverted because this would lead to the non-existence of emptiness itself. If that which is called 'empty' were non-existent, emptiness would not be tenable, because real nature (dharmata) is dependent upon something existent, like the impermanence [of what is impermanent] etc. If the duality did exist, emptiness would not exist. [Objection]: If [the non-existence of] the duality is just like the [absolute non-existence of the] horns of a hare 11, how is the emptiness of that [duality] on the part of the imagination of the unreal possible, for that the one is empty of the other has [already] been seen? For example, a hermitage can be [empty] of monks⁷². [Response]: This is not so⁷³; just as a rope⁷⁴ or a magical creation, although non-existent in themselves, appear in the aspect of a snake or as men etc, and are described as empty of the snake and men etc, in order to check the grasping of any [entity] there. Similarly, the imagination of the unreal too, which manifests in the aspect of the apprehended object and apprehending subject which are non-existent in themselves, is described as empty⁷⁵ of the duality in order to induce naive people to abandon their attachment to such a notion 76.

Y15

[8]

I.2 a

[Therefore all is established] as neither empty nor not empty.

⁷⁰ Read: yad yarra in place of yasmin yan; cf. Bhāsya N18.4.

⁷¹ Read: śaśaviṣāṇakalpam eva as per Ms.(5a.2), in place of -kalpena.

⁷² Read: vihārasva as per Ms.(5a.2), in place of vihāram syān.

⁷³ Tib. omits naitad evam; cf. D196a.3-4.

⁷⁴ Ms.(5a.2); rajjur; disregard Y's fn.3 p.14.

⁷⁵ Read: ś@nya iti as per Ms.(5a.3), in place of ś@nyam iti.

⁷⁶ Read: tadgraha as per Ms.(5a.3), in place of tadgrāha.

For what reason was this verse composed? In order to show that all conditioned and unconditioned [dharmas] are devoid of the duality 77. For thus 78, by rejecting absoluteness the intended meaning of the quotation from the Prainaparamitas is made evident 79: "All this is neither empty nor not empty". For otherwise 80 there would be an inconsistency between the former and the latter. Furthermore, Ithis verse was composed) in order to demonstrate the middle way; otherwise it would lead to the extremes of that which is absolutely empty on the one hand; and that which is not empty on the other⁸¹. Alternatively, it was composed to sum up the refutation of both negation and imputation. The imagination of what is unreal is conditioned because it possess a nature⁸² that is bound to causes and conditions; however, emptiness is unconditioned because it does not depend on these. "Is established" [means] is quoted in the Prajnaparamitas and other works. Because of existence, i.e. of the imagination of what is unreal - that which is conditioned is not empty since it has the nature of the imagination of the unreal. Because of non-existence, i.e. of the duality - it is empty of the nature of the apprehended object and apprehending subject. And because of existence, i.e. of emptiness in the imagination of what is unreal⁸³, considering that that (emptiness) is the real nature of the latter; the imagination of the unreal is found in emptiness too in the nature of the possessor of that dharma. Similarly, even what is unconditioned is not empty of the nature of real nature but is described as a non-existent insofar as it is empty of a nature that consists in the duality84.

[9] And this is the middle way, i.e. that which is taught in the Ratnakūţa and other works⁸⁵:

"To say it exists, O Kāśyapa, this⁸⁶ is one extreme and to say it does not exist is a second extreme; that which lies between these two extremes is described as the middle way, O Kāśyapa, since it consists in the investigation of the reality of the dharmas," 87

⁷⁷ Read: dvayarahitatvajñāpanārtham in place of vigatadvayatvam jrtāpanārtham; Tib. griis dan bral ba fild du ses par bya ba'i phyir ro. (D196a.6).

⁷⁸ Read: evam hy omitting cs as per Ms.(5a.4).

⁷⁹ Read: abhiprayatāviskṛtā as per Ms.(5a.4) in place of abhiprayatā niskṛtā.

⁸⁰ Read: anyathā hi as per Ms.(5a.4) in place of anyathā.

⁸¹ Read: anyathā ekāntena šūnyasyaiva ašūnyasya vā antas syāt in place of anyathaikāntikašūnyataivāšūnyānto vā syāt. Tib. gżan du na ni gcig tu stoń pa kho na 'am / mi stoń pa'i mthar 'gyur no (D196a.7).

⁸² Read: ātmalābhatvād as per Ms.(5a.5) in place of ātmalābhād.

⁸³ Read (with St. fn.54 p.58): śūnyatāyās tu sattvāc ceti abhūtaparikalpe in place of śūnyatāyās tu sarvam abhūtaparikalpe; cf. Bhāsya: sattvāc ca śūnyatāyā abhūtaparikalpe (N18.13-14).

Read: abhāva iti dvayarūpena śūnyam in place of abhāvaṣaminakena dvayena svarūpaśūnyam; Tib. dnos po med ces bya ba gnis kyi no bos ston pa'o (D196b.3).

⁸⁵ Read: Ratnaküliädimadhye yat pathyate sitti; omitting yat pathyate from line 24 as suggested by N. Amend. p.20.

⁸⁶ Ms.(5a/7): kāśyapāyam; disregard Y's fn.6 p.15.

⁸⁷ From KP #60.

Y16

This middle way is thus in agreement⁸⁸ (with the latter). All refers to the conditioned and unconditioned [dharmas]; they are neither absolutely empty, because of the actual existence of both the imagination of what is unreal and the emptiness of that, nor are they absolutely not empty, because of the non-existence of the duality. If all [dharmas] were to exist, or all were non-existent⁸⁹, these would indeed be extremes and not the middle way

b. The Individual Characteristic.

N18.19 Thus, having stated both the characteristic of existence and the characteristic of non-existence on the part of the imagination of the unreal, he states the individual characteristic:

I.3 abcd

Consciousness comes into being in the appearances as objects, sentient beings, the self and representations, although its object does not exist. Due to the non-existence of the latter, the former too does not exist.

The appearance as object therein refers to that which appears as an entity of form etc. The appearance as sentient being refers to that which [appears] as the five sense-faculties within one's own and others' The appearance as self refers to the defiled mind mental continuum. because this is associated with delusion about the self etc. The appearance as representations refers to the six consciousnesses. "Although its object does not exist" - because the appearances as objects and sentient beings is without aspect, and because the appearances as self and representations are false appearances. "Due to the non-existence of the latter, the former too does not exist" - because of the non-existence of the latter, i.e. the fourfold object to be apprehended, namely, form etc., the five sense faculties, mind and the six consciousnesses, the former too does not exist, i.e. the apprehending consciousness.

I.4 abc

N19

Consequently, it has been proven that the imagination of what is unreal pertains to this [consciousness];

⁸⁸ Read: anulomito bhavati in place of anulomakṛtā; cf. Bhāsya N.18.16.

⁸⁹ Read: sarvanāstitve sarvāstitve as per Ms.(5a.8) in place of sarvanāstitvam sarvāstitvam.

because it does not exist as such, nor is it non-existent in every respect.

Because it does no exist in that way in which it arises as an appearance, nor is it non-existent in every respect because of the production of 'bare' error. What is the reason then that its definite non-existence is not accepted? Because...

I.4 d Liberation is considered as being due to its extinction.

Otherwise there would be the fault of the negation of defilement and purification since neither bondage nor liberation could be established.

[Sthiramati]

Y16.5

[1] Thus, having stated both the characteristic of existence and the characteristic of non-existence on the part of the imagination of what is unreal...; the characteristic of its existence is existence itself since this is characterized by existence; what is meant is: he demonstrates the existence of the imagination of what is unreal by this statement: "there is the imagination of what is unreal". Similarly, the characteristic of its non-existence is non-existence itself since this is characterized by non-existence. Furthermore, this refers to that which does not exist as the apprehended object and apprehending subject; because the duality does not exist in unreal imagination therefore it is said that unreal imagination too does not exist in the nature of the duality. Now he states the individual characteristic. What is the difference between the characteristic of existence and the individual characteristic? The characteristic of existence is a universal, but the individual characteristic is a particular. What would be the consequence if the individual characteristic were not stated here? The 'body' of the imagination of what is unreal would not be mentioned, hence, in order to clearly illustrate its 'body' it is said:

[2]

I.3 abcd

Consciousness comes into being in the appearances as objects, sentient beings, the self and representations, although its object does not exist. Due to the non-existence of the latter, the former too does not exist.

Alternatively, it is not known how the sense faculties, sense-objects and consciousness are established in relation to that 'bare' imagination of the unreal referred to above as the existence of just the 'bare' imagination of the unreal devoid of the apprehended object

Y17

and apprehending subject⁹⁰; thus in order to show⁹¹ that they are established in relation to that according to their differentiation as the appearances of the imagination of the unreal, he states the individual characteristic of the imagination of the unreal: "in the appearances as objects, sentient beings, the self and representations" etc.

[3] Alternatively, by the [words] "there is the imagination of what is unreal", its 'bare' existence is made known⁹⁷ but not its own-being; and if the duality is non-existent, the reason for the notional attachment to the apprehended object and apprehending subject is not discerned. Also it has not been discussed as to why it is recognized that the duality does not exist, hence in order to clearly illustrate this, he says: "in the appearances as objects, sentient beings, the self and representations". In this regard, the imagination of the unreal is the own-being of consciousness, and consciousness together with its associated elements is intended here⁹³, but it is chiefly consciousness itself that is referred to. The ground for that notional attachment to the apprehended object and apprehending subject is the appearances as objects and sentient beings etc. 94. "Although its object does not exist. Due to the non-existence of the latter, the former too does not exist", this is the reason why the duality is non-existent. The appearances as objects and sentient beings therein refers to the store-consciousness together with its associates; and the latter is definitely undefined because it is the \arma-result. The appearance as the self refers to defiled mind together with its associates elements; and that is described as obscured and undefined because it is associated with moral defilement. The appearances as mental representations refers to the group of the six beginning with eye-consciousness together with their associates; these are wholesome, unwholesome and undefined. In this way, these eight consciousnesses, together with their associated elements, come forth in the appearances as objects, sentient beings, self and representations in the five respective states of existence, from the store-consciousness which depends upon co-operating conditions and which incorporates the [noble] truth of the arising [of suffering]. There is a certain 'special transformation' of the latent impressions of the wholesome, unwholesome and undefined dharmas in the store-consciousness and through the influence of that, consciousness comes into being

⁹⁰ Read: atha vā grāhyagrāhakarahitābhūtaparikalpamātrasyaivāstitvam ity uddiste tasminn abhūtaparikalpamātra indriyavisayavijānānām yathā vyavasthā na jūāyata iti in place of atha vā grāhyagrāhakarahitābhūtaparikalpamātra-tāyām indriyavisayavijūānam yathā vyavasthitam na jūāyata iti; Tib, yati na gzun ba dati dzin pa med pai yati dag pa ma yin pa kun rtog pa tsam did yod* do / žes bstan pa yati dag pa ma yin pa kun rtog pa tsam did yod* do / žes bstan pa yati dag pa ma yin pa kun rtog pa tsam de la / dban po dan yul dan / rnam par ses pa ji ltar mam par gžag pa mi ses pas (D197a.3). * P omits yod.

⁹¹ Read: tadvyavastheti jääpanärtham as per Ms.(5b.3) in place of tadvyavasthitijääpanärtham.

Read: jñāpyate in place of jñāyate; Tib. ses par byed kyi (D197a.5).

⁹³ Read: tac ca vijiānam sasamprayogam atra abhipretam as suggested by N. Amend. p.20 in place of tac ca vijiānam atra sasamprayogam abhipretam; Tib. mam par ses pa de yari dir mishuis par Idan pa dari beas par dgoris te (D197a.6).

⁹⁴ Read: arthasattvädipratibhäsam tasyaiva grähyagrähakäbhinivesasya nibandhanah in place of sa eva grähyagrähakäbhinivesio irthasattvädipratibhäsanibandhanah; Tib. gzun ba dan dzin pa la mñon par zen pa de ñid kvi rguy ni don dan sems can la soga par snan ba (D197a).

in mutually differentiated appearances. [Objection]: How does consciousness arise in the appearance of those [entities] if the objects etc. do not exist, for when there is no person [present] a post⁹⁵ does not appear as a person? [Response]: This is not a fault for naive people are notionally attached to consciousness in the appearance of objects etc. as objects that exist separately from consciousness, like the 'hair-nets' seen by a person with impaired eyesight. Therefore, in order to induce them to abandon their attachment to those [objects etc.] it is said: "this is just consciousness that arises in the appearances of objects etc. although devoid of objects and sentient beings etc., just as there is the appearance of 'hair-nets' etc. for those people who have ophthalmia". Thus it is said that the other-dependent [nature] with its entities of the eight consciousnesses comprises the imagination of what is unreal.

[4] ... As an entity of form etc. [Consciousness] appears in the nature of form. sound, odour, taste, contact and non-sensibles because it arises in such an aspect. The appearance as sentient beings refers to that which [appears] as the five sense faculties within one's own and others' mental continuum⁹⁶; the term: "appears" is understood⁹⁷. With regard to the five sense faculties, there is the appellation sattva because it is the locus of excessive attachment (sakti); it is sentient being (sattva) since it is attached (saijate) by, or to, these [sense faculties]. Because it arises in such an aspect, consciousness possesses that particular appearance. The appearance as self refers to defiled mind because that is associated with delusion about self etc. 98; because defiled mind is always 99 associated with delusion about self, the false view of self, the craying for self 100 and self conceit. Since these [four] have the self for their objective support it is proper 101 that the appearance as the self pertains to defiled mind. The appearance as representations refer to the six consciousnesses. The appearance as representations [occurs] on account of the arising of the aspects of those [consciousnesses] through manifesting in the nature of apprehenders of sense-objects.

[5] "Although its object does not exist", i.e. [the object which arises] in these four aspects, because the appearances as objects and sentient beings are without aspect, and because the appearances as self and representations are false appearances. Since the appearances as objects and sentient beings manifest in the nature of the appearance object, the reason for the non-existence of the

¥18

⁹⁵ Read: sthāṇuḥ as per Ms.(5b.7) in place of sthāṇaḥ.

⁹⁶ Ms.(6a.1): santāyoh is amended to santānayoh in the margin; disregard Y's fn.1 p.18.

⁹⁷ Read: pratibhāsata iti adhikṛtam as per Ms.(6a.1) in piace of pratibhāsa iti

⁹⁸ Read: 3tmapratibhāsam klistam manah / ātmamohādisamprayogād iti in place of ātmapratibhāsam ātmamohādisamprayuktatvāt klistam mana iti; cf. Bhēsya N18.25.

⁹⁹ Ms.(6a.2); ca nityam; disregard Y's fn 2 p.18.

¹⁰⁰ Ms. (6a.2): 3tmansnaya although the Tib. bdsg la chags pa would suggest 3tmasneha as is the term employed when these four items are listed in the T-Bhasya, (cf. L23.11-17).

¹⁰¹ Ms.(6a,2); cātmālambanatvād yuktam; disregard Y's fn.3 p.18.

object is precisely that it is without aspect, because of the impossibility of its being a false appearance. However, because the other two [i.e. self and representations] manifest in the nature of the apprehending subject, they are not without aspect and the reason given for the non-existence of the object [in these cases] is precisely that they consist in false appearances. For the aspect is the mode of the 'taking hold' of the objective support in the nature of something impermanent etc. and this does not exist in the [former] two [i.e. objects and sentient beings] because they manifest in the nature of the apprehended object. Hence, "because it is without aspect" means: because there is no apprehender. Alternatively, the aspect consists in the correct knowledge of the objective support and since this is non-existent on the part of the latter [i.e. objects and sentient beings], they are without aspect because of the non-existence of the objective support 102.

[6] [Objection]: If both [objects and sentient beings] are without aspect and have natures that are mutually differentiated, i.e. just as there is form etc. on the one hand and sight etc. on the other - this being so, what is this exceptional essence belonging to consciousness rather than form etc. and sight etc. which are well known both generally and exegetically, whence, after rejecting them, consciousness is perceived to have a nature that is not differentiated from them? [Response]; Because it is impossible that the object [exists] separately from that [consciousness]. This is respectively determined as follows: consciousness in the appearances of different objects etc. is brought forth from an individual 'seed' each one appropriated 103 by each particular determination of the mental continuum, although the essential nature of the different objects does not exist. Thus for example, the pretas see [a river] filled with pus, excrement and urine etc. guarded on both sides of the river by men with sticks in their hands 104; however, humans and the like perceive it as filled with pure, clear water and as quite inoffensive 105. Also, the ascetics, who practice mental attention in regard to impure things etc., see the ground completely covered by skeletons; and likewise, in regard to [all the topics of contemplation] such as [the element] 'earth', they see everything Moreover, the generation 106 of a consciousness which pervaded by earth etc. possesses a nature that depends upon an object is not tenable without that object, nor [is the generation of a consciousness tenable] whose aspects are different from the essential nature of the object. Therefore it is ascertained that it is just consciousness

102 Read: ālambanābhāvād in place of upalabdhyabhāvād; Tib. dmigs pa med pa'i phyir (D198a.6).

¹⁰³ Read: pratyekam upāttam in place of pratyekātmagṛhītam; Tib. so sos zin pa (D198b.1).

¹⁰⁴ Read: pretāh pūyapurīşamūtrādipūrnāh sarito daņdapāņibhir ubhayatah puruşaih samraksyamānāh pasyanti as per Ms.(6a.6) in place of pretā apah pūyapurīşamūtrādipūrnā dhrtadandapāņibhir ubhayatah puruşaih samraksyamānāh pasyanti; disregard Y's fis.1, 2 & 3 p.19. Cf. V-Vnti (L4.3 6).

¹⁰⁵ Tib. expands nirvibandhā to: "fit to bath in and fit to drink"; bkur run ba dan btun du run ba (D198b.3)

¹⁰⁶ Read: prastitir as per Ms.(6a.7) in place of prasutir.

that is brought forth in all its appearances¹⁰⁷ as objects and sentient beings etc. without [the existence of] such an object.

[7] Both the appearances as the self and representations are false appearances because they manifest in the aspect of the apprehending subject although the apprehended object does not exist. Alternatively, the object does not exist in that way in which it is imaginatively constructed by consciousness; it is a false appearance because it is a false objective support, just as when sounds etc. [are imagined as the roaring] of tigers etc. Similarly, it is an established fact that mental representations too are devoid of a nature that is imaginatively constructed 108 by another representation. Hence the object of the appearances as the self and representations also does not exist, just like (the objects) of the appearances as objects and sentient beings¹⁰⁹. Because of the non-existence of the ...object, ...the former too does not exist, i.e. consciousness...; it is described as consciousness (vijāāna) since it discerns (vijānāti)¹¹⁰. If the apprehended object does not exist, the [existence of the] discerning agent¹¹¹ is not tenable. Therefore, due to the non-existence of the object, consciousness does not exist as a discerning agent; but not so [regarding consciousness] in the appearances of objects, sentient beings, the self and representations, for, if the latter were non-existent it would result in total non-existence since there can be no explanation for the essential nature of consciousness apart from this. If its essential nature were different from this how would [the existence] of that consciousness be tenable? How could one be differentiated from the other?

[8] Thus, because of the non-existence of the apprehended object and the apprehending subject and due to the actual existence of consciousness in the appearance of the latter, that which was asserted previously, i.e. "there is the imagination of what is unreal; the duality is not found therein", has been established. In order to demonstrate this, he says:

L4ab

Consequently it has been proven that the imagination of what is unreal pertains to this [consciousness];

That the imagination of what is unreal pertains to these four consciousnesses has been proven. By "consequently", is meant: by reason of what was just stated, i.e. "because of the non-existence of the object, the former too does not exist." Furthermore, in

¥20

¹⁰⁷ Ms.(6a.7); -nirbhāsam; disregard Y's fn.5 p.19.

¹⁰⁸ Ms.(6a.8): -parikalpitenātmanā; disregard Y's fn.8 p.19.

¹⁰⁹ Read: ataś cārthasattvapratibhāsasyevātmavijnaptipratibhāsasyāpy artho nāsti which agrees with Tib.: de'i phyir don dań sems can du snań ba bźin du / bdag dań mam par rig pa snań ba'i don yań med do (D198b.6-7) in place of ataś cārthasattvavijňānasyevātmavijňaptipratibhāsasyāpy arthābhāvah.

¹¹⁰ Tib. (D198b.7) mam par rig pas na mam par ses pa ste = vijňaptir iti vijňānam.

¹¹¹ Ms.(6b.1): vijānanāpy but vijāāṭrtāpy is better as amended by Y on the basis of the Tib.; see his fn.2 p.20.

order to prove the existence of unreal imagination 112 and in order to prove the nonexistence of the duality, he says:

1.4c

Y21

Because it does not exist as such, nor is it non-existent in every respect etc.

Alternatively, by "consequently" is meant: by the reason that will be stated below. In order to demonstrate just this, he says: "because it does not exist as such, nor is it non-existent in every respect." Because it does not exist as such and because it is not non-existent in every respect [consciousness] arises in the appearance of the apprehended object and apprehending subject. Although, in this regard, there are four modes [of appearance], there is no apprehending subject because [the first two modes] are without aspect and because [the latter two] are false appearances, respectively. Furthermore, there is no apprehended object because all [four modes] are devoid of a nature that is imaginatively constructed [13] by another representation. Nor is it non-existent in every respect because of the production of 'bare' error [14]; that which appears in an aspect, although non-existent in itself [15], is described as "error"; it is like a magical creation. The word "bare" has the sense of the exclusion of what is additional to it. This is what is being said: because of the actual existence of consciousness that consists in error, [consciousness] is not non-existent in every respect.

[9] What then is the reason that the definite non-existence of that consciousness that consists in error is not accepted, like the non-existence 116 of the apprehended object and apprehending subject [is accepted]? If [such a question] implies that its existence is unable to be imagined by anyone since it transcends the domain of all consciousnesses, [the answer is] because...

I.4d

Liberation is considered as being due to its extinction¹¹⁷.

Hence its definite non-existence is not accepted; on the contrary, its existence is inferred because of its capacity [as a basis] for the sides of defilement and purification. Otherwise, if its definite non-existence in every respect is accepted, there would be neither bondage nor liberation¹¹⁸. If 'bare' error also did not exist, bondage too would

¹¹² Ms.(6b.3); abhūtaparikalpāstitva-; disregard Y's fn.5 p.20.

¹¹³ Ms.(6b.4): -parikalpitena; disregard Y's fn.7 p.20.

¹¹⁴ Read: bhrāntimātrasvorpādād in piace of bhrāntimātrotpādād, cf. Bhāsva N19.8.

¹¹⁵ Read: ātmanāsad api yad ākāreņa pratibhāsate in place of ātmatvenābhāvo na tu yad ākāreņa pratibhāsate; Tib. bdag ñid kyis med kyan / rnam par snan ba gan yin pa (D199a.6).

¹¹⁶ Ms.(6b.5): grāhyagrāhakabhāvavat but Y's amendment to -ābhāvavat is preferred; cf. his fn.1 p.21.

¹¹⁷ Read: tatksayān in place of tatksepān; cf. Bhāsya N19.10.

¹¹⁸ Tib. omits na moksa; cf. D199b.2.

not exist because there would be no defilement. Liberation too would not exist, considering that it is from a previous state of bondage that one is released.

[10] Alternatively, in order to exclude other interpretations which beg the questions: "why is [consciousness that consists in error] not considered as existent in that way in which it manifests¹¹⁹, or else, as non-existent in every respect? He says: "liberation is considered as being due to its extinction" ¹²⁰; what is meant is: when that is not completely extinguished ¹²¹ there is bondage. This is what is being said: ¹²² otherwise there would be ¹²³ the fault of the negation of defilement and purification ¹²⁴ since neither bondage nor liberation [could be established]. If [phenomena] were to exist absolutely in exactly that way in which they appear as the apprehended object and apprehending subject for [all beings] from cattle upwards ¹²⁵, this being the case, defilement would be eternal and thus there would be no nirvāṇa. Similarly, if bare error were also non-existent, there would be no defilement, and purification would be eternal. Thus in both cases, the effort of those who seek liberation would be in vain and consequently, the existence of the imagination of what is unreal should necessarily be admitted as well as the non-existence of the duality.

c. The Characteristic of the Totality.

N19.14 Having thus stated the individual characteristic of the imagination of what is unreal, he states the characteristic of the totality, i.e., the way in which there is a totality of the three natures when there is the 'bare' imagination of what is unreal.

I.5 abcd

The imaginary, the other-dependent and indeed the perfected are taught; on account of the object, the imagination of what is unreal and the non-

¹¹⁹ Read: katham yathā prakhyāti tathā bhāvo nesyate in place of katham na yathā prakhyāti tathā bhāvenesyate; Ms.(6b) line 7 begins: yathā prakhyāti yayhā bhāvo nesyate.

¹²⁰ Read: tatkşayān as per Ms.(6b.7)in place of tatkşepān; cf. fn.112 above.

¹²¹ Ms.(6b.7): capariksine; disregard Y's fn.3 p.21.

¹²² Ms.(6b.7): ity arth@d uktam but Y's emendation to ity arthah / etad uktam is preferred; cf. his fn.4 p.21.

¹²³ Read: svät in place of bhavati: cf. Bhāsva N19.12.

¹²⁴ Tib. replaces vyavadāna with nirvāņa (mya nan las 'das pa), cf. D199b.4, but Tib. Bhāsya: mam par byan ba (D2b.6).

¹²⁵ Read: yathā grāhyagrāhakatvena pasuprabhteh pratibhāso pi yadi tathā syāt paramārthatah in place of yathā grāhyagrāhakatvena bhrāntir udbhāsitāpi yadi tathā syāt paramārthataḥ, Tib. gal te ji ltar gzuri ba dari dzin pa fiid du phyugs yan chad la snan ba yan gal te de bzin du don dam par gyur na ni [D1996.4].

existence of the duality [respectively].

The object consists in the imaginary nature; the imagination of what is unreal consists in the other-dependent nature; the non-existence of the apprehended object and apprehending subject consists in the perfected nature.

[Sthiramati]

Y22

[1] He states the characteristic of the totality. [The term samgraha-lakşanam may be resolved as a karmadhāraya compound, i.e.]: the totality itself is the characteristic, or [as a tatpuruşa]: the characteristic of the totality, i.e. that by which the totality is characterized. And why is it mentioned? Because it was stated in the above that there exists just the 'bare' imagination of what is unreal devoid of the apprehended object and apprehending subject. Furthermore, the three natures are taught in other Sūtras, hence, in order to demonstrate that there is no contradiction with other Sūtras, their totality is described here. This is why the author of the commentary says: ...when there is the 'bare' imagination of what is unreal 126

I.5 abcd

The imaginary, the other-dependent and indeed the perfected are taught on account of the object, the imagination of what is unreal and the non-existence of the duality [respectively].

Although both the apprehended object and apprehending subject¹²⁷ are unreal because they are empty of own-being, on account of the fact that the latter is imagined to exist, it is described as imaginary¹²⁸. Moreover, although this does not exist substantially, [the imaginary] is described as a 'nature' since it exists in conventional expression. The other-dependent [nature] is subject to others because its arising is dependent on causes and conditions. It is said:

It is non-imaginary, is produced from conditions and is inexpressible in every respect because the other-dependent nature is the sphere 129 of mundane purity;

¹²⁶ Read abhūtaparikalpamātre satīti in place of abhūtaparikalpamātram eveti; cf. Bhāṣya N19.15.

¹²⁷ Ms.(7a,2): cāsvachāva-, but Y's emendation to ca svabhāva- is preferred; cf. his fn.2 p.22.

¹²⁸ Ms.(7a.2): parikalpita ucyate; disregard Y's fn.3 p.22.

¹²⁹ Ms.(7a.3): -carah: disregard Y's fn.4 p.22.

The perfected nature is the absence of the duality on the part of the imagination of the unreal because it is unconditioned and because it is perfected on account of being devoid of change. It is said:

That absolute emptiness of the imaginary nature on the part of that (other-dependent nature) is the perfected nature, the sphere of direct intuition free from conceptual differentiation.

"On account of the object" [means]: owing to the influence of the object; the same is to be stated with regard to the [other two statements from verse I.5]; "on account of the imagination of what is unreal", and "on account of the non-existence of the duality".

[2] The object consists in the imaginary nature ¹³⁰; in this context ¹³¹ the object refers to form etc., sight etc., self and representations; and since it is non-existent in the imagination of the unreal in a nature that is imaginary, being non-existent ¹³², it is described as the imaginary nature. It is said:

Conceptual differentiation devoid of conceptual differentiation¹³³ is imagined by another conceptual differentiation; its nature here which is imagined by another conceptual differentiation, does not exist.

The imagination of what is unreal consists in the other-dependent nature; it is other-dependent since it depends on, or is produced by, other causes and conditions but does not exist in itself. The non-existence of the apprehended object and apprehending subject consists in the perfected nature; it is described as perfected because it is perfected insofar as it consists in both perfection devoid of change and perfection devoid of erroneous inversion.

[3] For, in this context, the absence of the duality on the part of the imagination of the unreal is described as the non-existence of the apprehended object and apprehending subject, but not merely the non-existence of the duality. Thus, it is just the imagination of the unreal that is other-dependent because it depends upon causes and conditions. The latter also is imaginary because it manifests in the natures of the apprehended object and apprehending subject which are non-existent in themselves. The latter is also perfected because of its absence of the apprehended object and apprehending

¹³⁰ Read: arthah parikalpirah svabhāva ity as per Ms.(7a.4) and Bhāsya N19/19 in place of artho hi parikalpitasvabhāva ity.

¹³¹ Ms.(7a.4): athor@pādayaś, but Y's emendation to artho tra r@pādayaś is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.6 p.22.

¹³² Ms.(7a.4): atah but Y's amendment to asam is preferred; cf. his fn.1 p.23.

¹³³ Read: vikalpo nirvikalpo in place of akalpito vikalpo; Tib. mam rtog mam par mi rtog ni (D200a.6).

subject. After considering it in this way, having clearly comprehended 134 what is to be clearly comprehended and having clearly comprehended what is to be relinquished, that entity of the imagination of the unreal that is to be realized, has been shown.

d. The Characteristic of the Expedient for Entry into the Characteristic of Non-existence.

N19.22 Now he reveals the characteristic of the expedient for entry into the characteristic of non-existence in regard to that imagination of what is unreal.

N20 I.6 abcd

Based upon perception, non-perception comes into being. Based upon non-perception, non-perception comes into being.

Based upon the perception of representation-only, the non-perception of the object originates. Based upon the non-perception of the object, the non-perception of representation-only originates as well. In this way, one enters the characteristic of the non-existence of the apprehended object and apprehending subject.

I.7 ab

Consequently, it is proven that the own-being of perception is non-perception;

Because, in the absence of the object to be perceived, perception is not tenable.

I.7 cd

Therefore, it should be known that non-perception and perception are equivalent.

Because perception is not proven to be perception but is described as perception insofar as it consists in the appearance of an unreal object, although it has non-perception for its own-being.

¹³⁴ Tib. is slightly different; cf. Y's fns.3 & 4, p.23.

[Sthiramati]

Y24

Y23.19 [1] Since the characteristic of non-existence has not been clearly comprehended, the imagination of what is unreal leads to 135 the defilements of moral defilement, karma and rebirth. Consequently, in order to clearly comprehend the characteristic of non-existence, and in order to demonstrate the expedient for that 136, he says:

1.6 a Based upon perception etc.

- Since it is indicated implicitly within the imagination of the unreal, or rather since it is the imagination of the unreal, the characteristic of non-existence is that very non-existence of the apprehended object and apprehending subject the entry into that is [equivalent to] its understanding. The expedient for the latter is that through which one enters the characteristic of non-existence. Moreover, this consists in a twofold skill, i.e. a special basis 137 for the application to penetrate 138 the all-pervading sense of the dharmadhātu. This verse was articulated in order to indicate [all] this implicitly.
- [2] Based upon¹³⁹ the perception of representation-only, the nonperception of the object originates. This absence of an objective support is the fact of representation-only; [consciousness]¹⁴⁰ arises in the appearance of form etc. due to the maturation of the individual 'seed' However, since there is no object consisting in form etc., one thus enters the non-perception of the apprehended object based upon¹⁴¹ the perception of the apprehending subject.
- [3] It should be deliberated upon in this way: consciousness¹⁴², whether in the process of arising, or, already arisen, would depend upon a sense-object. In this respect, to depend upon a sense-object while in the process of arising is not tenable because [consciousness] does not [yet] exist when it is in the process of arising. Nor does it exist when it has already arisen because it arises in the nature of the appearance of a sense-object; and since there is no other activity¹⁴³ on the part of consciousness with the exception of its arising in the nature of the appearance of the sense-object, it is said that consciousness depends upon the sense-object while performing¹⁴⁴ that activity.
- [4] Alternatively, if the functioning of the objective support [occurs] when consciousness is already present and not when it is in the process of arising, then consciousness does not arise¹⁴⁵ with the objective support for its causal condition. This hypothesis is

¹³⁵ Read; samvartate as per Ms.(7a.7) in place of sampravartate.

¹³⁶ Ms.(7a.7): tadupāyam but Y's amendment to tadupāya- is preferred.

¹³⁷ Ms.(7a.8): niśraya; disregard Y's fn.2 p.24.

¹³⁸ Read: prativedha as per Ms. (7a.8) in place of pratibodha; disregard Y's fn.1 p.24.

¹³⁹ Read: niśritya in place of āśritya; cf. Bhāsya N20.3.

¹⁴⁰ vijnānam is not found in the Ms. but is inserted on the basis of the Tib.; cf. D200b.7.

¹⁴¹ Ms.(7b.1): niśritya; disregard Y's fn.3 p.24.

¹⁴² Ms.(7b.1): vijñānaητ; disregard Y's fn.4 p.24.

¹⁴³ Ms.(7b.2): kriyā 'sti; disregard Y's fn.5 p.24.

¹⁴⁴ Ms.(7b.2): kurvar: disregard Y's fn.6 p.24.

¹⁴⁵ Read: utpattih in place of utpädakah; Tib. skye ba (D201a.2).

meaningless, for, if there is the 'seizing' of an objective support that exists, that would annul the theory of momentariness¹⁴⁶, and in the absence of an exceptional nature, like in the latter case, the 'seizing' of the objective support by consciousness is not tenable. Or else¹⁴⁷, it is considered to have an exceptional nature at the time of the 'seizing' of the objective support - even so, due to the observation of an exceptional nature only in the other case, it is concluded that [consciousness] 'seizes' only the other objective support that has already arisen.

Y25

[5] Another [school] believes that only the object that ceases to exist [from moment to moment] is the causal condition that is the objective support for consciousness while in the process of arising and that this is distinguished from the [three] other causal conditions by the fact that it is the cause of consciousness 148 in the appearance of individual aspects. Thereby, either atoms of form etc., or a collection of them, is imagined to be the objective support although, in both cases, the objective support does not exist because all consciousnesses arise in the appearances of jars and clothes etc., but not in the appearances of atoms. Moreover, consciousness appearing as one thing in the aspect of the object cannot have an objective support which is different 149, since even sight and the other [sense faculties] would be objective supports. Moreover, one may believe that an accumulation of atoms forms the objective support but the individual [atoms] do not - this is also a non-argument, for although they may be accumulated they can only be considered as objective supports individually - not as an accumulation. With regard to the latter (view), consciousness 150 does not arise in the individual appearances of atoms, for [it arises] in the appearance of an accumulation of them; therefore [the notion] that atoms form an objective support is not possible. Nor is the objective support a collection of atoms because causality is not possible on the part of that which has [only] nominal existence, because like the immediately preceding-causal condition etc. 151, the objective support-causal condition is also considered to be the cause of consciousness. Therefore, it is not possible that something that ceases to exist [from moment to moment] can be the objective support-causal condition 152. Likewise, if that which has actually ceased to exist forms the objective support 153, this

¹⁴⁶ Read: k@anabhangabādhaḥ syāt in place of kṣaṇabhangadoṣo bhavati; Tib. skad cin pa 'jig pa'i gnod par 'gyur (D201a,3)

¹⁴⁷ Ms.(7b.3): tathā, but Y's rendering of atha is preferred; cf. his fn.7 p.24.

¹⁴⁸ Ms.(7b.4): jñāna, but Y's rendering of vijñāna is preferred; cf. his fn.1 p.25.

¹⁴⁹ Read: na cărihākārasya anyapratibhāsasya vijiānasya unyad ālambanam asti in place of arthā-kāras ca vyatirekatah pratibhāsamānam vijiānam ina tv ālambanam vaturiktam. Tib. don gyi mam pa gázai du sanā ba i mam par šes pa'i dnigs pa ni gžan ma yin te (D201.66).

¹⁵⁰ Read: vijitānam in place of jitānam; Tib. mam par ses pa (D201a.7).

¹⁵¹ Ms.(7b.6): -pratvayayad: disregard Y's fn.2 p.25.

¹⁵² Read: tasmān na nirudhyamāno py alambanapratyayah sambhavati in place of tasmān na nirudhyamāno py alambanam; Tib. de'i phyir 'gag pa'i tshe yan dmigs pa'i rkyen du mi srid do (D2016.1).

¹⁵³ Read: atha ca niruddha evälambanam in place of atha ca nirodhatvam ālambanam, Tib.ji ste yan gag pa ñid dmigs pa yin na (D201b.1).

being so, then past and the future [time] would be sense-objects and it has been proven that consciousness is devoid of the sense-object in dreams etc.

- [6] Some believe¹⁵⁴ that the consciousness in dreams etc. is not without an objective support because it has the sign (nimitta) for its objective support. But, the sign is the reflected image of the object which has the dissociated formative forces for its ownbeing, for if the object does not exist in dreams etc., [the existence of] its sign is not tenable, like in the absence of a face etc., its reflection cannot be established¹⁵⁵. [Response]: Consciousness, whether in the process of arising or already arisen, does not have the sign for its objective support because both its non-existence and cessation have already been described. Consciousness itself consists in the reflection of the object because of the fact that it is an appearance of the object, hence it is not tenable that the sign has the nature of the dissociated¹⁵⁶ formative forces. Moreover, consciousness is to be admitted necessarily as possessing the aspect of the object, for if it were without aspect, one could not determine the apprehended object and apprehending subject.
- [7] However, others believe that non-resistant [i.e. transparent] matter forms the objective support in a dream and in the [meditative contemplation] of ascetics upon impure things etc.; but [the notion that] the latter are non-resistant and belong to the domain of mind alone is contradicted by the fact that they have colour and shape etc. Also, non-resistant matter other than mere non-information (avijñapti) is not taught in the śāstras; therefore this is no more than a hypothesis.
- [8] Others again consider that the object of past experience forms the sense-object of consciousness in a dream because there is no perception of the colour blue etc. in dreams for one who is born blind. [Response]: It is not that one who is blind from birth does not perceive colour in dreams, rather, because [the object's] conventional symbol has not been explained to him, he does not know it by name and hence cannot communicate it to others. Moreover, if it is only what has been experienced [in the past] that one sees in a dream, then why is it not considered that one who is blind from birth also does not see colour, for colour certainly was perceived by such a person in previous lives¹⁵⁷? Also, it is not that only what is perceived in the present life appears in a dream, for there is no distinction whatever between one who sleeps and one who is awake in relation to past, future and present experience. Therefore, it is purely hypothetical to assert that consciousness¹⁵⁸ has an object of past experience as its

¹⁵⁴ Read: iti kecit as per Ms.(7b.7) in place of iti ke cet.

¹⁵⁵ Ms.(7b.7): -pratibimbavat but Y's emendation to -pratibimbasiddhavat is preferred; cf. his fn.3 p.25.

¹⁵⁶ Ms.(7b.8): vipravukta-; disregard Y's fn.4 p.25.

¹⁵⁷ Ms.(8a.2): -janmasu rūpam; disregard Y's fn.1 p.26.

¹⁵⁸ Ms.(8a.3): jäänam but Y's emendation to vijäänam is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.2 p.26.

sense-object in a dream. Moreover, because the past [experience] is non-existent it is certain that consciousness, although devoid of a sense-object, arises in the appearance of the object in a dream. Thus, [the notion of] the non-perception of the sense-object is cultivated because its perception [occurs] as a mental representation-only.

[9] Based upon the non-perception of the object 159, the non-perception of representation-only originates as well. Just as one enters the non-existence of the apprehended object 160 through the force of representation-only, since the imaginary apprehended object does not exist externally to consciousness; similarly, one understands the non-existence of representation-only too through the force of the non-existence of the apprehended object. It is not tenable that the apprehending subject exists if the apprehended object does not exist because the determination of the subjectivity of that is dependent upon objectivity. In this way, one enters the characteristic of the non-existence of the apprehended object and apprehending subject which have an imaginary nature; however, [the entry into the characteristic of the non-existence] of the imagination of what is unreal has not been shown 161.

[10] What then is the reason that just the non-existence of representation-only was not determined 162 from the very beginning? [Response]: Because the apprehending subject is dependent upon the apprehended object - when the object to be supported does not exist one easily enters [the non-existence of representation-only] due to the destruction of the entity which has the nature of the objective support 163. Otherwise there would be a definite negation 164 of existence because the apprehended object and apprehending subject would be devoid of the relation of mutual dependence. At the conclusion of the first immeasurable aeon [the bodhisattva], progressing without interruption in the accumulations [of merit and direct intuition] enters this level of direct intuition which transcends the conceptual differentiation of the apprehended object 165 and apprehending subject. Thus, while cultivating 166 the non-existence of form etc. based upon this [doctrine of] representation-only, he realizes the meditative concentration known as the state of heat (usmagata), together with its peripheral elements. This is the essential nature of the first [stage] of the supramundane path. Following

¹⁵⁹ Read: arthānupaiabdhim niśritya in place of vişayānupalabdhim āśritya; cf. Bhāsya N20.3.

¹⁶⁰ Omit mano as it is not found in the Ms.(8a.4) nor in the Tib.(D202a.4).

¹⁶¹ Read: darsitam as per Ms.(8a.5) in place of darsanam.

¹⁶² Ms.(8a.5): vibhāvayati but Tib. mam par gźag pa (D202a.5); perhaps vyavasthāpayati is a better rendering.

¹⁶³ Read: grāhyapratibaddhād dhi grāhakasyālambhyārthābhāve ālambanarūpavastuvināšāt sukhena pravišati in place of grāhyapratibaddhatvād dhi grāhakasyopalabhyārthābhāve sukham pravešah syād ālambanssvabhāvavināšāt; Tib. 'dzin pa ni gzun ba la rag las pa'i phyti dnips par bya ba'i don med na / dmigs pa' in bo'o' idnos po 'jig pas bde blag tu 'jug par 'gyur gyi (D202a.5).

¹⁶⁴ Ms.(8a.6): -pavādam: disregard Y's fn.1 p.27.

¹⁶⁵ Ms.(8a.6); ca grāhva-; disregard Y's fn.2 p.27.

¹⁶⁶ Read: -bhāvayato in place of -bhāvanā; Tib. -r bsgom pa ni (D202a,7).

from this [comes the concentration] known as the summit (mūrdhan); Immediately after that comes the concentration known as receptivity to knowledge (kṣānti) which is conducive to the non-perception of the apprehender and is due to the non-perception of the apprehended object in its entirety. Immediately after that, based upon the non-perception of the object, while cultivating 167 the non-perception of even representation-only 168, [the hodhisattva], in accompaniment with wisdom etc., realizes the meditative concentration known as the highest mundane experience (laukikāgryadharma) together with its peripheral elements. Immediately after that [he attains] the path of vision and it is only here that he enters the first spiritual level due to his understanding of the all-pervading dharmadhātu. This is a mental attention directed towards reality; it is not a mental attention towards firm conviction 169 like the [four] immeasurables are.

[11] In order to demonstrate the fact that perception has non-perception for its ownbeing, he says:

I.7 ab Consequently, it is proven that the own-being of perception is nonperception;

Alternatively, that which was previously asserted, that the duality does not exist in the imagination of what is unreal, has been proven because it is introspectively knowable in this aspect. It is in order to demonstrate this that he says: "consequently, it is proven that the own-being of perception is non-perception". Consequently, i.e. because there can be no perception if there is no object to be perceived 170. The term 'perception' could be construed as any one of: (a) a state, (b) an agent, or (c) an instrument; however this trio is not tenable due to the non-existence of an objective referent 171 (karma) - "consequently, it is proven that the own-being of perception is non-perception". This is why the author of the commentary says: because, in the absence of the object to be perceived, perception is not tenable.

[12]

Y28

I.7 cd

Therefore, it should be known that non-perception and perception are equivalent.

Since perception has non-perception for its own-being, therefore they are the same; i.e. the fact that they are equivalent should be known because there is no difference insofar as there is neither the non-perception of the object nor is there perception consisting in representation-only. In order to remove mutual contradiction between the words 'perception' and 'non-perception', he says: ...but is described as perception

¹⁶⁷ Read: bhāvayato in place of bhāvayan; Tib. -r bsgom źiń (D202b.2).

¹⁶⁸ Ms.(82.7): vijňaptimātra but Tib. rnam par šes pa (D202b.1).

¹⁶⁹ Ms.(8a.8): nādhimukti-; disregard Y's fn.4 p.27.

¹⁷⁰ Tib. reads simply: "because of the absence of the object to be perceived"; cf. Y's fn.1 p.28.

¹⁷¹ Read: karmābhāvān in place of abhāvān; Tib, las med pas (D202b.5).

inuofar as it consists in the appearance of an unreal object; but since nothing is perceived by that [perception] because of the absence of the object, hence, there is no contradiction in an absolute sense because he says: ...although having non-perception for its own-being 172.

[13] Others say that on the one hand there is the perception of the object 173 by naive people, although there is no object, and on the other hand there is the non-perception of the object by the Noble Ones; both of these should be known to be the same because their characteristics are equivalent, like in the [perception and] non-perception of an erroneous snake. This is why he says: "...but is described as perception insofar as it consists in the appearance of an unreal object, although having non-perception for its own-being 174", like in the statement about the non-perception of an erroneous snake.

[14] Others again say that on the one hand there is the perception of the apprehending subject by naive people; and on the other hand there is the non-perception of the apprehending subject by the Noble Ones, because of the absence of the object. Although in the two cases both perception and non-perception should be known to be the same because there is no difference insofar as there is no apprehender if there is no apprehended object. This is why he says: "...insofar as it consists in the appearance of an unreal object".

[15] However, others believe that in order to counteract imputation and negation he says: "therefore, it should be known that non-perception and perception are equivalent", i.e. because of the absence of the object and since perception¹⁷⁵ does not have the essential nature of perception, it is described as not having such an essential nature. [Response]: [The notion] that perception has perception for its essential nature is not excluded ¹⁷⁶, nor is it interpolated that it has non-perception for its essential nature. ¹⁷⁷ What is it then? Both of them are the same owing to the absence of conceptual differentiation. Therefore, regardless of imputation and negation, the fact that there is introspective equality on the part of both non-perception and perception should be known. It is said:

Nothing should be excluded from it and nothing should be interpolated. The real

¹⁷² Read: 'nupalabdhisvabhāvāpi satīti as per Ms.(8b.3) & Bhāṣya N20.10 in place of 'nupalabdhi-svabhāve' pi satīti.

¹⁷³ Ms.(8b.3): 'rthopalapalambhah but Y's reading of: arthopalambhah is preferred.

¹⁷⁴ Read: anupalabdhisvabhāvāpi satī as per Ms.(8b.4) in place of anupalabdhisvabhāve 'pi satī'; cf. fn.172 above.

¹⁷⁵ Ms.(8b.5): upalabdher; disregard Y's fn.1 p.29.

¹⁷⁶ Read: nopalabdher upalabdhisvabhāvo 'panīyate in place of upalambha upalabdhisvabhāvo nāpanīyate; Tib. dmigs pa la dmigs pa'i ran bzin bsal bar bya ba ...med de (D203a.5).

¹⁷⁷ Ms.(8b.6): -bhāvabhāvāprakṣipyate, but Y's emendation to -svabhāvah prakṣipyate is preferred; cf. his fn.2 p.29.

should be seen in its reality - one who sees the real is liberated ¹⁷⁸

If this is so, why is perception described as representation[-only]¹⁷⁹? Because it is acknowledged as such, both generally and didactically, as the appearance of an unreal object, "...although having non-perception for its own-being" 180.

e. The Characteristic of the Differentiation.

N20.12 Now he states the characteristic of the differentiation of that imagination of what is unreal.

I.8 ab

The imagination of what is unreal consists in the mind and the mental concomitants that pertain to the three realms of existence.

According to their differentiation among the spheres of sense-desire, form or formlessness.

[Sthiramati]

Y29.14 [1] He states the characteristic of the differentiation. There are various modes of differentiation of the imagination of the unreal as being of the nature of 181 the realms of sense-desire, form and formlessness. Since the differentiation itself is the characteristic, it is the characteristic of the differentiation [i.e. the term prabheda-lakşanam is a karmadhāraya compound] because the imagination of the unreal is characterized by this differentiation 182. What is the reason that the characteristic of the differentiation is declared? Because the [existence of the] realms of sense-desire and form is not tenable if there is just the imagination of what is unreal. [If] the differentiation of Noble Ones and ordinary people etc. is not made according to the differentiation 183 of realms of existence as the result of the differences in the adverse elements and their counteragents, there would be a great calamity on the part of the teachings; [hence], in order to dispel such a fear, the characteristic of the differentiation is stated.

¹⁷⁸ Abhisamayālamkāra, V.21.

¹⁷⁹ D inserts tsam (203a.7).

¹⁸⁰ Read: -svabhāvāpi satī as per Ms.(8b.7) in place of -svabhāve 'pi satī; cf. fns. 172 and 174

¹⁸¹ Read: ātmakatvam as per Ms.(8b.7) in place of ātmakam.

¹⁸² Read perhaps: anena prabhedenābhūtaparikalpo laksyata iti in place of anena prabhedenābhūtaparikalpasya laksanār, Tib. rab tu dbye ba 'dis yan dag pa ma yin pa kun rtog pa mtshon pa'i phyir (203b.1).

¹⁸³ Ms.(8b.8): -bhedena; disregard Y's fn.6 p.29.

[2] Alternatively, some believe that the imagination of what is unreal is found only where 184 there is conjecture and deliberation and not otherwise; hence, in order to reject such a [notion], the characteristic of the differentiation is mentioned.

1.8 ab

Y30

The imagination of what is unreal consists in the mind and the mental concomitants that pertain to the three realms of existence.

But not just where there is conjecture and deliberation. Just as form refers to the primary 185 and secondary elementary matter; similarly, the imagination of what is unreal also refers to both mind and the mental concomitants and not just to mind alone. Moreover, these are the own-being of the three realms of existence, i.e. they pertain to the three realms according to their differentiation 186 among the spheres of sense-desire, form and formlessness. The realm of sense-desire therein refers to the twenty 187 modes [of existence] in the aspects of the narakas etc. which manifest from that unreal imagination. The realm of form refers to the seventeen modes [of existence] in the aspects of the brahmakāyika etc. The formless realm refers to the four modes [of existence] in the aspects of the ākāśānantyāyatana etc.

- [3] However, another says that the realm of sense-desire ¹⁸⁸ refers to those who belong to the sphere of sense-desire ¹⁸⁹, i.e. whose passion for sense-desire has not been relinquished and whose notions about form have not been abrogated. The realm of form refers to those who belong to the sphere of form ¹⁹⁰, i.e. whose passion for sense-desire has been relinquished and whose notions about form have not been abrogated. The formless realm ¹⁹¹ refers to those who belong to the sphere of formlessness ¹⁹², i.e. whose passion for sense-desire has been relinquished and whose notions about form have been abrogated ¹⁹³.
- [4] Others believe that the realm of sense-desire refers to those with a propensity for the passion of sense-desire, the realm of form refers to those with a propensity for the passion of form and the formless realm refers to those with a propensity for the passion of formlessness.

¹⁸⁴ yatra is not found in D (cf. D203b.3-4), but is found in P.

¹⁸⁵ Ms.(9a.1): bhūtāni; disregard Y's fn.3 p.30.

¹⁸⁶ Read: -bhedena in place of bhedar, cf. Bhasya N20.15.

¹⁸⁷ The Ms. generally does not distinguish between sa, sa and sa; cf. Y's fn. 4 p.30.

¹⁸⁸ Tib. omits kāmadhātu: cf. D203b.7.

¹⁸⁹ Read: kāmāvacarāh in place of kāmāvacarah; cf. fns. 190 & 192 below.

¹⁹⁰ Read: rūpāvacari as per Ms.(9a.3), contrary to Y's emendation to the singular form; the plural should be retained in the light of its usage in the subsequent paragraphs; cf. D204a.1. Tib. omits rūpāvacarā.

¹⁹¹ Tib. omits ārūpyadhātuh; cf. D204a.1.

¹⁹² Read: cārtīpvāvacart as per Ms.(9a.3).

¹⁹³ Ms.(9a.3): vibhātārupy. but Y's emendation to vibhātarūpya is preferred; cf. his fn.6 p.30. Tib. gzugs kyi du ses dan yan bral ba rnams (D240a.1).

- [5] Others believe that the realm of sense-desire refers to those who are constantly distracted and have become the basis of [special] sorts of mental dissatisfaction. The realm of form refers to those who are meditatively composed and whose modes of mental dissatisfaction have been dispelled. The formless realm refers to those who are meditatively composed and whose modes of pleasure and dissatisfaction have been relinquished.
- [6] Others again believe that the realm of sense-desire refers to those who have not relinquished odours and tastes and their appearances in consciousness. The realm of form refers to those who have relinquished both odours and tastes and their appearances in consciousness. The formless realm refers to those who have relinquished the appearances of the fifteen elements.
- [7] Which is most relevant among all these explanations? It is only necessary that what was described in the first explanation be mentioned since the [subsequent] explanations are only correlative to it. This is a further reference to the imagination of what is unreal in the chapter on unreal imagination because it was interrupted by [the explanation of] non-perception.

f. The Characteristic of its Synonyms.

N20.17 He states the characteristic of the synonyms:

I.8 cd

Y31

Vision in regard to the object consists in consciousness, but in regard to its particulars, it consists in the mental concomitants.

Therein, vision in regard to the object alone consists in consciousness; vision in regard to the particulars of the object consists in the mental concomitants, such as sensation.

[Sthiramati]

Y31.4 [1] He states the characteristic of the synonyms¹⁹⁴. By demonstrating the particulars as belonging to the mind and the mental concomitants¹⁹⁵, he states the characteristic of the synonyms of the imagination of what is unreal. How so? Because the mind and the mental concomitants manifest as imaginative constructions in regard to

¹⁹⁴ Read: paryāyalakṣaṇam ca khyāpayatiti in place of paryāyalakṣaṇam ceti; Tib. mam grans kyi mtshan ñid kyan ston te (D204a.6). Cf. Bhāṣya N20.17.

¹⁹⁵ Read: cittacaittaviśesapradarśanena in place of cittacaittanam prabhedam pradarśayitva; Tib. sems dań sems las byuń ba mams kyi bye brag rab tu bstan pas (D204a,6).

both the own-being and the particular of an unreal 196 entity that is to be imaginatively constructed. Since the vision 197 of an object's essential nature and particulars consists in both the mental concomitants and unreal imagination they are included as synonyms, however, the particular does not exist in the object.

[2] Therein, the vision in regard to the object alone 198 consists in consciousness. The word 'alone' is for the purpose of excluding the particulars 199; what is meant is: the perception of just the essential nature of an entity - the particulars are not 'seized'. Vision in regard to the particulars of the object 200 consists in the mental concomitants, such as sensation; because they function with regard to that {i.e. the object 201 in various particular forms. In this regard, the particulars of joyfulness or sorrow can pertain to an entity and the 'seizing' of the condition of well-being etc. belonging to that is 'sensation' (vedanā). The particular of an object is the sign 202 which consists in a conventional expression, such as 'man' or 'woman' and its apprehension is 'ideation' (samjāā). The other respective [mental concomitants] should also be construed in this way. Thus these 203 are associated by having the same nature in regard to (a) basis, (b) objective support, (c) time and (d) substance, but not by having the same nature in regard to aspect too, because it would be non-distinguishable from consciousness.

[3] Some [schools] understand that it is just the special modes of mind (cittavišesa) that are intended as the mental concomitants in this context and that same consciousness arises in variegated appearances, like the eyes on a peacock's tail, in forms etc. that are similar²⁰⁴. [Objection]: How can it be both singular and variegated since, with regard to a singular entity²⁰⁵, the world does not accept a variety of [incompatible]²⁰⁶ characteristics? Otherwise it would be said that a singular entity has a manifold ownbeing. [Response]: This fault would apply if the own-being of a dharma were perfected, but this fault does not relate to 'bare' error because of the statement: "because it does not exist as such, nor is it non-existent in every respect"; (I.4 c). [Objection]: This is not so because it contradicts this statement from a Sūtra: "these dharmas known as sensation (vedanā), ideation (samjāā), mind (citta) and consciousness (vijāāna) are mixed together - they are not discrete; and close-contact (samsarga) indeed belongs to

¹⁹⁶ Ms.(9a) inserts abhūta in the margin: cf. Y's fn.1 p.31.

¹⁹⁷ Read: dṛṣṭiś citta- as per Ms.(9a.6) in place of dṛṣṭicitta-.

¹⁹⁸ Read: tatrārthamātre drstir in place of tatrārthamātradrstir. cf. Bhāsya N20.19.

¹⁹⁹ Read: višesāpanayanāyā- in place of višesanirasanenā-; Tib. khyad par sel ba'i phyir (D204b.1).

²⁰⁰ Read: arthavisese drstis as per Ms.(9a.7) & Bhasya N20.19 in place of arthavisesadrstis.

²⁰¹ Ms.(9a.7): tatrābhipravrtteh; disregard Y's fn.3 p.31.

²⁰² Read: -nimittam in place of -laksano on the basis of Tib. mtshan ma (D204b.3).

²⁰³ Read: caiṣām āśrayā- disregarding Y's fn.4 p.31.

²⁰⁴ Read: -rūpādinā in place of -svarūpādinā; Tib. no bo la sogs par (D204b.4).

²⁰⁵ Ms.(9b.1): naikam; disregard Y's fn.5 p.31.

²⁰⁶ Tib.(D204b.5) inserts mi mthun pa =viruddha.

existent [entities] and is simultaneous²⁰⁷." [Response]: For one who considers the own-being of the *dharmas* to be non-perfected [i.e. the *yogācārin*], this Sūtra is not a valid source²⁰⁸ in this sense.

g. The Actualizing Characteristic.

N20.22 Next he states the actualizing characteristic:

N21 I.9 abcd

The first is consciousness as causal condition; the second pertains to sense-experience; the mental concomitants therein are sense-experience, discrimination and stimulation.

Consciousness as causal condition is the store-consciousness since it is the causal condition for the other consciousnesses. Actual consciousness, which pertains to sense-experience, has the latter for its causal condition. Sense-experience refers to sensation. Discrimination refers to ideation. The stimulations refer to the formative forces of consciousness - volition and mental attention etc.

[Sthiramati]

Y32.10 [1] In order to demonstrate that when there is the 'bare' imagination of the unreal and nothing else, its differentiation as cause and result is not discerned, [hence] he states the actualizing characteristic. It is a characteristic since the imagination of the unreal [is characterized] as cause and result on account of this. [Since] the actualizing itself is the characteristic, it is the actualizing characteristic [i.e. the term pravrtti-lakṣaṇam is a karmadhāraya compound]. Furthermore, this actualization²⁰⁹ is twofold:

(a) the actualization as a regular succession of momentary instants under the influence of which there is the defilement of sense-experience in the present lifetime and (b) the actualition as another rebirth under the influence of which there is the defilement of moral defilement, karma and rebirth, in the future. The actualization as a regular succession of momentary instants in this context is described as the actualizing characteristic. The actualization as another rebirth will be stated as the characteristic of defilement [in the next section].

²⁰⁷ Tib. omits na visanssssä from the preceding sentence and reads instead: 'dres pa zes bya ba ni yod pa mans cig car phrad par 'gyur ba la bya'o (D205a.1): "That which is mixed together is the simultaneous close contact of entities".

²⁰⁸ ajňäpakam; Tib, khuás su mi run (D204b.7).

²⁰⁹ Ms.(9b.3): prayrtily; disregard Y's fn.4 p.32.

1.9 a

The first is consciousness as causal condition etc.

In the above, "the first" refers to the store-consciousness²¹⁰. Since it is the cause, i.e. the basic causal condition (hetupratyaya), of the remaining seven consciousnesses, it is consciousness as causal condition.

I.9 b

Y 7 3

The second pertains to sense-experience.

The word "consciousness" remains in force [from the preceding sentence]. The ellipsis is: it is the result of the latter [i.e. the store-consciousness]; moreover, it is sevenfold. The actual consciousness pertains to sense-experience because it has sense-experiencing for its purpose.

I.9 cd

The mental concomitants therein are sense-experience, discrimination and stimulation.²¹¹

It is the fact that the mental concomitants therein, i.e. in consciousness, are also the result of that [store-consciousness] that is referred to because they are [constituents of] consciousness and because they share its attainments and protection as one.

[2] Consciousness as causal condition is the store-consciousness since it is the basic causal condition for the other consciousnesaes²¹². It is [described as] a store-house (ālaya) since, in its mode as result, all impure²¹³ dharmas are collected (ālīyante) there, and, in its mode as cause, it collects in them. It is consciousness because it causes the representation of the world of sentient beings and inanimate things through appearing as such: moreover, because it consists exclusively in the karma result, it is undefined. It is consciousness as causal condition since it is the basic causal condition for both the 'seeds' of all impure dharmas that follow in consequence of it²¹⁴ and for the other actual consciousnesses. Actual consciousness, which pertains to sense-experience, has the latter for its causal condition; what is meant is: since it arises (pratyeti) from that store-consciousness, it is produced with the latter for its causal condition (tatpratyaya). How does it arise? The actual consciousness, while in the process of manifesting from the store-consciousness, fosters in that store-consciousness a 'seed' which is the progenitor of an actual consciousness which has not yet arisen and which is of the same genre. [Then]

²¹⁰ Ms.(9b.4); #laya...; disregard Y's fn.6 p.32.

²¹¹ In the Tib. this verse segment has been paraphrased; cf.D205a.4-5.

²¹² Read: Ilayavijālnam anyeşān; vijālnānām hetupratyayatvāt pratyayavijālnam in place of Ilayavijālnasya hy anyavijālnapratyayatvāt pratyayavijālnam; cf. Bhāşya N21.3. Tib. kun gži mam par ses pa ni mam par ses pa gžan dag (gi) rgyu i rkyen yin pas rkyen gyi rnam par ses pa o (D205a.5-6). * The actual reading here is ni rather than gr. cf. Tib. Bhāṣya D3a.7.

²¹³ Ms.(9b.6): sāsravā; disregard Y's fn.3 p.33.

²¹⁴ Read (with St. p.123 fn.25): sarvasāsravadharmabijānubaddhānām in place of sarveṣām sāsravanām dharmānām bijam anubadhyate; Tib. zag pa dan beas pa i chos thams cad kyi sa bon rjes su brel bp dan (D205a.7).

an actual consciousness of the same genre is produced again from that matured 'seed' which has undergone a special transformation²¹⁵. Thus, that actual consciousness²¹⁶ has that [store-consciousness] for its causal condition²¹⁷.

[3] [Objection]: Is it not so that²¹⁸ the store-consciousness also pertains to sense-experience, and the actual consciousness can be [construed as] the consciousness as causal condition because [the store-consciousness] is the basis of the sense-experience of non-painful and non-pleasurable sensations and also because the latent impressions are activated²¹⁹ in the store-consciousness? There is no other activation of the latent impressions²²⁰ except as causal conditions, as has been stated in this verse from the Abhidharmasūtra;²²¹

Y34

All dharmas are collected in consciousness, and likewise the latter in the former - as result and cause of each other, eternally.

[Response]: It need not lead to this conclusion. Why?²²² Because of the difficulty in distinguishing the sensation that belongs to it, [the store-consciousness] is not recognized as being pertinent to sense-experience, like actual consciousness is, or it should be known as something pre-eminent, like the sun. Accordingly, only actual consciousness is the basis for the sense-experience of the three kinds of sensation - the store-consciousness is not. Moreover, in this context [the store consciousness] is intended as the basic causal condition, not just a causal condition. Likewise, under the influence of wholesome and unwholesome dharmas, the store consciousness incorporates the latent impressions consisting in both the karma-result and the natural outcome-result, whereas²²³, under the influence of the undefined dharmas (it incorporates] only the latent impressions that belong to the natural outcome-result. Hence the store consciousness is the basic causal condition for all impure dharmas in their entirety. However, actual consciousness is the predominant causal condition for the store consciousness - it is not the basic causal condition²²⁴. Thus, that the actual consciousness is a causal condition is not a [wrong] conclusion.

²¹⁵ Read (with St. p.123 fn.26): labdhaparināmavišeşāt in place of parināmavišeşalābhār, Tib. 'gyur ba'i bye brag rhed pa ...las (D205b.2).

²¹⁶ Read: tat prayttivijfiānam as per Ms.(9b/8) in place of prayttivijfiānam, although tat is omitted from the Tib. (cf. D205b.2).

²¹⁷ Ms.(9b.3): tatpratyayam; disregard Y's fn.4 p.33.

²¹⁸ Read: nanu cālaya- as per Ms. (9b.8) in place of nanv ālaya-.

²¹⁹ Read: vāsanābhāvitatvāt in place of vāsanābhāvanāt; Tib, bag chags bago ba'i phyir (D205b.3).

²²⁰ Read: na ...anyā vāsanābhāvitāsti in place of na ...vyatirekenānyā vāsanābhāvanāsti; Tib. bag chags bsgo ba gźan med de (D205b.3).

²²¹ This verse is also cited in MSG (L13 in Tomes I & II).

²²² Tib. inserts ci'l phyir which is not found in the Sanskrit text.

²²³ Ms.(10a.2); ca nisyanda; disregard Y's fu.2 p.34.

²²⁴ Read: pravyttivijiiānsm ālayavijāānasyādhipatijvaryavo na hetupratyaya iti, omitting: (ahetupratyayatvāt) from Y34.13: Ms. (10a.3): -layavijāānasyādhipatijratyayo na hetupratyaya iti. Tib. jug pā i mam par ses pa ni kun gāi mam par ses pa ibdag po i rkyen te / rgyu i rkyen ma yin pas (D205b.7).

[4] Sense-experience refers to sensation; It is [described as] sense-experience since just the three modes [of sensation] are 'partaken of'225; what is meant is: they are experienced. Sensation is like the essence of the flavour of existence. Due to the latter, naive people are attached to sense-objects²²⁶ for the sake of the full sensory experience of them. Others believe that sense-experience refers not just to sensation but also to the perception of objects, but this is not so because it is in contradiction with [Vasubandhu's] commentary which states: "sense-experience refers to sensation". Moreover, since the perception of an object is not different from consciousness, it would not be logically tenable²²⁷ that it can be a mental concomitant. The discrimination of what has been sensed refers to ideation, because it consists in the apprehension of the particulars, such as what is pleasurable. The stimulations towards sense-experience and ideation refer to the formative forces of consciousness²²⁸, volition and mental attention etc.

[5] Alternatively, he says: "sense-experience refers to sensation", because one experiences an objective support according to its nature; and because one experiences the karma of what is to be sensed as pleasure etc. Thus²²⁹, since sensation consists in the sensory experience of both sense-objects and their karma, it is sense-experience. Discrimination refers to ideation since it discriminates the mark (cihna) of the sense-object, i.e. its conventional sign (vyavahāra-nimitta). The stimulations towards different objective supports on the part of consciousness are the formative forces²³⁰; hence, through the influence of volition etc., consciousness 'partakes of' a different objective support²³¹. Will-power and the like are referred to by the term "etc."

The actualizing (characteristic) has now been described in terms of from whence [it manifests], what kind of nature²³² it possesses and its purpose.

²²⁵ Read; upabhujyata as per Ms.(10a.3), in place of upabhuksyata.

²²⁶ Read (with T&B): vişəyeşu sajyante in place of vişayam abhinivesanti; Tib. yul mams la chags (D206a.2).

²²⁷ Read: yujyate as per Ms.(10a.4) in place of prayujyate.

²²⁸ Read: upabhoge samjñāyām ca prerakāh samskārā vijñānasya in place of upabhoge samjñāyām ca vijñānspravartakāh samskārāh; cf. Bhāsya N21.5.

²²⁹ Ms.(10a.5): evam tu; disregard Y's fn.4 p.34.

²³⁰ Read: 3lambane prerak3h in place of 3lambana 3bhog3h; Tib. dmigs pa ...la jug par byed pa dag ni (D206a.5).

²³¹ Ms.(10a.6): -mbanantaram; disregard Y's fn.1 p.35.

²³² Read: uktā yato yādršī yadarthā ca pravrttir iti as per Ms.(10a.6) in place of uktam yato yādršo yadarthā ca pravrttir iti.

h. The Characteristic of Defilement.

N21.8 Now he states the characteristic of defilement:

1.10 abcd

Due to: (a) concealing, (b) implanting, (c) conducting, (d) encapsulating, (e) completing, (f) threefold discriminating, (g) sense-experiencing and (h) attracting;

1.11 ab

(i) Fettering, (j) directing and (k) suffering - the world is defiled.

In the above: (a) it is due to concealing, because of the obstruction of [one's] vision [of phenomena] as they are in reality, by ignorance. (b) It is due to implanting, because of the establishment of the latent impressions of karma in consciousness, by the formative forces. (c) It is due to conducting, because it is made to reach the place of rebirth, by consciousness. (d) It is due to encapsulating, of the individual nature by name / form. (e) It is due to completing, by the six sense-fields. (f) It is due to threefold discriminating, by contact. (g) It is due to senseexperiencing, through sensation. (h) It is due to the attracting, of the new existence projected through karma, by craving. (i) It is due to the fettering, of consciousness to the sense-desires etc. that are conducive to rebirth, through the graspings. (j) It is due to directing, because the karma that has been performed is directed towards the provision of the karma-result in the new existence, by becoming. (k) It is due to suffering that the world is completely defiled, by birth, old-age and death. This:

I.11 cd

Threefold, twofold and sevenfold defilement [manifests] from the imagination of what is unreal.

Defilement is threefold: the defilement of moral defilement, the defilement of karma and the defilement of rebirth. Of these, the defilement of moral defilement consists in ignorance, craving and grasping. The defilement of karma consists in the formative forces and becoming. The defilement of rebirth consists in the remaining [seven] elements.

Defilement is twofold: defilement as cause and defilement as result. Of theze, the defilement as cause includes those elements which have moral defilement and karma for their own-being. Defilement as result includes the remaining [elements].

Sovenfold defilement refers to the seven types of causes: (a) the cause of erroneous inversion, (b) the cause of projection, (c) the cause of leading, (d) the cause of possession, (e) the cause of sense-experience, (f) the cause of attraction and (g) the cause of anxiety²³³. Of these, the cause of erroneous inversion is ignorance. The cause of projection is the formative forces. The cause of leading is consciousness. The cause of possession is name / form and the six sense-fields. The cause of sense-experience is contact and sensation. The cause of attraction is craving, grasping and becoming. The cause of anxiety is birth, old-age and death. And all of these defilements manifest from the imagination of what is unreal.

[Sthiramati]

Y35.6 [1] Now he states the characteristic²³⁴ of defilement. The characteristic of defilement refers to that mode in which the defilements of moral defilement, karma and rebirth, while in the process of manifesting, lead to the complete defilement of the world. Although it is insubstantial²³⁵, samsāra is generated just from the imagination of what is unreal. In order to demonstrate this it is stated:

I.10 ab Due to: (a) concealing, (b) implanting, (c) conducting, (d) encapsulating etc.

These twelve elements of dependent origination are shown with reference to²³⁶ the actualizing side for consciousness).

[2] In the above, it is due to concealing, ...that the world is defiled; the latter portion of this statement [i.e. "the world is defiled"] refers to all [twelve elements]. How is it that [the world] is defiled due to concealing and by what is it concealed? Hence he says: because of the obstruction of [one's] vision [of phenomena] as they are in reality, by ignorance. Since ignorance²³⁷ consists in the absence of vision, when the sphere of one's vision of reality is hidden, the vision of what is real does not arise. Consequently, because it obstructs the arising of one's vision of the real, ignorance is an obstruction to [the arising of] the vision of what is real; furthermore, the vision of the real consists chiefly in supramundane wisdom. The latter, which is subsequently attained because it arises subsequently [to the path of vision] and which consists in learning, reflection and meditative development which

²³³ This passage concerning the seven causes is omitted from the Tib., i.e. from viparyāsahetuh to udvegahetuś ca of the Bhāṣya (N22.2 - 22.4); cf. Tib. Bhāṣya D4a.1.

²³⁴ Ms.(10a,6): -laksanati ca, disregard Y's fn.2 p.35.

²³⁵ Read: tac ca nairātmyam apy in place of tac cāsato 'py ātmano; Tib. de ni bdag med par yan (D206a.7).

²³⁶ Read: adhikttys as per Ms.(10a.7), in place of adhi.

²³⁷ Ms.(10a.8): avidyayā but Y's emendation to avidyāyā is preferred; cf. his fn.4 p.35

purify it because of that understanding, is described as the vision of the real²³⁸. Because it obstructs the vision of what is real, ignorance is described as the causal condition for the formative forces, thus it is said: "due to concealing by ignorance, the world is defiled".

[3] It is due to implanting; that the world is defiled - this is understood. As to the agent, locus and object of implanting, he says: of the latent impressions of karms in consciousness, by the formative forces. Therein, the formative forces consist in karma of body, speech and mind and its essential nature can be virtuous, non-virtuous or neutral. It is a formative force (samskära) since it formatively influences (abhisamskaroti) the new existence; what is meant is; it implants what was not previously implanted²³⁹; it is just this (sense) that is expressed by the term "formative force", but not all (its meanings). Moreover, since they have the capacity to project the new existence because of the influence of ignorance²⁴⁰ and not merely on account of their existence, it is said that the formative forces have ignorance for their causal condition. Accordingly, they bring about the new existence for one whose knowledge²⁴¹ has not yet arisen, but not for one whose knowledge has arisen. Ignorance is not described as the causal condition for the formative forces merely as the predominant (causal condition) because it is the general causal condition in the manifestation [of the new existence] as well, for ignorance is likewise associated with all moral defilement. Just as it is the causal condition in general for the arising of the moral defilements that do arise²⁴², so too is it (the causal condition) for the volitions which arise²⁴³ from the latter. Even when the [meritorious]²⁴⁴ formative forces manifest, i.e. those that follow as a consequence of the grantine wish for²⁴⁵ the special states of existence and enjoyments, ignorance which is innate to them²⁴⁶, is their general causal condition. Also, (when there is the arising) of neutral²⁴⁷ (formative forces) that arise²⁴⁸ with the notion that one can escape to those levels²⁴⁹, ignorance, which is

¥36

²³⁸ Read: tatprşihodbhavāt tatprşihalabdhā tadavabodhāc ca tadviśodakaśrutacintābhāvanāmayl bhūtadaršanam ity ucyate in place of tatprşihodbhavāt tatprşihalabhāt tadavagamāc ca tatprayogaśrutacintābhāvanāmayl bhūtadaršanam ity ucyate Ms.(10b.1): -mayl..., not -m apl... as T&B's reading indicates; (cf. 30.3).

²³⁹ Read: aropitam ropayatity arthan in place of apranihitam rohayatity arthan; Tib. ma biab pa debs žes bya ba'i tha tshig (D206b.6).

²⁴⁰ Ms.(10b.2): cāvidyādhiparyār, disregard Y's fn.1 p.36.

²⁴¹ Tib.: avidya (ma rig pa) for vidya (cf. D2065.6).

²⁴² Read (with T&B30.14): yathā klešasamutthānām sāmānyena (samutthāna)*-pratyayas in place of yathā samutthānaklešānām sāmānyapratyayas; Ms.(10b.3): -mānyena samutthānapratyayah; *Tib. omits samutthāna and has:ji Itar ñon mońs pa kun nas 'byuń ba mams kyi spyii ikyen yin pa (D206b.7 - 207a.1).

²⁴³ Ms.(10b.3); samunhānānr, disregard Y's fn.4 p.36.

²⁴⁴ Tib. inserts: pupy&nam (bsod nams) which is not found in the Ms.

²⁴⁵ Ms.(10b.3): prārthanānvayānām, disregard Y's fn.6 p.36.

²⁴⁶ Read: tatsahabhūr avidyā as per Ms.(10b.3) in place of tatsahabhūtāvidyā; disregard Y's fn. 7 p.36.

²⁴⁷ Ms.(10b.3): anifiivanant disregard Y's fn.8 p.36.

²⁴⁸ Read: -samutthānām as per Ms.(10b.3), in place of -samutthānānām.

²⁴⁹ Tib. omits hhumi (cf.D207a.2).

innate to them²³⁰, is their general²⁵¹ causal condition. Thus it is described as the causal condition for the formative forces. In the above, the store-consciousness is intended by the term; "consciousness", and not the actual consciousness because of the impossibility of being conveyed to the place of rebirth by the actual consciousness whose continuity is interrupted²⁵², and also because the latent impressions of karma are not established therein by the formative forces since both the wholesome and defiled [dharmas] cannot be brought together. When [Vasubandhu] says: "[it is due to the implanting of the latent impressions of karma...", just what is this that is described as the latent impression of karma? It is the 'seed' of the future birth²⁵³, i.e. a causal entity. For example, a grain of rice is the seed, i.e. the causal entity, for the arising of a sprout (which develops) through a transformation in dependence upon special conditions such as soil, ash and manure. Because of the establishment...; this term expresses implanting again through a different synonym. Furthermore, "establishment" in this context refers to the augmentation of that 'seed' in the continuum of consciousness from the very beginning²⁵⁴, by these [formative forces]; for, no previously nonexistent 'seed' of any dharma that is accompanied by impurity is generated, like in the case of one without impurity. In this way, the world is defiled due to the generation of the 'seed' of the new existence in consciousness, by the formative forces.

[4] It is due to conducting; that the world is defiled. Since the agent, locus and object of conducting is not discerned, he says:-because it is made to reach²⁵⁵ the place of rebirth²⁵⁶, by consciousness. Conducting refers to the conveying of the latent impressions of the seed of the new existence, from the place of death to the place of rebirth, by consciousness which is fully developed by karme and which functions as a continuum²⁵⁷. After considering it in this way²⁵⁸, then the consciousness at conception cannot have the formative forces for its causal condition; and it has been demonstrated that only the consciousness belonging to the previous existence has the formative forces for its causal condition, because the arising of an effect from a cause that has perished is not tenaltle. Since those who have gained the formless attainments are reborn in that very place, where they die, how can they be conveyed to a place 6. Shirth in the formless [realms]? [Rather] it should be understood according to the circumstances, as is the case with name / form.

¥37

²⁵⁰ Cf. fn. 246 above.

²⁵¹ Ms.(10b.3): sāmānya; disregard Y's fn.9 p.36.

²⁵² Read (with T&B30.20); santānocchedena pravṛttivijňānena cotpatti, in place of vyuparamapravṛttivijňānasyæ, Tib. rgyun chad pa dań jug pa'i rnam par śes pas skye ba'i- (D207a.3).

²⁵³ Tib. omits janmano; cf. D207a.4.

²⁵⁴ taiprathamatas; Tib. des thog ma kho na'i (D207a.5).

²⁵⁵ Read: samprāpanād in place of sampresanād; Ms.(10b.6): seems to read: samprakṣanād with the kṣa expunged; cf. Bhāṣya N21.14.

²⁵⁶ urparti, but Bhasya upapatti; cf. N21.14.

²⁵⁷ Ms.(10b.6): vijfianena; disregard Y's fn.2 p.37.

²⁵⁸ Read: evam krivā in place of tathā ca satr, Tib. de Itar byas na (D207a.7).

[5] It is due to encapsulating²⁵⁹: that the world is defiled. As to the agent. locus 260 and object of encapsulation 261, he says: of the individual nature, by name / form. Because name / form are [equivalent to] the five aggregates. The latter, after appropriating conception, while in the first, second, third, fourth and fifth stages of embryonic development²⁶², and before the six sense-fields have arisen. comprise name / form which have consciousness for their causal condition. Thus, the differentiation into different homogeneous groups is accomplished through a special activation within that [name / form]. And when the latter have arisen, their individual nature is differentiated due to the difference in homogeneous groups, such as between human and animal; thus it is said that the individual nature is encapsulated by name / form. Alternatively, it envelops the whole individual nature up until death²⁶³ because the whole is determined as a causal state from the beginning. Or else, although it is not differentiated²⁶⁴, the individual nature is enveloped by name / form, thus he shows it to be separate; just as everything conditioned is included in the five aggregates (yet are separate from them]; however, only²⁶⁵ the sense-fields of creatures of miraculous birth (aupapāduka) have consciousness for their causal condition²⁶⁶ [and not name / form]. Consequently, it should be known that name / form have consciousness for their causal condition, according to the circumstances.

[6] It is due to completion; that [the world] is defiled²⁶⁷. As to the agent, locus²⁶⁸ and object of completion, he says: of the individual nature which is included in name / form²⁶⁹, by the six sense-fields. For the individual nature²⁷⁰ is described as incomplete in the state of name / form because of the absence of the sense-fields of sight etc. Moreover, although the tactile and mind sense-fields do exist in that state, they too are definitely incomplete because as a ['field' comprising] both that which is based [i.e. the object of the senses] and the basis [i.e. the sense organs], it is incomplete. Furthermore, the basis²⁷¹ is complete in the state of the six sense-fields because of the actualization of sight etc. The tactile sense-field is also complete because of the

²⁵⁹ Read: samparigrahi, in place of parigrahār, cf. Bhāsya N21.14.

²⁶⁰ Tib. inserts kva (gan du): cf. D207b.2.

²⁶¹ Read: samparigrahāt in place of parigrahāt, cf. Bhāṣya N21 14.

²⁶² Read: kalalārbudaghanapešīprasākhāvasthā as per Ms.(10b.8) in place of kalalārbudapešīghanaprasākhāvasthā; disregard Y's fn.4 p.37. Cf. Mvy. # 4067-71.

²⁶³ Read: ā maraṇāt as per Ms.(11a.1) in place of 'maraṇāt..

²⁶⁴ Read: abhinno 'pi in place of abhinnam api.

²⁶⁵ Ms.(11a.2); even; disregard Y's fn.2 p.38.

²⁶⁶ Ms.(11a.2); vijňānapratyayam; disregard Y's fn.1 p.38.

²⁶⁷ Tib. inserts jagat ('gro ba); cf. D207b.6.

²⁶⁸ Tib. inserts: kva (gan du); cf. D207b.6.

²⁶⁹ Read: nāmarūpasamgrhitasya ātmabhāvasya in place of nāmarūpasamgrhitam śartram; Tib. min dan gzugs su bsdus pai i lus te (D207b.7). Ms.(11a.2): nāmarūpasamgrhita-.

²⁷⁰ Read: ātmabhāvo paripūrna ucyate in place of śarīram aparipūrnam ucyate; cf. ibid.

²⁷¹ Ms.(11a,3): āśrita, but Y's rendering of āśraya is preferred.

completion of sight etc. which is based on it²⁷². How is it that sight etc. are based on it²⁷³? Because their functioning depends upon it. The mind sense-field too, which is included in the six consciousnesses, is completed at this time because of the completion of the basis 274 in its entirety. Also, since the major and minor members [of the body] are complete²⁷⁵ only in the state of the six sense-fields²⁷⁶ because the basis is complete, it is said that the world is defiled by the six sense-fields.

- [7] It is due to threefold discriminating; "that the world is defiled" is understood. "Threefold" refers to the combination of sense faculty, sense-object and consciousness. Contact refers to the discrimination of the three modes of modification of the sense faculty and is conducive to the arising of the sensation of pleasure etc. It is described as contact (sparśa) since it is an aspect of that likeness of modification of the sense faculty which it touches (sprsati)²⁷⁷. Alternatively, contact which has the six sense-fields for its causal condition, produces a threefold modification of the sense faculty that is conducive to the sensation of pleasure etc.
- [8] It is due to sense-experiencing²⁷⁸, through sensation; because sensation is experienced on account of craving; what is meant is: 'consumed with relish'. Alternatively, the sense-experience of sensation is due to the experience of karma²⁷⁹ that is virtuous etc. Alternatively, in this context sense-experience refers to the experience of a sensation and when pleasure etc. is experienced, due to the full development therein of [sensations] such as pleasure, the world is defiled by [subsequent] passion, hatred and delusion.
- [9] It is due to the attracting; since the agent and object of attraction are not discerned, he says: of the new existence projected through *karma*, by craving; i.e. [the attraction] of the new existence projected due to the maturation of its 'seed' on account of the formative forces in consciousness, by craving, which can be likened to the moisture²⁸⁰ [in the generation of a seed]. Then, after securing the generation of the new existence on account of the 'moistening', i.e. on account of the indiscriminate

²⁷² Read (with T&B32.7): tadāśridanām cakşurādīnām in place of taccakşurādyāśritānām; Tib. mig la sogs pa de la brten pa mams (D208a.1).

²⁷³ Read (with N.Amend. p.21): katham cakṣurādayaḥ tadāśritāḥ in place of katham taccakṣurādyāśritaṃ; Ms.(11a.4): -ḥ. Tib. de ltar mig la sogs pa de la brten źe na (D208a.1).

²⁷⁴ Read: paripūritah as per Ms.(11a.4) in place of paripūritāt.

²⁷⁵ Read: paripūritas ca in place of paripūritāc ca; cf. fn.274. Ms.(11a.4): paripūris ca

²⁷⁶ Ms.(11a.4): sadāyatanāvasthāyām evam, but Y's rendering of -āvasthāyām evā- is preferred on the basis of the Tib.: skye mehed drug gi dus nid na (cf. D208a.2).

²⁷⁷ The Tib. reads differently: "...since it makes contact through a similarity in aspect of that which is a modification of the sense faculty: de bas na dbai po' gyur pa gan yin pa de' mam pa da' dra bar reep pa byed pas reep pa 'see bya'o; cf. T-Bhāṣya L20.8: "Furnemore, since contact touches the sense faculty through a likeness of modification of the sense faculty, it is described as 'contact', sparsab punar indriyavikārasādriyena indriyam spriadīndriyena vā spriyata iti sparsa ucyate. Cf. also Sr 5: fin33 p.143.

²⁷⁸ Ms.(11a.6): -nah // upathogād ?!

²⁷⁹ Omit phala (Y38.24) since it is not found either in Tib, nor in the Ms.

²⁸⁰ Read: punarbhavasyāpkelpayā as per Ms.(11a.7): in place of punarbhavasyodakakalpayā; disregard Y's fn.2 p.39.

Y40

wish for an individual nature in all states of existence, that which establishes the generation is 'attraction' 281.

[10] It is due to the fettering; when questioned as to the agent, locus and object of fettering, [Vasubandhu] himself says: of consciousness to the sense-desires etc. that are conducive to rebirth²⁸², through the graspings. Consciousness, which is projected by karma by means of the four graspings that are characterized by notional attachment²⁸³ and the passion of sense-desire, is fettered to, i.e. fixed in²⁸⁴ sense-desire, false view, morality and observances and false view of self²⁸⁵ which are conducive to rebirth because consciousness abides therein [in the new birth] by force of the passion of sense-desire²⁸⁶.

[11] It is due to directing; here too when questioned by another, [Vasubandhu] himself responds: because the karma that has been performed is directed towards the provision of the karma-result in the new existence²⁸⁷, by becoming. Thus, the karma performed in the past is the cause of consciousness and as being pertinent to the new existence is in a state of latent impression; it receives an existence since the 'fruit' of the karma-result acquires a functional status and is directed towards accomplishing the projection of an existence²⁸⁸. Thus the world is defiled due to directing²⁸⁹, by becoming.

[12] It is due to suffering that the world is completely defiled by birth, old-age and death²⁹⁰. Thus, when there is the actualization of the new existence due to becoming, from the very outset [the world] is defiled as the consequence of the coagulation of consciousness in semen and blood at the time of impregnation. Similarly, it is defiled due to the to-and-fro movement [of the foetus] midway between the stomach and the abdomen of the mother. Similarly, it is defiled because of the mother's inability to dispel anxiety and anguish when eating and moving about.

²⁸¹ Read: -ābhilāṣākhyena ārdrikaraņena punarbhavotpādakam upayujya yadutpādakavyavasthāpanam tat karşanam in place of -ābhilāṣeti yad ārdrikṛtya punarbhavam utpattāv upayunkta utpattim cāvasthāpayati tat karşanam; Tib. dod pa zes bya bas brian nas yan srid pa byun bar ne bar sbyor zin byun ba nes par jog pa gan yin pa de ni sdud pa (D208a.7).

²⁸² upapatti, but Bhāsya utpatti, cf. N21.17.

²⁸³ Tib. (D208b.1) inserts abhinivesa (mnon par zen pa) which is not in the Sanskrit.

²⁸⁴ Read: nibadhyate vasthāpyate in place of nibandhayaty avasthāpayati ca; Tib. sbyor ba byed jog par byed de (D208b.2).

²⁸⁵ Read: ātmadṛṣṭiṣu in place of ātmavādeṣu; Tib. bdag tu Ita ba dag tu (D208b.2).

²⁸⁶ Read (with T&B33.8): tathā hi chandarāgavāšād vijāānam tatrāvatisthate in place of vijāānam hi cchandarāgavašāt tatra vartate, Tib. di Itar dun pai dod chags kyi dban gis mam par ses pa der gnas (D208b.2).

²⁸⁷ Ms.(11b.1): punarbhavavipāka-, but Bhāsya (cf. N21.19): punarbhave vipāka-.

²⁸⁸ The Sanskrit fragments of this passage are difficult to reconcile with the Tib. hence it is translated on the basis of the Tib. it ltar shon byas pa' las mam par ses pa' rgyu yan byun bas bag chags kyi dus su gyur ba mam par smin pa' bras bu i phyir () jug pa med pas stid pa yod par gyur ciù srid pa btab pa grub par mion du gyur pa ste (D208b.3-4).

²⁸⁹ Read: ābhimukhyāj in place of ābhimukhīkaranāj; Tib. mnon du'i phyir (D208b.4).

²⁹⁰ Read: dulıkhanāj jātyā jarāmaranena ca kliśyate jagat iti in place of dulıkhitāj jātyā jarāmaranena ca kim kliśyante jagantīti; cf. Bhāsya N21.19. Ms.(11b.2): -khanāj jātyā jarāmaranena ca (kiṃ) kliśyate jagad iti; disregard Y's fns. 5 & 7.

Similarly, the world²⁹¹ is defiled by coming out²⁹² [of the womb] through a restricted and impure passage. Again, the world is defiled insofar as one is born only to be deprived of 293 cherished youth and vitality by old-age characterized by baldness and grey hair etc. 294 and by death which is characterized by the dissolution 295 of name / form.

[13] Since it is in motion (gacchati), it is [described as] the 'moving', [i.e. the world] (jagat); what is meant is: it progresses from an homogeneous condition to a momentary condition. By "it is defiled" is meant: in [all] the three realms of existence, [the world] is afflicted on account of birth, old-age, sickness and death etc. and on account of its incessant motion through a regular succession of moments. Others believe that the term "it is defiled" means: 'it is not purified'. Thus, these twelve elements of dependent origination which are characterized by defilement, arise on account of these eleven modes beginning with "due to concealing" as the direct counterpart to purification; and, beginning with ignorance, they form a sequential progression, since each subsequent element is brought about by each preceding element.

[14] How many kinds of defilement have been demonstrated in total through these twelve elements of dependent origination? Hence he says: 296 these twelve elements of dependent origination are:

I.11 cd Threefold, twofold and sevenfold defilement²⁹⁷.

The word "and" has a conjunctive and repetitive²⁹⁸ sense. Since moral defilement itself is defilement, it is described as the defilement of moral defilement. Similarly are the defilements that consist in *karma* and rebirth [so-called], for moral defilement manifests as defilement [in general] because it is harmful to both oneself and others; as is said in a Sūtra: "one who is enamoured²⁹⁹ and overcome with passion is intent upon harming himself, is intent upon harming others and is intent upon harm in both [this and the next world]. Aversion and delusion should be understood in the same way."³⁰⁰ And it is defilement because the defilements that consist in *karma* and rebirth are generated; accordingly, the *karma* projects the rebirth under the influence of moral defilement. Since the projection of the new existence does not occur for one who has seen the truth even when there is *karma*, because the 'seed' of the new existence is caused to come

²⁹¹ Tib. (208b.6) omits jagat ('gro ba).

²⁹² Ms.(11b.3): nirgacchat, but nirgacchati is preferred.

²⁹³ Ms.(11b.3): bhraśyamānam; disregard Y's fn.2 p.40.

²⁹⁴ Ms.(11b.3): khālityapālityādi-; disregard Y's fn.1 p.40.

²⁹⁵ bheda; Tib. 'jig pa (D208b.7).

²⁹⁶ Read: ity ata idam as per Ms.(11b.5) in place of ity ata evedam; disregard Y's fn.4 p.40.

²⁹⁷ Read: tredhā dvedhā ca samkleśah saptadhā in place of tridhā dvīdhā ca samkleśah saptadhā; cf. Bhāsya N21.21.

²⁹⁸ Tib. go bsnor ba (D209a.4).

²⁹⁹ Read: stitre / rakto as per Ms.(11b.6) in place of stitre 'pi rakto.

³⁰⁰ From Anguttara-nikāva III.54.

into being [only] on account of the manifestation of moral defilement and since there is the bond of conception in a new existence for one whose mind is defiled, the defilement that consists in rebirth is a cause [for the defilement of the world]. Consequently, since the Arhat does not possess a defiled mind, there is no bond of conception. Moreover, karma whether pure or impure is [equivalent to] defilement because it both causes bodily and mental fatigue in the present³⁰¹ and provides the karma-result in the future. Rebirth too is [equivalent to] defilement because it is the locus of all misfortune.

[15] Defilement is threefold; because the cause is differentiated as twofold. The defilement of moral defilement consists in ignorance, craving and grasping³⁰²; the latter form a trio because they have the nature of moral defilement. The defilement of *karma* consists in the formative forces and becoming; the latter form a pair because they have the nature of *karma*. However, there is this difference: *karma*, in its state as essential nature consists in the formative forces, but in its state as 'seed' it consists in becoming. The defilement of rebirth consists in the remaining [seven] elements, i.e. consciousness, name / form, the six sensefields, contact, sensation, birth, old-age and death, since these are included within rebirth.

[16] Defilement is twofold, since the cause is not differentiated. The defilement as cause includes those elements which have moral defilement and karma for their own-being³⁰³; defilement as result includes the remaining elements. Of these, the defilement as cause³⁰⁴ is pertinent to karma and moral defilement because the latter are engaged in the generation of rebirth which: (a) begins with consciousness and concludes with sensation, (b) has the nature of birth and (c) possesses the distress of old-age and death³⁰⁵. Moreover, defilement as result includes the remaining elements beginning with consciousness, because they are the result of karma and moral defilement.

[17] Furthermore, that same process of dependent origination consists in a sevenfold defilement which refers to seven kinds of causes because they are the causes of: (a) erroneous inversion, (b) projection, (c) leading, (d) possession, (e) sense-experience, (f) attraction and (g) agitation. Of these, the cause of erroneous inversion is ignorance, for one who has succumbed to ignorance erroneously inverts what is impermanent etc. as being of the nature of something permanent etc. ³⁰⁶

³⁰¹ Ms.(11b.8): tadātve: disregard Y's fn.1 p.41.

³⁰² Ms.(11b.8); 'vidyātṛṣṇopādānānīti; disregard Y's fn. 2 p.41.

³⁰³ Read: svabhāvair in place of svarūpair; cf. Bhāsva N22.1.

³⁰⁴ Tib. omits: herusamkleśatvam; cf. D209b.5.

³⁰⁵ Read: jarāmaranādīnavam janma; as per Ms.(12a,2) in place of jarāmaranādinavajanma...

³⁰⁶ Read: viparyasyati as per Ms.(12a.3) in place of darsanād viparyāsa ucyate and contrary to Y's fn.1 p.42; Tib. is slightly different: "... by seeing the nature of something permanent in what is impermanent etc." ... mi rtag pa la sogs pa la rtag pa'i no bor mthon bas (D210a.1).

due to his confusion about reality. The cause of projection is the formative forces, because they establish in consciousness the 'seed' of rebirth which consists in four of the elements [of dependent origination]. The cause of leading³⁰⁷ is consciousness, because it conveys one who has died here in this world to the place of rebirth. The cause of possession is both name / form and the six sensefields, because that which is led [i.e. consciousness] possesses a [particular] homogeneous grouping on account of name / form and the six sense-fields. The possession just by name / form was mentioned in the above where the womb of creatures born from a womb was intended. Here, the possession by the six sense-fields as well is mentioned having regard for creatures of miraculous birth. Alternatively he wishes to say that, prior to [its possession] by the latter, just the individual nature is possessed by name / form; however, since it refers to [the possession]³⁰⁸ of either the completed Istatel, or that which is incomplete - there is no contradiction here. The cause of sense-experience is contact and sensation³⁰⁹, because the sense-experience of wholesome and unwholesome karma-result is on account of experience, together with its cause. The cause of attraction is craving, grasping and becoming; a naive person whose karma-result has been experienced, craves for various feelings; when craving intensifies he clings to sense-desires etc. on account of his craving for both the union with, and non-separation from, the latter. As the consequence of the grasping for these [sense-desires] his karma belonging to the past, which pertains to the new existence and which is in a state of latent impression, is transformed. This leads to the imparting of the karma-result, in accordance with what has been projected. then after being activated it is 'becoming'. Moreover, that [karma] is attracted, i.e. is directed³¹⁰ towards the actualization of the new existence projected by the formative forces on account of craving, grasping and becoming. Alternatively, craving, grasping and becoming are the cause of attraction since they are directed towards one of the two latent impressions of karma conducive to the passion of sense-desire, on account of craving. The cause of anxiety is birth, old-age and death; thus, here one experiences the pain of birth, old-age and death among the various classes of sentient beings because of the attraction of birth, as well as [experiencing] an endless variety of suffering that consists in sorrow, lamentation, depression and perturbation.

[18] In the above, moral defilement and karma³¹¹ are shown as general and specific causes [respectively] of rebirth, through the description of threefold defilement. Moral defilement is the general cause [in the germination] of the 'sprout' of rebirth, just as soil is [for a plant]; however, karma is the specific cause, just as the seed is [the specific

³⁰⁷ Read: upanayahetur in place of upanayanahetur, cf. Bhasya N22.5.

³⁰⁸ Tib. inserts parigraha ('dzin pa); cf. D210a.3.

³⁰⁹ Read: sparsavedana iti in place of sparsavedană iti; cf. Bhāsya N22.7. Ms.(12a.5); -vedane iti.

³¹⁰ abhimukhikriyate, but Tib. mnon par 'du byed (abhisamskriyate); cf. D210a.6.

³¹¹ Read: kleśakarmanor as per Ms.(12a.8) in place of kleśakarmano.

cause] for its sprout because the differentiation among rebirths is according to the differentiation in that [karma]. Alternatively, although there are not three separate segments that comprise the past, present and future in this regard [i.e. dependent origination], he nevertheless demonstrates that it does consist in karma, moral defilement and their result.

[19] Through the statement about twofold³¹² [defilement] he demonstrates that this³¹³ is merely cause and result and that no other agent of action nor agent of sensation is defiled in this regard. Alternatively, the cause refers just to *karma* and moral defilement in this context because it is seen that the presence or absence of rebirth is due to the presence or absence of these two [i.e. *karma* and moral defilement]; hence, rebirth is indeed the result of them. Therefore, he demonstrates that there is neither cause nor result in this regard, but in every case [i.e. in each of the twelve elements] there is a state possessing the five aggregates.

[20] Furthermore, two kinds of dependent origination have been demonstrated through the explanation of the sevenfold causes, namely: that characterized by projection and that characterized by actualization. Of these, that characterized by projection has been explained through the seven elements of dependent origination by demonstrating: (a) that by which it is projected. (b) the way in which it is projected and (c) that which is projected. That which is characterized by actualization [has been explained) through the five [remaining] elements [by demonstrating]: (a) that by which there is the actualization of what has been projected, (b) the way in which it actualizes and (c) the actualization [itself], as well as the distress [implicit] therein. What is the agent of projection? The formative forces which have ignorance for their causal condition. How so? After becoming acquainted with erroneous inversion due to delusion about reality³¹⁴, [ignorance] formatively influences the wholesome, unwholesome and neutral formative forces. How is it projected by those formative forces? They establish it in the appropriate place³¹⁵ of rebirth due to the development of the 'seeds' in consciousness. What is projected? All that pertains to the new existence, i.e. name / form, the six sense-fields, contact and sensation, respectively. By what means is there the actualization of that which is projected in this way? As has been said, [it is actualized] in stages from what was previously projected through grasping which has for its causal condition that craving which has already arisen in dependence upon the sensation that has arisen in the present lifetime. How is it actualized through this [grasping]? The karma which is in the state of latent impression within consciousness is caused to come into being through that [grasping], for many kinds of latent

³¹² Read: dvidhā... as per Ms.(12b.1) in place of dvividhā...

³¹³ Ms.(12b.1): evedan; disregard Y's fn.1 p.43.

³¹⁴ Read (with T&B): katham tattvamohād viparyāsam jītātvā in place of yathā tattve mugdhvā viparītam samjānāti; Tib. ji Itar de kho na la rmons nas phyin ci log tu ses te (D210b.7).

³¹⁵ bhavvaca: Tib. nus pa (D210b.7).

impressions of karma exist in consciousness because it is fully developed by various kinds of formative forces. That on account of which there is a new existence, because it is encapsulated by a special grasping, is described here as 'becoming'. Just what is this actualization? It is that birth in the future of the name / form etc. that has been projected. Now, when that occurs what is distressful? Old-age and death, because one is deprived of cherished youth and vitality³¹⁶. [Objection]: What if one were to suggest that the 'cause of leading' is meaningless here? [Response]: It is not meaningless³¹⁷ for [its inclusion] is for the purpose of removing the understanding³¹⁸ that, after the existence that pertains to death is severed, there is the arising of a [new] existence

that pertains to birth [- thus invalidating dependent origination].

[21] All these defilements manifest from the imagination of what is unreal³¹⁹, since the mind and the mental concomitants are the basis of defilement³²⁰. Moreover, it has been stated that:³²¹ "the imagination of what is unreal consists in the mind and the mental concomitants that pertain to the three realms of existence" (I.8 ab).

³¹⁶ Ms.(12b.1): -jtvata- but Y's -jtvisa- is preferred on the basis of the Tib.(srog); cf. D211a.4.

³¹⁷ Ms.(12b.6): atra tūpanayahetur na niraithakah but Y's tūpanayahetur niraitha iti cet na niraithakah is preferred on the basis of Tib.; cf. his fp.2 p.44.

³¹⁸ Read: adhigamanirākaranārtham in place of adhigamam nirākaranārtham; Tib. rtog pa bsal ba'i phyir (D211a.4).

³¹⁹ Read; sarvaš caisa samklešo bhūtaparikalpāt pravartata iti in place of ime sarve samklešā abhūtaparikalpāt pravartanta iti; Ms.(12b.7): "bhūtaparikalpāt pravartata iti. Cf. also Bhāyay N22.8.

³²⁰ Read: cittacaittăfrayatvăt samkleśasya as per Ms.(12b,7) in place of cittacaittă ăfrayatvăt samkleśasya; Tib. sems dań sems las byuń be mams ni kun nas 6on mońs pa'i gnas yin pa'i phyir te (D211a.5).

³²¹ Read: uktam caitad in place of uktam hi tad; Ms.(12b.7): uktaś caitad, Tib. de yań ... zes bśad pa yin no (D211a.5).

The Summary Meaning of the Imagination of What is Unreal.

N22.11 [To recapitulate], the summary meaning of the imagination of what is unreal is revealed as consisting in nine types of characteristic: (a) the characteristic of existence, (b) the characteristic of non-existence, (c) the individual characteristic, (d) the characteristic of the totality, (e) the characteristic of the expedient for entry into the characteristic of non-existence, (f) the characteristic of the differentiation, (g) the characteristic of the synonyms, (h) the actualizing characteristic and (i) the characteristic of defilement.

[Sthiramati]

Y44.13 [To recapitulate], the summary meaning of the imagination of what is unreal...; the explanation of the meaning in detail is for the purpose of reaching an understanding with ease. Whereas the vplanation of the summary meaning is for the purpose of remembering it. Consequently, both meanings are stated here: (a) The characteristic of existence: "there is the imagination of what is unreal" (I.1 a). (b) The characteristic of non-existence: "the duality is not found therein" (I.1 b). (c) The individual characteristic: "consciousness comes into being in the appearances of objects, sentient beings, the self and representations" (1.3 ab). (d) The characteristic of the totality: "the imaginary, the other-dependent and indeed the perfected" (I.5 ab). (e) The characteristic of the expedient for entry into the characteristic of non-existence: "based upon perception, non-perception comes into being" (I.6 ab). (f) The characteristic of the differentiation: "the imagination of what is unreal consists in the mind and the mental concomitants that pertain to the three realms of existence" (I.8 ab). (g) The characteristic of the synonyms: "therein, vision in regard to the object consists in consciousness, but in regard to particulars, it consists in the mental concomitants" (I.8 cd). (h) The actualizing characteristic: "the first is consciousness as causal condition, the second pertains to sense-experience" (I.9 ab). (i) the characteristic of defilement: "due to concealing, implanting, conducting, encapsulating..." (I.10 ab) etc.

2. Emptiness.

Introductory.

N22.17 Having thus stated the imagination of what is unreal, he now explains the way in which emptiness should be understood.

I.12 ab

Now (a) the characteristic of emptiness, (b) its synonyms, (c) their meanings, (d) its differentiation and (e) logical proof, are to be understood in total.

[Sthiramati]

Y45.15 [1] Having stated the ninefold characteristics of the imagination of what is unreal, he now explains the manner in which emptiness should be understood³²². What is the relation here [between them]? The two were introduced by him [i.e. Vasubandhu] as: (a) the imagination of the unreal and emptiness; and (b) purification which is preceded by defilement and the correct determination of real nature (dharmatā) which has for its basis an understanding of the dharmas. Hence, immediately following the explanation of the imagination of the unreal, he explains the manner in which emptiness should be understood.

Y46 I.12 a

Now (a) the characteristic [of emptiness], (b) its synonyms³²³ etc.

(a) The characteristic, in this regard, consists in the denial of both existence and non-existence³²⁴ because emptiness pervades all differentiations³²⁵. (b) A synonym is a different name³²⁶ [for something]. (c) [The possession of] a similar quality on the part of the synonym - being the reason for the use of the synonym - constitutes the meaning of the synonyms. (d) Although [emptiness] is devoid of conceptual differentiation because it is characterized by non-differentiation, like space, differentiation [can be

³²² Read: evam abhltaparikalpam lakṣapam navavidham khyāpayitvā yathā śūnyatā vijāeyā tan nirdiśatīti in place of evam abhūtaparikalpalakṣanam navaprakāram uktvā yathā śūnyatā jiāyate tat khyāpayatti; cf. Bhāṣya N22.17.

³²³ Read: lakşanarı cătha paryāya in place of lakşanam atha paryāya; cf. Bhāsya N22.19.

³²⁴ Read (with T&B38.7): tatra lakṣaṇam bhāvābhāvapratiṣedhātmakam in place of tatra lakṣaṇam hi bhāvābhāvapratiṣedhātmatā; Tib. de la mtshan nid ni dhos po dan dhos po med pa dgag pa'i bdag fiid de (D211b.5).

³²⁵ Read: śūnyatāyāḥ sarvaprabhedavyāpakatvāt in place of sarvatra śūnyatāprabhedavyāpakatvāt, Tib. ston pa nid kyis rab tu dbye ba thams cad la khyab pa'i phyir (D211b.5).

³²⁶ Ms.(13a,3): nāmānantaram, but Y's rendering of nāmāntaram is preferred.

madel because of its different states that are associated with or are separate from adventitious secondary defilement. Moreover, its differentiation is sixteenfold according to the imputative differences in regard to the personal entity (pudgala) and the dharmas. (e) The logical proof refers to the reasoning in regard to the demonstration of the differentiation of emptiness. What then is the reason that emptiness should be understood by way of these modes? It should be understood: (a) by way of the characteristic by those who seek purity because it is the objective support of purity. (b) For the sake of non-confusion in regard to the excellent 327 explanations by means of synonyms in other Sutras [it should be understood] by way of its synonyms. (c) When the meaning of its synonyms is understood³²⁸ fit should be understood! by way of the meaning of its synonyms³²⁹ because emptiness is ascertained as the objective support of purity. (d) Since it is purified when defilement is removed (it should be understood] by way of its differentiation in order to generate diligence for the relinquishment of 330 that defilement. (e) It should also be understood by way of the logical proof of its differentiation since, although there is no modification, its differentiation is easily understood due to an awareness of the logical proof of its differentiation.

a. The Characteristic of Emptiness.

N22.22 How should the characteristic be understood?

I.13 ab

The non-existence of the duality, which consists in the existence of a non-existent, is the characteristic of emptiness;

There is the non-existence of the duality of apprehended object and apprehending subject. The existence of that non-existent is the characteristic of emptiness. Thus it has been revealed that emptiness has the characteristic of the own-being of a non-existent. Moreover, this own-being of that non-existent, it:

I.13 c

Neither exists nor does it not exist:

N23

³²⁷ Ms.(13a.4): paryäyägranirdeśesv contrary to Y's reading; agra is not found in the Tib. (cf. D211b.7).

³²⁸ Read: paryāyārthāvabodhe in place of paryāyārthāvabodhārthāc; Tib. mam grańs kyi don khoń du chud par gyur na (D211b.7 - 212a.1); Ms.(13a.4): paryāyārthāvabodhārthā-.

³²⁹ Read: paryāyārthatah in place of paryāyārthah in conformity with the previous explanations.

³³⁰ Read perhaps: -prahāṇāyādarotpādanārtham in place of -prahāṇāyā yatnotpādanārtham; Tib. spats pa'i phyir bad pa skyed pa'i don du (D212a.1). Ms.(13a.5): -prahāṇāyādanotpādanārtham contray to Y's fin.4 p.46.

How can it be non-existent? Because there is the non-existence of the duality. How can it be not non-existent? Because there is the existence of the non-existence of the duality. And this is the characteristic of emptiness. Therefore, in relation to unreal imagination:

I.13 d The characteristic consists neither in difference nor identity.

If there were difference, real nature (dharmatā) would be something other than a dharma, like the impermanence and painfulness [of something impermanent and painful], which is not tenable. If there were identity, there would not be an objective support of purity, [consisting in direct intuition]³³¹, nor would there be a universal characteristic. In this way, its characteristic has been revealed as being devoid of identity and difference.

[Sthiramati]

Y46.17 [1] How should the characteristic be understood? Since the characteristic was listed initially³³², hence it is that which is queried from the very beginning.

I.13 ab

Y47

The non-existence of the duality, which consists in the existence of a non-existent, is the characteristic of emptiness³³³.

Thus should it be understood. There is the non-existence, in the nature of an entity, of the duality of apprehended object and apprehending subject, because its nature is imaginatively constructed either in the imagination of what is unreal, or by the imagination of what is unreal. This existence of that non-existent duality is the characteristic of emptiness. Owing to the rules of prosody in the above verse, the abstract suffix³³⁴ [i.e. the tā of śūnyatā] is to be regarded as expressed although omitted. What is meant by "the existence of a non-existent"? The nature of a non-existent is existence, otherwise, because its non-existence would not exist as an [empty] existent³³⁵, there would be the existence of the existent duality. This is why he says: Thus it has been revealed that emptiness has the char-

³³¹ jääna is omitted from both Ms. and Tib. Bhäsya.

³³² Ms.(13a.5); praguddista-; disregard Y's fn.5 p.46.

³³³ Read: dvayābhāvo hy abhāvasya bhāvah śūnyasya lakṣanam in place of dvayābhāvo hy abhāvasya bhāvaś ca śūnyalakṣanam; cf. Bhāsya N22.23.

³³⁴ Ms.(11a.6): bhāvapratyayo lupta-; disregard Y's fn.7 p.46.

³³⁵ Read: tadabhāvasya bhāvato (Tib. expands bhāvato to bhāvaśūnyato) 'vidyamānatvāt as per Ms.(13a.7) in place of Y's tadbhāvasya śūnyatāvidyamānatvāt or his revised version: tadbhāvasya bhāvaḥ śūnyato 'vidyamānatvāt (cf. etrata p.129); Tib. de'i dhos po med pa'i dhos po ston pa med pai phyir (D212a.4-5).

acteristic of the own-being of a non-existent³³⁶, i.e. it is not characterized by the nature of an existent. [Objection]: The word "existence" is superfluous here because this meaning is understood as being implicit in the word "non-existence" even if the word "existence" is omitted, since it is a statement about the denial of existence. [Response]: It is not superfluous. If it were only stated that "the non-existence of the duality is the characteristic of emptiness", one would understand³³⁷ the non-existence of the duality as just an independent reality, like the non-existence of the horns of a hare, and not the fact that it consists in real nature, like the painfulness etc. [of something painful]. Therefore the non-existence of the duality is thus described as emptiness and the existence of its non-existence in the imagination of what is unreal is also described as emptiness. The fact that [emptiness] has the nature of real nature is demonstrated because it is included as the characteristic of the existence of a non-existent.

[2] Alternatively, since the word "non-existence" in the statement "the non-existence of the duality is emptiness" has only general significance, it cannot be discerned here which non-existence is intended. Thus in order to demonstrate its absolute non-existence it is said: "there is the existence of the non-existence of the duality in the imagination of what is unreal", for antecedent non-existence [i.e. before coming into being] and subsequent non-existence [i.e. after passing from being] cannot be spoken of other than as self-appropriation³³⁸. Moreover, reciprocal non-existence, i.e. as having a single basis, is not tenable because a [separate] basis is required in both cases. Therefore, because it appropriates the characteristic of the non-existence of an existent, it has been shown that it is indeed the absolute non-existence of the apprehended object and apprehending subject that is [equivalent to] emptiness.

[3] If emptiness has the nature of non-existence, how can it be described as the absolute? Because it is the object of the highest direct intuition, like the impermanence [of what is impermanent], but not because it is an entity. Moreover, this does not have a non-existent own-being, because this own-being of that non-existent, it³³⁹:

I.13 c Neither exists nor does it not exist;

How can it be non-existent? Because there is the non-existence of the duality, for if it were an existent there would not be the absolute non-existence of the duality 340, nor would there be the real nature of the imagination of what is unreal. How can it be not non-existent? Because there is the existence of the

³³⁶ Read: ity abhāvasvabhāvalakşanatvam as per Ms.(13a.7) in place of ity abhāvasvabhāvo lakṣanatvam; cf. Dhāṣya N23.1.

³³⁷ Ms.(13a.8): evätragamyste but Y's emendation to evävagamyste is preferred; cf. his fn.3 p.47.

³³⁸ Read: svopādānād anyatra as per Ms.(13b.1) in place of svopādānād anyā.

³³⁹ Read: yaś cāsau tadabhāvasvabhāvah sa in place of yas tadabhāvasvabhāvah sa; cf. Bhāsya N23.2.

³⁴⁰ Read: dvayasyātyantābhāvah syāt as per Ms.(13b,3) in place of dvayabhāvasyātyantābhāvah syāt.

non-existence of the duality, ³⁴¹ for the non-existence of the duality is not [equivalent to] the non-existence, by way of own-being, of the non-existent duality. If it were that non-existent, the duality would exist and there would not be the real nature of the imagination of what is unreal; and, by analogy; [there would be no] impermanence and painfulness [of what is impermanent and painful]. It is said to be neither existent nor non-existent because it has the nature of the non-existence of a permanent and pleasurable entity which is imputed through erroneous inversion on the part of sentient beings.

[4] If the emptiness of the imagination of what is unreal is real nature, ³⁴²should this be described as other than that [imagination of what is unreal], or not other? Hence he says: and this ³⁴³ is the characteristic of emptiness; i.e. it is the very own-being of non-existence ³⁴⁴. Alternatively, existence itself has the nature of the denial of non-existence. Therefore, in relation to unreal imagination:

I.13 d The characteristic consists neither in difference nor identity.

If there were difference, real nature (dharmata) would be something other than a dharma which is not tenable. Why is it not tenable? Because if its characteristic were different from a dharma, real nature would in fact be another dharma, like any dharma other than it; however, one dharma cannot be the real nature of another dharma because, in that case, another dharma would have to be sought [to account for real naturel and there would be an infinite regress. Like the impermanence and painfulness [of something impermanent and painful]; i.e., just as impermanence is not other than what is impermanent and painfulness [is not other] than what is painful³⁴⁵, so too is emptiness not other than what is empty. If there were identity, there would not be an objective support of purity, nor would there be a universal characteristic. Since one is purified by it, the path is purity³⁴⁶. The path would not be an objective support, like the individual characteristic of a dharma, because there would be no difference from the individual characteristic of that dharma. Therefore, since it would not be different from the individual characteristic, the universal characteristic would not be tenable. Also, since it can be differentiated from something else, just as the essential nature of one dharma [can be differentiated from another], universality is lost. Alternatively, because the individual characteristic would not be different from this [universal characteristic] there would be

³⁴¹ Note: this section (Y48.2 - 48.11) is translated from the Tib. since it is omitted from the Ms.(13b.3). Fn.342 below marks the point where the Ms. resumes.

³⁴² The Ms. continues from this point.

³⁴³ Read: etac ca as per Ms.(13b.3) in place of etac.

³⁴⁴ Read: abhāvasvabhāva eva in place of abhāvasya svarūpam eva; Tib. dhos po med pa'i no bo hid kho na (D212b,7).

³⁴⁵ Ms.(13b.5): duhkhatā ca duhkhād; disregard Y's fn.4 p.48.

³⁴⁶ Ms.(13b,5): viśuddhir; disregard Y's fn.5 p.48.

no difference, like [in the case of] the essential nature of an existent thing³⁴⁷. Consequently, there would be no universal as well because the universal characteristic depends upon the fact of differentiation³⁴⁸ [i.e. such as between the universal and the individual characteristic]. Alternatively, an objective support of purity is an objective support for purification; and the individual characteristic of something, if it is taken as [an objective] support, does not bring purity because all sentient beings would already be purified.

[5] If [emptiness] cannot be described in terms of difference and identity [in relation to the imagination of what is unreal], why is the doctrine of the Nirgrantha not given credence? Because one who believes in the Nirgrantha doctrine does not make a distinction in regard to the difference or identity of something that truly exists³⁴⁹. However, since emptiness is not an existent, there is no fault here.

[6] Thus emptiness is: (a) the characteristic of non-existence, (b) the characteristic of the essential nature of non-existence, (c) the characteristic of the absence of the duality and (d) its characteristic has been revealed as being devoid of identity and difference. The characteristic of emptiness has now been described.

b. The Synonyms of Emptiness.

N23.13 How are the synonyms to be understood?

I.14 abcd

(a) Thusness, (b) the limit of what is real, (c) the signless, (d) the absolute and (e) the dharmadhātu are the synonyms of emptiness in brief.

[Sthiramati]

Y49.16 [1] Now the synonyms are described:

I.14 abcd

(a) Thusness, (b) the limit of what is real, (c) the signless, (d) the absolute and (e) the dharmadhātu are the synonyms of emptiness in brief.

³⁴⁷ Tib. is slightly different: "...there would be no differentiation of the essential nature of an entity"; datos po'i ran gi no bo tha dad pa med do (D213a.5).

³⁴⁸ Ms.(13b.6): bheds-; disregard Y's fn.2 p.49.

³⁴⁹ Read: yo hi bhāvasya satas tattvānyatve na vyākaroti in place of yo hi bhāvasya satas tattvānyatvena [na] vyākaroti; Tib. drios po yod pa la de fiid dai gžan du lun mi ston pa gan yin pa (D213a.7).

A synonym³⁵⁰ is well known as a different word³⁵¹ for the one thing. It is described as a synonym since it is expressive of a synonymous meaning. The one and the same emptiness is explained in other Sūtras by these terms. Although these five synonyms as mentioned in the verse are the principal ones, the other synonyms³⁵² that are not mentioned here are to be learned from the scriptures; for example: the absence of the duality, the realm without conceptual differentiation, real nature, the inexpressible, absence of cessation, the unconditioned and nirvāna etc.

c. The Meaning of the Synonyms of Emptiness.

N23.17 How should the meaning of the synonyms be understood?

I.15 abcd

Y50

The meaning of the synonyms are, respectively: (a) immutability, (b) the absence of erroneous inversion, (c) the cessation of those [signs], (d) the sphere of the Noble Ones and (e) the cause of the noble qualities.

It is thusness in the sense of immutability, considering that it is eternally just thus. It is the limit of what is real in the sense of the freedom from erroneous inversion because there is no foundation for erroneous inversion. It is signless in the sense of the cessation of signs because of the absence of all signs. Because it is the sphere of the direct intuition of the Noble Ones, it is the absolute for it is the domain of the highest direct intuition. Because it is the cause of the noble qualities, it is the dharmadhātu, for the noble qualities arise with that as their support - in this context the meaning of "dhātu" is 'cause' (hetu).

[Sthiramati]

Y50.3 [1] How should the meaning of its synonyms be understood³⁵³? He shows this as follows: these words are not metaphors, rather, they conform with the actual meaning [of emptiness].

³⁵⁰ Ms.(13b.8): iti paryāyo; disregard Y's fn.6 p.49.

³⁵¹ Read: bhinnaíabdatvena prasiddhah in place of bhinnaíabdatvam pratyäyayati, Tib. sgra tha dad par grag pa sto (D213b.2).

³⁵² Ms.(14a.1): paryāyā; disregard Y's fn. 7 p.49.

³⁵³ Read: viillevs in place of ifflivate; cf. Bhisva N23.17.

I.15 abcd

The meaning of the synonyms are, respectively: (a) immutability, (b) the absence of erroneous inversion, (c) the cessation of those [signs], (d) the sphere of the Noble Ones and (e) the cause of the noble qualities.

It is thusness in the sense of immutability. What is meant is: in the sense of unchanging. In order to demonstrate just this, he says: considering that it is eternally just thus³⁵⁴. What is meant is: it is unchanging because it is unconditioned always, i.e. at all times 355. It is the limit of what is real in the sense of the freedom from crroneous inversion: the real means: the true and non-erroneously inverted. The limit is the extremity; i.e. beyond this there is nothing to be known. Hence the limit of what is real³⁵⁶ is described as the extremity of what is real. How can thusness be described as the extremity of the knowable?³⁵⁷ Because it is the sphere of direct intuition that is purified³⁵⁸ from obscuration consisting in the knowable. The words: "in the sense of the freedom from erroneous inversion" are equivalent to: 'in the sense of the freedom from superimposition and negation'. Here now he gives the reason; because there is no foundation for erroneous inversion. Erroneous inversion is fequivalent to conceptual differentiation; femptiness is not a foundation³⁵⁹ for erroneous inversion because it is not an objective support for conceptual differentiation. It is signless in the sense of the cessation of signs 360. Signlessness, in this context, is described as the cessation of signs. In order to demonstrate just this, he says: because of the absence of all signs. Since emptiness is empty of all signs, both conditioned and unconditioned, it is described as signless. It is signless because of the non-existence of all signs; only that which is without signs³⁶¹ is signless. Because it is the sphere of the direct Intuition of the Noble Ones, it is the absolute362. For, supramundane direct intuition is the highest (parama); the object (artha) of that is the absolute (paramartha) in order to demonstrate just this, he says: since it is the domain³⁶³ of the highest direct intuition.³⁶⁴ Because it is the cause of the noble qualities, it

³⁵⁴ Read: nityan tathaiveti kṛṭvā in place of nityam tathātvād; cf. Bhāṣya N23.20.

³⁵⁵ Read: sarvakāle in place of sarvadā: Tib. dus thams cad (D213b.5).

³⁵⁶ Ms.(14a.3): bhūtakoţi; disregard Y's fn.5 p.50.

³⁵⁷ Read: katham tathatā jūeyaparyanta ucyate in place of katham tathatā jūeyam ucyate; Tib. ji ltar de bžin ūid šes par bya ba mu žes bya že nz; (213b.6).

³⁵⁸ Read: viśuddha in place of viśodhana; Tib. mam par dag pa (D213b.7).

³⁵⁹ Tib. omits: vastu (gźi); cf. D214a.1.

³⁶⁰ Read: nimittanirodhārthenānimittam in place of nimittanirodhād animittam; cf. Bhāsya N23.21-

³⁶¹ Ms.(14a.5): animitta, but Y's animittam is preferred.

³⁶² Read: paramārtha iti in place of paramārthateti: cf. Bhāsva N23.23.

³⁶³ gocara; but Bhāsya; visaya,

³⁶⁴ etad eva pradarásyann āha paramajňānagocaratvād iti, is omitted from the Tib. (cf. 214a.2).

is the dharmadhātu. In this context it is the noble qualities that are [referred to] by the word dharma, i.e. those beginning with correct view and concluding with correct liberation and direct intuition; since it is the cause of these, it is the source (dhātu). In order to illustrate just this he says: for the noble qualities arise with that as their support. Since this term dhātu also occurs in the sense of a base for both the individual characteristic and the [twenty-four] secondary forms of matter³⁶⁵, he says: in this context, the meaning of "dhātu" is 'cause' (hetu); for example, [a mine is described as] the source of gold or the source of copper³⁶⁶. Other synonyms that are also mentioned in other Sūtras should be explained by way of their intrinsic meaning in accordance with this method.

d. The Differentiation of Emptiness.

N24.4 How should the differentiation of emptiness be understood?

I.16 a As defiled and pure;

Thus is its differentiation. In which state is it defiled and in which is it pure?

I.16 b

Y51

It is both accompanied by stain and devoid of stain;

When it is accompanied by stain, it is defiled; when that stain has been relinquished, it is pure. If, after being stained it becomes devoid of stain, how can it not be impermanent since it possesses the quality of change? Because its:

I.16 cd

Purity is considered as like the purity of elementary water, gold and space.

Due to the removal of adventitious stain, however there is no alteration to its own-being.

[Sthiramati]

Y51.7 [1] [Vasubandhu] asks [the following question] since the differentiation of emptiness is not possible because it has the nature of the non-existence of the apprehended object

³⁶⁵ Read: svalakşanopādāyarūpadhāraņe in place of svalakşanopādāya rūpadhāraņe; Tib. ran gi mishan ñid dan rgyur byas ba'i gzugs 'dzin pa la (D214a.4).

³⁶⁶ Read: suvarnadhātus tāmradhātur iti in place of suvarnadhātus tāmradhātū raupyadhātuh; Tib. gser khun dan zans khun báin no (214a.5).

and apprehending subject; or else, it was stated that its differentiation should be understood immediately following the [section on the] meanings of its synonyms. Hence, immediately after the explanation of the latter, he asks: how should the differentiation of emptiness be understood? The imagination of the unreal is [equivalent to] defilement - when that is relinquished, it is described as purity; and at the times of defilement and of purification³⁶⁷ there is nothing else that is subject to defilement and to purification apart from emptiness. Therefore, in order to demonstrate that at the times of defilement and of purification it is just emptiness that is subject to defilement and to purification, he says:

I.16 a As defiled and pure:

Thus is its differentiation.³⁶⁸ Since it is not known when it is defiled and when it is devoid of stain, he asks: in which state is it defiled and in which is it nure³⁶⁹?

I.16 b it is both accompanied by stain and devoid of stain³⁷⁰ etc.

[Emptiness] is respectively determined to be accompanied by stain³⁷¹ and as having its stain relinquished depending upon whether or not there is a turning about of the basis. Emptiness does not manifest for those who do not know, whose mental continuum possesses the stain of defilement of the notional attachment to both the apprehended object and apprehending subject and passion etc., due to the faults of both lack of insight and wrong insight - with regard to such [people] it is determined as being accompanied by stain. However, the emptiness which is unblemished like space manifests continually for the Noble Ones whose minds are free from erroneous inversion because of their direct intuition of reality - with regard to such [people] it is said to have had its stain relinquished. The fact that emptiness has a relationship³⁷² with defilement and purity in this way should be seen, although its own-being does not possess stain because it is luminous by nature.

[2] If, after being stained...³⁷³ Since a differentiation in state is not seen without a modification, and since modification is logically connected with production and destruction, he says: how can it not be impermanent since it possesses the quality of change? Because there is no other modification of emptiness apart from

³⁶⁷ Read: samkleśaviśuddhikālayoś in place of samkleśaviśuddhikāla; cf. Y51.13.

³⁶⁸ Ms.(14b.2): asyāprabhedah but Y's rendering of asyāh prabhedah is preferred.

³⁶⁹ Read: kasyām avasthāyām samklistā kasyām viśuddhā in place of kadā samkliśyate kadā viśudhyata; cf. Bhāsya N24.6.

³⁷⁰ Read: samalā nirmalā ca sā in place of sā samalā nirmalā ca; cf. Bhāsya N24.8.

³⁷¹ Read: saha malena as per Ms.(14b.3) in place of samalā ca.

³⁷² Read: **Epeksiki as per Ms.(14b.4) in place of **Epeksiki**, Tib. Itos ps can (D214b.4).

³⁷³ Read: yadi samalā bhūtvā in place of yadi samalā syād; cf. Bhāsya N24.10.

[the modification] from a defiled state to a pure state³⁷⁴, [emptiness], established in reality, does not take on a different own-being due to the removal of adventitious stain³⁷⁵; because [its]:

I.16 cd

Purity is considered as like the purity of elementary water, gold and space.

Therefore, it is not impermanent. For example, elementary water, gold and space 60 not possess stain for their own-being because they do not have such an own-being; both when possessing adventitious stain and also when adventitious stain is removed, while not taking on a different own-being, they remain pure. Similarly, emptiness too is defiled by adventitious stain and is purified as the result of the removal of that, although its own-being is unchanged. For, he who determines the one and the same entity initially as having the characteristic of defilement and then subsequently as having purity for its own-being, does not [avoid the conclusion] that a dharma which is modified is destroyed due to a modification in its own-being. However, this is not so when both [i.e. defilement and purity] are adventitious; therefore, this [process] does not 'touch' the real nature of change [i.e. emptiness].

The Sixteen Kinds of Emptiness.

N24.15 There is another differentiation, [namely], the sixteen types of emptiness: (a) internal emptiness, (b) external emptiness, (c) internal and external emptiness, (d) universal emptiness, (e) the emptiness of emptiness, (f) the emptiness of the absolute, (g) the emptiness of the conditioned, (h) the emptiness of the unconditioned, (i) absolute emptiness, (j) emptiness without beginning or end, (k) emptiness of non-rejection, (l) intrinsic emptiness, (m) emptiness of characteristic, (n) the emptiness of all dharmas, (o) emptiness of non-existence and (p) emptiness of the own-being of non-existence - these should be known in brief as:

I.17 abcd

The emptiness of the foundation for:
(a) the enjoyer, (b) enjoyment, (c)
the body [which is the locus] of
these and (d) the support. The

³⁷⁴ Read: na hi samklisjāvasthātah śūnyatāyā višuddhāvasthāyām anyo vikārah in place of na hi samklisjāvasthātah sūnyatīvišuddhāvasthāyām anyo vikārah, Tib. kun nas fion mods pai gnas skabs ila stoto pa did man par dag pai gnas skabs su 'gyur pa gžan med kyi (D214b.5).

³⁷⁵ Read perhapa: tativasthidiyās tu svabhāvanaram anāpadyamānāyā in place of tativasthitiā tu svabhāvāntaram anāpadyamānā; Tih.: de kho na fild du gnas par rati bžin gžan du 'gyur ba med pa sto 'go bur gyi dri ma dati brai ba'i phyir (D214b.5). Ms.(14b.6): -vāntaram anāpadyamānātā.

N26

emptiness of that [knowledge]: (a) through which the latter is seen, (b) the manner in which it is seen and (c) for the sake of which [the bodhisattys aspires].

Of these, the emptiness of the enjoyer refers to the internal sense-fields. The emptiness of enjoyment [refers to] the external [sense-fields]. The body belonging to those is the physical body which is the locus of both the enjoyer and enjoyment - the emptiness of that is described as internal and external emptiness. The foundation for the support refers to the inanimate world - the emptiness of that is described as universal emptiness because of its extensiveness. Moreover, as to the knowledge of emptiness through which the internal sense-fields etc. are seen to be empty - the emptiness of that is the emptiness of emptiness. Also, the way in which they are seen in an aspect of the absolute - the emptiness of that is the emptiness of the absolute. And there is the emptiness of that for the sake of which the bodhisattva aspires. For the sake of what does he aspire?

I.18 a For the attainment of the dual virtues;

[For the attainment] of the wholesome which is both conditioned and

I.18 b And for the welfare of sentient beings, always;

For the perpetual welfare of sentient beings;

I.18 c And for the non-abandonment of samsāra;

Because one who does not see the emptiness of samsara, which is without beginning and end, would become wearied and completely abandon samsara.

I.18 d And for the non-extinction of the wholesome.

That which, even in the nirvāpa that is devoid of the remnants of existence, he does not throw away or dismiss; the emptiness of that is described as the emptiness of non-rejection.

I.19 a

And for the purity of the spiritual lineage (gotra);

Because the spiritual lineage is intrinsic since it derives from ownbeing.

I.19 b

For the attainment of the principal and secondary marks;

For the attainment of the principal and secondary characteristic marks of a supreme being.

I.19 cd

The bodhisattva aspires for the purity of the Buddha qualities.

Such as the [ten] powers, the [four] intrepidities and the special qualities etc. The establishment of the [first] fourteen emptinesses should be understood in this way. What again is emptiness in this regard?

I.20 abcd

The non-existence of the personal entity and of the *dharmas* is [one] emptiness here, and the actual existence of their non-existence in that [enjeyer etc.] is another emptiness.

The non-existence of the personal entity and the *dharmas* is one emptiness and the actual existence of their non-existence in the above-mentioned enjoyer etc. is another emptiness. In order to state the characteristic of emptiness he respectively determines emptiness as twofold at the end, [namely], the emptiness of non-existence and the emptiness of the own-being of non-existence for the purpose of avoiding imputation in regard to the personal entity and the *dharmas* and the negation of their emptiness, in due order. The differentiation of emptiness should be understood in this way.

[Sthiramati]

Y52.19 [1] Since all differentiations of emptiness should be described in the section that explains its differentiation³⁷⁶, he says this is another differentiation: the sixteen types of emptiness. It is sixteenfold according to its differentiation in relation to [various] entities, however, there is no differentiation in regard to its own-being which consists in the non-existence of the duality. These sixteen types are taught in the Praiñāpāramitās as emptiness, beginning with internal emptiness and concluding

³⁷⁶ Ms.(15a,1); prabheda...; disregard Y's fn.3 p.52.

with the emptiness of the own-being of non-existence. These should be known in brief as:

I.17 ab

Y53

The emptiness of the foundation for:
(a) the enjoyer, (b) enjoyment, (c)
the body [which is the locus] of
these and (d) the support etc.

Emptiness is a universal characteristic because all *dharmas* have the essential nature of the non-existence of the duality. Since it is not possible to show its multiplicity in any other way, he shows its multiplicity by way of its multiple foundations.

- [2] From the very beginning, the enjoyer is to be annihilated [through clear understanding]³⁷⁷ in order to abandon one's affection and notional attachment to it; for this affection and notional attachment are impediments to the attainment of liberation and Buddhahood. Immediately after that, the enjoyment belonging to that [enjoyer] [is to be annihilated]. Immediately after that, the physical body which is the locus of both of these [is to be annihilated]. Then, the inanimate world, i.e. the support for the physical body which is the locus for both [the enjoyer and the enjoyment] is to be annihilated [through clear understanding] in order to destroy the grasping of and affection for [the inanimate world] as belonging to the self because it is of service to the enjoyer. These are the four types of foundation the emptiness of these is described as the emptiness of the foundation.
- [3] Of these, the emptiness of the enjoyer refers to the internal sense-fields³⁷⁸, beginning with 'sight' up until 'mind'. Because there is no agent of enjoyment apart from these and because they see the eyes etc. as active in the sense-experience of objects, people have an erroneous view of the 'enjoyer' especially in regard to sight and the other [senses]; therefore the emptiness of the sense-fields of sight etc. is described as the emptiness of the enjoyer.
- [4] The emptiness of enjoyment [refers to] the external [sense-fields]³⁷⁹; beginning with 'form' up until the 'non-sensible'. Since they are enjoyed (bhujyante) as entities of the sensory domain, they are [described as] enjoyments (bhojana). Hence the emptiness of the external sense-fields is described as the emptiness of enjoyment.
- [5] The body belonging to those is the physical body because both the enjoyer and the enjoyment are established as mutually inseparable [entities]³⁸⁰ in

³⁷⁷ vibhāvayitavyah; Tib. gźig par bya (to be destroyed); (D215a.5).

³⁷⁸ Read: ādhyātmikāny āyatanāny in place of ādhyātmikāyatanair; cf. Bhāsya N25.2.

³⁷⁹ Read: bhojanaśūnyatā bāhyānīti in place of bhojanaśūnyatā bāhyair iti; cf. Bhāṣya N25.3.

³⁸⁰ Read: parasparāvinirbhāgena as per Ms.(15a.6) in place of parasparāvinibhāgena...

the physical body; hence the emptiness of that is described as internal and external emptiness³⁸¹.

[6] The foundation for the support refers to the inanimate world; because it is perceived as the foundation for the support of sentient beings in every respect³⁸². This is why he says: the emptiness of that is described as universal emptiness because of its extensiveness. The word "foundation" is connected individually [with each of the four categories discussed].

[7] To that bodhisattva-vogi who is mentally attentive, through proper mental attention accompanied by deliberation in regard to the emptiness of the four types of entity³⁸³ that are to be known, a different 'sign-grasping' becomes evident, [namely] - that knowledge of emptiness through which this (entity) consisting in the internal and external sense-fields etc. is seen to be empty384. There is [a twofold] conceptual differentiation: (a) the notional attachment to the apprehended object and apprehending subject and (b) just this here is an aspect of the absolute according to what is seen through that knowledge of emptiness. For the sake of the annihilation [through clear understanding] of these two modes of conceptual differentiation which have the sign of error pertinent to the spiritual level of the yogi, (a) the emptiness of emptiness and (b) the emptiness of the absolute [are indicated], respectively. These are indicated, bearing in mind that the words "knowledge" and "aspect" are [respectively] omitted 385 [i.e. the full expressions would be \$\sum_{\text{unyata}}(i\text{nana})\sum_{\text{unyata}} and paramārtha(ākāra)śūnyatāl. Alternatively, that knowledge is described as emptiness386 because it has emptiness for its object. The emptiness, of the existence of the apprehended object and apprehending subject, of that is the emptiness of emptiness. Also, the way in which [the latter, i.e.] the internal sense-fields etc., are seen through that knowledge of emptiness as the absolute in this context - the emptiness of that aspect is the emptiness of the absolute. What is the reason? Because the absolute is empty of the imaginary nature 387.

[8] The other 'sign-grasping' [referred to above] is harmful to the meditative development of emptiness; the essential nature of an entity (bhāva) is imputed upon that for the sake of which the bodhisattva aspires³⁸⁸ to [the understanding of] emptiness. In order to clearly understand this emptiness has been explained, beginning with

³⁸¹ Read: tacchūnyatā 'dhyātmabahirdhāšūnyatety ucyate in place of tacchūnyatādhyātmabāhyašūnyatety ucyate; cf. Bhāṣya N25.4-5; 'dhyātmabahirdhāšūnyatety is omitted from the Tib. cf. D215h.2.

³⁸² Read: sarvathā in place of sarvatra; Tib. thams cad du (D215b.3).

³⁸³ Tib.: gźi should read: bźi (D215b.3).

³⁸⁴ Read: - adi ślinyam in place of - adiślinyam; cf. Bhasya N25.6.

³⁸⁵ Read: ifilinākāralopam krtvā nirdiste as per Ms.(15b.2) contrary to Y's fn.1 p.54.

³⁸⁶ Tib. reads: "...is described as empty (stori pa)", and not "emptiness"; cf. D215b.6.

³⁸⁷ Tib. is slightly different: "because emptiness, which is the absolute, is empty of the imaginary nature"; stod pa fild ni don dam pa ste kun brings pa'i rad báin gyis stod pa ées bya (D215b.7).

³⁸⁸ pratipadyate; Tib. sgrub pa, however Bhāsya: prapadyate and Tib. sgrub par byed pa.

the emptiness of the conditioned and concluding with the emptiness of all dharmas. For the sake of what does he aspire?

I.18 a

For the attainment of the dual virtues:

He aspires to [the understanding of] emptiness for the purity [of all virtues] concluding with the Buddha qualities; what is meant is: he cultivates emptiness [for the attainment] of the wholesome which is both conditioned and unconditioned³⁸⁹, i.e. the path and nirvāna³⁹⁰; the emptiness of the conditioned and the emptiness of the unconditioned refer respectively to the latter two.

191

Y55

I.18 b

And for the welfare of sentient beings, always;

[The bodhisattva makes the following resolve]: "I shall act in the welfare of sentient beings in every way and at all times". The emptiness of this is absolute emptiness.

[10]

I.18 c

And for the non-abandonment of samsara:

[The bodhisattva makes the following resolve]: "For the sake of sentient beings I shall not abandon saṃsāra". If he were to abandon saṃsāra, [the bodhisattva] would not attain enlightenment because he would remain at the śrāvaka-level³⁹¹. The emptiness that pertains to this is the emptiness without beginning or end; but why is the emptiness of this taught?³⁹² Hence he responds: because one who does not see the emptiness of saṃsāra, which is without beginning and end, would become wearied and completely abandon³⁹³ saṃsāra.

[11]

I.18 d

And for the non-extinction of the wholesome 394.

[The bodhisattva makes the following resolve]: "The roots of the wholesome shall not be destroyed³⁹⁵ by me even in the nirvana devoid of the remnants of exis-

³⁸⁹ Read: kuśalasya samskitasyāsamskitasya ca in place of śubhadvayam hi samskitam ca; cf. Bhāsya N25.12.

³⁹⁰ Ms.(15b.4): nirvānań cz. disregard Y's fn.1 p.55.

³⁹¹ This rendering is on the basis of the This. Ishor be you's su ban ne byen chub me rifed par/fan thos kyi ser gnes par 'gyur bas (D216a.4). The Sanskrit is problematical - Ms.(15b.6): -va bodhim áravekabodhau vyavatishtete, T & B's rendering (p.45.17): ne khalu labhato sanstarparitygge eva bodhim áravekabhümné vansishtete 'labdhva bodhisattvabodhim áravekabodhau vyavatishtete. Cf. also St's fns.112 & 113, p.196.

³⁹² Read: diśyate in place of diśyata; Ms.(15b.6): deśyata (iti).

³⁹³ Read: parityaieteti in place of parityaiatti; cf. Bhasya N25.17.

³⁹⁴ Read: kuśalasyākṣayāya ca in place of akṣayāya śubhāya ca; cf. Bhāṣya N25.18.

³⁹⁵ Y's rendering of (kslyan)te is difficult to improve upon, although Ms.(15b.7): -to; Tib. ...rsa ba mams mi zad par bya'o (D216a.5). B&T's solution of (sva)to (p.45.23) is not convincing in the light of the parallel passages in the preceding paragraphs where bdag gis is rendered by maya.

tence". The words³⁹⁶: "he does not throw away", express the same sense as "he does not dismiss". If this is so, how then can the nirvāṇa-realm that is devoid of the remnants of existence be proven? It is an established fact that even in the nirvāṇa-realm that is devoid of the remnants of existence, there is no interruption to the Dharma Body belonging to the Buddhas, the Venerable Ones, which is an entity free from impurity³⁹⁷ because of the non-existence of the body which is the karma-result of the impure dharmas. Hence, the emptiness of that is described as the emptiness of non-rejection.

[12]

I.19 a

And, for the purity of the spiritual lineage (gotra);

The emptiness of this is intrinsic emptiness; here now he gives the reason: because the spiritual lineage is intrinsic; how so? - he responds: since it derives from own-being³⁹⁸. That which derives from own-being exists from time immemorial; what is meant is: it is not adventitious. In the same way that some [entities] in beginningless samsāra are endowed with consciousness and some are non-conscious, similarly, in this regard some [beings] endowed with the six sense-fields belong to the spiritual lineage of the Buddhas and some belong to the spiritual lineage of the śrāvakas etc. Since the spiritual lineage consists in a regular sequence [of rebirths] that is beginningless, it is not accidental like the difference between non-conscious and conscious [entities]. Others believe that since all sentient beings belong to the spiritual lineage of the tathāgata, the term "spiritual lineage" in this context should be understood accordingly³⁹⁹.

[13]

I.19 h

For the attainment of the principal and secondary characteristic marks;

Consequently, the emptiness of the principal and secondary characteristic marks of a supreme being is described as the emptiness of characteristic marks.

[14] Furthermore.

I.19 cd

The bodhisattva aspires for the purity of the Buddha qualities.

Because of the expression: "he aspires" at the end [of verse 19], [this expression is to be supplied] in each case; [for example, what is intended is]: "The bodhisattva aspires for the attainment of the dual virtues" (I.18 a), and "The bodhisattva aspires for the welfare of sentient beings, always" (I.18 b). Which of the Buddha qualities [does he

³⁹⁶ Ity etad; contrary to Y's fn.8 p.55 D: źes bya ba ni de (D216a.5).

³⁹⁷ Ms.(15b.7); anäsra-; disregard Y's fn.10 p.55.

³⁹⁸ Read: svabhavikatvad in place of svabhavikad: cf. Bhasya N26.3.

³⁹⁹ Read: tathā jileyam in place of tathātvam jileyam; Tib. de bžin du šes par bya'o (D216b.3).

aspire to]? Hence he said: such as the [ten] powers, the [four] intrepidities and the special qualities etc. In short, he undertakes [with this resolve]: "I should strive⁴⁰⁰ for the attainment of all the Buddha qualities". This is why it is said: "he cultivates emptiness"⁴⁰¹. The emptiness of that is described as the emptiness of all dharmas. What is 'cultivation' in this context? The unimpeded engagement⁴⁰² of cognition in regard to the object of cognition. Now the establishment of the [first] fourteen emptinesses, beginning with internal [emptiness] and concluding with⁴⁰³ the emptiness of all dharmas, should be understood in this way.

[15] What again is emptiness in this regard, i.e. in regard to the enjoyer etc.?

404What is its essential nature? Hence he says:

I.20 abcd

The non-existence of the personal entity and of the dharmas is [one] emptiness here and the actual existence of their non-existence in that [enjoyer etc.] is another emptiness.

The non-existence of the personal entity and the *dharmas* is one emptiness and the actual existence of their non-existence in the above-mentioned enjoyer etc. is another emptiness. Of these, the non-existence of the personal entity and the *dharmas* is [equivalent to] the emptiness of non-existence. The actual existence of that non-existence is [equivalent to] the emptiness of own-being of non-existence. ⁴⁰⁵For what reason are these two kinds of emptiness respectively determined at the end?⁴⁰⁶ Hence he responds: in order to state the characteristic of emptiness⁴⁰⁷. Why is there a re-statement of emptiness? Hence he says: for the purpose of avoiding both imputation in regard to the personal entity and the *dharmas* and the negation of their emptiness, in due order. In order to avoid imputation in regard to the personal entity and the *dharmas*, he determines the emptiness of non-existence; and in order to avoid negation in regard to the emptiness of the latter, [he determines] the emptiness of own-being of non-existence. If the

¥57

⁴⁰⁰ Ms.(16a.4): pratitavyam, but Y's rendering of prayatitavyam is preferred; Tib. bad par bya ba (D216b.5).

^{40?} Read perhaps: tasmāc cchūnyatām prabhāvayatīty ucyate in place of tasmād vibhāvanocyate. Although the Tib.: de'i phyir mam par bagom pa žes bya see (D216b.5) does not substantiate cchūnyatām prabhāvayatīty, the insertion of šūnyam is suggested by the Ms.(16a.4): tasmāt śūnya: (not śūnyatā- as per Y). This statement seems to refers back to the words: śūnyatām prabhāvayatīty arthab (Y54.24).

⁴⁰² Read: avibandhanapravṛttiḥ in place of avyavahitapravṛttiḥ; Ms.(16a.5): -napravṛttiḥ.

⁴⁰³ Ms.(16a.5): -paryantānām; disregard Y's fn.6 p.56.

⁴⁰⁴ Note: this section (Y56.22 - 57.11) is translated from the Tib. since it is missing from the Ms.(16a.5). Fn.405 below marks the point where the Ms. resumes.

⁴⁰⁵ The Ms. continues from this point.

⁴⁰⁶ Read: ...eşā dvividhā śūnyatānte vyavasthāpyate in place of eṣāṃ...; Ms.(16a.5): eṣā dvividhā śūnya.

⁴⁰⁷ Read: ata äha śūnyatālakṣaṇākhyāpanārtham in plac. of ata äha śūnyatālakṣaṇapradarśa-nārtham; cf. Bhāṣya N26.12-13.

emptiness of non-existence were not mentioned one may conclude that the dharmas and the personal entity, whose essential nature is imaginary, do exist. If the emptiness of own-being of non-existence were not mentioned, one may conclude that emptiness is indeed non-existent and as a consequence of the non-existence of the latter, the personal entity and the dharmas would exist like before.

[16] In the above, internal emptiness refers to: (a) the non-existence of: (i) the personal entity, i.e. the 'enjoyer' and (ii) sight etc., whose characteristic is imagined, among the internal sense-fields which have the [karma-] result-consciousness [i.e. the storeconsciousness for their own-being and are regarded by naive people as constituting an 'agent of enjoyment', and (b) the actual existence of the non-existence 408 of the latter. External emptiness refers to: (a) the non-existence of: (i) enjoyment that pertains to the self and (ii) form etc., whose characteristic is imagined, among the external sense-fields which have the appearances of representations of form etc. for their own-being and are regarded by naive people as objects of enjoyment, and (b) the actual existence of the non-existence of the latter. Internal and external emptiness refers to: (a) the non-existence of: (i) a personal entity as enjoyer in that body, i.e. the physical body, (ii) form etc. which is imaginatively constructed by naive folk and (iii) the body itself, and (b) the existence of the non-existence of the latter. Universal emptiness refers to: (a) the non-existence of a world of sentient beings within the inanimate world, (b) the nonexistence, by way of essential nature, of such an imaginative construction and (c) the actual existence of the non-existence of the latter. In regard to both the knowledge of emptiness⁴⁰⁹ and an aspect of the absolute, the emptiness of emptiness and the emptiness of the absolute refer respectively to the non-existence of: (a) the knowledge of emptiness, on the part of the knowing agent, which has the characteristic of an imaginative construction and (b) the aspect of the absolute on the part of the personal entity who is the apprehender of the aspect 410, and the actual existence of the non-existence of the latter. Now, that for the sake of which the bodhisattva aspires. i.e. [the understanding of the emptiness of the conditioned 411, concluding with the emptiness of all dharmas refers respectively to: (a) the non-existence both of the dharmas which have an imaginary characteristic and of the personal entity, among those elements beginning with the conditioned up until all the Buddha qualities which are the aim of the bodhisattva's accomplishment⁴¹² and (b) the actual existence⁴¹³ of the non-existence of

⁴⁰⁸ Ms.(16b.1): -vasya; disregard Y's fn.4 p.57.

⁴⁰⁹ Ms.(16b.2): śūnyajāāne but Y's rendering of śūnyatājāāne is preferred on the basis of the Tib. stori pa ūid šes pa... la (D217b.1).

⁴¹⁰ Read: ākāragrahltīpudgalasya ca in place of ākāragrahltīpudgalasya; Tib. mam pa 'dzin pa po'i gai zag dai (D217b.1).

⁴¹¹ Ms.(16b.4); samskṛtaś@nyatā; disregard Y's fn.4 p.58.

⁴¹² Read (with St. fn.140 p.208): bodhisattvasädhanaprayojanesu in place of bodhisattvapratipattavyesu; Tib. byan chub sems dpa' bsarub dgos pa mams la (D217b.2-3).

⁴¹³ Ms.(16b.4): bhāṣā, but Y's emendation to sadbhāvo is preferred; Tib. drios po yod pa (D217b.3).

the latter. For there exists no personal entity, whether it be 'owner' or 'agent' pertinent to the conditioned, nor does a conditioned [entity] exist in the nature imagined by naive folk. These sixteen kinds of emptiness which pertain to the bodhisattvas and which are not common to the śrāvakas have been explained in brief in order to counteract all grasping on account of conceptual differentiation, and also, in order to explain all hidden meanings of the Sūtras.

[17] And in this respect, the object of emptiness, the own-being of emptiness and the aim of the meditative development of emptiness have been shown by the Venerable One. Of these, the object of emptiness refers to those subjects beginning with the 'enjoyer' up until the Buddha qualities: furthermore, the demonstration of the latter is for the sake of showing that emptiness pervades all dharmas. The own-being of emptiness refers to both the own-being of non-existence as well as the own-being of the existence of non-existence⁴¹⁴. Moreover, the demonstration of the own-being of emptiness is in order to show the nature of escape415 from all [false] views since it [acts as] counteragent to superimposition and negation. The aim of the meditative development of emptiness begins with the [aspiration for the] attainment of the dual virtues (cf. I.18a) and concludes with the (aspiration for the) attainment of the Buddha qualities (cf. I.19cd). Furthermore, the demonstration of the latter is in order to demonstrate that the culmination of the perfection of the Form and Dharma Body, for oneself and others, is due just to the meditative development of emptiness⁴¹⁶. The differentiation of emptiness should be understood in this way: i.e. it should be known that [emptiness] is defiled in the stained state and is purified in the stainless state; and it has a sixteenfold differentiation as just described, beginning with internal emptiness.

⁴¹⁴ Ms.(16b.6): śūnyatās-vabhāvo abhāvo abhāvasvabhāvas ca, but Y's emendation to śūnyatās-vabhāvo bhāvasvabhāvo bhāvasvabhāvo bhāvasvabhāvas ca is preferred; Tib. ston pa tiid kyi rai bāin ni dhos po med pai iro bo fiid dai / dios po med pai dhos po'i ho bo fiid do (D217b.6).

⁴¹⁵ Ms.(16b.6); nihsaranātma-; disregard Y's fn.1 p.59.

⁴¹⁶ Read: śūnyatābhāvanād eveti as per Ms.(16b.7) in place of śūnyatābhāvanāyāh prāpya iti. Tib. is slightly different: ""(the culmination) ...is attained due to meditative development..."; stod pa fild bagom pa las 'thob bo (D217b.7 - 218a.1).

e. The Logical Proof of Emptiness.

N26.18 How is its logical proof to be understood?

I.21 abcd

If it were not defiled, all incarnate beings would be liberated. If it were not pure, effort would be in vain.

If the emptiness of the dharmas were not defiled by adventitious secondary defilement, even when no counteragent has arisen, all sentient beings would be liberated without any effort at all because of the absence of defilement. Now, even when the counteragent has arisen, should [emptiness] not become purified, undertakings for the sake of liberation would be in vain. And so, after considering it in this way:

I.22 ab

N27

It is neither defiled nor is it undefiled. It is neither pure nor is it impure;

How can it be neither defiled nor impure? [Because] by way of intrinsic nature:

I.22 c

There is the luminosity of mind417;

How can it be neither undefiled nor pure?

I.22 d

Because of the adventitious nature of

In this way, the differentiation of emptiness that was listed [above] is proven.

[Sthiramati]

Y59.11 [1] Since its logical proof was listed immediately following the listing of the differentiation⁴¹⁸, immediately after the explanation of that he asks: How is its logical proof to be understood?⁴¹⁹ What is to be proven here? The fact that: (a) it is defiled by adventitious secondary defilement and (b) it has purity of own-being. In the above, with reference to the proof of the fact that it is defiled, he says:

⁴¹⁷ Verse 22 cd is not found in P or D editions of the Bhaşya; cf. N's comments in the introduction (pp.9-10) to his Sanskrit edition.

⁴¹⁸ Ms.(17a.1): bhedoddeśā-; disregard Y's fn.3 p.59.

⁴¹⁹ Read: vijileyam in place of jileyam; cf. Bhasya N26.18.

Y60

If it were not defiled, all incarnate beings would be liberated⁴²⁰;

Liberation is [equivalent to] the relinquishment of defilement; the relinquishment of such defilement is due to the meditative development of the path. In this respect, if the emptiness of the dbarmas were not defiled by adventitious secondary defilement, even when no counteragent has arisen; the word "even" implies that like when it has arisen [it would not be defiled] - this being so, all sentient beings would be liberated without any effort at all⁴²¹ because of the absence of defilement. The words: "without effort"⁴²² are [equivalent to] without a counteragent. However, since there can be no liberation for living beings without the counteragent, in the state of ordinary people the fact of defilement of thusness⁴²³ by adventitious stain must necessarily be admitted - the differentiation of emptiness as defiled is proven in this way.

[2] Now, in order to prove the differentiation [of emptiness] as purified, he says:

I.21 cd If it were not pure, effort would be in vain⁴²⁴.

It is [the effort] of incarnate beings that is referred to. Now, even when the counteragent has arisen; the word "even" implies that like when it has not arisen [it would not become pure]; should [emptiness] not⁴²⁵ become purified; this being so, the undertakings for the sake of liberation would be in vain because even through the meditative development of the counteragent, separation from such stain would not occur and also because liberation is not possible for one who possesses stain. However, [the undertakings] for the sake of liberation are not considered to be in vain; therefore, due to the practice of the counteragent, the purity of emptiness, through the separation from adventitious secondary defilement, must necessarily be admitted. The differentiation of the purity of emptiness is proven in this way. In this context, defilement is due to the appropriation of the dharmas that constitute defilement and purity is due to the appropriation of the dharmas that constitute purity. However, neither defilement nor purity is considered to be manifestly present for emptiness⁴²⁶ because real nature is dependent upon the dharmas. This is why he says: "all incarnate beings would be liberated". The term "incarnate beings" in the

⁴²⁰ Read: samklistä ced bhaven näsau muktäh syuh sarvadehinah

in place of yadi na sylit sa samkleso muktih syuh sarvadehinah Cf. Bhilasya N26.19.
421 Read: ayatanata eva muktih sarvasattvi bhaveyuh in place of prayamam antarena sarve sattvi

muktāh syuh; cf. Bhāsya N27.1-2.

422 Read: ayatanata iti in place of prayatnam antarepeti; cf. ibid.

⁴²³ Read: tathatāvā as per Ms.(17a.3) in place of tathatāvām.

⁴²⁴ Read: viśuddhā ced bhaven nāsau vyāvāmo nisphalo bhavet

in place of yadi na sā viśuddhih syāt prayamam ahalam bhavet Cf. Bhāsya N26.20.
425 na is omitted from Ms.(17a.4) but should obviously be inserted on the basis of the Tib, and the

⁴²⁶ Read: śūnyatāyāḥ in place of śūnyatāyāṃ; Tib. ston pa nid la (D218b.2). Cf. Y60.17 below = D218b.3.

above refers to just the 'ground' (upādāna; rgyu) of these [i.e. defilement and purity]. Otherwise, if defilement or purity were manifestly present for emptiness, then what connection would it have with incarnate beings, on account of which both the purity and defilement of the incarnate beings is described as being due to the purity of emptiness and the defilement of emptiness, respectively? And when emptiness is defiled in the state of ordinary people and is pure in the state of the Noble Ones - this too⁴²⁷ has been proven:

[3]

Y61

I.22 ab

It is neither defiled nor is it undefiled; it is neither pure nor is it impure.⁴²⁸

How can it be neither defiled nor impure?⁴²⁹ It is definitely pure because this is made clear through the use of the double negative. Here he quotes scriptural tradition: [Because] by way of intrinsic nature:

I.22 c

There is the luminosity of mind:430

Here it is indeed the real nature of mind that is referred to by the word "mind" for the [phenomenal] mind is characterized by stain.⁴³¹ How can it be neither undefiled nor pure? On the contrary, the use of the double negative makes it clear that it is definitely defiled.

I.22 d

Because of the adventitious nature of defilement. 432

He shows that it is defiled but not intrinsically so⁴³³. Scriptural tradition is also quoted here: "It is defiled by adventitious secondary defilement".

[4] When it has been differentiated as twofold, i.e. as defiled and pure, why then is a fourfold differentiation mentioned? Some say that it is in order to demonstrate⁴³⁴ the distinction between the mundane path and the supramundane path, for, the mundane path is defiled by the stain pertinent to its own level but not by that which belongs to a lower [level] because [the former] is the counteragent to the latter. The supramundane path is impure [in one sense] because it is differentiated as weak, middling etc., however, it is pure due to the absence of impurity; but not so in the case of emptiness. Again, after describing [emptiness] as undefiled, c*hers describe it as not impure in order to distinguish it from the [sense-faculties] of sight etc., because sight etc. are not

⁴²⁷ Read: tata idam as per Ms.(17a.7) in place of ata evedam; disregard Y's fn.4 p.60.

⁴²⁸ Read: na klista nāpi vāklistā šuddhā ra caiva sā in place of na klista nāpi cāklistā šuddhāšuddhāpi naiva sā Cf. Bhāsya N27.5.

⁴²⁹ Read: nāpy cāśuddhā in place of nāpy aśuddhā; cf. Bhāsya N27.6.

⁴³⁰ Y's text paraphrases this verse I.22 cd.

⁴³¹ Ms.(17b.1): cittasyaivam lakşanatvät but Y's emendation to cittasyaiva malalakşanatvät is preferred on the basis of the Tib. sems tiid ni dri ma'i mtshan tiid kyi phyir (D218b.6).

⁴³² Read: kleśasyligantukatvatah in place of sā cligantukakleśena; cf. Bhāsya N27.9.

⁴³³ Read: sa klista na tu prakrtveti daršavati in place of klista na tu prakrtveti daršavati; cf. ibid.

⁴³⁴ Ms.(17b.2) omits pradariana which is inserted by Y on the basis of Tib. betan pa (D219a.1).

defiled for they are unobstured and undefined yet are described as impure because they are not intrinsically pure on account of their possession of impurity. Thus, after describing it as undefiled, it is described as impure in order to distinguish it from wholesome [elements] which are accompanied by impurity because that which is wholesome and accompanied by impurity is not undefiled since it belongs to samsāra and is pure because it has an agreeable karma-result. Real nature is definitely not so⁴³⁵, for, in the defiled state it is described as defiled and consequently as being impure. In this way, the differentiation of emptiness, by way of defilement and purity, that has been listed [above] is proven⁴³⁶.

⁴³⁵ Read: naivam as per Ms.(17b.4) in place of naiva.

⁴³⁶ Read: evam ślinyataya uddistah samkleśaviśuddhiprabhedo 'yam sadhito bhavati in place of evam ślinyathorabhedasya samkleśaviśuddher nirdeso 'yam sadhito bhavati; cf. Bhasya N27.10.

The Summary Meaning of Emptiness.

Therein, the summary meaning of emptiness should be known both N27.12 in terms of characteristic and establishment. Of these, in terms of characteristic includes both the characteristic of non-existence and the characteristic of existence. Furthermore, the characteristic of existence includes both the characteristic as devoid of existence and non-existence and the characteristic as devoid of identity and difference. its establishment should be known in terms of the establishment of its synonyms etc. In this regard, through these four modes of teaching: (a) the individual characteristic of emptiness, (b) the characteristic of karma [pertinent to its realization], (c) the characteristic of both its defilement and purification and (d) the characteristic of reasoning [pertinent to its proof] are made known - these lead to the appearement of: (a) conceptual differentiation, (b) fear, (c) indolence and (d) doubt, [respectively].

[Sthiramati]

Y62

[1] The summary meaning of emptiness⁴³⁷ should be understood both in Y61.22 terms of characteristic and establishment. Of these, in terms of characteristic includes both the characteristic of non-existence and the characteristic of existence. It [should be known] in terms of the characteristic of non-existence because of the statement: "the non-existence of the duality" (I.13 a). It [should be known] in terms of the characteristic of existence because of the statement: "which consists in the existence of a non-existent" (I.13 b). Furthermore, the characteristic of existence...; because of the statement: "it neither exists nor does it not exist" (I.13 c); ...refers to both the characteristic as devoid of existence and non-existence 438 and the characteristic as devoid of identity and difference, because of the statement: "...this is the characteristic of emptiness. Therefore, in relation to the imagination of what is unreal:" (I.13 c comm.) "The characteristic consists neither in difference nor identity." (I.13 d). This is the summary meaning in terms of characteristic.

1

⁴³⁷ Read: śūnyatāyāh in place of śūnyatā-; cf. Bhāsya N27.12.

⁴³⁸ Read: bhāvābhāvavinirmuktalaksanatas in place of sadbhāvābhāva...; these words are omitted from the Ms.(17b.6) and are inserted on the basis of the Tib.: dios po yod pa dan dios po med pa las mam par groi ba'i mishan did dan (D219a.7) which corresponds to Bhasya N27.14.

[2] How should its summary meaning be understood in terms of establishment? Again, its establishment should be known in terms of the establishment of its synonyms etc. 439 What is meant is: its synonyms, their meaning, its differentiation and logical proof. Through these four modes of teaching beginning with the characteristic, (a) its individual characteristic, (b) the characteristic of karma [pertinent to its realization]. (c) the characteristic of both its defilement and purification and (d) the characteristic of reasoning [pertinent to its proof] are made known as the counteragents to the four types of secondary definement⁴⁴⁰. Of these, the individual characteristic [acts] as the counteragent to conceptual differentiation; the latter consists in the perception [of things] as existent, non-existent, both fexistent and non-existent) and different or identical. The characteristic of karma (acts) as the counteragent to fear for those who, after learning of the characteristic of emptiness, do not have firm conviction, for example, (a) the karma that pertains to [the realization of] thusness that is free from error, (b) the karma that pertains to the absence of erroneous inversion. (c) the karma that pertains to the relinquishment of all signs. (d) the karma that exists in the sphere of all supramundane direct intuition and (e) in regard to the objective support, the karma that pertains to the causal ground of the noble qualities. Thus, the characteristic of the differentiation is for the removal of indolence on the part of lazy people who, just by learning of the own-being of emptiness and the karma [pertinent to its realization] 'seize' this as sufficient. The characteristic of reasoning is for the removal of doubt on the part of skeptics who believe that femptinessl is subject to defilement and purification.

⁴³⁹ Read: vyavasthānam punah paryāyādivyavasthānato veditavyam in place of vyavasthānato hi paryāyādivyavasthānato veditavyam; cf. Bhāsya N27.15.

⁴⁴⁰ Read: etayā ca camipyraktralakṣaṇādideśaṇayā camirvidhopakleśapratipakṣeṇa svalakṣaṇam kar-malakṣaṇam samkleśavyavadānalakṣaṇam yuktilakṣaṇam codbiāvitam bhavati in place of etam ca iakṣaṇādicamṣpraktram midistvā camirvidhopakleśapratipakṣeṇa svalakṣaṇam karmalakṣaṇam saṃkleśavyavadānalakṣaṇam rig pai mtshan did brjod pa yin no; cf. Bhāṣya N27.16-18. Ms.(17-7): etayā ca camipyrakīrala-.

Chapter Two

The Obscurations

1. The Five Obscurations Beginning with the Pervading.

N28.3 With reference to the obscurations, he says:

II.1 abc

- (a) The pervading, (b) the limited,
- (c) the excessive, (d) the equal and
- (e) acceptance and rejection are elucidated as the obscurations that pertain to the two.

Of these, (a) the pervading refers to obscuration consisting in both moral defilement and the knowable and is pertinent to those who belong to the spiritual lineage of the bodhisattva because it forms the totality1. (b) The limited refers to obscuration consisting in moral defilement and is pertinent to those who belong to the spiritual lineage of the śrāvaka (c) The excessive refers to [obscuration] that pertains only to those who course in passion etc. (d) The equal refers to [obscuration] that pertains to those who course in equal shares. (e) The obscuration comprising the acceptance and rejection of samsars is pertinent to those who belong to the spiritual lineage of the bodhisattva because it is an obscuration to the nirvana [wherein the bodhisattva is] not permanently Thus these obscurations are elucidated respectively as being pertinent to both, i.e., as pertinent to those who belong to the spiritual lineage of the bodhisattva as well as those who belong to the spiritual lineage of the śrāvaka etc.

[Sthiramati]

Y64

[1]² Immediately after the explanation of the characteristic is an appropriate place for the explanation of obscuration and since [the latter] was mentioned immediately following the former, [Vasubandhu] says: with reference to the obscurations, [Maitreya] says:

II.1 abc

- (a) The pervading, (b) the limited,
- (c) the excessive, (d) the equal and

Read: sākalyāt omitting kam. Although the Tibetan (mthā' dag la sgrib pa'i phyir D6a.4) would substantiate a reading of sākalyāvaranāt, sgrib pa here is probably an elaboration inserted by the Tibetan translator as is clearly the case in several of the following sentences.

The first few folios are missing from the Ms. of Ch.II hence the translation of this section is based entirely on the Tib. Fn.15 below indicates the point where the Ms. begins.

The obscurations that pertain to the two refer to obscurations pertinent to those who belong to the spiritual lineage of the bodhisattva and those who belong to the spiritual lineage of the śrāvaka etc. This verse has been composed in order to demonstrate that the differentiation among the obscurations is in accordance with the difference in benefit that is obscured. Of these, the pervading refers to obscuration consisting in both moral defilement and the knowable and is pertinent to those who belong to the spiritual lineage of the bodhisattva. It is the pervading (vyāpi) since it pervades or permeates (vyāpnoti). Because it is an obscuration in regard to the totality of benefit; the totality of benefit is both benefit for oneself and benefit for others. Alternatively, it is described as the pervading since it pervades the obscuration that pertains to the bodhisattva according to the designation of the two as obscuration that consists in moral defilement and the knowable. Since moral defilement is itself an obscuring, it is [described as] an obscuration. Herein, secondary defilement is also referred to by the word "moral defilement" because it is exactly similar in its nature as moral defilement. Otherwise, the words: "the characteristic of moral defilement is ninefold" [verse II.1 d], would not include envy and avarice since these two are both secondary defilements. It is an obscuration that consists in the knowable because it is an obscuration in regard to the knowable. Since that which is knowable is concealed (pravrta) on account of this, it is not the sphere of knowledge. Alternatively, it is an obscuring of direct intuition in regard to the knowable because it creates an obstruction to the arising of direct intuition in regard to the knowable. There is the omission of the word "of" between the words "obscuration" and "knowable" [in the compound ineyavarana as in the [tatpurusa] compound 'a pot of oil' (tailakunda). Furthermore, it consists in undefiled nescience. Those who belong to the spiritual lineage of the bodhisattva endeavour in every way to produce the accumulations of merit and direct intuition for the sake of the attainment of Buddhahood since it is the culminating attainment³ in regard to benefit for both oneself and others. Because both [those consisting in moral defilement and the knowable] are obscurations to the latter [i.e. Buddhahood] they are determined as obscurations pertinent to those who belong to the spiritual lineage of the bodhisattva. [Objection]: In this respect, given that the pervading has the whole for its domain and the expression "the totality" means "in every respect", how does the totality intimate pervading? [Response]: It is described as obscuration that pervades because it forms the totality by way of its explanation as a cause. Alternatively, the statement: "because it forms the totality" is made in order to explain the word "pervading" as having the meaning of totality.

³ D: phul du byuń ba thob pas (220a.5), although P: ...thob pa.

Y66

Y67

[2] The limited refers to obscuration consisting in moral defilement and is pertinent to those who belong to the spiritual lineage of the sravaka etc. It is designated as an obscuration since it is an obscuration in regard to just one's own benefit and is described as "limited" because it does not nervade. Why does undefiled nescience not pertain to śrāvakas and pratyekabuddhas? Because if it is determined that only obscuration consisting in moral defilement is pertinent to them. then in that case, fundefiled nesciencel is not an obscuration pertinent to them because śrāvakas and pratyekabuddhas [are said to] attain enlightenment even though it exists. [Objection]: Is nescience on the part of the śrāvaka etc. not an obscuration to the arising of knowledge in regard to the sphere of the truth of suffering etc.? Therefore, the two obscurations would be pertinent to them as well. Consequently, perhaps it should not be said that only obscuration consisting in moral defilement is pertinent to śrāvakas etc.? [Response]: This is not so, since ignorance is determined just as obscuration that consists in moral defilement because: (a) it is defiled, (b) it is adverse to knowledge and (c) it is the root of samsāra. However it is not [determined as] obscuration that consists in the knowable, like uncertainty. For example, uncertainty in regard to the sphere of the truth of suffering etc. is not funcertaintyl in regard to other spheres described as doubt and moral defilement, for it is only uncertainty in regard to another sphere and not defilement nor doubt. Similarly, nescience in regard to just the sphere of the truth of suffering etc. is ignorance and moral defilement but not in regard to other spheres, for in regard to other spheres it is only nescience and not ignorance nor moral defilement. Therefore, since [nescience] is described as the obscuration that consists in the knowable because it is an obscuration to the emergence of only the direct intuition of what is knowable, moral defilement, karma and rebirth are not produced as is ignorance. [Objection]: If this be so, [obscuration that consists in the knowable] cannot be determined as the obscuration pertinent to bodhisattvas. [Response]: This is not so [since] obscuration that consists in the knowable is the obscuration pertinent to bodhisattvas because they are characterized by the accumulations of learning. It is said:

Without applying himself to the five kinds of learning, in no way can a Supremely Noble One arrive at omniscience; thus, he applies himself to those, either to restrain or assist others or, for his own knowledge.⁴

[3] The excessive; even a small aspect of passion etc. that manifests continually and to an excessive degree in those who belong to the spiritual lineage of the bodhisattva

⁴ This verse is from MSA XI.60:

and those who belong to the spiritual lineage of the śrāvaka etc. ...refers to [obscuration] pertinent to those who course in passion etc. Those who course in passion and the like refers to those for whom any passion manifests continuously and to an excessive degree even in regard to a miserable thing⁵.

[4] The equal; i.e., obscuration pertinent to both those belonging to the spiritual lineage of the bodhisattva and those belonging to the spiritual lineage of the śrāvaka etc. It is equal because the two modes are absent⁶; refers to [obscuration] pertinent to those who course in equal shares. They who course in equal shares refers to those for whom moral defilement manifests in conformity with the object, but not continuously.

[5] The obscuration comprising the acceptance and rejection of samsāra...; the bodhisattva accepts samsāra on account of his compassion yet, on account of his wisdom, after observing the evil of samsāra as it is in reality, he rejects⁷ it. Consequently, although free from moral defilement [himself], having regard for sentient beings, he takes rebirth in the nirvana [wherein the bodhisattva is] not permanently fixed, because he is not permanently fixed in samsara or nirvana. The obscuration to this consists in the acceptance of samsara as being overcome by moral defilement or the complete rejection of samsāra without compassion, after observing its evil as it is in reality. Others believe that nescience is described as an obscuration herein because it is an obstruction to both compassion and wisdom. Alternatively, as has been stated in the Mahāratnakūta: "His mental disposition is directed towards nirvāna but his application is directed towards samsāra"8. This is the unfixed nirvāna of the bodhisattva. In this regard, in order to turn his back on nirvāna he accepts samsara with his mental disposition and application, just like a sentient being who has no spiritual lineage. Similarly, in order to turn his back on samsāra, he completely rejects samsara with both his mental disposition and application directed towards entering nirvāna, just like those who belong to the spiritual lineage of śrāvakas etc. Thus, both the acceptance of samsāra and its rejection are obscurations in regard to the unfixed nirvana of the bodhisattva because they both constitute a falling to one extreme away from samsara as well as nirvana. At the time that they become tathagatas, bodhisattvas do not remain in samsāra because they have relinquished both the obscurations that consist in moral defilement and the knowable. And because the Dharma Body continues without interruption in the nirvana devoid of the remnants of

Y68

5

Read: hine 'pi vastuni; cf. AS-Bhasya #142.

⁶ This refers to the normal state of defilement devoid of both the excessively strong and weaker degrees; cf. ibid.: samabhāgacaritah prakrtisthah saṃkleiah autkatyamāndyavivarjitasamāvasthe kleša iry arthah.

⁷ Tib. should probably read gton bas in place of ston pas (cf.D221a.4).

⁸ Read (with de Jong p.113): nirvāņagataš cāsyāšayah samsāragataš ca prayogah; this passage is found in KP #16 (p.35).

existence, they do not remain in nirvāṇa like śrāvakas and the like. Thus they are not fixed permanently in samsāra or nirvāna.

[6] These five obscurations have been enumerated here because they cause obstruction in regard to: (a) the path of the bodhisattva and the śrāvaka etc., (b) their application, (c) the result and (d) the unfixed nirvāpa [of the bodhisattva]. Thus these [obscurations are elucidated] respectively, i.e. as is appropriate. Therein, the first and the last [i.e. the 'pervading' and 'acceptance and rejection'] are obscurations pertinent to those who belong to the spiritual lineage of the bodhisattva. But that which follows the first [i.e. the 'limited'] is an obscuration pertinent to those who belong to the spiritual lineage of the śrāvaks etc. The other two [i.e. the 'excessive' and the 'equal'] are obscurations pertinent to both. In the above, obscuration that consists in the knowable has been described as obscuration that pertains to the bodhisattvas; moreover, it is known as undefiled nescience.

2. The Obscuration that Consists in the Nine Fetters to Application.

N28.13 Furthermore.

II.1 d

The characteristic of moral defilement is ninefold.

II.2 a

The fetters are obscurations:

The nine fetters refer to obscurations that consist in moral defilement. The obscurations that comprise these [fetters] are relevant to what?

II.2 bc

They are relevant to anxiety, equanimity and the insight into reality;

The fetter of attachment is an obscuration relevant to anxiety. The fetter of repugnance is [an obscuration] relevant to equanimity because on account of this, one is unable to be even-minded in regard to the foundation of repugnance, especially something disagreeable. The remaining [fetters] are obscurations relevant to the insight into reality. How do they occur? They occur respectively...

N29

II.2 d

In the clear comprehension of: the false view of individuality, the foundation of the latter:

II.3 abc

Cessation, the path, the [three] jewels, gain and honour and austerity.

The fetters are obscurations⁹. The fetter of pride is an obscuration in the clear comprehension of the false view of individuality because the non-relinquishment of the latter is on account of the manifestation of intermittent and continuous self-conceit at the time of direct realization. The fetter of ignorance [is an obscuration] in the clear comprehension of the foundation of the false view of individuality because the lack of clear comprehension in regard to the aggregates that have been appropriated is on account of that. The fetter that consists in false view [is an obscuration] in the clear comprehension of the truth of cessation because the fear of the latter is on account of the false views of individuality and the grasping of extremes and is also due to negation by wrong view. The fetter of clinging [to false views etc.] is [an obscuration in the clear comprehension of the truth of the path because one clings to the highest purity with the wrong motive. The fetter of doubt is [an obscuration] in the clear comprehension of the three jewels due to the lack of true belief in the virtues of the latter. The fetter of envy is [an obscuration] in the clear comprehension of gain and honour because one does not perceive the faults of the latter. The fetter of avarice is [an obscuration] in the clear comprehension of austerity because one covets the necessities of life.

[Sthiramati]

Y69

[1] It is not known: (a) how many aspects there are to the obscuration that consists in moral defilement which is the obscuration pertinent to the two [i.e. bodhisattvas and śrāvakas etc.], (b) what kind of essential nature they possess and (c) what it is they obstruct, hence he says:

II.1 d

The characteristic of moral defilement is ninefold.

It is the obscurations that are referred to. The characteristic of moral defilement is [equivalent to] the essential nature of moral defilement. What are these ninefold [fetters]? Hence he says: [they are]:

⁹ samyojanāny āvaraņam but Tib. has simply sgrib pa yin; (D6b.2).

The fetters etc.

They are fetters inasmuch as they fetter [people] to various sufferings. The various sufferings which belong to the group of sense-desire, form and formlessness, refer to the painful nature of suffering, the painful nature of change and the painful nature of the formative forces as is appropriate to the three realms of existence. They begin with the fetter of attachment and conclude with the fetter of avarice. Therein, repugnance refers to aversion. Envy refers to intolerance in regard to another's success¹⁰. Avarice refers to miserliness concerning the necessities of life¹¹: these three pertain to sensedesire. The fetter of attachment consists in the passion that pertains to the three realms of existence. Similarly, the fetters of pride, ignorance, false view, clinging [to false views etc.] and doubt all pertain to the three realms of existence. The fetter of false view comprises the false view of individuality, the grasping of extremes and wrong view. The fetter of clinging [to false views etc.] comprises the clinging to false view and to morality and vows. Doubt is disbelief in regard to the [noble] truths and the Ithreel jewels. Moreover, those other secondary defilements, such as anger, are definitely obscurations that consist in moral defilement because they are the natural outcome of moral defilement and they are defiled. Envy and avarice are referred to as fetters because of their predominance, for it is said in a Sūtra: "O Kauśika, the gods and mankind possess the fetters of envy and avarice"12.

[2] The obscurations that comprise these [fetters] are relevant to what? Hence he says:

II.2 bc

They are relevant to anxiety, equanimity and the insight into reality;

Anxiety is included among the synonyms for despondency, hence it is said: the fetter of attachment is an obscuration relevant to anxiety. Since the attachment to the physical body, vitality, enjoyment and family etc. as well as to the meditative absorptions and formless [attainments] is on account of this, one does not become anxious of the three realms, although oppressed by the sufferings of samsāra. The fetter of repugnance is [an obscuration] relevant to equanimity. How so? Hence he says: because, on account of this, one is unable to be even-minded, i.e. free from formative influence, in regard to the foundation of repugnance, i.e., the cause of repugnance, especially something disagreeable etc.; it is disagreeable insofar as it is the cause of depression. It is logical that it is not

¥70

Cf. T-Bhāṣya: Irṣyā parasampattau cetaso vyāroṣo lābhasatkārādhyavasitasya lābhasatkārakulašilašnuādin gunaviseṣān parasyopalabhya dveṣāmsiko marṣaknts cetaso vyāroṣa Irṣyā (L30.20-22).

¹¹ Cf. AS (G17): mātsaryam katamat / lābhasatkārādhyavasitasya parişkāreşu rāgāmśikaś cetasa āgrahah. Cf. also T-bhāşya (L30.24-28).

¹² Read: Irşyāmātsaryasaṃyojanāḥ kauśika devamanuşyā ity uktam; this quotation is also found in the Kośa-vyākhyā (W491.5-6).

possible to be even-minded in regard to the foundation of attachment because of the absence of what is disagreeable. However, since the foundation of repugnance consists in repugnance especially in regard to something disagreeable, one is unable to be even-minded. Hence in order to demonstrate that the latter [i.e. the fetter of repugnance] is a greater evil than [the fetter of] attachment, he says: "especially". When one who is engaged in meditative concentration gains equanimity of the formative forces, his mind consequently becomes even. However, if the fetter of repugnance reaches an excessive degree in such a person, then on account of the latter, he does not attain equanimity in regard to the cause of repugnance; and when that occurs the self is necessarily supported as an objective support; thus, on account of the fetter of attachment he does not find solitude. Conversely, although isolated on account of the fetter of repugnance he does not attain meditative concentration.

[3] Furthermore, the remaining seven [fetters] are obscurations relevant to the insight into reality, i.e. they are obscurations to true insight. He asks: How do they occur? Since this is not known he says: They occur respectively:

II.2 d

Y71

[In the clear comprehension] of: the false view of individuality, the foundation of the latter etc.

The fetter of pride is an obscuration in the clear comprehension of the false view of individuality. Pride herein refers to that arrogance of mind which has the false view of individuality for its basis 13 and this is sevenfold 14 according to its differentiation as pride, excessive pride etc. 15 The clear comprehension of the false view of individuality refers to the insight into the false view of individuality through the discrimination of: (a) a self, (b) what pertains to a self, (c) the apprehending subject and (d) the apprehended object, as well as through the discrimination 16 of an own-being on the part of the dharmas as imagined by naive people. The fetter 17 of pride is an obscuration to that [insight] because it obstructs its arising. As to how and when this occurs, he says: because the non-relinquishment of the latter is on account of the manifestation of intermittent and continuous self-conceit at the time of direct realization 18. The time of direct realization of the truth is equivalent to the condition that is conducive to penetration. In the above "intermittent" refers to that which is interrupted by the counteragent; "continuous" refers to that

¹³ Cf. T-Bhlaya: mino hi nima sarva eva satkāyadīşiisamāirayena pravartate! sa punā cittasyo-natilakṣanb (L28.28-29); Tib. na rayal ni a rayal nes bya ba thams cad kyan jig tshogs la lta ba la brien nas 'byun ba'o li de ni sems kheds pa' mishan fiid de (D158a.2).

¹⁴ Read: saptavidham in place of navavidham; Tib. mam pa bdun (D222b.5). This sevenfold division is substantiated by the T-Bhāsya (L29.4-17) where seven kinds of pride are defined.

¹⁵ Sanskrit Ms.(20a.1) begins here with the words: mlnldibbedens.

¹⁶ Ms.(20a.1): vikena but Y's emendation to vivekena is preferred.

¹⁷ Ms.(20a.1): samprayojanam which should probably be amended to samyojanam; Tib.(D222b.6): kun du sbyor ba.

¹⁸ Read: säntaravyantaräsmimäna- in place of säntarena vyantarena clismimäna-; cf. Bhilisya N29.8.

which is not interrupted by the counteragent. And this should be understood in regard to strong and weak counteragents [respectively]. For as long as intermittent and continuous self-conceit¹⁹ manifests, there is no relinquishment of the false view of individuality since the arising of self-conceit is only on account of the false view of individuality.

[4] The fetter of ignorance [is an obscuration] in the clear comprehension of the foundation of the false view of individuality. It is the fact that it is an obscuration that is referred to. The foundation of the false view of individuality refers to the five aggregates that have been appropriated because it arises from the objective support that consists therein; as is stated in a Sūtra:

Certain śramaņas or brahmanas perceive the self as the self and are notionally attached to it; it is just on the basis of these five aggregates that have been appropriated that they perceive and are notionally attached to the latter.²⁰

Just what is this clear comprehension? It is the perception of the emptiness of the self and what pertains to the self²¹ and the emptiness of the own-being of the dharmas which is imagined by naive people in regard to the aggregates as well as their perception as impermanent, painful, empty, without self or as originating etc. - this is clear comprehension of those [aggregates]. Here now he gives the reason: because the lack of clear comprehension in regard to the aggregates that have been appropriated is on account of that. Ignorance is an obscuration in the clear comprehension of the aggregates because, on account of ignorance, there is the absence of clear comprehension of these [as impermanent etc.] in regard to the aggregates which are obscured by aspects of permanence etc.

[5] The fetter that consists in false view [is an obscuration] in the clear comprehension of the truth of ceasation; the word "obscuration" remains in force. The fetter of false view comprises three false views: The false views of: (a) individuality, (b) grasping of extremes and (c) wrong [view]. In the above, the false view of individuality refers to the notion that there is a self or what pertains to a self among the five aggregates that have been appropriated. The false view that consists in the grasping of extremes concerns that same entity that has been construed as a self and refers to the notion that it is eternal or that it perishes²². Wrong view refers to that notion on account of which one negates an entity, whether it be cause, result, deed or an actual existent, or else wrongly construes it. The clear comprehension of the truth

¹⁹ Read: santaravyantarasmimanah; cf. ibid.

²⁰ This passage is also quoted in the Kośa (P282.1-3) although the wording there is slightly different. Cf. also LVP Kośa Ch.5 p.17 fn.2 where attention is drawn to several other texts which cite this same passage.

²¹ Reed (with de Jong p.114): ātmātmtyaśūnyatāyāḥ in place of ātmātmaśūnyatāyāḥ; Tib. bdag dai bdag gis stoi pa fiid...(D223a.4).

²² Ms. (20a.7) begins: dypir n\u00e4sttii dar\u00e4sanam, but Y's emendation to \u00e4\u00e4s\u00e4svatadar\u00e4anam ucchedadar\u00e4anam v\u00e4 is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his \u00efn.4 p.72.

of cessation refers to [its comprehension] in accordance with the aspects such as the tranquil. Just how can [false view] be an obscuration to that [clear comprehension]? Hence he says: because the fear of the latter [i.e. cessation] is on account of the false views of both individuality and the grasping of extremes and is also due to negation²³ by wrong view. Therein, on account of the false views of individuality and the grasping of extremes one fears cessation, thinking: "I will not exist in that [state]", also, on account of wrong view one negates it, thinking there is indeed no cessation. In this respect, on account of the false views of individuality and the grasping of extremes, one does not clearly comprehend [cessation] as tranquil, sublime and as escape²⁴; yet on account of wrong view one does not comprehend it as cessation. In this way the fetter that consists in false view becomes an obscuration in the clear comprehension of cessation.

[6] The fetter of clinging [to false view etc.] is [an obscuration] in the clear comprehension of the truth of the path²⁵. Therein, the fetter of clinging refers to both the clinging to false view and the clinging to morality and vows. Clinging to false view therein refers to that notion in regard to false view and the five aggregates that have been appropriated and which are the basis of false view²⁶, as being preeminent²⁷ and so on. Clinging to morality and yows refers to that notion on the part of one who perceives either morality, yows, both of these or the five aggregates that have been appropriated and which are the basis of that (notion), as being (equivalent to) purity, liberation or definitive liberation. Furthermore, the clear comprehension of the path is in accordance with aspects, such as definitive liberation. Just how can the fetter of clinging be an obscuration in the clear comprehension of the path? Hence he says: because one clings to the [highest] purity with the wrong motive28; i.e. because one clings to purity as either: (a) just morality and vows, (b) an abode of pleasure pertinent to sense-desire, (c) ascetic practices or (d) the knowledge of enumerations etc. By clinging to a [false] view on account of just which one believes in purity, one clings to that same [view] as being pre-eminent. Thus, on account of the fetter of clinging [to false view etc.] one abandons the path and clings to purity with the wrong motive²⁹.

²³ Read: ¿Ipavildild iti in place of ca tadapavildild iti; tad is most likely an elaboration inserted by the Tibetan translator and is also found in the Tib. Bhilaya (D6b.4) but not in the Sanskrit Bhilaya (N29.12).

²⁴ Ms.(20b.1): nisarapataś, but Y's emendation to nihsarapataś is preferred; cf. his fn.1 p.73.

²⁵ Read: mārgasatyaparijtāne as per Ms. (200.2) and Bhāsya (N29.13) omitting āvaraņam iti which is an elaboration of the Tib.

²⁶ Ms.(20b.2): dṛṣṭyāyaśrayeşu, but Y's emendation to dṛṣṭyāśrayeşu is preferred; cf. his fn.3 p.73.

²⁷ Read: agrādiro in place of utkaryādiro; cf. T-Bhāsya (1.29.24) which expands: destiparāmariah pañcasūpādānaskandhesv agrato visistatah iresthatah paramatai ca yad darianam; Tib. mchog dan khyad par du 'phags pa dan geso bo dan dam par ita ba gan yin pa (D159a.1).

²⁸ Read: anyarhā ('gra) śuddhiparāmaršanād in place of anyenākāreņa śuddhiparāmaršanād; cf. Bhāṣya N29.14.

²⁹ Read: anyathā in place of anyena tv ākāreņa; Tib. mam pa gźan gyis (D224a.2), cf. Bhāṣya N29.14 (- D6b.4).

- [7] The fetter of doubt [is an obscuration] in the clear comprehension of the three jewels; the word "obscuration" remains in force. As to how this can be so, he says: because of the lack of true belief in their virtues. The fetter of doubt is perplexity in regard to the truths and the [three] jewels. There is clear comprehension in regard to the jewel of the Buddha as being the final basis for the excellence and absence of all virtues and faults [respectively]³⁰. There is clear comprehension in regard to the jewel of the Dharma: (a) as being the conveyance across the ocean of sams 2. (b) as having the nature of the absolute separation from all suffering 1 together with its causes and (c) as providing the understanding of the latter. There is clear comprehension in regard to the jewel of the Sangha as: (a) the locus for the results of monkhood 32, (b) engagement in the latter and (c) the 'field' worthy of supreme veneration. By acquiring perfect faith through the perception of truth and the relinquishment of doubt 3 one truly believes in the virtues of the three jewels and since there remains no doubt that is not relinquished, the fetter of doubt is described 34 as an obscuration in the clear comprehension of the three jewels.
- [8] The fetter of envy is an obscuration in the clear comprehension of gain and honour. Here now he gives the reason: because one does not perceive the faults of the latter. For the fetter of envy, which has aversion for a component, refers to the dissatisfaction of mind in regard to another's success and is pertinent to one intent upon gain and honour³⁵. Clear comprehension in regard to gain and honour is [equivalent to] the awareness that these are the basis of all misfortune³⁶. However, on account of envy one does not clearly comprehend gain and honour³⁷ as being adverse to all virtue nor as the root of various misfortunes. Hence the fetter of envy is an obscuration in the clear comprehension of gain and honour.
- [9] The fetter of avarice [is an obscuration] in the clear comprehension of austerity. As to how this can be so, he says: because one covets the

¥74

³⁰ Read (with de Jong p.114): sarvaguņadoṣaprakarṣāpakarṣaniṣṭhādhiṣṭhānatvena buddharame parijāānarī (cf. Yasarvaguṇadoṣasya prakṛṣṭāpanītasya paryantāśrayatvena buddharame parijāānarī (cf. Yasa-22).

³¹ Ms.(20b.6): duhkhātyanta-; disregard Y's fn.6 p.73.

³² Ms.(20b.6): śrāmanya; disregard Y's fn.1 p.74.

³³ Read perhaps: vicikitsäprahänäc in place of prahtpavicikitsäyär; Tib. the tshom span ba'i phyir (D224a.5).

³⁴ Read: ucyate as per Ms.(20b.7) in place of vacanam.

³⁵ Read: Insylkamyojanam hi libhasaskikridhyavanitasya parasampantau dvesāmiikai cetaso vylkrosah in place of Irsylkamyojanam hi libhasaskikrarakto 'nyasampoatpratighāmiikai cetaso vylkrosah; Tib. phrag dog gi kun du shyor ba ni rided pa dad / blust sti la chaga pa ste / gian gyi phun sum tshogs pa la že sdad gi char gtogs pas sems khod nas 'khrug pa (D224a.6). Cf. T-Bhāsya (L30.20): Insyl parasampatau cetaso vylkroso libhasatkirāthyavasitasya libhasatkirākulailia-śrutkdh gunavisesan parasyopalabhya dvesāmiiko 'marşakrtacetaso vylkrosa Irsyl. For the Tib. cf. D159b.6ff.

³⁶ Ms.(21a.1): sarvänarthäsyadatvävebodho but Y's emendation to sarvänarthäárayatvävabodho is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.3 p.74.

³⁷ Read: lābhasatkāram in place of lābhasatkārasya; Tib. phrag dog gis ni ried pa dan bkur sti ...mi śes pas (D224a.7).

necessities of life. Avarice which has passion for a component refers to miserliness of mind in regard to goods and chattels on the part of one who is intent upon³⁸ the necessities of life. Austerity consists in the separation from from the necessities of life. Its clear comprehension is [equivalent to] the understanding that it is the foundation or basis of all virtue. However, one does not clearly comprehend it as the basis of all virtue because one is intent upon the necessities of life on account of avarice. Consequently, it is said that avarice is an obscuration in the clear comprehension of austerity.³⁹

[10] In [summary of] the above, the fetters of attachment and repugnance are obscurations in the endeavour to reach an understanding of reality (but the remainder are not 140. The remainder are obscurations to the understanding of reality for one who has [already] applied himself (in such an endeavour). For this very reason the sequential order of these [fetters] is given; for example, one who desires liberation⁴¹ should, from the very beginning, necessarily cause his mind to shrink from samsāra. Following from that, he should have equanimity towards everything. After that, the false view of individuality should be clearly comprehended because it is the root of all misfortune. Then, the foundation of the latter should be clearly comprehended as consisting in suffering and its arising. Then, the cessation of the latter should be understood. Then, the path of attainment should be understood. Then, one acquires perfect faith in regard to the three jewels due to the awareness of their virtues and the vision of the truth. And since it is only on account of the force of the vision of the truth that one becomes a seer of the faults and virtues (respectively) of gain and honour as well as austerity. thus the sequential order of these obscurations should be understood in conformity with the respective cause of the dharmas to be obscured⁴². [Objection]: Since it is possible that obscuration can pertain to all [the fetters] at all times⁴³, the explanation of obscuration as restricted to each individual foundation is not tenable. [Response]: A restriction⁴⁴ to each individual foundation is not employed here; on the contrary, this explanation is chiefly in terms of close contiguity.

³⁸ Read: adhyavasitasya as per Ms.(21a.2) in place of adhyavasito.

³⁹ Tib. is slightly different: de'i phyir yo byad baluns pa la sgrib bo zes b\u00edad (D224b.3): "...it is said that it is an obscuration in regard to austerity".

⁴⁰ As noted by Y (fm.6 p.74) the Tib. inserts: Ihag ma mams ni ma yin no (D224b.4) which is not to be found in the Ms.

⁴¹ Read: mumukşunā as per Ms.(21a/4) in place of mumukşūpām; Tib. thar pa 'dod pas (D224b.4).

⁴² Ms.(21a.5); *Bvaraniva*; disregard Y's fn.1 p.75.

⁴³ Read: nanu sarvatra sarvāvarapatvasambhavād in place of nanu sarvatra avarapatvena sambhavād; Tib. thams cad la yan thams cad sgrib pa fild du sgrib pa'i phyir (D224b.7).

⁴⁴ As noted by Y (fn.2 p.75), Ms.(21a.6): niyama here, but pratiniyama in the previous sentence; however Tib. des pa m both cases.

3. Obscuration Pertinent to Bodhisattvas.

a. The Obscuration to the Tenfold [Qualities] Beginning with Virtue.

N29.20 II.3 d

The others are relevant to the tenfold [qualities] beginning with virtue.

Moreover, there are other obscurations that are to be known in regard to the tenfold [qualities], beginning with virtue. Which then are the obscurations and which are the [qualities] beginning with virtue?

II.4 abcd

(i) Lack of application, [application] in regard to unworthy objects and what is produced without application; (ii) non-origination, lack of mental attention and incomplete accumulations.

II.5 abcd

(iii) The deprivation of spiritual lineage and good friends and mental exhaustion; (iv) the deprivation of spiritual practice, living with stupid and pernicious people;

II.6 abcd

(v) Disquiet, that which remains from the three and the non-maturation of wisdom; (vi) innate disquiet, laziness and carelessness.

II.7 abcd

(vii) Attachment to existence and enjoyments and faintheartedness; (viii) lack of faith, lack of conviction and deliberation in accord with the letter.

II.8 abcd

(ix) Lack of enthusiasm for the true Dharma, enthusiasm for gain and lack of compassion; (x) loss of what has been learnt, [learning] little and the lack of the necessary preparation for meditative concentration.

N30

These are the obscurations. Which are the [qualities] beginning with virtue?

II.9 abcd

The qualities beginning with virtue are: (i) virtue, (ii) enlightenment, (iii) complete acceptance, (iv) intelligence, (v) absence of error, (vi) absence of obscuration, (vii) transformation, (viii) lack of fear, (ix) lack of avarice and (x) mastery.

Which obscurations are to be known as being pertinent to each of these [qualities] beginning with virtue?

II.10 ab

The obscurations that pertain to these [qualities] are to be known in groups of three.

The three obscurations to the wholesome are: (a) lack of application, (b) application in regard to unworthy objects and (c) superficial appli-The three obscurations to enlightenment are: (a) the non-arising of the wholesome, (b) lack of mental attention and (c) incomplete accu-Complete acceptance refers to the generation of the resolve for enlightenment. The three [obscurations] to the latter are: (a) deprivation of spiritual lineage, (b) deprivation of good friends and (c) mental exhaustion. Intelligence refers to the bodhisattva state. three obscurations to the recognition of the latter are: (a) deprivation of spiritual practice, (b) living with stupid people and (c) living with pernicious people. Therein, stupid people are [equivalent to] foolish people; pernicious people are those who bear ill will. [obscurations] to absence of error are: (a) the disquiet of erroneous inversions, (b) whatever remains from the three obscurations such as moral defilement and (c) the non-maturation of the wisdom that brings liberation to fruition. Absence of obscuration is [equivalent to] the relinquishment of obscuration. The three [obscurations] to the latter are: (a) innate disquiet, (b) laziness and (c) carelessness. [obscurations] to transformation, on account of which one's mind is transformed into other modes and not into supreme and perfect enlightenment, are: (a) attachment to existence, (b) attachment to enjoyments and (c) faintheartedness. The three [obscurations] to lack of fear are: (a) a low opinion of people, (b) a lack of firm conviction in regard to the Dharma and (c) deliberation in accord with the letter as

N31

regards its meaning. The three [obscurations] to lack of avarice are: (a) a lack of enthusiasm for the true Dharma, (b) enthusiasm for gain and honour and for veneration and (c) lack of compassion for sentient beings. The three [obscurations] to mastery, on account of which one fails to obtain supremacy, are: (a) loss of what has been learnt due to the arising of karma conducive to the loss of the Dharma, (b) learning little and (c) lack of the necessary preparation for meditative concentration.

[Sthiramati]

Y75.14 [1] Are the latter [i.e the obscurations that consist in the nine fetters] the only obscurations? These are common to both bodhisattvas and śrāvakas etc. however, obscurations that pertain to the bodhisattvas [alone] are:

II.3 d

The others are relevant to the tenfold [qualities] beginning with virtue.⁴⁵

Since it is not known as to which are the obscurations and which are the [qualities] beginning with virtue⁴⁶, hence he says:

II.4 ab

 (i) Lack of application, [application] in regard to unworthy objects and what is produced without application [etc.]

... are the obscurations.

The [qualities] beginning with virtue are:

II.9 ab

Y76

(i) Virtue, (ii) enlightenment, (iii) complete acceptance [etc.]

...are the ten qualities. Therein virtue, which is the cause of enlightenment, consists in all the roots of the wholesome [dharmas]; enlightenment is the result of it. In the above, virtue has been listed in general terms but it is not understood by way of differentiation nor own-being, hence, in order to clearly illustrate these he elaborates:

II.9 abcd

...(iii) Complete acceptance, (iv) intelligence⁴⁷, (v) absence of error, (vi) absence of obscuration⁴⁸, (vii) transformation, (viii) lack of fear, (ix) lack of avarice [etc.]

These are described as virtuous. Since enlightenment cannot be understood by way of own-being through words alone, he describes it as:

⁴⁵ Read: śubhādau daśadhā 'param in place of anyad daśaśubhādişu; cf. Bhaşya N29.20. Ms.(21a.7): -m āvaranam...

⁴⁶ Read: kin tad ävaranam ke ca śubhādaya in place of ävaranam ke ca śubhādaya; cf. Bhāsya N29.21 & D6b.6.

⁴⁷ Ms.(21b.1): dhimatt3, but Bhasya (N30.9): dhimattva.

⁴⁸ Read: -anilytti as per Ms.(21b.1) in place of -anilyttau.

- [2] Some believe that since the obscurations to virtue and enlightenment are explained as independent, as are [the obscurations to] complete acceptance etc., they are discerned in this context just as independent [entities] but not as things that can be listed and explained. [This is not so] the obscuration to arising is determined in relation to virtue and it is common to such things as the resolve for enlightenment because of the necessity for the production of that virtue. The obscuration to complete acceptance etc. and the obscuration to the basis are obscurations to complete acceptance etc. and are different [from the obscuration to virtue]⁴⁹. Also, since enlightenment is unshakable and is to be attained, both the obscuration to its maintenance and the obscuration to its attainment are respectively determined. Therefore, independence does not result here.
- [3] And their sequential order in brief is as follows: Enlightenment is to be attained after perfecting the root of the wholesome in its entirety. In detail [it is as follows]: From the very beginning the resolve towards enlightenment should be generated because it is the foundation for the accomplishment of benefit for both oneself and others. Then comes spiritual practice in regard to [the six perfections], beginning with generosity, which conforms with⁵⁰ the generation of the resolve, and, on account of which, one is recognized⁵¹ as a bodhisattva. After that, as the result of continual practice throughout immeasurable aeons⁵² and in order to purify one's mental disposition through the collection of the accumulations of merit and direct intuition, the path of vision, which has the nature of the absence of error, should be generated as the counteragent to error in regard to the personal entity and the dharmas. Then the path of meditative development which is characterized by the separation from obscuration should be followed to its culminating point in order to bring about the possession of a special purity⁵³. Then, all the mundane and supramundane roots of the wholesome should, as they are collected, be transformed into enlightenment through application that transcends that of the śrāvaka etc. Then, one who has arrived in this condition has no fear⁵⁴ in regard to the profound and sublime explanations of the Buddha and the bodhisattvas because he is mentally disposed towards universal enlightenment⁵⁵.

¥77

⁴⁹ The rendering above is on the basis of the Tib. which is difficult to reconcile with the Sanskrit portion: yat dag par 'dzin pa la sogs pa la sgrib pa dan rten la sogs pa la sgrib pa ni yat dag par 'dzin pa la sogs pa rnams kyi sgrib pa ste tha dad do (D225a.6). A possible Sanskrit reconstruction would be: samädänädyävaranam dhṛtyādyävaranam ca samädänädyävaranam / bhinnam ca.

⁵⁰ Read: cittotpādānurūpā as per Ms.(21b.4) in place of cittotpādanānurūpā.

⁵¹ Read perhaps: laksyste in place of labhyate; Tib. melon (D225b.2). Ms.(21b.4): la-.

⁵² Read: kaipāsamkhyeyābhyasāt in place of 'samkhyeyakalpenābhyastaḥ; Tib. bakal ba grads med par goms par byas to (D225b.2). Cf. Y27.8.

⁵³ Read perhaps: -yogakaranāya in place of -yogārthaḥ; Tib. dan ldan par bya ba'i phyir (D225b.3).

⁵⁴ Read: nottrasvati as per Ms.(21b.6) in place of na trasvati, and disregard Y's fn.2 p.77.

Ms. (21b.6): mahā...yāśayatvād with three or possibly four syllables missing; Tib. byad chub chen por bsam par mi byad pas (D225b.4). The sense of the passage demands that the negative participle of the Tib. be dropped as noted by Y's fit.1 p.77.

Then, one who is endowed with much learning⁵⁶ and understanding teaches the sublime Dharma for the purpose of bringing sentient beings to full maturation. After that, it is said that one attains mastery, i.e. Buddhahood, when those to be trained are brought to full maturation in order to liberate them.

[4] Here the obscurations are stated as thirty, but only ten qualities to be obscured [are stated] beginning with virtue, hence he asks: which obscurations are to be known as being pertinent to each of these [qualities] beginning with virtue?

II.10 ab

The obscurations that pertain to these [qualities] are to be known in groups of three⁵⁷;

In the above, the three obscurations (to the wholesomel are: (a) lack of application, i.e. lack of enterprise. What is the obscuration in this context? One does not apply oneself on account of any moral defilement, whether it be carelessness or laziness. Or else, one does not apply oneself to that particular condition, whether it be undefined or defiled, that possesses the 'seed' of moral defilement. It is described as the lack of application since one does not apply oneself continually or respectfully because fone's application is insignificant but not because of an absence of application. because if the latter were the case there would be no possibility of obscuration. (b) Application in regard to unworthy objects 58. Scriptural works, which are the 'doorway' (dvāra) to the origination of enlightenment and the roots of the wholesome which bring about enlightenment, are worthy objects (avatana). Something other than the latter is an unworthy object (anäyatana). Application in regard to the latter is application in regard to an unworthy object⁵⁹, i.e. in regard to objects other [than worthy objects). What is the obscuration here? The nescience or moral defilement that consists in wrong view on account of which one applies oneself to an unworthy object as well as that application is the obscuration. (c) Superficial application. expedient⁶⁰ is something fundamental (your); application that is contrarywise to whatever expedient one possesses is superficial (ayoniśah) application⁶¹. It is mentioned in this very scriptural instruction, namely:

For one who courses in passion, the meditative development of friendliness is not an expedient for the relinquishment of passion, [likewise] the meditative

¥78

⁵⁶ Read: bilhuśrutya in place of bahuśruta; Tib. mań du thos pa. Ms.(21b.6): bilhu-.

⁵⁷ Read: trīni trīni ca eteşām ifteyāny āvaranāni hi in place of trīni trīni vijiteyāni eşām āvaranāni hi; cf. Bhāsva N30.12.

⁵⁸ Ms.(22a.2): anitums which has been corrected in the Ms. margin to anitystans.

⁵⁹ Read: 'näyatanaprayoga as per Ms.(22a.2) in place of 'näyatane prayoga.

⁶⁰ Ms.(22a.3): upliyo; disregard Y's fn.7 p.77.

⁶¹ Ms. (22a.3): -prayogo 'niyoniśah but Y's emendation to prayogo 'yoniśah is preferred; cf. his fn.1 p.78.

development of the impure⁶² is [not an expedient for the relinquishment of repugnance] for one who courses in repugnance.

Here too, superficial mental attention or application which has that for its cause is an obscuration.

- [5] The three [obscurations] to enlightenment...; enlightenment consists in an understanding that is in accord with its object. ... are: (a) the non-arising of the wholesome, which has already been discussed. How can its non-arising be an obscuration? When the wholesome does not exist there can be no enlightenment, hence the non-arising of the wholesome is an obscuration to enlightenment. Alternatively, that moral defilement or particular state which is an obscuration to the arising of the wholesome⁶³ is also (an obscuration) to enlightenment, for that which is an obscuration to the accumulations (of merit and direct intuition) is certainly also (an obscuration to its result. (b) Lack of mental attention, is sequivalent to the lack of the meditative development of [wholesome elements] that have already arisen; what is meant is: they are not augmented⁶⁴. Even though wholesome [elements] are produced in a particular state, one is not mentally attentive time and again⁶⁵ on account of moral defilement such as laziness. This is the obscuration here. (c) Incomplete accumulations. Enlightenment is attained through a certain amount of accumulations. While the accumulations of merit and direct intuition are incomplete one abides in a morally defiled condition like before, or else, one has scanty accumulations. However, another believes that although no distinction is made here, non-arising is intended just as [an obscuration]66 to those elements conducive to penetration and not to others. Also, there can be a lack of mental attention to those [elements conducive to penetration] that have arisen, although one is being mentally attentive; the non-accumulation of what has been accumulated [on account of that] is described as an obscuration.
- [6] Complete acceptance refers to the generation of the resolve for eulightenment. Complete acceptance consists in the generation of the resolve for enlightenment since the accumulations of merit and direct intuition, in their entirety, and the result of these, i.e. Buddhahood, are to be completely accepted and possessed on account of the fact that they should cause all sentient beings⁶⁷ to be established in the most excellent nirvana realm devoid of the remnants of existence by means of this

⁶² Ms.(22a.4): -aśubhābhāvaneti but Y's emendation to -aśubhabhāvaneti is preferred; cf. his fn.2 p.78.

⁶³ Tib. is slightly different: yan na gnas skabs kyi bye brag gi tshe dge ba la skye ba la sgrib pa'i don mods pa gat yin pa (D226a.5-6); "...moral defilement which is an obscuration to the arising of the wholesome in a particular state...".

⁶⁴ Read: avardhanam iti in place of anupekşeti; Tib. spel bar mi byed ces bya ba (D226a.6).

⁶⁵ Read: paunah punyena as per Ms. (22a.6) in place of paunapunyena; disregard Y's fn.4 p.78.

⁶⁶ Tib. inserts serib ps (D226b.1) which is not found in the Ms.

⁶⁷ This passage is problematical. The above rendering is considered the most coherent of many possible interpretations and necessitates the emendation of sarvasattvis to sarvasattving; it should also be noted that samideyam parigrathyam ca, is not found in the Ms. but has been inserted by Y on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fin.6 p.78.

¥79

[resolve for enlightenment]. Furthermore, this consists in the mental disposition to perform acts of welfare for oneself and others, i.e., it is volition accompanied by will-power. The three [obscurations] to the latter are⁶⁸: (a) deprivation of spiritual lineage; i.e. not having a spiritual lineage or belonging to the spiritual lineage of the \$r\$vaka\$ etc. (b) Deprivation of good friends; i.e., although one belongs to a spiritual lineage, one does not meet with those who inspire the generation of the resolve for enlightenment⁶⁹; or else, on account of these [people], one turns away from enlightenment, or is not strengthened by the wholesome dharmas. Even when one meets with good friends, there is (c) mental exhaustion⁷⁰ - on account of the sufferings of sams\$\text{samsara}\$ the anxious minds of those who have wrong insight aspire for parinirv\$\text{sam}\$ as soon as possible. What are the obscurations here? (a) A defect in causes [i.e. gotra], (b) a defect in conditions [i.e. kaly\$\text{sammitra}\$, (c) lack of compassion for sentient beings⁷¹ or (d) laziness, since one who lacks compassion for sentient beings is either exhausted or is lazy.

[7] Intelligence 72 refers to the bodhisattva state, for they are suited to the careful consideration, in every respect, of all that is to be known, because, in comparison to those who belong to the spiritual lineage of the śrāvaka etc. 73, they naturally have keen faculties. And it is just the bodhisattva who possesses intelligence because of his firm conviction in the profound and sublime Dharma; others do not. The bodhisattva state consists in spiritual practice that is not erroneously inverted for the benefit of others 74. (a) Deprivation of spiritual practice 75; i.e. one does not engage in [the practice of] the [six] perfections etc., for, one who is 'situated in' spiritual practice 76 is known as a bodhisattva. (b) Stupid people are [equivalent to] foolish people 77; what is meant is: people who do not investigate [phenomena] because, stupid people do not know that a particular person is a bodhisattva even though he is occupied with spiritual practice 78. (c) Pernicious people are those who bear ill will; i.e. those who bear enmity towards bodhisattvas. These people

⁶⁸ Read: tasva trīni (āvaranāni) in place of tadāvaranesu trisu; cf. Bhāsva N30.15.

⁶⁹ Read: bodher as per Ms.(22b.2) in place of bodhir; Tib. byati chub las (D226b.5).

⁷⁰ Read: parikhedacittatā in place of cittaparikhedalt; cf. Bhāsya N30.16.

⁷¹ Ms.(22b.3): sattvesu: disregard Y's fn.1 p.79.

⁷² Read: dhimattvam in place of dhimatta; cf. Bhasva N30.17.

⁷³ Read: śrāvakādigotrakānām in place of śrāvakādigotribhyas; Tib. flan thos la sogs pa'i rigs can mams pas (D226b.7).

⁷⁴ Read: bodhisattvatāviparyastā parārthapratipattih in place of the Ms. reading of: bodhisattvatā viparyastatā parārthaparāpratipattih (22b.4); Tib. byat chub sems dpa did ni gžan gyi don phyin ci ma log par sgrub pac (0227a.1).

⁷⁵ Ms.(22b.4): pratipatter vaidhuryam but Bhāsya (N30.18): pratipattivaidhuryam.

⁷⁶ Read: pratipattistho hi bodhisattvo jilayate in place of pratipattivrtto hi bodhisattvo jileyah; Tib. sgrub pa la gnas pa ni byań chub sems dpar ses so (D227a.2).

⁷⁷ Read: kujano mūrkhajanah in place of kujanas tu mūdhajana; cf. Bhāsya N30.19.

⁷⁸ Read (with de Jong): bodhisattvo 'yam iti na jānīte in place of bodhisattvopamitan; Ms. (22b.5) substantiates this reading.

fail to see the real virtues of a bodhisattva because they seek⁷⁹ his point of vulnerability. Having concealed the real virtues [of the bodhisattva] they promulgate non-existent faults after superimposing the latter upon the former because they see non-existent faults or have harmful thoughts on account of enmity. What is the obscuration here? (a) That which is adverse to the [six] perfections such as generosity, (b) nescience and (c) dislike.

[8] The three [obscurations] to absence of error ...; error is on account of superimposition and negation 80; its counteragent is the absence of error and is [equivalent to] the path of vision; ...are: (a) the disquiet of erroneous inversions. Some believe that this refers to the maturation⁸¹ of the latent impressions of notional attachment to the apprehended object and apprehending subject. Others believe it refers to the maturation of the 'seeds' lodged in the store-consciousness of: (a) all propensities that are to be abandoned by means of [the path of] vision, or (b) undefiled nescience which is an impediment to⁸² the facility for an understanding⁸³ of the all-pervading dharmadhatu. Others believe that erroneous inversion refers to the conceptual notion of the self etc. in regard to the absence of self etc. (b) Whatever remains from the three obscurations such as moral defilement⁸⁴. Therein. obscuration that consists in moral defilement is the moral defilement such as passion which manifests intensely and for a long time; it is an obscuration to the absence of error because there is no opportunity for the application of the counteragent to it. Obscuration that consists in karma is the karma that brings an immediate result etc. and which leads necessarily⁸⁵ to unfortunate states of existence. Obscuration that consists in the karma-result is the unfortunate state of existence etc. and one who is born therein does not have the good fortune of the arising of the noble Dharma. Moreover, whatever is left as a remainder from these [three], i.e. moral defilement, karma and rebirth. are obscurations but they are definitely not the entire [obscurations]86, (c) The nonmaturation of the wisdom which brings liberation to fruition⁸⁷; this refers to the fact that the wisdom which brings about liberation is ineffective. Some believe

⁷⁹ Read: te hi randhrānveşitvād bodhisattvasya bhūtān gunān na paśyanti in place of te hi randhrānvayitvam bodhisattvasya bhūtān gunān na paśyati; Ms.(22b.5): te hi randhrānveşitv... Tib. de dag glags tshol bas byan chub sems dpai yon tan yan dag pa mams ni mi mthon la (D227a.3).

⁸⁰ Ms.(22b.6) line ends: adhyāropāpavādabhrānti- but Y's emendation to adhyāropāpavādād bhrāntih is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. Y's fn.4 p.79.

⁸¹ Ms.(22b.7): -pustir, disregard Y's fn.5 p.79.

⁸² Read (with de Jong p.114): -pratibandhasya in place of pratibaddhasya; Tib. gegs su gyur pa (D227a.6).

⁸³ Read: -pratipādana- in place of -bodha- (Y80.1); Ms.(22b.7); -dharma...prati... Tib. khon du chud par bya ba (D227a.6).

⁸⁴ Read: kleśādyāvaraņatrayād in place of kleśādyāvaraņānām trayānām; cf. Bhāṣya N30.20.

⁸⁵ Ms.(23a.1): niyamatam but Y's emendation to niyamanam is preferred; Tib. nes pa (D227b.1).

Read perhaps: na punah kṛṭṣṇāny eveti in place of na punah kṛṭṣṇām; Tib. zad par ni ma yin no (D22/b.2). Ms. (23a.2): na punah... nyeveti with two syllables missing.

⁸⁷ Read: vimuktiparip\(\text{ciny\varaphi}\) prajf\(\varaphi\) in place of vimuktiparip\(\varaphi\)canaparij\(\varaphi\) ay\(\varaphi\); cf. Bh\(\varapha\)sya N30.21.

that this is because of the failure to attain the condition conducive to penetration. However, others say that there are two [types] of wisdom in this regard which bring liberation to fruition: (a) absence of conceptual differentiation and (b) the application of the latter⁸⁸. The non-maturation of both of these, which respectively consists in an incapacity concerning the understanding of reality and an incapacity concerning the state that causes the latter⁸⁹, is due to the fact that the accumulations are incomplete. What are the obscurations here? (a) The maturation of the latent impressions of moral defilement that are to be abandoned by [the path of] vision⁹⁰, (b) whatever remains from the obscurations such as moral defilement, (c) nescience which is an impediment to the maturation of wisdom and (d) the non-completion of the accumulations.

[9] Absence of obscuration is (equivalent to) the relinquishment of obscuration. It is the relinquishment of obscuration since obscuration is relinquished on account of it. The absence of obscuration consists in the path of meditative development because this is the counteragent to obscuration. [obscurations] to the latter are: (a) innate disquiet. This refers to the propensity for moral defilement that is to be abandoned by meditative development. Alternatively, this refers to the maturation of the 'seeds' that are lodged⁹¹ in the storeconsciousness, of: (a) the innate [elements] such as the false view of self and (b) undefiled nescience⁹² which is an impediment to the penetration of the highest meaning of the dharmadhātu etc⁹³. (b) Lazinesa is fequivalent to slothfulness: this refers to the lethargy of mind that has delusion for a component⁹⁴. (c) Carelessness consists in both an excessive attachment to sense-objects and an inattentiveness in regard to the wholesome. Some believe that carelessness here refers to the sayouring⁹⁵ of meditative concentration. Due to disquiet and laziness in this respect, the path is not cultivated

⁸⁸ Read: tatorāyogikī as per Ms.(23a.3) in place of tatorāyogikā.

⁸⁹ tadupanisad-; Tib. de'i rgyu- (D227b.4). Cf. MSA XI.9.

Read: darśanaheyakleśavāsanāparipuştih in place of darśanaheyakleśasya puştavāsanā; Tib. mithoi bas spań bar bya bai ilion mods pai bag chags brtas pa (D227b.5). Cf. Y79.24 – D227a.5.

⁹¹ Read: -samnivistabljapariposah in place of -samnivistam paripustabljam. Although the Ms. (23a.5) substantiates Y's reconstruction, the Tib. (...sa bon ...gnas pa yods su gsos pa D227b.7) which is identical to a near parallel passage on a previous page (Y80.2-3 = D227a.6-7), suggests the same Sanskrit construction as found in that passage.

⁹² ajňānasya (mi śes pa'i) is found in D contrary to Y's fn.2 p.81.

⁹³ Read: dharmadhator utkarşārthādi- in place of dharmadhātuprasthārthādi-; Ms.(23a.5): dharmadhāto....rthādi; Tib. chos kyi dbyins mchog gi don la sogs pa (D227b.7).

⁹⁴ Read: kausīdyam ālasyo mohāmsiikaš cetaso 'nabhyutsāhah in place of kausīdyam mohāmsiikād ālasyāc citiam nābhyutsāhate; Tib. le lo ai stom las te gti mug gi char gtogs pas sems miton par mi spro ba (D228a.l). Cf. T-Bhāsya (L31.32): kausīdyam kuśale cetaso 'nabhyutsāho vīryavipakṣah' kuśale kāyavāṇmanahkarmaṇi nidrāpāsvasayanasukham āgamya yo mohāmsi-kaš cetaso 'nabhyutsāhah; Tib. le lo ni dge ba la sems miton par mi spro ba ste / bītson 'grus kyi mi mthun pa'i phyogs so // fial ba dan skyes pa dan 'phres pa'i bde ba la bīten nas gti mug gi char gtogs pas lus dat nag dan yid kyi las dge ba la sems miton par spro ba med pa gan yin pa (D161a.5).

⁹⁵ Read: Esvädanätra as per Ms.(23a.6) in place of Esvädanam atra; cf. Kośa Index p.89. As noted by Y in his fn.3 p.81, atra is not translated in the Tib.

and due to carelessness the mind is not protected from the unwholesome. What are the obscurations here? These very three.

[10] The three [obscurations] to transformation on account of which one's mind is transformed into other modes; [and is not transformed into supreme and perfect enlightenment]⁹⁷. Transformation⁹⁸ therein refers to [the transformation] of the roots of the wholesome which have already arisen and is restricted to [the transformation] by mind into Buddhahood alone. There are three obscurations to the latter. Which are these three? Those, on account of which, mind is transformed into modes⁹⁹ other than Buddhahood, i.e. into samsara or the enlightenment of the śrāvakas etc., ...and not into supreme and perfect enlightenment. And these are: (a) attachment to existence, (b) attachment to enjoyments and (c) faintheartedness. "Existence" refers to the five aggregates that have been appropriated. Since they are enjoyed (bhujyante), the sense-objects of form etc. are "enjoyments" (bhoga). "Attachment" is the strong desire for the latter two. "Faintheartedness" refers to a mind that lacks sublimity; i.e. one has a low opinion of oneself and thinks: "how can someone like me¹⁰⁰ obtain Buddhahood?" In regard to this, on account of the attachment to existence and the attachment to enjoyments, one's mind is transformed into a propitious state of existence; however, on account of faintheartedness, the mind is transformed into the śrāvaka vehicle etc. What are the obscurations in this regard? They are: the craving for existence and enjoyments, laziness and lack of compassion.

[11] The three [obscurations] to lack of fear. What is this lack of fear? It is a fearless state that consists in a firm conviction¹⁰¹ in regard to emptiness and the profound and sublime Dharma of the Buddha and the bodhisattvas. (a) A low opinion of people; i.e. erroneous understandings and statements about people who proclaim the way of the universal vehicle, or disbelief in the virtues of morality, meditative concentration and wisdom etc. (b) A lack of firm conviction in the Dharma; i.e. disbelief concerning the words of the Buddha as expounding a profound and sublime way and as having meaning that is not erroneously inverted. (c) Deliberation in accord with the letter as regards its meaning¹⁰²; i.e. one understands only the verbatim meaning of 'non-arisen', 'without cessation' and 'peaceful from the beginning' etc., but the meaning that is intended in reality is not understood.

⁹⁶ Read: parinates in place of parinates; cf. Bhasva N30.23.

⁹⁷ D inserts the following elaboration (on anystra) which is not found in the Ms. nor P: bla na med pa yan dag par rdzogs pa'i byan chub tu mi bsho (D228a.2-3).

⁹⁸ Read: parinatir in place of parinatir; cf. fn.96 above.

⁹⁹ Read: anyatra in place of anye; Tib. gźan du (D228a.3). Cf. Bhasya N30.24.

¹⁰⁰ Ms.(23b.1): madvidha; contrary to Y's fn.7 p.81.

¹⁰¹ Ms.(23b.2): -rmeşv adhimuktir; disregard Y's fn.9 p.81.

¹⁰² Read: yathāruta- in place of yathāśabdam; cf. Bhāṣya N31.2. Note: (vi)cārapā cārthe here, but Bhāṣya vicārapārthe.

Therefore, there is no firm conviction in regard to the meaning of 'non-arisen' etc. What are the obscurations here? (a) Doubt and (b) nescience which is adverse to both wisdom and meditative concentration and is also adverse to a conclusive investigation of the meaning that is intended.

[12] The three [obscurations] to lack of avarice... It is on account of the lack of avarice that one teaches the Dharma, either for the continued existence of the true Dharma, or in order to assist others. are: (a) lack of enthusiasm for the true Dharma; i.e. not holding it dear. It is on account of this that the true Dharma's long-lasting existence is not ensured because it is not conveyed to another's mental continuum. (b) Enthusiasm for gain and honour and for veneration; i.e. holding them dear. One worries that another may be equal or even superior to oneself concerning enthusiasm for the true Dharma, and consequently, one does not teach the Dharma because of fear that gain etc. will be hindered. [Alternatively], although one does not cling to gain and honour, there is: (c) a lack of compassion for sentient beings; i.e. one does not teach [the Dharma] 104 due to an absence of compassion for sentient beings because one who lacks compassion is not affected by the sufferings of sentient beings. What are the obscurations here? (a) Lack of enthusiasm, (b) attachment to gain and honour and (c) lack of compassion.

[13] The three [obscurations] to mastery. Since mastery consists in the attainment of all kinds of masteries of the mind, mastery is [equivalent to] Buddhahood 105. But since the three obscurations to the latter are not known, he says: on account of which one fails to obtain 106 supremacy. He states that the obscurations to mastery are those on account of which supremacy is not obtained, because supremacy is dependent upon the mastery of mind. Furthermore, supremacy refers to excellence in regard to direct intuition, relinquishment and power. Alternatively, by the statement: "...those on account of which supremacy is not obtained", [supremacy] is demonstrated as a synonym for mastery 107. What is meant is: Buddhahood 108 is not attained. (a) The loss of what has been learnt. [This is equivalent to] the total separation from hearing the true Dharma in the same way that 109 the loss of one's

¹⁰³ Read: - ārthanitīraņa- in place of -ārthavicāraņa-; Ms.(23b.4): -ārthanitīr... Tib. spyod pa (D228b.3).

¹⁰⁴ dharms is omitted from the Ms. as noted by Y (cf. his fn.4 p.82) but has been inserted on the basis of the Tib. (D228b.6).

¹⁰⁵ Read: vašitvasya cittasarvākāravašitvaprāptitvād buddhatvam vašitvam in place of vašitvam hi sarvesām cittavašitvānām prāpāt buddhatvam vašitvam; Tib. dban ni sems kyi dban mam pa thams cad thob pas sada rgyas tiid dban (D228b.7).

¹⁰⁶ Ms.(23b.7); labhatva, but Y's emendation tolabhata as is preferred.

¹⁰⁷ Read: vasitvaparyāyena pradaršitam in place of vasitvaparyāye nirdistam; Tib. dban gi rnam grans su batan pa ste (D229a.2).

¹⁰⁸ Ms.(23b.7): vibhutvam but Y's emendation to buddhatvam is preferred on the basis of the Tib. satis rgyas fid (D229a.2).

¹⁰⁹ Ma.(23b.7) is partially illegible but substantiates the insertion of tadyathā immediately prior to bandhubhir (Y83.6); Tib. zes bya ba Ita bu'o (D229a.3).

relatives is [equivalent to] the total separation from one's relatives. Furthermore, the loss of what has been learnt is due to the arising of karma110 conducive to the loss of the true¹¹¹ Dharma; i.e. because they either abide in the attachment to their own views or they have recourse to people who are not good friends, the Sūtras of the universal vehicle are rejected by those who lack remorse saying: "these words are spoken by Māra and are the cause of unfortunate states of existence; these words are not spoken by the Buddha", and they deter other people from these [Sūtras]. They efface the writings, burn and submerge them in water and so, in various ways, there is the arising of karma¹¹² conducive to the loss of the true Dharma on account of the maturation of the 'seed' of an individual nature 113 that is deprived of hearing the true Dharma. [Alternatively], when there is no loss of what has been learnt, (b) learning little is referred to as an obscuration since the accumulations of direct intuition are incomplete and one who has little learning is unable to analyse the dharmas. And [alternatively], even if one has much learning, (c) lack of the necessary preparation for meditative concentration 114 is referred to as an obscuration. The lack of the necessary preparation for meditative concentration is due to: (a) the absence of any of [the qualities] beginning with will-power, or (b) the non-completion of meditative development¹¹⁵ because of the absence of any of the eight formative forces that facilitate relinquishment. Alternatively, the lack of necessary preparation refers to the non-perfection of the Buddha's meditative concentrations such as the Vaira-like [concentration]116. What are obscurations here? They are: (a) karma conducive to the loss of the Dharma, (b) learning little, (c) weakness in wisdom and (d) the secondary defilements that are adverse to meditative concentration such as spiritual indifference and restlessness.

b. The Ten [Instrumental] Causes¹¹⁷.

N31.9 Furthermore, these obscurations in regard to virtue etc. should be known according to the influence of each [respective] category, in

¹¹⁰ Read: -karmaprabhavanit in place of karmotpennid bhavati; cf. Bhilsva N31.6.

¹¹¹ sad is omitted from both the Tib. Tikä and Bhäsva.

¹¹² Read: -karmaprabhavanam in place of -karmotpadyate; Tib. las rab tu skye (D229a.5). Cf. Bhasya N31.6.

^{113 ** **}Itmabhāva** but Tib.: ** las should probably read ** lus (D229a.5) and Y's fn.5 p.83 can be disregarded since Ms.(24a.3): ** paripustitah.

¹¹⁴ Read: samādher aparikarmitatvam ca in place of samādher cāparikarmitatvam; cf. Bhāsya N31.6.

¹¹⁵ Read: hhāvanāyā in place of Ms. reading of bhāvanāyām (24a.4); Tib. bsgom pa yons su ma rdzogs pa'i phyir (D229a.7).

¹¹⁶ Read: vajropamādīnām as per Ms.(24a.4) in place of vrajopamādīnām.

¹¹⁷ These same dafakäranäni are listed in AS (P28.12) where käranam is defined as the "own-being of the cause" (hetusyabhäva).

relation to which there are ten [instrumental] causes. The ten causes are: (a) The cause for origination; for example, the eyes etc. [are the cause] for sye-consciousness, (b) The cause for continued existence; for example, the four types of food [are the cause for the continued existence] of sentient beings. (c) The cause for support refers to that which is the foundation of something; for example, the inanimate world [is the cause for the support] of the world of sentient beings. (d) The cause for manifestation; for example, sight [is the cause for the manifestation] of form. (e) The cause for modification: for example, fire etc. [is the cause for the modification] of cooked food etc. cause for disjunction; for example, the scythe [is the cause for the disjunction] of that which is to be severed. (g) The cause for transformation; for example, a gold-smith etc. [is the cause for the transformation] of gold etc. when it is transformed into things such as bracelets. (h) The cause for a belief; for example, smoke etc. [is the cause for the belief that there is fire etc. (i) The cause for making [others] believe; for example, the logical reason [is the cause for making (others) believe] in a premise. (j) The cause for attainment; for example, the path etc. [is the cause for the attainment] of nirvana etc.

Thus the obscuration to origination is to be regarded [as an obscuration] to virtue because of the necessity for the origination of the The obscuration to continued existence is [an obscuration] to enlightenment because of the unshakability of the latter. The obscuration to support is [an obscuration] to complete acceptance because the latter is the foundation of the resolve for enlightenment. ration to manifestation is [an obscuration] to the possession of intelligence because of the necessity for the promulgation of the latter. obscuration to modification is [an obscuration] to the absence of error because the latter changes into the reverse of error. The obscuration to disjunction is [an obscuration] to the absence of obscuration because the latter is [equivalent to] separation from obscuration. The obscuration to transformation is [an obscuration] to development because the latter is characterized by the transformation of mind into enlightenment. The obscuration to belief is [an obscuration] to lack of fear because fear is due to disbelief. The obscuration to making [others] believe is [an obscuration] to lack of avarice because others are made to believe on account of lack of avarice in regard to the Dharma. The obscuration to attainment is [an obscuration] to mastery because the latter is characterized by the attainment of supremacy.

N32

In regard to origination, continued existence, support, manifestation, modification, disjunction, transformation, belief, making [others] believe and attainment, the causes are tenfold.

The examples of these begin with the eyes, food, the ground, light and fire;

The remainder begin with the scythe, a craftsman, smoke, the logical cause and the path.

118 Now, from the very beginning, on account of the desire to attain enlightenment, the root of the wholesome should be generated. Then. by engendering the force of the root of the wholesome, enlightenment should be attained. Moreover, the resolve towards enlightenment is the support for the origination of the root of the wholesome. The bodhisattva is the [physical] basis of that resolve towards enlighten-Moreover, in order to relinquish 119 erroneous inversion, the absence of erroneous inversion is to be generated by the bodhisattva who has secured the engendering of the force of the root of the wholesome and who has generated the resolve towards enlightenment. all obscuration should be relinquished on the path of meditative development on account of the absence of erroneous inversion 120 on the path of vision and all the roots of the wholesome should be transformed into supreme and perfect enlightenment owing to the relinquishment of Then, by engendering the forces of transformation, there obscuration. should be no fear in regard to the profound and sublime Dharma teach-Thus, these Dharmas should be revealed to others in full detail by one whose mind is devoid of fear and who sees the virtues of the Following that, the bodhisattva who has secured the engendering of the forces of the various virtues in this way soon arrives at supreme and perfect enlightenment, having acquired mastery over all

N33

Nagao suggests that the following paragraph of the Bhlisya (N32.11 to 33.2) could well be a later interpolation peculiar to the Bhlisya because it receives no comment by Sthiramati. Cf. his fn.6 p.32.

¹¹⁹ Read: prahāpāya in place of prahāya on the basis of the Tib. span ba'i phyir (D8a.7). Cf. N's fn.9 p.32.

¹²⁰ Read: 'viparyāsena in place of 'viparyaste on the basis of the Tib. phyin ci ma log pas (D8a.7). Cf. N's fn.11 p.32.

dharmas. This is the sequential order of [the development of the categories] beginning with virtue.

[Sthiramati]

[1] Furthermore, these obscurations beginning with lack of application in regard to virtue etc., i.e. in regard to the tenfold categories concluding with supremacy, [should be known according to the influence of each respective category], in relation to which there are ten [instrumental] causes¹²¹. i.e. in relation to each category 122, beginning with origination and concluding with attainment, there are ten causes, beginning with application 123 and concluding with the necessary preparation for meditative concentration, because they are contrary to those obscurations. And although they are individually differentiated here, this explanation does not differentiate [i.e. it designates them all as causes] because no distinction is made concerning causality in regard to origination and the other [nine]. According to the influence of each [respective] category; what is meant is: according to the predominance of the category, such as origination. For, when there is an obstruction to the cause of the origination etc. of these [categories] such as virtue, it is described as an obscuration to origination etc. For example, something, such as a wall which obscures light is described as an obscuration to eve-consciousness which is the effect of that [light]. In this way, thirty [instrumental] causes are explained 124 as the reverse of the thirty obscurations that pertain to these ten dharmas [i.e. virtue etc.].

[2] In this respect, (a) the three causes for the origination of virtue are: application, application in regard to worthy objects and proper application. Here now he provides an example: for example, the eyes etc. For the eyes etc. are just causes for the origination of consciousness, they do not cause continued existence etc. 125 Therein, lack of application etc. is an immediate obstruction to application etc. 126, and indirectly, is an impediment to the origination of virtue too, on account of their mutual relationship, thus the obscuration to origination is an obscuration to virtue, hence he says: because of the necessity for the origination of the latter. He shows that this is just an obscuration to origination because there is no possibility of continued existence in regard to virtue [if it has not originated].

[3] (b) The cause for continued existence is in relation to enlightenment. The turning about of the basis is [equivalent to] enlightenment which has thusness,

¹²¹ Read: yatrārthe daśa kārapāni in place of yasminn arthe daśa kārapāni; cf. Bhāsya N31.9.

¹²² Read: yeararthe in place of yearning arthe; cf. ibid.

¹²³ Read: prayogādikāni in place of prayogādīni; Ms.(24a.6): prayogādikani.

¹²⁴ Read: nirdistam bhavati in place of nirdistam; Tib. bstan pa yin no (D229b.5).

¹²⁵ Read: caksurādayo hi vijdānasyotpattikāraņam eva na sthityādikāraņam as per Ms.(24b.1) in place of caksurādayo vijdānasyotpattikāraņam eva (na tu) sthityādikāraņam.

¹²⁶ Tib. omits adi; cf. D229b.6.

Y85

devoid of stain, for its basis 127. Here he gives the reason: because of its unshakability. It is unshakable because it remains for the duration of the world: it cannot become otherwise nor can it cease. However, the enlightenment of the śrāvaka etc. ceases after having accomplished its aim in his own mental continuum. The bodhisattvas who with their superior mental disposition which consists in the provision of welfare and happiness for all sentient beings are engaged in accumulations, yow to remain (in the world) up until such time as there is the enlightenment characterized by the turning about of the basis for [all] sentient beings 128; but not for the sake of mere non-rebirth, like the śrāvakas etc. 129 Moreover, since there can be no continued existence without origination 130, origination is also [applicable] here. Therefore, just the cause for the continued existence of enlightenment is mentioned, not the cause for origination. And since, there is no other cause for continued existence apart from this, consequently, only the latter is mentioned. Alternatively, enlightenment is [equivalent to] pure thusness and thusness does not originate because it has purity for its own-being. On the contrary, only continued existence is positively mentioned by way of these causes because of the absence of adventitious stain; origination is not [mentioned]. Furthermore, the causes for the continued existence of enlightenment¹³¹ are: (a) the production of the roots¹³² of the wholesome. (b) mental attention and (c) accumulations that are complete. And while such things as the non-origination of virtue are hindrances herein to the arising of the wholesome etc.; since they impair the continued existence 133 of enlightenment, they are described as obscurations to its continued existence. (b) The cause for continued existence 134; here he gives an example: for example, the four types of food fare the cause for the continued existence] of sentient beings, for no new sentient beings are brought into existence by means of the [four] foods such as morsel food¹³⁵, whereas those who have already come into existence are certainly maintained 136.

[4] The cause for support refers to... The support is the resolve towards enlightenment because it is the foundation for all wholesome dharmas. Here now is an

¹²⁷ Read perhaps: žirayaparāvṛttir nirmalatathatžirayā bodhiḥ as per Ms.(24b.2), contrary to Y's fn.4 p.84, in place of žirayaparāvṛttir bodhiḥ / žirayo nirmalatathatā although this reading is in agreement with the Tib.: byad chub ni gnas gyur pa o // de bžiu fiid dri ma med pa ni gnas pa'o (DZ29b.7 - 230a.1) = "The tuming about of the basis is [equivalent to] enlightenment; the basis is thusness which is devoid of stain".

¹²⁸ Read: sattvānām tāvad avasthātum prapidadhati in place of sattvās tāvad avatisthatīty ucyate; Tib. sems can ji srid pa de srid du gnas par smon te (P68b.1); D: sems for sems can (230a.2).

¹²⁹ Read: anutputtimātrārtham śrāvakādivat in place of anutpattimātratvāc chrāvakādivat; Ms. (24b.4): -tram śrāvakādivat. Cf. Y's fn.1 p.85.

¹³⁰ Read: utpatty# vin# as per Ms.(24b.4) in place of utpattim vin#.

¹³¹ Ms.(24b.5); bodheb; disregard Y's fn.2 p.85.

¹³² Ms.(24b.5) omits mills which is inserted on the basis of the Tib. (rtss bs); cf. D230a.5.

¹³³ Read (with de Jong p.114): sthitivighātam which agrees with Ms.(24b.6) in place of sthitiviyātam.

¹³⁴ Tib. inserts sthitik armam which is not found in the Ms.

¹³⁵ Read: kavadīkārādibhir as per Ms.(24b.6) in place of kavad and dibhir; cf. Mvy. #2284.

¹³⁶ Read perhaps: sthäpyants in place of vyavasthäpyants; Tib. as par byed pa (D230a.6).

example: whatever is the foundation of something is indeed its support¹³⁷, considering that it is supported by this. For example, the inanimate world is [the cause for the support] of the world of sentient beings¹³⁸. The causes for this [support] are: (a) the spiritual lineage, (b) being endowed with good friends and (c) the absence of mental exhaustion. The deprivation of a spiritual lineage etc. is also mentioned herein as an obscuration to support because it is at odds with the cause for support, hence he says: the obscuration to support is [an obscuration] to complete acceptance because the latter is the foundation of the resolve for enlightenment.

- [6] The cause for modification is in relation to the absence of error. Because it has the nature of the reverse of the errors of imputation and negation, the absence of error, which is [equivalent to] the path of vision, is described as a modification of error 142. For example, ash etc. [is a modification] of wood etc. The three causes of this are: (a) the eradication of disquiet that consists in erroneous inversion, (b) the absence of the three obscurations such as moral defilement and (c) the maturation of the wisdom which brings liberation to fruition. For example, fire etc. [is the cause for the modification] of cooked food etc. For eatables such as boiled rice are different from uncooked rice etc., but belong to their series. The cause of the latter is fire etc.

¹³⁷ Read: yad yasyādhārapabhūtam tat tasya dhṛtiḥ as per Ms.(24b.7) in place of yady asyādhārapam taira tat tasya dhṛtiḥ; cf. also Bhāsya N31.12.

¹³⁸ Ms.(24b.7): sattvalokasye-; disregard Y's fn.7 p.85.

¹³⁹ Read: avyābādhacitta- in place of randracitta-; Tib, skye bo gnod par mi sems pa (D230b.2). Cf. Y40.22 & D209a.5.

¹⁴⁰ Read: tad yathā āloko rūpasya in place of tad yathā rūpasyāvabhāsa; cf. Bhāsya N31.14.

¹⁴¹ Read: Moko in place of avabhaso; cf. ibid.

¹⁴² Read: abhrāntir daršanamārgo birānter vikāra ucyase as per Ms.(25a.3) in place of abhrāntir daršanamārgab / abhrānter vikāra ucyase. The latter reading is based on the Tib. which seems confused: khrul pa med ni mthon ba'i lam mo // khrul pa med pa'i gyur ba žes bya ba ste (D230b.5).

- [7] The cause for disjunction is in relation to the absence of obscuration. The obscuration that remains 143 from obscuration that is to be relinquished by means of the path of vision is relinquished on account of this, thus the absence of obscuration refers to the path of meditative development. The three causes for the latter are: (a) the eradication of innate disquiet, (b) vigour 144 and (c) the absence of carelessness. Moreover, these are causes for disjunction because they [act as] counteragents to the cause for non-disjunction. Hence he says: the obscuration to disjunction is [an obscuration] to the absence of obscuration; and this is an obscuration to just the counteragent for the cause for disjunction. Here now he gives the reason: because the latter consists in the separation from obscuration. Since one is separated [from obscuration] on account of this, it is described as disjunction.
- [8] The cause for transformation is in relation to development¹⁴⁵, because the latter is characterized by application in regard to the roots of the wholesome, i.e. in regard to universal enlightenment. Moreover, this consists in a turning aside from existence and enjoymants and the absence of faintheartedness. Consequently, the obscuration to transformation should be regarded as an impediment to the cause for transformation. For what reason? Because the latter, i.e. development, is characterized by the transformation of mind¹⁴⁶ into universal enlightenment.
- [9] The cause for belief is in relation to lack of fear. This consists in: (a) the holding of people in esteem, (b) the firm conviction in the Dharma and (c) the understanding of the meaning that is intended. Since belief is on account of these qualities, the obscuration to belief is [an obscuration] to lack of fear¹⁴⁷, since [the latter] is an impediment to the cause for belief. Here now is the reason¹⁴⁸: because fear is due to disbelief because it is on account of disbelief that one has fear of the Dharma.
- [10] The cause for making [others] believe is in relation to lack of avarice. Moreover, this consists in: (a) enthusiasm for the true Dharma, (b) indifference towards gain and honour and veneration and (c) compassion for sentient beings. And since it is at odds with the cause for making [others] believe, the obscuration to making [others] believe is established as [an obscuration]¹⁴⁹ to lack of avarice. Here now he provides the reason: because others are made to believe¹⁵⁰ on account of lack of avarice in regard to the Dharma. Avarice

¹⁴³ Tib. (D230b.7) has simply thag me dag for chesam avaranam

¹⁴⁴ Ms.(25a.5) appears to read: -opaghittaviryam as noted in Y's fn.2 p.86.

¹⁴⁵ Read: natau in place of pariname; cf. Bhasya N32.2.

¹⁴⁶ Ms.(25a.7): citts-; disregard Y's fn.1 p.87.

¹⁴⁷ Ms.(25b.1): aträse; disregard Y's fn.2 p.87.

¹⁴⁸ Read: atraiva kāranam as per Ms.(25b.1) in place of atraiva kāranam āha

¹⁴⁹ Tib. inserts Evarana which is omitted from the Ms. (cf. D231a.6).

¹⁵⁰ Read: dharmāmatsaritvena perasampratyāyanād in place of dharmāmatsaritvena pareşām sampratyāyanatvād; cf. Bhāsya N32.5. Ms.(25b.2): dharmāmatsaritvena para-; disregard Y's fn.3 p.87.

is created towards the Dharma due to lack of enthusiasm etc. for the true Dharma. Moreover, one does not teach the Dharma to others because of avarice for the Dharma. Hence the obscuration to making [others] believe is described as [an obscuration]¹⁵¹ to lack of avarice.

[11] The three causes for attainment are 152: (a) the absence of karma conducive to the loss of the Dharma, (b) much learning and (c) the necessary preparation for meditative concentration. Loss of what is learnt etc. is established as [an obscuration] to mastery because it is at odds with these [causes]. Here now is the reason: because the latter, i.e. mastery, is characterized by the attainment of supremacy.

[12] However, others say that the causes for virtue etc. have the following sequential A mental continuum that is supported¹⁵³ by the wholesome dharmas is conducive to the attainment of enlightenment; consequently, virtue is mentioned at the beginning. Immediately after that, enlightenment is mentioned. Since [the bodhisattva] has the ability to accomplish both of these owing to his resolve for enlightenment and since his resolve for enlightenment is the support for all the wholesome dharmas until [he attains] enlightenment, the resolve for enlightenment is then mentioned. When he has generated the resolve for enlightenment he applies himself to the six perfections as well as to the avoidance of stupid or pernicious people. In this way his status as a bodhisattva becomes publicly manifest. Hence his possession of intelligence is mentioned immediately after complete acceptance. And while applying himself in this way [the bodhisattva] relinquishes erroneous inversion and produces direct intuition that is not erroneously inverted. Hence the absence of error is mentioned immediately following the possession of intelligence. Moreover, this consists in the path of vision because obscuration is relinquished through the practice thereon. Hence, immediately after this, the absence of obscuration is mentioned which consists in the relinquishment of obscuration. Since the mind of one who is devoid of obscuration is transformed just into Buddhahood and not into any other [mode of being] because he is not attached to existence and enjoyments, consequently, development is then mentioned. And thus, elation arises towards the extremely profound Dharmas of the Buddha on the part of [a bodhisattval who has attained such a state of being and since he is devoid of fear, the lack of fear is then mentioned. In this way, since one who has direct perception of the Dharma 154 resorts only to those expedients through which he perceives correct discipline for sentient beings, how can there be any avarice on his part? Therefore, immediately after lack of fear, lack of avarice is mentioned. Thus the bodhisattva

¹⁵¹ Tib. inserts avarage which is omitted from the Ms. (cf. D231b.1).

¹⁵² Read: präptes trini käranäni in place of the Ms. reading of vasitvasya trini käranäni (25b.3). The Tib. 'thob pa'i byed rgyu gsum ni (D231b.1) substantiates this reading which conforms with the general pattern of Sthiramati's quotation from the Bhāşya; cf. N31.19.

¹⁵³ upastabdha; the Tib. (D231b.2) fie bar batan pe should probably read fie bar brean pa.

¹⁵⁴ Read: dharmapratyakşabhütena in place of dharmapratyakşena; Tib. chos mdon sum du gyur pa (D231b.7).

whose obscurations are relinquished and whose virtuous qualities are complete^{1.55} obtains mastery of mind; hence, mastery is then mentioned. This is the sequential order.

Y89

[13] Since this chapter is the section concerning obscuration ¹⁵⁶, the causes for the origination of virtue etc. are not expressed in aphorisms ¹⁵⁷ [in Maitreya's kārikās], like the obscurations are. However, a summary of the [causes for the origination of virtue etc.] that have presented themselves in this connection is provided here in verse form ¹⁵⁸.

The causes are tenfold in regard to origination, continued existence, support, manifestation, modification, disjunction, transformation, belief, making [others] believe and attainment 159.

This second verse is for the purpose of summarising the examples:

The examples of these begin with the eyes, food, the ground 160, light and fire:

The remainder begin with the scythe¹⁶¹, a craftsman, smoke, the logical cause and the path.¹⁶²

¹⁵⁵ Read: paripūrņaśukladharmā as per Ms.(26a.1) in place of paripūrņaśukladharma; Tib. dkar bo'i chos mams (D232a.1).

¹⁵⁶ Read: #varan#dhik#ra iti in place of #varanapr.uanga iti; Tib. sgrib pa'i skabs yin pas (D232a.2).

¹⁵⁷ Read: ävarapavan na stritani as per Ms. (26a. 1) in place of ävarapavat stitritani; Tib. sgrib pa bzim du mdor mdzad pas (D232a.2) which should probably be amended to ma mdzad pas.

¹⁵⁸ Read: atah subhadinam utpattikaranan yawanan na sitrittni / prasangagatanam tv atra slokena samgrahah kriyate as per Ms. (26a.2). Although this reading is not in agreement with the Tib. which is the basis for Y's emendation, the Ms. reading is more coherent in the context. Cf. Nagao's discussion on this section in the Introduction to his edition of the Bh3ya (N11).

¹⁵⁹ Read: vikāraviśleşanatipratyayaprāyanāptişu in place of vikāraviśleşanatau śraddhāgamakatāptişu; cf. Bhāşya N32.8.

¹⁶⁰ Tib. omits bhū; cf. D232a.3.

¹⁶¹ Ms.(26a.3): dlitra: disregard Y's fp.4 p.89.

¹⁶² Read: cakşurāhārabhūdīpavahnyādis tadudāhṛtih / dātrašilpajāatkdhūmahetumārgādayo 'pare // Cf. Bhāşya N32.9.

Note: Ms. (26a.3): matā in place of apare but the latter is preferred on the basis of the Tib. gam (D232a.4).

4. The Obscurations to the Factors that Contribute to Enlightenment, the Perfections and the Spiritual Levels.

Introductory

N33,4 II.10 cd

Furthermore, the other obscurations are in regard to: (a) the factors that contribute [to enlightenment], (b) the perfections and (c) the spiritual levels.

[Sthiramati]

[1]

Y89.12 (Verse II.10 cd is repeated)¹⁶³

In the above, virtue and its obscurations were explained without any specific distinction but now he explains virtue according to its differentiation in relation to the factors that contribute [to enlightenment] and also according to its differentiation as being common or not common [to all spiritual lineages].

a. The Obscurations to the Factors that Contribute to Enlightenment.

N33.6 Now, [the obscurations] 164 to the factors that contribute to enlightenment are:

II.11 abcd

(a) Lack of skill in regard to the foundation, (b) laziness, (c) the two deficiencies in meditative concentration, (d) non-engendering, (e) excessive weakness, and the defects of: (f) false view and (g) disquiet.

¹⁶³ paksya in the Bhasya (N33.4) is replaced by paksa in the Ms.

¹⁶⁴ Tib. inserts Evarapam (cf. D8b.3).

(a) Lack of skill in regard to the foundation is an obscuration to the applications of mindfulness. (b) Laziness is [an obscuration] to the complete relinquishments. (c) The two deficiencies in meditative concentration are [obscurations] to the bases of psychic power, namely, (i) [a deficiency] in completeness165 due to defectiveness in either will power, vigour, mind or examination and (ii) [a deficiency] in meditative development due to defectiveness in the formative forces that facilitate (d) The non-engendering of [the elements] conducive to liberation is [an obscuration] to the faculties. (e) The excessive weakness of those faculties is [an obscuration] to the powers because of their contamination by adverse elements. (f) The fault of false view is [obscuration] to the limbs of enlightenment because they characterize the path of vision. (g) The fault of disquiet is [an obscuration] to the limbs of the path because they are nurtured by the path of meditative development.

[Sthiramati]

Y90

Y89.16 [1] Also the obscurations pertinent to [virtue¹⁶⁶ which consists in] the factors that contribute to [enlightenment are explained] according to the differentiation of the latter as:

II.11 a (a) Lack of skill in regard to the foundation, (b) laziness etc.

The factors that contribute to enlightenment are common in this respect because both the śrāvakas and bodhisattvas are entitled to them 167 without a specific distinction [as to activity] 168. However, the perfections and the spiritual levels, which consist in the activity pertinent to the bodhisattvas, are not common [to all] because only the bodhisattvas have sovereign power over them. Moreover, the obscuration to the result was previously described by way of the obscuration to the cause of virtue etc.; however, what is other than what was discussed previously is mentioned [now] since it is the immediate obscuration to the result [that is discussed] here. Alternatively, since such [qualities] as the lack of skill in regard to the foundation is indeed different from the lack of application etc., this difference is discussed. Alternatively, when virtue and enlightenment [were discussed in the previous section] the lack of application etc. to conduct and its result, which go together with the expedients of the bodhisattvas alone, were described as obscurations. Here in this section however, by means of an analysis

¹⁶⁵ The danda between dvayahmati and pariptivit (N33.10) should be omitted, and, although both P and D: ma rdzogs pa (apariptivit), the ma should be dropped. Cf. de Jong pp.114-5.

¹⁶⁶ Tib. (D232a.5) inserts dge ba (subha) which is not found in the Ms.

¹⁶⁷ Read (with de Jong p.114): tatrādhikārāt which is substantiated by Ms.(26a.4) in place of tatrāvikārāt.

¹⁶⁸ Tib. (D232a.5) inserts by a ba (kriv# / karana) which is not found in the Sanskrit.

of the factors that contribute to enlightenment etc., the lack of skill in regard to the foundation etc. should be understood as an obscuration to virtue, whether common or not common [to all spiritual lineages], that is different from the lack of application etc. Therein, enlightenment is threefold according to its differentiation among the śrāvakas etc. and since they conform with these [three], [those qualities] beginning with the applications of mindfulness and concluding with the path¹⁶⁹ are described¹⁷⁰ as the factors that contribute to enlightenment. The ten perfections and the ten spiritual levels [are described] in accordance with the Sūtras.

[2] Lack of skill in regard to the foundation is an obscuration to the applications of mindfulness. Moreover, this refers to the four applications of the mindfulness of: (a) body, (b) sensation, (c) mind and (d) the dharmas. The body, sensation, mind and the dharmas form the foundation of these [four applications of mindfulness because they have these for their object. Therein, the body forms the foundation for notional attachment to a '[physical] basis'. Sensation forms the foundation for notional attachment to the enjoyments that belong to the self. Mind forms the foundation for notional attachment to the belief in the self. The dharmas 171 form the foundation for notional attachment to the defilement and purification of the self. Absence of understanding in regard to the individual and general characteristics of the body etc. is [equivalent to] lack of skill in this regard. Therein, the individual characteristic of the body refers to its nature as a composite of many impure substances¹⁷². The [individual characteristic] of sensation refers to its essential nature consisting in pleasure etc. The [individual characteristic] of consciousness refers to its various representations as sense-objects. The [individual characteristic] of the dharmas is in accordance with the context life pure or impurel. Furthermore, the general characteristic refers to the aspects such as impermanent, painful, empty and not-self. Alternatively, lack of skill in regard to the foundation refers either to: (a) lack of knowledge in regard to the body etc. in its aspects as impure, painful, impermanent and without self, or (b) conceptual differentiation (in regard to the body etc.) in terms of the aspects of purity, pleasure, permanence and a self, because nescience or wrong view are [respective] obscurations to the applications of mindfulness. [Objection]: If the applications of mindfulness have wisdom and mindfulness for their own-being, why is only the lack of skill in regard to the foundation described as an obscuration but not also the absence of memory in regard to the foundation? [Response]: Because the activity [of mindfulness] is dependent upon wisdom; for thus, since wisdom consists in vision,

¹⁶⁹ Ms.(26a) line 7 begins: -nā as noted by Y in his fn.2 p.90, but his emendation to (mārgaparyan)tā is preferred.

¹⁷⁰ Read: ucyante as per Ms.(26a.7) in place of ucyate.

¹⁷¹ Read: dharms as per Ms.(26b.1) in place of dharms; Tib. chos mams (D232b.5).

¹⁷² Read perhaps: anekāśucidravyasamhatātmakatvam in place of anekāśucidravyena samgrhītātmatvam; Tib, mi gisao badu ma'i rdzas dus pa'i bdag fiid (D232b.6).

mindfulness etc. acts upon an objective support that is attained subsequently to wisdom but does not exist independently, like wisdom. Therefore, the absence of memory is not described as an obscuration in this regard.

- [3] Laziness is [an obscuration] to the complete relinquishments. The topic under discussion is the obscurations. Vigour receives the title of 'complete relinquishment' in this context when it is occupied with: (a) the relinquishment of adverse elements that have [already] arisen and the non-origination of those which have not yet arisen, and (b) the augmentation of the counteragent that has [already] arisen and the production of those which have not yet arisen. Although the latter cannot be differentiated, they are respectively determined as fourfold due to the differentiation in result.¹⁷³ Consequently, since the complete relinquishments consist in vigour, slothfulness is described as an obscuration.
- [4] The two deficiencies in meditative concentration are [obscurations] to the bases of psychic power. It is described as psychic power (rddhi) since [the bodhisattva] becomes successful (rdhvate) by means of this. Moreover, it consists in the meditative concentrations of will-power, vigour, mind and examination. This is the base of psychic power because it is the support for the psychic powers such as travelling¹⁷⁴ through space and [the performance of] magical creations. Alternatively, psychic power (rddhi) is [equivalent to] moving (ardana)175; what is meant is: a power such as traveling through space. The bases of these are the bases of psychic power because they form its foundation. The latter refers to meditative concentration and is of four kinds beginning with the meditative concentration of will-power. Will-power is the essential feature in this meditative concentration of will-power because one attains meditative concentration by means of it. However, it is not that vigour and the others are absent therein for they do accompany will-power, but since they are not essential features in the latter [i.e. in the chandasamādhi], they are not proclaimed. The same should be said for the meditative concentrations of vigour, mind and examination. The two deficiencies in meditative concentration were described as obscurations and since these are not known, he says; namely, (a) [a deficiency] in completeness¹⁷⁶ due to defectiveness in either will-power, vigour, mind or examination and (b) [a deficiency] in meditative development due to defectiveness in the formative forces that facilitate relinquishment. The deficiency in completeness in this regard is due to the absence of any one of: will-power, vigour, mind and examination, because meditative concentration does not occur due to the power of

¥92

¹⁷³ The Ms.(26b.5) erroneously inserts smptih at the end of this sentence since it has no coherence in the context and is not to be found in the Tib. (cf. D233a.4).

¹⁷⁴ Ms.(26b.6): gamana; disregard Y's fn.2 p.91.

¹⁷⁵ Ms. (ibid.) not clear; this reading of ardana is suggested tentatively as an etymological explanation. Tib. 'gro bas (D233a.5).

¹⁷⁶ Read (with de Jong pp.114-15): parip@ry# in place of aparip@rpen#; cf. Bhitsya N33.10.

that [absence]¹⁷⁷. As to the deficiency in meditative development, this is due to the absence of any one of the eight formative forces that facilitate relinquishment. Moreover, the latter have the nature of faith, will-power, vigour, tranquillity, mindfulness, full awareness, volition and equanimity¹⁷⁸. Since mastery in meditative concentration is obtained by means of the meditative development of these formative forces that facilitate relinquishment and not by any other means, hence, because there is a deficiency in meditative development due to their absence, mastery over meditative development is not obtained. What are the obscurations here? (a) That which is adverse to will-power etc. and (b) secondary defilement that is adverse to the formative forces which facilitate relinquishment, namely, laziness, forgetfulness in regard to an objective support, indolence, excitability, the absence of formative force and the [presence of] formative force.

- [5] The non-engendering of [the elements] conducive to liberation is [an obscuration] to the faculties. The root of the wholesome which one who is afraid of samsāra¹⁷⁹ produces for the sake of liberation is described as 'conducive to liberation' since the assurance of obtaining liberation is on account of that. And since faith etc. receive the title of 'faculties' when it is engendered and not otherwise, hence¹⁸⁰ the non-engendering of [the roots of the wholesome] that are conducive to liberation is described as the obscuration to the faculties. What are the obscurations here? They are that: (a) attachment to a new existence and (b) fear in regard to mirvāṇa. [which occur when the root of the wholesome] which is conducive to liberation is not generated on account of any secondary defilement¹⁸¹.
- [6] The excessive weakness of those faculties is [an obscuration] to the powers. The topic under discussion is obscuration.¹⁸² How then can there be an excessive weakness of the faculties? Consequently, he says: because of their contamination.¹⁸³ by adverse elements; what is meant is: they are overcome.¹⁸⁴ by adverse elements which have the nature of lack of faith, laziness, loss of mindfulness, distraction and a weakness in wisdom.¹⁸⁵. The faculties are nurtured by the state

¹⁷⁷ Read: tadādhipatyāt in place of the Ms. reading of tadādhipatasya (27a.1); Tib. de'i dbań gis (D233b.2).

¹⁷⁸ Ms.(27a.2): -cetanopeksä-; disregard Y's fn.2 p.92.

¹⁷⁹ Ms.(27a.3): samsārād; disregard Y's fn.3 p.92.

¹⁸⁰ A Sanskrit fragment of a portion of the Tika (Y92.15 to 93.9) was discovered among Vinitadeva's Trimgila-tika and published by P.S. Jaini in an article titled "The Sanskrit Fragments of Vinitadeva's Trimgila-tika" in BSOAS vol. XLVIII/3, 1985, pp.470-92; cf. fn.96 p.492. This fragment has been used as basis for several of the following emendations.

¹⁸¹ Read: yenopakleśena mokşabhāgīyam notpādyate / sā punarbhavasaktir nirvāņe trāsaś ceti in place of yenopakleśena mokṣabhāgīyam na ropyate / sā punarbhavasaktir nirvānabhītiś ca on the basis of Jaini and the Tib. (cf. D233b.7). It should be noted that the Jaini reading of nākṣipati has been replaced by notpādyate; Tib.: mi (b)akyed pa ste.

¹⁸² Tib. omits this passage; cf. D233b.7.

¹⁶³ Ms.(27a.5): -vyavakirapād; disregard Y's fn.4 p.92.

¹⁸⁴ Read: - Abhibhavad in place of - Abhibhūtad; cf. Jaini.

¹⁸⁵ Read: dauhnraidEtmakens in place of duspraidEtmakens; cf. Jaini.

¥93

of 'heat' and the state of the 'summit' 186; and although these two [states] are conducive to penetration, they become excessively weak because they are overcome by adverse elements such as lack of faith. Hence there is the possibility of loss through that 187. However faith and the like receive the title of 'powers' in the states 188 of the 'receptivity, to knowledge' and the 'highest [worldly] 189 realization' because the adverse elements have been vanquished. Hence whatever excessive weakness there is in those [faculties] such as faith, when they are overcome by adverse elements in the states of 'heat' and the 'summit' 190, that is an obstruction to the powers because when it exists there is no possibility of a condition of power 191. What are the obscurations here? Just those adverse [elements] such as lack of faith.

[7] The fault of false view is [an obscuration] to the limbs of enlightenment. The fact that obscuration (is the subject under discussion) remains in force here¹⁹². Enlightenment here is intended as [equivalent to] the path of vision and since these seven limbs of enlightenment which have the nature of mindfulness, the investigation of the Dharma, vigour, joy, tranquillity, meditative concentration and equanimity arise at the time of the relinquishment of moral defilement that is to be relinquished by means of [the path of] vision, they are described as the limbs of the latter 193 [i.e. of enlightenment). However, if they were [described as] limbs because they are favourable to enlightenment then the applications of mindfulness and the like would also be limbs of enlightenment. How can the fault of false view be an obscuration to the limbs of enlightenment? Hence he says: because they are nurtured by the path of vision; what is meant is: because they are respectively determined by the path of vision. What then is this fault of false view? (a) The five imaginary views, (b) doubt, (c) ignorance, (d) the moral defilements and secondary defilements together with their associates such as passion, which have the latter [i.e. a, b & c] for their objective support. [Objection]: Is it not so that doubt and the like are also obscurations in this respect? [Response]: Admittedly these are obscurations, however, here 194 he

¹⁸⁶ Read: ÜşmagatamürdhaprabhāvitānIndriyāni in place of indriyāni hy uşmagatamürdhānābhyām prabhāvitāni; cf. jaini.

¹⁸⁷ Jaini: tasmin in place of Ms. (27a.6): tasmāt but the latter is preferred; Tib. (D234a.2): 'di las.
188 Read: -āvasthāyor in place of -āvasthāyām: Ms. (27a.6): -āvasthāyo... Jaini omits avasthā, i.e.

¹⁸⁸ Read: -ävasthayor in place of -ävasthäyäm; Ms.(27a.6): -ävasthayo... Jaini omits avasthä, i.e. reading simply: kṣāntyagradharmayor.

¹⁸⁹ Tib. (D234a.3) inserts laukika ('jig rten pa) which is not found in the Ms.

¹⁹⁰ Read: atas teşām eva śraddhādīnām ūşmagatamūrdhāvasthayor yad in place of tasmād yat teşām eva śraddhādīnām uşmagatamūrdhānāvasthāyām on the basic of Jaini which however replaces -āvasthayor with -āvasthānāt; Tib.: dro bar gyur pa dad / rise mo'i dus na (D234a.3).

¹⁹¹ Tib. is slightly different: "...there is no possibility of a special power"; (stobs kyi bye brag mi srid do D234a.4).

¹⁹² Read: Evaragam ity atra vartate in place of Evaragam iti prakṛtam; cf. Jaini; Tib. omits this statement (cf. D234a.4).

¹⁹³ Y's reconstruction of: tasyā tāni smṛtidharmapravicayavītyaprīti- is preferred to Jaini: tasyaitāni smṛtidharmavicayaprīti- on the basis of Tib. (cf. D234a.5) and the general coherence of the passace.

¹⁹⁴ Ms.(27b.3) line begins: -ha; disregard Y's fn.2 p.93.

annunciates the fault of false view¹⁹⁵ by laying the stress on its essential features, thus the absence of an exposition of these [elements, i.e. doubt etc.] is not contradictory. Moreover, of these [elements] beginning with false view, it is just the 'seed' lodged in the store-consciousness that is described as an obscuration to those [limbs of enlight-enment] because it is to be relinquished¹⁹⁶ by means of the path of vision.

[8] The fault of disquiet is mentioned as the obscuration to the limbs of the path, which are enumerated as: correct view, correct intention, correct speech, correct action, correct livelihood, correct effort, correct mindfainess and correct meditative concentration 197. Here now he provides the reason: because those limbs of the path are characterized by the path of meditative development, because they are respectively determined by the path of meditative development. What is the fault of disquiet? In detail it refers to: (a) the innate false views of individuality and grasping of extremes together with their associated elements and (b) the moral defilements and secondary defilements of passion etc. together with their associated elements, which have the former [i.e. (a)] as well as the sense-objects for their objective supports. The 'seed' of these, which is lodged in the store-consciousness, is disquiet. However, in brief, it refers to the impurities other than those which are to be relinquished by means of [the path of] vision. The force of those [impurities], which is lodged in the storeconsciousness, is disquiet. Moreover, this is determined as an obscuration to the limbs of the path since it is to be relinquished by means of the path of meditative development. The obscuration to the factors that contribute to enlightenment has now been described.

b. The Obscurations to the Perfections.

N33.18 The obscurations to the perfections are:

N34 II.12 abcd

Obscurations to: (a) dominion, (b) propitious states of existence, (c) the non-abandonment of sentient beings, (d) the diminution of faults and augmentation of virtues, (e) guidance;

¥94

¹⁹⁵ Read: dyspidosápadesa as per Ms. (27b.3) in place of dyspidosopadesa.

¹⁹⁶ Ms.(27b.3): -praheyatvild contrary to Y's fn.3 p.93, however his rendering of praheyatvilt tad is preferred on the basis of the Tib.

¹⁹⁷ Ms.(27b.4) line begins: -ksamādhy...; which suggests that each of the eight limbs of the path should be prefixed by samyak, although Tib. substantiates Y's rendering which omits samyak; cf. D234b.2-3.

II 13 ahcd

(f) liberation, (g) imperishability, (h) the continuity of virtue, (i) the assurance of [the arising of the latter] and (j) enjoyment of the Dharma and causing maturation.

Here the obscurations that pertain to the ten perfections are shown by way of the particular obscuration to the relevent result of each Of these, (a) the obscuration to dominion and sovereignty is an obscuration [to the result] 198 of the perfection of generosity. The obscuration to propitious states of existence is [an obscuration to the result of the perfection of morality. (c) The obscuration to the non-abandonment of sentient beings is [an obscuration to the result] of the perfection of patience. (d) The obscuration to the diminution of faults and the augmentation of virtues is [an obscuration to the result] of the perfection of vigour. (e) The obscuration to the guidance of those to be trained is [an obscuration to the result] of the perfection of the meditative absorptions. (f) The obscuration to liberation is [an obscuration to the result] of the perfection of wisdom. (g) The obscuration to the imperishability of generosity etc. is [an obscuration to the result] of the perfection of skill in regard to expedients because its imperishability is on account of the transformation into enlightenment. (h) The obscuration to the uninterrupted emergence of the wholesome in all rebirths is [an obscuration to the result] of the perfection of vows because the possession of a rebirth that is favourable to this is on account of the strength of vows. (i) The obscuration to the assurance [of the arising] of those wholesome [elements] is [an obscuration to the result] of the perfection of the powers because [the bodhisattva] is not overcome by adverse elements on account of his powers of critical consideration and meditative development. (i) The obscuration to both the enjoyment of the Dharma for himself and causing the maturation of others is an obscuration [to the result] of the perfection of direct intuition because the understanding [by the bodhisattva] of the meaning of what he has learnt is not in accord with the letter.

[Sthiramati]

Y94.6 [1] Immediately following the latter [i.e. the obscurations to the factors that contribute to enlightenment], [the obscurations] to the perfections should be mentioned. Hence he

¹⁹⁸ Tib. (D9a.2) inserts bras by which is not found in the Ms.

says: the obscurations to the perfections are:

II.12 ab

Obscurations to: (a) dominion, (b) propitious states of existence, (c) the non-abandonment of sentient beings¹⁹⁹ etc.

Here the obscurations that pertain to the ten perfections are shown by way of the particular obscuration to the relevent result of each perfection. What is the reason that here avarice and the like, which exist substantially as impediments²⁰⁰ to generosity etc., are not described as obscurations to the perfections although they are [described as] obscurations to the result of the relevent [perfection]? All [people] engage in generosity and the like for the sake of its result²⁰¹; therefore, in order to generate interest in the relinquishment of avarice etc., the obscuration to the result is mentioned but the obscuration to the perfection is not. Alternatively, obscuration such as avarice which is adverse to dominion and which produces a result consisting in poverty etc., impedes a result such as dominion²⁰², thus it is described as an obscuration to the latter. What are the results of those [perfections]? In this respect the chief results of the perfection of generosity are dominion and sovereignty. The [chief result] of the perfection of morality is a propitious state of existence. The [chief result] of the perfection of patience is the non-abandonment of sentient beings. The [chief result] of the perfection of vigour is the diminution of faults and the augmentation of virtues. The [chief result] of the perfection of meditative absorption is guidance for sentient beings in scriptural instruction. The [chief result] of the perfection of wisdom is liberation for those who have been guided²⁰³. The [chief result] of the perfection of skill in regard to expedients is the imperishability of the wholesome on account of its transformation into enlightenment. The [chief result] of the perfection of yows is uninterrupted virtue. The [chief result] of the perfection of the powers is the assurance [of the arising] of wholesome dharmas. The [chief result] of the perfection of direct intuition is the enjoyment of the Dharma and the maturation of sentient beings.

¹⁹⁹ Read: aiśvaryasyātha sugateḥ sattvātyāgasya cāvrūt; in place of aiśvaryasya sugatyās ca sattvātyāgasya cāvrūth Cf. Bhāsya N34.1. Ms.(27h.6): aiśwaryasyā.

²⁰⁰ Read: vibandhens as per Mis.(27b,7) in place of vibandhe.

²⁰¹ Read: diniidisu tatphalärtham sarve pravartante in place of tatphalärthena däniidibhyah sarvam pravartate; Tib. de'i 'bras bu'i don du sbyin pa la sogs pa la thams cad 'jug ste (D235a.1).

²⁰² Read: -phalam vibadimādti in place of -phalapratibandhāt; Ms.(28a.1): -phalam Tib. bras bu gegs byed pas (D235a.2).

²⁰³ Read: teşăm avatimănăm vimocanam in place of tân avatimăn vimocayati; Tib.btsud pa de mams mam par grol bar byed pa (D235a.4). Cf. also Bhasya N34.10 & D9a.3.

- [2] The obscuration to dominion and sovereignty²⁰⁴; therein, dominion refers to an abundance of wealth and property. Sovereignty refers to the power that comes from the enjoyment of the latter. The obscuration to these two is an obscuration pertinent to the perfection of generosity because they result from that. What then is this [obscuration]? It is avarice.
- [3] The obscuration to the propitious states of existence; a propitious state of existence refers to an excellent state of existence either among mankind or the gods²⁰⁵. The obscuration to this is an obscuration pertinent to the perfection of morality because [propitious states of existence] result from that. Moreover, this [obscuration] consists in: (a) immorality and (b) contemptible actions of body and speech.
- [4] [The obscuration] to the non-abandonment of sentient beings; i.e. treating [even] offensive sentient beings just like those who are helpful. And this is the result of [the perfection of]²⁰⁶ patience; the obscuration to this is anger.
- [5] [The obscuration] to the diminution of faults and the augmentation of virtues; the diminution of faults refers to the relinquishment of [elements] that have already arisen which cause harm to oneself and others and the non-origination of [elements] that have not yet arisen. The augmentation [of virtues]²⁰⁷ refers to the growth of [elements] that have already arisen which manifest for the benefit of oneself and others and the origination of [elements] that have not yet arisen. What then is the obscuration here? It is laziness.
- [6] The obscuration to the guidance of those to be trained...; i.e. guidance in scriptural instruction for those to be trained because it is acknowledged as the cause for the attainment of the heavens and final beatitude. When respect is produced through appearament, sentient beings effortlessly understand the scriptural instruction on account of: (a) reading the thoughts of others, (b) their psychic power²⁰⁸; and distraction is the obscuration here.
- [7] The obscuration to liberation; liberation consists in the relinquishment of moral defilement and this comes about through scriptural instruction²⁰⁹. Since scriptural instruction comes about on account of wisdom and not by any other means,

²⁰⁴ Read: aiśvaryādhipatyāvaraņam in place of aiśvaryādhipatya āvaraņam; cf. Bhāsya N34.7.

²⁰⁵ Read: śobhanā gatiḥ sugatir / devamanusyoḥ in place of śobhanagamanam sugatir / manusyo devaś ca; Ms. (28a.4): śobhanā... Tib. mdzes par 'gro oa ni bde 'gro ste mi dan lha (D235a.7). Cf. Kośa (P235.18): praśastā gatir asyeti sugatir devamanusyopapatteḥ.

²⁰⁶ Tib. inserts paramita which is not found in the Ms.

²⁰⁷ Tib. inserts gunānām (you tan mams) which is not found in the Ms.

²⁰⁸ Read (with de Jong p.115): 3deśanayārddhyā cāyamena in place of samjalparddhyaprayamena. The first syllable of the Ms.(28a.7) is not clear but this passage seems to read: 7ddhyā cāyamena.

²⁰⁹ Ms.(28a.7): -anuślisanyli; disregard Y's fn.4 p.95.

consequently, liberation is the result of the perfection of wisdom. The obscurations to this are: (a) defiled wisdom, (b) delusion and (c) a weakness in wisdom.

- [8] The obscuration to the imperishability of generosity etc.²¹⁰; an obscuration to the imperishability of generosity and morality etc. is an obscuration pertinent to the perfection of expedients. Moreover, as to how generosity etc. can be imperishable, he says: because its imperishability is on account of the transformation into enlightenment. The root of the wholesome such as generosity which is transformed into universal enlightenment, like Buddhahood, does not perish, . Some believe that this obscuration consists in a lack of knowledge of the expedients which characterize the transformation into universal enlightenment. Others believe that this obscuration consists in the grasping of the three components [of giving]²¹¹ which is [equivalent to] lack of skill in regard to expedients.
- [9] The obscuration to the uninterrupted²¹² emergence of the wholesome in all rebirths; the uninterrupted [emergence] of the wholesome is [equivalent to its emergence] day by day or moment by moment. And this is the result of the perfection of vows. As to why this is so, he says: because the possession²¹³ of rebirth that is favourable to this is on account of the strength of vows; for [the bodhisattva] takes a rebirth that is congenial to the uninterrupted emergence of generosity etc. through the strength of his vows. Obscuration to this consists in the absence of vows in relation to a rebirth favourable to generosity etc.
- [10] The obscuration to the assurance²¹⁴ [of the arising] of those wholesome [elements]; assurance consists in the combining [of the three: vIrya, samādhi and prajāš]²¹⁵ day by day and moment by moment. Here now he gives the reason: because [the bodhisattva] is not overcome by adverse [elements] on account of his powers of critical consideration and meditative development. The power of critical consideration here consists in wisdom accompanied by steadfastness. Furthermore, steadfastness is a designative dharma since it is a designation applicable to the innate trio of vigour²¹⁶, meditative concentration and wisdom. The power of meditative development [is mentioned here] because [the bodhisattva] engages in generosity etc., effortlessly, through his possession of habitual practice

196

²¹⁰ Read: dänädyaksayatvävaranam rather than dänädyaksayävaranam; cf. Bhäsya N34.11.

²¹¹ trimandala here refers to the purity of the three aspects of giving, i.e. 'giver', 'receiver' and 'gift'. Cf. MSA XVI.51 Comm. where these are discussed while explaining nirvikalpajillina in the context of the dlangilranitit; jdlanam nirvikalpam yena trimandalaparisuddham dlanam dadliti dlandeyaparaigrahaklwikalpanik (I/B 109.3).

²¹² Ms.(28b.2): -nairantanantarya-, but Y's emendation to nairantarya is correct.

²¹³ Y's reading of parigrahad agrees with the Bhasya (ci. N34.14), however Ms.(28b.3): parigrahapad.

²¹⁴ Read: niyattkaranāvaranam in place of niścayāvaranam; cf. Bhasya N34.15.

²¹⁵ ekikaranam (gcig tu byed pa) is problematical in this context; the explanation above is offered tentatively.

²¹⁶ Ms.(28b.4): vfryasa-; disregard Y's fn.2 p.96.

because he is not overcome by avarice which is the counteragent to this (power of meditative development). What are the obscurations here? (a) The absence of critical consideration²¹⁷ and (b) weakness in meditative development.

[11] The obscuration to the enjoyment of the Dharma for himself and causing the maturation of others; the results are: (a) the enjoyment of the profound and sublime Dharma of the universal vehicle by one who is situated in the circles of the assembly which pertain to enjoyment²¹⁸ and (b) causing the maturation²¹⁹ of sentient beings through teaching the Dharma by acts of transformation in their various aspects²²⁰. Moreover, the obscurations to this are: (a) deliberation upon the meaning of what has been learnt, according to the letter²²¹ and (b) sluggish wisdom. And so ends the obscurations [to the perfections].

[12] Although, substantially there are six perfections herein, nominally there are ten²²² since four perfections are constituted by the perfection of wisdom. The perfection of wisdom therein consists in supramundane direct intuition devoid of conceptual differentiation; the gradual relinquishment of all obscuration is on account of that direct intuition. Furthermore, the perfections of expedients, vows, the powers and direct intuition are incorporated in direct intuition that is attained subsequently to the supramundane path. How is it that the perfection of direct intuition, although it is preeminent, is not devoid of conceptual differentiation? Because the perfection of direct intuition consists in that direct intuition attained subsequently to the direct intuition that is devoid of conceptual differentiation and after precisely defining his understanding by means of that direct intuition²²³, [the bodhisattva] experiences in return the enjoyment of the Dharma for himself and brings others to maturation. And since this duality [i.e. self/others = subject/object] is non-existent in [direct intuition] devoid of conceptual differentiation, consequently, the perfection of direct intuition is not without conceptual differentiation. The obscurations to the perfections have now been described.

²¹⁷ Ms.(28b.5): -pratisamkhyāna-, but Y's emendation to -apratisamkhyāna- on the basis of the Tib. is preferred; cf. his fn.3 p.96.

²¹⁸ Ms.(28b.5): sambhogikaparsa-; digregard Y's fn.4 p.96.

²¹⁹ Ms.(28b.6): parikas with pl inserted in margin.

²²⁰ Read: Simbhogikaparşanmaqdalavyavasthiasya gambhirodiramahiyanadharmasambhogo vicint-kirais ca nairmiqikakarmabhir in place of simbhogikaparşanmaqdale vyavasthiao gambhirodiramahiyinadharmasya sambhogas ca vicintiklaria nirmiqakarmashir; Tib. loha spyod pa' khor gyi diyil 'khor du mam par gnas te / theg pa chen po' i chos zab ciri ngya che ba la lots spyod pa dah / sprul pa mam pa sna tshogs kyi las kyis (D236a.5). This passage alludes to the trikiya doctrine; cf. MSA IX.60 Comm.: rrividhah kiyo buddhalam / svibbhaviko dharmakiya israyaparayttilakşapah / simbhogiko yena parşanmandeleşu dharmasambhogam karoti / nairmiqiko yena nirmiquea saxtvirtham karoti (LBAT.12).

²²¹ Read (with de Jong p.115-6): yathārutafrutārthavicāraņā in place of yathābhūtafrutārthavicāraņā. The Ms. (28b.6) supports this emendation.

²²² Ms.(28b.6): saparamită / nămato daśa; disregard Y's fn.5 p.96.

²²³ Read: tena jitānena avabodham in place of tajjāānāvabuddhatvam. Tib. ye ses des khod du chud pa (D236b.2).

c. The Obscurations to the Spiritual Levels.

in mental continuum.

N34.20 Moreover, [the obscurations] to the spiritual levels are, respectively:

II.14 abcd

N35

In regard to: (a) the meaning as allpervading, (b) the meaning as preeminent, (c) the meaning as preeminent that flows out, (d) the meaning as devoid of possession, (e) [the meaning] as non-differentiation

II.15 abcd

(f) The meaning as devoid of defilement and purification, (g) the meaning as devoid of multiplicity, (h) the meaning as neither diminished nor increased and (i) the basis of the four kinds of mastery.

II.16 abcd

This ignorance concerning the dharmsdhātu, being a tenfold obscuration which is undefiled, [acts] in opposition to the ten spiritual levels. However, the spiritual levels are the counteragents.

Concerning the dharmadhātu, there is undefiled nescience in regard to the tenfold meaning, such as all-pervading, which is an obscuration to the ten respective apiritual levels of the bodhisattva because it is adverse to each of them, namely, "in regard to the meaning as all-pervading" 224 [and so on as above]. For, (a) by means of the first spiritual level [the bodhisattva] penetrates the sense of all-pervading on the part of the dharmadhātu; on account of this he obtains in return [the realization] that self and others are equal. (b) By means of the second [he penetrates] its meaning as pre-eminent. On account of this he believes: "therefore then, in regard to equality in achievement, endeavour should be directed by us towards only the achievement of complete purification in every way" 225. (c) By means of the third [he penetrates] the meaning as pre-eminent that flows out of that [dharmadhātu]. On account of this, after understanding the pre-eminent

²²⁴ sarvatraganthe is omitted from the Tib.; cf. D9b.2.

²²⁵ This is a quotation from DS (R26.12) where samine bhinirhire is replaced by samithhinirhire.

nature of what is learnt and which flows out of the dharmadhatu, he may cast himself into a fire-pit the size of the great trichiliocosm for the sake of them. (d) By means of the fourth [he penetrates] its meaning as devoid of possession, for thus, even his craving for the Dharma is (e) By means of the fifth [he penetrates] its meaning as nondifferentiation in mental continuum by reason of the ten equalities in regard to the purity of mind and mental disposition. (f) By means of the sixth [he penetrates] its meaning as devoid of defilement and purity as the consequence of his penetration [of the reality] that, regarding the [meaning]²²⁶ of dependent origination, there is no dharms whatsoever that is defiled or purified. (g) By means of the seventh [he penetrates] its meaning as devoid of multiplicity because of the absence of the manifestation of multiplicity by way of sign in the dharmas of the Sutras etc. on account of their signlessness. (h) By means of the eighth [he penetrates] its meaning as neither diminished nor increased as the consequence of his acquisition of patient acceptance in regard to nonoriginating dharmas since, in regard to defilement and purification, he fails to perceive either the diminution or augmentation of any dharma whatsoever²²⁷. (i) Mastery is fourfold: (a) mastery over the absence of conceptual differentiation, (b) mastery over the complete purification of the field, (c) mastery over direct intuition and (d) mastery over karma. In this respect, by means of just the eighth spiritual level he penetrates [the reality] that the dharmadhatu forms the basis of the first and second masteries. On the ninth [he penetrates the reality] that it forms the basis for mastery over direct intuition due to his acquisition of analytical knowledge. On the tenth [he penetrates the reality] that it forms the basis for mastery over karms as the consequence of his working for the welfare of sentient beings at will through his creative transformations.

[Sthiramati]

N36

Y97.11 [1] Immediately following the latter [i.e. the obscurations to the perfections], [the obscurations] to the spiritual levels should be mentioned. Hence he says: moreover, [the obscurations] to the spiritual levels²²⁸ are, respectively:

²²⁶ The Tib. inserts artha which is not found in the Ms.; i.e., it reads; reen cit brel bar byun ba'i don la (D9b.5) = pratityasamutpädärthe.

²²⁷ kasyacid dharmasya is omitted from the Tib.; cf. D9b.7.

²²⁸ Read: bhūmişu punar in place of bhūmişv api; cf. Bhāsya N34.20.

II.14 a

Y98

In regard to: (a) the meaning as allpervading, (b) the meaning as preeminent²²⁹ etc.

In this respect there are ten spiritual levels: (1) The Joyous, (2) The Stainless, (3) The Radiance Giving, (4) The Brilliant, (5) The Very Difficult to Conquer, (6) The Face to Face, (7) The Far Reaching, (8) The Immovable, (9) The Good Discernment and (10) The Cloud of the Dharma. The particular stages of understanding in regard to the dharmadhātu in the sense of all-pervading etc. are described as the spiritual levels in their nature as: (a) a locus for ever increasing understanding²³⁰ and (b) the foundation for virtues that have arisen from the latter. Others believe that in whichever state (vihāra) the bodhisattva dwells (viharati) for an extended period in regard to [his understanding of the dharmadhatu in the sense of all-pervading etc., by virtue of the fact that he abides in that particular state it is called a spiritual level. Furthermore, the arrangement of the spiritual levels²³¹ [is as follows]: On the Joyous, the bodhisattva achieves the direct realization of the Dharma due to the arising of the path of vision. On the Stainless, [the bodhisattva] who has achieved the direct realization of the Dharma²³² accomplishes a special training consisting in a superior morality that flows spontaneously because of his abstention from the immorality that stems from all subtle transgressions. On the Radiance Giving, he accomplishes a special training that consists in a superior mentality in regard to both the Dharma and karma that does not cease even in other rebirths. On the Brilliant, the Very Difficult to Conquer and the Face to Face [levels] he accomplishes a special training consisting in a superior wisdom which has for its objective support: (a) the factors that contribute to enlightenment, (b) the [noble] truths and (c) dependent origination. These latter trainings should be understood to have four kinds of result on the [four] remaining spiritual levels: Of these, on the Far Reaching he accomplishes with effort²³³ the state of the direct intuition of the signless. On the Immovable, he accomplishes without effort the state of the direct intuition of the signless together with the purification of the 'field'. On the Good Discernment, he accomplishes the special quality of analytical knowledge and it is on account of this that he preaches the Dharma²³⁴. On the Cloud of the

²²⁹ Read: sarvatragărthe agrărthe în place of sarvatragărtho 'grărthas' ca; cf. Bhāsya N34.21.

²³⁰ Read: dhigamāvasthāvišeşā uttarottarādhigamasthānarūpeņa in place of dhigamād avakāšavišesā uttarottarapratipattisthānarūpeņa; Tib. khod du chud pa'i gnas skabs kyi khyad par mama ni god nas god du khod du chud pa'i gnas pa'i tshul ...gyi(s) (D236b.5). Ms.(29a.2) is not clear.

²³¹ Read: bhūminām in place of bhūmibhih; Ms.(29a.3): bhūmī-; Tib. sa rnams kyi (D236b.6), although P (76a.5): kyis.

²³² Ms.(29a.4): -dharmā but Y's emendation to -dharmo is preferred.

²³³ Read: sayamam in place of prayamasahiram, Ms.(29a.5): -ditrangamäyäm sa- Tib. bad pa dari bcas pa (D237a.2).

²³⁴ Read: dhārmakathiko as per Ms.(29a.6) in place of dhārmakathito.

Dharma [level] he achieves the special qualities of meditative concentration and [the knowledge of] mystical formulae.

[2] Concerning the dharmadhatu, ... in regard to the tenfold meaning such as all-pervading.235... The sense of all-pervading etc. in regard to the dharmadhātu is understood by way of the ten spiritual levels of the bodhisattva. Undefiled nescience in regard to that [meaning] is a tenfold obscuration to the ten respective apiritual levels of the bodhisattva because it is adverse to each of them, i.e. because it is adverse to each of the spiritual levels; for the spiritual levels consist in special states²³⁶ of supramundane meditative concentration and wisdom etc. Undefiled nescience is adverse [to these states] because it impedes their arising. Moreover, it is not just undefiled nescience that is an obscuration in this context, on the contrary, other moral defilements and secondary defilements are [obscurations] as well. For thus, everything on the Joyous [level] to be relinquished by the path of vision is an obscuration because all propensities for wrong insight in regard to the [noble] truths are relinquished by the path of vision which brings about an understanding²³⁷ of the sense of all-pervading. Furthermore, those [propensities] to be relinquished through meditative development (are done so by way of the remaining spiritual levels. However, those [propensities] that have not been relinquished are just like the ones that have been relinquished since they do not cause defilement on the part of the bodhisattvas. With reference to this very matter, a verse has been composed:

Moral defilement becomes a limb of enlightenment for one who employs the mighty expedients and even samsāra has the nature of appeasement. Consequently, the tathāgata is inconceivable.

Moreover, because of the statement: "on the ten spiritual levels the ten perfections are successively more excellent", it is evident that there is also the successive relinquishment of those elements, beginning with avarice, which are adverse to each [level]. [Objection]: If other²³⁸ moral defilement may also be an obscuration, why is only undefiled nescience described as the obscuration to the spiritual levels? [Response]: Because it is not common to everyone since it acts as an impediment²³⁹ to the attainment of *nirvāpa* only for *bodhisattvas*, but not for *śrāvakas* etc. Thus, the *śrāvakas* and *prztyekabuddhas* become liberated in spite of its [i.e. undefiled nescience's] presence,

¥99

²³⁵ Read: dharmadhātau daśavidhe sarvatragādyartha in place of sarvatragārthādişu daśavidhadharmadhātuşu; cf. Bhāsya N35.6.

²³⁶ Read: -avasthāvišeşātmakāh in place of -avakāšavišeşātmatā; Tib. gnas skabs kyi khyad par gyi bdag fiid do (D237a.5).

²³⁷ Read: - Inthanratip Balanena in place of - I

²³⁸ Ms.(29b.3): yady anyo 'pi; disregard Y's fn.2 p.99.

²³⁹ Read: -vibandhe as per Ms.(29b.4) in place of vibandhair; Tib. gags su (gyur gyi) (D237b.3).

but not the bodhisattva; hence, it is not common to all. However, since moral defilement applies equally to bodhisattvas and śrāvakas etc., the fact that [undefiled nescience is an obscuration is not annulled even though there is no mention of it fin other works] since it is not posited nor rejected as an obscuration to the spiritual levels of the bodhisattva, considering that it is described as an obscuration to the spiritual levels and that it is not the only obscuration to the spiritual levels. Others say that since undefiled nescience pertains equally to the bodhisattvas and śrāvakas etc., it is mentioned especially as an obscuration to the spiritual levels; however, moral defilement follows as a consequence therefore it is not mentioned. [Reply]: Although moral defilement is indeed equally applicable to the bodhisattvas and śrāvakas etc. it therefore need not necessarily follow. Others again believe²⁴⁰ that although the obscuration consisting in the nescience of the spiritual levels is an undefiled nescience pertinent to the śrāvakas, it does not act as an impediment to liberation because the śrāvakas become liberated even though the nescience in regard to the spiritual levels is present. However, [such a nescience] is defiled for the bodhisattva since it is the cause of an impediment to the attainment of nirvana.

[3] Others believe this is not so:

II.16b

V100

Being a tenfold obscuration that is undefiled.

Because, by means of the first spiritual level [the bodhisattva] penetrates the sense of all-pervading on the part of the dharmadhātu. Therein, the first spiritual level refers to the first supramundane wisdom, together with its associated elements and is incorporated in the path of vision. The dharmadhātu is [equivalent to] emptiness since, by virtue of its being a universal characteristic, it is in one place just as it is everywhere. It is all-pervading because it extends everywhere. The statement: "since no dharma is to be found outside the dharmadhātu" ²⁴¹, shows that the dharmadhātu is all-pervading. By "penetrates" is meant: 'realizes'. On account of that ²⁴² penetration he obtains in return [the realization] that self and others are equal ²⁴³. As the consequence of his insight into the fact that the emptiness in regard to self and others cannot be differentiated, he reflects upon the fact that self and others are not different [thinking]: "what is self is other", or "what is other is self". It is only because of this that the perfection of generosity is more excellent on this spiritual level, consequently, on this [level] the bodhisattva is just as occupied with

²⁴⁰ Ms.(29b.6): anye tv Zhuh; disregard Y's fn.3 p.99.

²⁴¹ This is a quotation from Ch.V.19cd; read: dharmadhātuvinirmukto as per Ms.(30a.1) in place of dharmadhātuv vinirmukto. Cf. also Bhāṣya N67.8.

²⁴² Read: yens in place of tens; cf. Bhasva N35.11.

²⁴³ Read: ātmaparasamatām in place of svaparasamatām; cf. Bhāṣya N35.11. Ms.: -tmapara... (30a.2). Disregard Y's fn.3 p.100.

benefit for others as with benefit for himself. Herein are shown: (a) penetration²⁴⁴, (b) the counteragent and (c) the result of the counteragent; this triad should be discerned in relation to the other spiritual levels as well.

[4] By means of the second [he penetrates] its meaning as foremost; the fact that he penetrates [this meaning] of the dharmadhātu is understood. This is due to his vision of its intrinsic luminosity. On account of that he believes: "therefore then, in regard to equality in achievement²⁴⁵, endeavour should be directed by us towards only the achievement of complete purification in every way"; he demonstrates scriptural tradition by this statement for it is said in the [Daśabhūmika] Sūtra:

Because, when these²⁴⁶ ten wholesome paths of action are cultivated in the aspect of wisdom, ...they lead up to the śrāvaka vehicle. Then, when they are purified to a greater degree, ...they lead up to the pratyekabuddha vehicle. Then, when they are purified even more²⁴⁷, ...they lead to: (a) the complete purity of the spiritual levels of the bodhisattva, (b) the complete purity²⁴⁸ of the perfections and (c) extensiveness in courses. Then, when they are purified to a greater degree, they lead up to the force of the ten powers²⁴⁹ since they are completely purified in every way²⁵⁰... Therefore then, in regard to equality in achievement [endeavour should be directed by us...].²⁵¹

The words "therefore then etc." signify that since [the bodhisattva] has this thought on the second spiritual level, therefore it is known that he penetrates the meaning [of the dharmadhātu] as foremost by way of the second [level]. "Achievement" is [equivalent to] effort or deed. "Complete purification in every way" is [equivalent to] the removal of both defiled and undefiled delusion in regard to the dharmadhātu. Consequently, the perfection of morality is more excellent on this spiritual level because the self becomes, still more so, a fit vessel²⁵² [for purity]²⁵³ on account of the desire for the pre-eminent meaning [of the dharmadhātu].

²⁴⁴ Ms. (30a.3): -tivedhah in place of -trividhah as stated in Y's fn.4 p. 100; although pratipakşa is omitted, as noted by Y, it has been inserted in the Ms. margin.

²⁴⁵ Read: yenāsyaivam bhavati ...samāne 'bhinirhāre in place of tenāsyaitad bhavati ...samābhi-nirhāre; cf. Bhāsya N35.12.

²⁴⁶ Read: ete as per Ms.(30a,4) in place of iti.

²⁴⁷ Ms.(30a.5): uttaram, however Y's emendation to uttarataram is in agreement with DS (R26.5).

²⁴⁸ Ms.(30a.5): -pariśuddhi, however Y's emendation to -pariśuddhyzi, which agrees with DS, is preferred.

²⁴⁹ Ms.(30a.5): daśabala-; disregard Y's fn.3 p.101.

²⁵⁰ Ms.(30a.5): parisuddhataratvād which agrees with Tib.: god du yods su dag pas (D238b.1), however Y's emendation to parisodhitāh sarvākāraparisodhitatvād agrees with DS.

²⁵¹ DS (R25,21ff.).

²⁵² Read (with de Jong p.116): <u>StraupStrtkarapSt</u> in place of <u>StraumStrtkarapSt</u>; Tib. <u>bdag fin tu</u> (<u>mam par dag pa'i) snod du byed pa'i phyir</u> (D238b.3). This reading is substantiated by the Ms.(30a.7).

²⁵³ Tib. inserts viśuddhi which is not found in the Ms; cf. ibid.

Y102

[5] By means of the third [he penetrates] the meaning as pre-eminent that flows out of that [dharmadhatu]. That he penetrates it is understood. because the statements of the Buddha are characterized by their penetration of the dharmadhātu: for thus²⁵⁴, the Dharma teachings such as the Sutras which flow out of the dharmadhātu are characterized by the power of the dharmadhātu known as the Dharma Body which is completely pure in every way. This is because of both the preeminent nature of the Dharma teachings that flow out of that 255 [dharmadhātu] and also the fact that they (i.e. the Dharma teachings) are the cause of the complete purification of the dharmadhātu on account of the pre-eminent nature of the dharmadhātu. On account of that²⁵⁶, after understanding the pre-eminent nature of what is learnt and which flows out of the dharmadhatu...; by "on account of that" 257, lis meantl: on account of the penetration of the dharmadhātu: by "what is learnt" (is meant]: the Dharma such as the Sūtras that are learnt: by "after understanding the preeminent nature of that", is meant: after having gained knowledge of them introspectively as such through direct intuition devoid of conceptual differentiation; ...for the sake of them, i.e. for the sake of hearing the statements of the Buddha, he may cast himself into the fire-pit the size of the great trichiliocosm, for it is said in a Sütra:258

If someone were to say the following²⁵⁹: "Thus I would proclaim to you this portion of the Dharma presented by the Perfectly Enlightened One which facilitates complete purification in the conduct of the bodhisattva if you were to throw²⁶⁰ yourself into the great fire-pit which blazes up²⁶¹ into a single flame and you should take upon your own body the great²⁶² suffering of the sensation of pain". This person would think: "I would even attempt to cast myself from the Brahma-world into the great trichiliocosm world sphere²⁶³ filled with fire²⁶⁴ for the sake of just a single portion of the Dharma presented by the perfectly enlightened one which facilitates complete purification of the conduct of the bodhisattva, how much more [would I be inclined to cast myself] into an ordi-

²⁵⁴ Contrary to Y's fn.5 p.101, the Ms.(30a.7) reading is vacanasya / tathā..., hence yasmāt (Y101. 11-12) should be replaced by tathā hi.

²⁵⁵ Ms.(30b.1): -dharmāgratādharmadhātu- on the basis of the Tib. is preferred; cf. his fn.6 p.101.

²⁵⁶ Read: vens in place of tens: cf. Bhäsva N35.14.

²⁵⁷ Read: yens in place of tens; cf. ibid.

²⁵⁸ From DS (R33.15 ff.).

²⁵⁹ Ms. (30b.2): sa ced iha kaścid evam brūyāt in contrast to the DS reading adopted by Y: sa ced idam kaścid evam brūyāt; Tib. gal te la la žig de la 'di skad ces zer te (D238b.7). Disregard Y's fns.9 & 10 p.101.

²⁶⁰ Ms.(30b.3): prapätayer mahä-; disregard Y's fn.2 p.102.

²⁶¹ Ms.(30b.3): samprajvalitāyām; disregard Y's fn.1 p.102.

²⁶² Ms.(30b.3): mahāntas but Y's emendation to mahāntam is preferred.

²⁶³ Ms.(30b.4): trisähesramahäsähesräyäm api lokadhätäv which Y has amended to trisähesramahässähesralokadhätäv to agree with DS.

²⁶⁴ Ms.(30b.4): agniparipūrņāyām which has been amended by Y to agniparipūrņe to agree with DS.

nary fire-pit. Moreover²⁶⁵, associating with all the sufferings of the hells and places of woe²⁶⁶ we should seek all²⁶⁷ the Buddha Dharmas, even at the price of associating with [just] human suffering.

It is because of this that the perfection of patience is more excellent on this spiritual level since [the bodhisattva] endures all moral defilement²⁶⁸ for the sake of what is learnt

[6] By means of the fourth [he penetrates] its meaning as devoid of possession²⁶⁹. It is understood that he penetrates [this meaning] of the dharmadhātu. On the fourth spiritual level, because of its evenness, he penetrates [the meaning of the dharmadhatu as an absence of what pertains to the self, since there is no sense of 'mine' whatsoever on the part of the dharmadhatu²⁷⁰. Alternatively, (the dharmadhātul is not in the possession of anyone because it is not an object of all erroneous inversion; since it is free from erroneous inversion in itself, there is no For thus²⁷¹, even his craving for the possession whatsoever on its behalf. Dharma is checked; this is a statement from scriptural tradition. In this way, due to his understanding of the dharmadhātu²⁷² through direct intuition free from conceptual elaboration, he acquires the dharmas consisting in the factors that contribute to enlightenment on the Brilliant [level] and even that craving for the Dharma of the Sūtras etc. which existed previously on the part of the bodhisattya, is checked. Others believe that the craving for the Dharma refers to meditative absorption, meditative concentration and the attainments. Therefore, on this spiritual level the perfection of vigour is more excellent because [the bodhisattva] dwells perpetually in company with the factors that contribute to enlightenment²⁷³ when both speech and discursive thought have been transcended.274

[7] By means of the fifth [he penetrates] its meaning as non-differentiation in mental continuum²⁷⁵; it is the fact that he penetrates [this meaning] of

²⁶⁵ Ms.(30b.4) appears to read: khadāyām ātma..., but Y's emendation to khadāyām / api tu is preferred.

²⁶⁶ apilya is omitted from the Tib.; cf.D239a.3.

²⁶⁷ Read: sarvabuddhadharmah as per Ms.(30b.5) and Tib.(D239a.3); sarva is omitted from DS.

²⁶⁸ Read (with de Jong p.116): sarvaklešasahanād iti in place of sarvaklešasahanādi hir apy abhedyāt, Tib. ñon mods pa thams cad la mi 'byid pa'i phyir (D239a.4). Ms.(30b.5): -sahanād iti...

²⁶⁹ Read: caturthy# nisparigrahat#rtham in place of caturthy# 'parigrah#rtham; cf. Bh#sya N35.17.

²⁷⁰ Read: yesmān na dharmadhātoh kaścid mamatāstīti in place of ...ātmā nāstīti as proposed by Y: Tib. di lata chos kyi dbydis la bdag gai yad med pa'i phyir ro (D239a.5). Ms.(30b.6): yasmān na dharmadhātoh kasya cit mamatāstīti.

²⁷¹ Read: tathā hi in place of yasmāt; cf. Bhāsya N35.17.

²⁷² Tib. has only dhatu (dbyids) in place of dharmadhatu; cf. D239a.6.

²⁷³ Contrary to Y's fn.1 p.103, Ms.(31a.1): bodhişakşair which is corrected to bodhipakşair in the margin.

²⁷⁴ Tib. is slightly different: lus dan nag dan yid kyi spyod pa las 'das par... (D239b.1), i.e. "...when he has transcended the ways of body speech and mind"; however P omits lus dan.

²⁷⁵ Read: samtānābhedārtham in place of santānābhinnārtham; cf. Bhāsva N35.18.

the dharmadhātu that is referred to. He sees the mutual²⁷⁶ sameness of non-differentiation²⁷⁷ between himself and the mental continuum of all the Buddhas, the Venerable Ones²⁷⁸, of the past, present and future as well as that of the bodhisattvas. By reason of the ten equalities in regard to the purity of mind and mental disposition²⁷⁹; he demonstrates scriptural tradition by this statement. (The full passage reads as follows:²⁸⁰

By reason of equality in regard to the purity of mental disposition concerning the Dharma of the Buddhas of the past, by reason of equality in regard to the purity of mental disposition concerning the Dharma of the Buddhas of the future²⁸¹, by reason of equality in regard to the purity of mental disposition concerning the Dharma of the Buddhas of the present, by reason of equality in regard to the purity of mental disposition concerning morality, by reason of equality in regard to the purity of mental disposition concerning mind²⁸², by reason of equality in regard to the purity of mental disposition concerning the removal of false view, doubt, perplexity and annovance, by reason of equality in regard to the purity of mental disposition concerning the knowledge of what is the path and what is not the path, by reason of equality in regard to the purity of mental disposition concerning the knowledge of spiritual practice and renunciation²⁸³, by reason of equality in regard to the purity of mental disposition concerning the ever increasing development of all dharmas that contribute to enlightenment²⁸⁴ and by reason of equality in regard to the purity of mental disposition concerning the maturation of all sentient beings 285.

[The bodhisattva] penetrates²⁸⁶ the non-differentiation in mental continuum by reason of these equalities because the Dharma Body, which is characterized²⁸⁷ by a turning about of the store-consciousness, is undifferentiated. Therefore, on this spiritual level the perfection of meditative absorption is more excellent due to the abundance of meditative development in regard to the aspects of the [noble] truths, in an absolute sense.

²⁷⁶ paramparatah is omitted from the Tib.; cf. D239b.2.

²⁷⁷ Read: bheds- in place of bhinns-; cf. Bhisya ibid.

²⁷⁸ Tib. inserts bhagavatām which is not found in the Ms.

²⁷⁹ Read: daśabhir cittäśayaviśuddhisamatābhir in place of daśabhir viśuddhacittäśayasamatābhir; cf. Bhāsya N35.18.

²⁸⁰ From DS (R42.2 ff.).

²⁸¹ The words ca anagetabuddhadharma- are missing from the Ms. (31a.2) and have been inserted on the basis of the DS (R42.3).

²⁸² Tib. (D239b.3): sems can (= sattva) but DS: citta.

²⁸³ Both Ms.(312.3) and DS (R42.5): pratipatprahāṇajāāna- but Tib. (D239b.4): lam śes pa = "...knowledge of the path".

²⁸⁴ Ms.(31a.3): bodhipāksika in place of DS: bodhipaksya.

²⁸⁵ Line 4 of Ms. (31a) reads: -šayasamatayā ca / (sarvasatvaparipācanavišuddhyāšayasamatayā ca) contrary to Y's reading of line 16 p.103. Also, the Tib. does agree with the Ms. contrary to his note at the bottom of p.103.

²⁸⁶ Ms.(31a.4): pratividhyati; disregard Y's fn.7 p.103.

²⁸⁷ Ms.(31a.4): -paravrttila...; disregard Y's fn.8 p.103.

[8] By means of the sixth [he penetrates] its meaning as devoid of defilement and purity; it is the fact that he penetrates [this meaning] of the dharmadhātu that is referred to. The characteristic of dependent origination is defilement. The [dharmadhātu] is not intrinsically defiled because [defilement] is adventitious to it, nor is it purified because it is intrinsically pure. Thus be penetrates the dharmadhātu in the form of being understood in himself through direct intuition that is free from conceptual differentiation. Here now he demonstrates scriptural tradition: as the consequence of his penetration²⁸⁸ [of the reality] that, regarding dependent origination, there is no dharma whatsoever that is defiled or purified. On the sixth spiritual level the bodhisattya analyses dependent origination and penetrates [the reality] in regard to the latter that no dharma whatsoever is defiled or purified, because; (a) the dharmadhatu is luminous by nature, (b) defilement is only pertinent to the limbs of dependent origination and (c) with the exception of the latter²⁸⁹ there exists no other self or what pertains to a self in regard to which defilement or purity can be construed. It is only because of this that²⁹⁰ the perfection of wisdom is more excellent on this spiritual level because [the bodhisattva] understands the profoundness of dependent origination in the sense of the absence of defilement and purity.

[9] By means of the seventh [he penetrates] its meaning as devoid of multiplicity; it is the fact that he penetrates [this meaning] of the dharmadhatu that is referred to. On this spiritual level the bodhisattva is victorious due to the absence of the manifestation of all signs. And since multiplicity manifests by way of the manifestation of signs, hence it is said that by means of the seventh [level] he penetrates the meaning of the dharmadhatu as devoid of multiplicity due to the absence of the manifestation of signs. In order to demonstrate this very meaning, he says: because of the absence of the manifestation of multiplicity by way of sign in the dharmas of the Sutras etc²⁹¹. Up to the sixth spiritual level [the bodhisattva] enters the dharmadhatu by means of the signs of the dharmas [explained in] the Sutras etc., [signs] which manifest themselves forcefully in their diversity as the [two] knowledges, i.e. that which consists in the entry into [the dharmadhatu] and that which is obtained subsequently to the latter. However, on the seventh spiritual level, since he penetrates [the reality] of the absence of sign on the part of the dharmadhātu through direct intuition in the aspect of the uniformity of all signs, those signs do not become manifest. Consequently, on this spiritual level the perfection of expedients is more

¥105

²⁸⁸ Read: prativedhāt in place of prativedhanāt; cf. Bhāsya N35.21.

²⁸⁹ The words tadvyatirikto 'nya are not to be found in P as noted by Y in his fin.1 p.104, however they are found in D: gan la ...de la grogs par bdag gam bdag gi med (D240a.3).

²⁹⁰ Folios 31b & 32a are missing from the original Ms., hence it has not been possible to check this portion (Y104.15 to 106.27) of the Yamaguchi Ms. against the original.

²⁹¹ Read: nimimittatayā sūvīsdidharmanimittanānātvāsamudācarād in place of nimittābhāvena sūtrādidharmalakṣaṇasya nānātvāsamudācarād; cf Bhāsya N35.21.

excellent since he penetrates [the reality] that all signs are signless and does not contradict conventional linguistic usage which is created by signs. Also, as the consequence of his penetration of the latter, in this [level] he obtains supremacy²⁹².

[10] By means of the eighth [he penetrates] its meaning as neither diminished nor increased²⁹³; it is the fact that he penetrates [this meaning] of the dharmadhātu that is referred to, since the dharmadhātu is completely pure by nature because there is no difference between its stained or stainless condition. It is because of this that it is described as thusness (tathatā)considering that it is eternally just thus (tathā). Even though it is devoid of sign it does not diminish²⁹⁴; even though it possesses sign it does not increase²⁹⁵; for thus some believe that the sign itself is signless. Here now he gives the reason: as the consequence of his acquisition of patient acceptance in regard to non-originating dharmas. Thus, on the eighth spiritual level the bodhisatīva penetrates the fact that there is neither diminution nor increase on the part of the dharmadhātu because he acquires patient acceptance in regard to non-originating dharmas. In regard to defilement and purification, there is neither a diminution nor augmentation of any dharmas whatsoever²⁹⁶, because there can be no origination of new dharmas.

[11] Mastery is fourfold: (a) mastery over the absence of conceptual differentiation, (b) mastery over the complete purification of the 'field', (c) mastery over direct intuition and (d) mastery over karma. Because of the spontaneous absence of the manifestation of all signs therein, the dharmadhātu is to be known as the basis for mastery over direct intuition²⁹⁷ devoid of conceptual differentiation. Even on the seventh [level] the non-manifestation of signs is possible by way of formative influence; however, here [on the eighth level] [it is possible] by way of the absence of formative influence [i.e. spontaneously]²⁹⁸ - that is the difference. Also, by means of the eighth [he penetrates the reality] that it is the basis for mastery over the complete purification of the 'field'; the topic under discussion is the fact that he penetrates [this reality] of the dharmadhātu²⁹⁹. The

²⁹² Cf. DS R63.11ff.: yasyām pratisthito bodhisattvo bhūyastvena vašavartī bhavati...

²⁹³ Read: aşşamyā 'hinānadhikārtham in place of aşşamyā 'uapakarşānutkarşārtham; cf. Bhāşya N35.22.

²⁹⁴ Read: hāniś in place of apakarsaś; cf. Bhāsya N36.1 (= D9b.1).

²⁹⁵ Read: vrddhiś in place of utkarsas; cf. Bhasva ibid.

The Tib.: kun nas ñon moñs pa ni bri ba med pa 'am / mam par byañ ba(s) na 'phel ba'i chos gañ yañ med de (D240b3) would suggest the following reading: kasyacid dharmasya hâmir nāsti saṃkleśe vṛdahir vā nāsti vyavadāna ii in place of kās cid apacayadharmo nāsti saṃkleśe / abhyuccayo vā nāsti vyavadāna ii. however, this passage is clearly a paraphrasing of the following passage from the Bhāsya: saṃkleśe vyavadāne vā kasyacid dharmasya hānivṛdahyadarśanāt (N35.23); Tib. kun nas ñon moñs pa 'am / mam par byañ ba na yañ bri ba 'am 'phel ba mi mthon ba'i phyir (D9b.6-7). The Tib. omits kasyacid dharmasya; cf. my fn.227 above.

²⁹⁷ ifiāna (ye śes) is omitted from the Ms. and is inserted on the basis of the Tib.; cf. D240b.5.

²⁹⁸ Tib. replaces anabhisamskärena with anabhogena (Ihun gyis grub pa yin pas); cf. D240b.5.

²⁹⁹ Read: ksetrapariśuddhivaśitäśrayatvam castamya dharmadhatoh pratividhyattu praktam in place of ksetrapariśuddhivaśitä(śrayatvam castamya pra)tividhyattu praktam. This passage, which is

Y106

dharmadhātu is to be understood as the basis for mastery over the complete purification of the 'field' because [the bodhisativa] obtains the power to exhibit it at will to the Buddha fields and the circles of assembly³⁰⁰. Therefore, the perfection of vows is more excellent on this spiritual level due to continual endeavour in regard to the wholesome on account of the acquisition of mastery over both the absence of conceptual differentiation and the 'field'

[12] By means of the ninth³⁰¹ [he penetrates the reality] that it forms the basis for mastery over direct intuition; the topic under discussion is the fact that he penetrates [this reality] of the dharmadhātu. How is this discerned that [the bodhisattva] penetrates [the dharmadhātu] as the basis for mastery over direct intuition by the ninth [level]? Due to his acquisition of analytical knowledge³⁰². Since, on this level, he acquires analytical knowledge consisting in: (a) the Dharma, (b) meaning, (c) grammatical analysis and (d) a ready intellect, which are not obtained by others. Hence here, it is discerned that the penetration of the dharmadhātu is the basis of mastery over direct intuition. Therefore, the perfection of the powers is more excellent on this spiritual level because [the bodhisattva] possesses the special power of wisdom.

[13] By means of the tenth³⁰³ [he penetrates the reality] that it forms the basis of mastery over karma; it is the fact that he penetrates [this reality of the dharmadhātu] that is referred to.³⁰⁴ How is this understood? Hence he says: as the consequence of his working for the welfare of sentient beings at will through his creative transformations. Through this resolve³⁰⁵ on the tenth spiritual level [the bodhisattva] penetrates [the reality] that the dharmadhātu is the basis of mastery over karma. On account of this he obtains supremacy in regard to the actions of a tathāgata. For thus, like the sugata, through his Transformation Bodies he acts at will doing what is to be done at the appropriate time³⁰⁶ for the sentient beings abiding in the infinite world-spheres of the ten directions. Therefore, the perfection of direct intuition is more excellent on this spiritual level because [the bodhisattva]

omitted from the Tib., is most likely a partial quotation of the following passage from the Bhäsya: prathamadvityavasiitäirayatvam dharmadhätäv astamyaiva bhümyä pratividhyattii (N36.3). It should be noted that the portion of this passage in parentheses above is missing from the Ms.(32a.1) and has been reconstructed by Y; cf. his fn.5 p.105.

³⁰⁰ Read: buddhaksetraparşanmandalasamdarşannşaktipratilambhlit veditavyam in place of buddhaksetraparşanmandalasamdarşansaktipratilambhlit (Y106.1); Tib. sańs rgyas kyi żid dań Ykhor gyi dkyli Ykhor kun du bstan pai mthu brifee pas ...rig par bya'o (D240h.6).

³⁰¹ navamyā but Bhāsya (N36.4); navamyām.

³⁰² Read: pratisamvilläbhät in place of pratisamvitpräpteh; cf. Bhäsya N36.5.

³⁰³ daśamyā but Bhasya: daśamyām (N36.5).

³⁰⁴ Tib. omits this sentence; cf. D241a.2.

³⁰⁵ niścayenānena but Tib. has simply anena ('dis); cf. D241a.3.

³⁰⁶ Ms.(32a.5): tasmin kva as noted by Y, but his emendation to tasmin kale is preferred; cf. his fn.1 p.106.

possesses the distinctive ability to both enjoy the Dharma and bring sentient beings to maturation.

[14] In this way the ten spiritual levels of the bodhisattva are respectively determined as the counteragents to undefiled nescience which is an obscuration to each single spiritual level and is also an impediment to the complete purification, in every respect. [which facilitates] the tenfold penetration of the dharmadhatu and which is relevant to these spiritual levels of the bodhisattva as has [just] been described. The peculiar nature of the ninth and tenth spiritual levels is revealed through their results but not through a peculiar penetration of a different objective support³⁰⁷, because [the bodhisattval in these states reaches a condition which cannot be defined. The purpose of the penetration of the meaning of all-pervading etc. is [for the attainment of] a state of excellence of the ten perfections, respectively, on the ten spiritual levels. Therefore, these obscurations to the spiritual levels that have been described are also said to be obscurations to the state of excellence of the perfections. Alternatively, it is said in a Sutra that the purpose of penetrating 308 the meaning [of the dharmadhatu] as allpervading etc. is [to attain] the result such as the attainment of meditative concentration which is distinguished by [the attainment of] ever-increasing spiritual levels and which culminates in direct intuition in regard to all aspects.

5. The Totality of Obscuration.

N36.8 However, in total:

Y107

II.17 abcd

Obscuration that consists in moral defilement and obscuration that consists in the knowable have now been elucidated - these are all the obscurations in this regard. Liberation is considered as being due to their extinction.

For the liberation from all obscuration is considered as being due to the extinction of this twofold obscuration.

³⁰⁷ Ms.(32a.7): nāvaranabheda- contrary to Y's fn.2 p.106; however his emendation to na tv alambanabheda- is preferred on the basis of the Tib. (cf. D241a.6-7).

³⁰⁸ Ms.(32b.1): prative..yojana...; Y's emendation to prativedhaprayojanam is accepted on the basis of the Tib. rab tu rtogs pa'i dgods pa (D241b.2).

[Sthiramati]

Y107.7 [1] All the obscurations, which are of various kinds, have been described in the above. In order to demonstrate that they are [all] included within two obscurations, he says: however. in total...

II.17 ab

Obscuration that consists in moral defilement and obscuration that consists in the knowable has now been elucidated.

As to how this is understood that in total there are only two and that a third does not exist, he says:

Y108 II.17 c

These are all the obscurations in this regard.

The ellipsis is that [all] are included 309. It is understood that all obscuration is included within the two obscurations; another, i.e. a third, does not exist. Consequently, all obscuration is included herein, hence liberation from all obscuration is considered as being due to the extinction of this twofold obscuration, consisting in both moral defilement and the knowable which comprise all obscuration. What is meant is: one should relinquish all obscuration 310.

[2] Although obscuration to the Buddha level has not been elucidated, is it also included in these [two]? [It is included, however] its inclusion is not due to its direct mention but rather is due to its intrinsic nature. Moreover, it has certainly been mentioned because of the statements about the pervading obscuration; otherwise it would be just a limited obscuration. Alternatively, in order to include³¹¹ the obscuration to the Buddha level, he says:

II.17 cd

These are all the obscurations in this regard. Liberation is considered as being due to their extinction³¹².

Because there can be no liberation in the absence of the extinction of the obscuration to the Buddha level. Hence it has been illustrated that the latter [i.e. obscuration to the Buddha level] is also an obscuration, just like the remaining obscurations. However, undefiled nescience which is a condition of extraordinary subtlety and the latent impressions of moral defilement are described as obscurations to the Buddha level. Therefore, it has been elucidated in this way because it cannot be examined differently due to its extraordinary subtlety.

³⁰⁹ Read: antargatānīti in place of atrāntargatānīti; atra is not found in the Tib. nor in the Ms., contrary to Y's reading,

³¹⁰ Read perhaps; sarvāvaranāni prajahīhi in place of sarvāvaranānām prahāņam; Tib.: sgrib pa thams cad spoi (D241b.4).

³¹¹ Ms.(32b.4): -samgraha... contrary to Y's fn.6 p.107.

³¹² Read: yatksayān in place of tat kṣayād; cf. Bhāṣya N36.10.

The Summary Meaning of Obscuration.

The summary meaning of the obscurations: Extensive obscuration N36.14 Minute obscuration refers to the limited. refers to the pervading. Obscuration to application refers to the excessive. Obscuration to attainment refers to the equal. Obscuration to the special attainment refers to acceptance and rejection. Obscuration to correct application refers to the ninefold obscuration consisting in moral defilement. Obscuration to the [instrumental] causes refers to [the obscuration] to virtue etc. and is due to the influence of the tenfold causal categories 313. Obscuration to the entry into reality refers to [the obscuration] to the factors that contribute to enlightenment. Obscuration to supreme virtue refers to [the obscuration] to the perfections. Obscuration to the state of excellence of the latter refers to [the obscuration] to the spiritual levels. The complete collection of obscurations refer to the two types in total.

[Sthiramati]

9108.8 Extensive obscuration refers to the pervading; i.e. obscuration that consists in both moral defilement and the knowable which pertains to the spiritual lineages of the bodhisattva. Minute obscuration refers to the limited; i.e. only obscuration that consists in moral defilement and which is pertinent to the spiritual lineages of the \$r\vec{a}vaka\$ etc. Obscuration to application refers to the excessive; this pertains only to those who course in passion etc. and it is on account of this that application is not attained. Obscuration to attainment refers to the equal \$\vec{3}^{14}\$; this pertains to those who course in equal shares for it acts as an impediment to attainment. Obscuration to the special attainment refers to acceptance and rejection \$\vec{3}^{15}\$ pertains to those who belong to the spiritual lineage of the bodhisattva because it is the obscuration to a special understanding. The special understanding consists in [the attainment of] the nirv\vec{a}pa [in which the bodhisattva is] not permanently fixed and this should be understood as a special result. Obscuration to correct application refers to the ninefold characteristics of moral defilement \$\vec{3}^{16}\$; since it is

³¹³ The Tib. is slightly different: "Obscuration to the causes is an obscuration to the tenfold [qualities] such as virtue and is due to the influence of the causal categories". rgyu la sgrib pa ni dge ba la sogs pa mam pa bcu la sgrib pa gan yin ste / rgyu'i don gyi skabs kyi phyir (D10a.4); cf. Nagao's fn.7 p.36.

³¹⁴ Read: samam in place of samanam; cf. Bhasya N36.16.

³¹⁵ Read: ādānavivarjane in place of ādānaprahāņam; cf. Bhāsya N36.16.

³¹⁶ navadhākieśalakşaņam but Bhāsya (N36.17): navadhākieśāvaraņam.

stated that the nine fetters are obscurations. The way in which these become obscurations to correct application has [already] been described. Obscuration to the finstrumentall causes refers to [the obscuration] to virtue etc. and is due to the influence of the tenfold causal categories 317; because it acts as an obscuration by causing obstruction³¹⁸ to the cause of virtue etc., it is described as an obscuration to the [instrumental] causes. Obscuration to the entry to reality refers to [the obscuration] to the factors that contribute to enlightenment³¹⁹; for [the bodhisattva] enters reality by means of these. Obscuration to supreme virtue refers to [the obscuration] to the perfections³²⁰; because there is no virtue superior to this. Obscuration to the special state of excellence of the latter refers to [the obscuration] to the spiritual levels: because only the perfections which form the basis of supramundane excellence are described as the spiritual levels. The complete collection of obscurations refer to the two types in total: i.e. obscuration that consists in moral defilement and obscuration that consists in the knowable because all obscurations are included in these two.

The statements of summary meaning are for the purpose of ease in comprehension and retention since [a treatise] which has been summarized is comprehended and retained with ease.

³¹⁷ Read: -hetvarthādhikārād in place of hetvadhikārād; Tib. rgyu'i don gyi skabs kyi phyir (D242a.5). Cf. Bhāsya N36.18.

³¹⁸ Read: -vibandhakārakadvāreņa āvaraņam vartata iti in place of -vibandhadvāreņa āvaraņam bhavatīti; Tib.gegs byed pa'i sgo nas sgrib par 'gyur bas (D242a.5).

³¹⁹ bodhipakşeşv but Bhāşya (N36.19): bodhipakşyeşv.

³²⁰ Ms.(33a.2): - Evaranam yar; disregard Y's fn.6 p.108.

Chapter Three

Reality

Introductory

N37 With reference to reality, he says:

III.1 abcd There is: (a) basic reality, (b) the reality of characteristic, (c) that

characterized by the absence of erroneous inversion, (d) the reality that

consists in cause and result and (e)

that of the gross and the subtle;

III.2 abcd (f) Well-established reality, (g) the

reality of the sphere of purity, (h) the reality of the aggregation, (i) that which is characterized by differen-

tiation and (j) the tenfold reality of the skills which [act] in opposition

to the false view of self.

There are ten kinds of reality, namely, (a) the basic reality, (b) the reality of characteristic, (c) the reality free from erroneous inversion, (d) the reality of cause and result, (e) the reality of the gross and the subtle, (f) well-established reality, (g) the reality of the sphere of purity, (h) the reality of the aggregation, (i) the reality of differentiation and (j) the reality of the skills. Moreover, the latter [which act] as the counteragents to the ten kinds of self-grasping should be understood as being tenfold, namely, skill in the aggregates, skill in the elements, skill in the sense-fields, skill in dependent origination, skill in the possible and the impossible, skill in the sense faculties, skill in the times, skill in the [four] truths, skill in the vehicles and skill in the conditioned and the unconditioned.

[Sthiramati]

Y110 [1] Immediately following the explanation of the obscurations is the appropriate place for the explanation of reality since it was listed immediately after [the obscurations]. Hence [Vasubandhu] says: with reference to reality, [Maitreya] says... There are various kinds of reality, consequently, without an understanding of their differentiation the essential nature of the realities is difficult to understand. Hence, in order to clearly illustrate the differentiation of the realities at the beginning, he says:

III.1 a There is: (a) basic reality, (b) the reality of characteristic etc.

In detail, there are ten kinds of reality.

[2] [Some sav] the statement about the basic reality is for the sake of showing that the other realities are included there within the basic reality. The statement about the reality of characteristic is for the sake of overcoming the faults that have entered into clear comprehension, relinquishment and realization in regard to basic reality². The statement about the reality free from erroneous inversion is for the sake of demonstrating the expedients for withdrawal from samsāra. The statement about the reality of cause and result is for the sake of showing the 'going forth' by means of the śrāvaka vehicle for one who has become weary [of samsāra] because the śrāvaka goes forth by virtue of his penetration of the four noble truths and [his progress in meditative development. The statement about the reality of the gross is for the sake of showing the expedients for the accomplishment of knowledge of all aspects. The statement about the reality of the subtle is for the sake of showing the expedients for the relinquishment of all obscuration. The statement about wellestablished reality is: (a) for the sake of showing the expedients which facilitate the explanation of the extremely well-defined³ Dharma and (b) for the sake of showing the expedients for the refutation of all counter-arguments. The statement about the reality of the sphere of purity is for the sake of showing the differences in purity according to its differentiation with regard to spiritual lineage, sense faculty and mental disposition, although equal fi.e. non-differentiated with regard to the dharmadhatu. The statement about the reality of aggregation is for the sake of showing the expedients for entry into defilement and purity in all aspects. The statement about the reality of differentiation is for the purpose of showing the capacity for reversal of all uncertainty, beginning with [uncertainty in regard to] thusness. The statement about the reality of the skills is for the sake of showing the expedients⁴ for the establishment of non-substantiality after having checked self-grasping, in all its modes.

[3] However, others say that the basic reality, which is pertinent to bodhisattvas, is not common [to the śrāvakas and pratyekabuddhas]. The second reality is the counteragent

¹ Tib. (D242b.3) inserts kha cig na re which is not found in the Ms.

² Read: -slks@dkaranapravistadosapraharan@rrham in place of -slks@dkaranasampravuktasya dosapraharan@rrham; Tib. mdoa sum du byed pa la žugs pa'i fies pa gźom pa'i phyir (D242b.4). Cf. MSA.XI 8-12 comm.

³ Ms.(33a.7): avyavasthita but Y's emendation to suvyavasthita is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.3 p.110.

⁴ Read: -vyavasthānopāyasamdaršana- in place of -vyavasthānasāmarthyasamdaršana-; Tib. rab tu gžag pa'i thabs batan pa (D243a.2), however P: mthu for thabs which is in accord with Y.

to incorrect application⁵ only in respect to the former [i.e. the bodhisattvas]. The third reality is conformable to correct application. The fourth reality is common to both śrāvakas and pratyekabuddhas. The fifth reality is an expedient for accomplishment⁶. The sixth reality is common to people in general. The seventh reality is not common to the latter. The eighth reality consists in the totality of the knowable. The ninth reality refers to intrinsic nature. The tenth reality refers to the eradication of the root of defilement.

[4] In brief, these ten realities are the basis of all the statements of the tathāgatas with hidden meaning. In detail, reality is immeasurable. Some believe that because it is conformable with reality the conventional too is described as a reality in this context. Others believe that these are all realities because they are not disconsonant with the modes as described, considering that, that which is not disconsonant with a particular mode, is a reality as such.

1. The Basic Reality

N37.17 In the above, the basic reality refers to:

III.3 a

The three natures:

[Namely], the imaginary, the other-dependent and the perfected, because the other realities are respectively determined in relation to these. Why is reality considered in relation to the three natures?

N38

III.3 bcd

- (a) That which is eternally non-existent, (b) that which exists but not as a reality and (c) that which both exists and does not exist as a reality; these are thus considered [as the realities] in relation to the three natures.
- (a) Since that which is characterized as the imaginary is eternally non-existent, it is a reality in relation to the imaginary nature because it is not erroneously inverted. (b) Since that which is characterized as the other-dependent exists, but not as a reality because it consists in error,

⁵ Ms.(33b.2): -pratipakṣatvād dvitiyam but Y's emendation to -pratipakṣatattvam dvitiyam is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.1 p.111.

⁶ Both D (243a.3) and P (83a): sgrib ps which should probably read sgrub ps. Cf. the first explanation of audārikatatīvam (Y110.15-16 = D242b.5-6).

⁷ na ca tattvato but Tib. (D10b.5): yan dag pa ma yin to = abhūta.

it is a reality in relation to the other-dependent nature. (c) Since that which is characterized as the perfected both exists and does not exist as a reality, it is a reality in relation to the perfected nature.

[Sthiramati]

Y112

Y111.19 [1] In the above, the basic reality refers to:

III.3 a The three natures etc.

Since these have not been established, he says: the imaginary, the other-dependent and the perfected. In order to demonstrate the reason why the three natures are described as comprising the basic reality, he says: because the other realities are respectively determined in relation to these. What is meant is: the other realities, such as that of characteristic, are included within that [basic reality].

[2] Why should these three natures be studied? Some believe that it is in order to demonstrate: (a) conventional usage, (b) the absolute and (c) the basis of the latter. Others believe it is in order to demonstrate: (a) erroneous inversion, (b) the cause of that and (c) the objective support that acts as the counteragent to these. Others believe it is in order to demonstrate the foundation of: (a) relinquishment, (b) clear comprehension and (c) realization so as to facilitate separation from obscurations on the part of the bodhisattva. Others believe that it is for the sake of the error-free understanding of the nature of the perfection of wisdom, which is profound by nature, by way of the three natures. For it is stated as follows in two verses from the Abhidharmasütra¹⁰:

Teachings about magical creations and the like are in relation to the world¹¹; teachings about non-existence are in relation to the imaginary; however, teachings about the perfected pertain to the four kinds of purity - these are: intrinsic purity, immaculate purity, the purity of the objective support and the purity of the path, for, the pure dharmas are incorporated in these four.

[The teachings from the Sütras about magical creations etc. are in relation to the world¹², i.e. the other-dependent nature, because, like a magical creation etc., it is a false appearance. The teachings about non-existence are in relation to what does not exist, i.e. the imaginary nature. The teachings about the perfected are in relation to the

⁸ Read: svabhāvas trividha in place of trividhaḥ svabhāva; G. Nagao has shown that this statement forms part of the third verse. Cf. Bhāsya N37, fn.10.

⁹ Ms.(33b.5): pradarśanayan but Y's pradarśayan is correct.

¹⁰ These two verses are also quoted in MSG; see Tome 1, L38 & Tome 2, L122.

¹¹ bhūte; Tib. (D243b.2): byuń rten.

¹² byud pa probably a contraction of byud pa'i rten; cf. ibid.

fourfold purities. In regard to the fourfold purities: (a) intrinsic purity refers to 'thusness' etc. [intrinsic to] the stained state, (b) immaculate purity refers to the latter in the stainless state (c) the purity of the path, which consists in the attainment [of the understanding] of emptiness etc., refers to the factors that contribute to enlightenment etc., (d) the purity of the objective support for the generation of the path refers to the Dharma teachings, such as the Sütras, which flow out of the dharmadhātu because the origination of the path is dependent upon these. Thus the abridged meaning of these two verses is that all pure dharmas are included in these four purities.]¹³ Others believe that the natures are admitted as threefold in order to demonstrate that they are the respective objects of mundane, supramundane and the direct intuition attained subsequently to the latter 15.

[3] Why is reality considered in relation to the three natures? For 'reality' has the sense of being 'not erroneously inverted', thus what is intended by this question: "why is reality considered in relation to the three natures?", is: why is that which is not erroneously inverted considered in relation to the three natures?

III.3 ab The three natures [refer to]: (a) that which is eternally non-existent 16 etc.

That which is characterized as the imaginary is eternally non-existent. That [object] in regard to which naive people have notional attachment to the apprehended object and apprehending subject and also to the signified and signifier, like in a dream, has¹⁷ an imaginary nature because its essential nature does not exist. Hence¹⁸, since it has both the nature of a non-ens and is without error, that which is characterized by the imaginary does not exist. Consequently, only non-existence is described as the reality in relation to the imaginary nature because it is not erroneously inverted. Here he shows the meaning of reality as 'not erroneously inverted'.

¹³ This section in parenthesis which comments on the two verses from the Abhidharma-sūtra is not found in the Sanskrit version of the Tika but appears only in the Tib. The full passage reads as follows: byun ba gian gyi dban gi do bo fild la ltos nas mdo las sgyu ma la sogs pa bstan to / de ni sgyu ma la sogs pa bian du log par snan ba'i phyir ro // med pa kun brītags pa'i do bo fild la ltos nas med pa bstan to // mam par dag pa mam pa bzi la ltos nas yon's su grub par bstan to // mam par dag pa mam pa bzi la ltos nas yon's su grub par bstan to // mam par dag pa mi da ma dan bcas pa'i dus kyi de bzin fild la sogs pa'o// dri ma med pas mam par dag pa ni da dag fild dri ma med pa'i dus nao // stoin pa fild la sogs pa 'toh pa'i lam mam par dag pa ni byah chub kyi phyogs ia sogs pa'o // lam skyed pa'i phyir dmigs pa mam par dag pa ni chos kyi dbyins kyi rgyu mthun pa bstan pa'i chos mdoi sde la sogs pa ste / de la brten nas lam skye ba'i phyir ro // di llar mam par dag pa di bizis mam par byah ba'i chos thams cad bsdus te tshigs su bcad pa gfils kyi don mdor bsdus pa'o (D243b.3 - 6).

¹⁴ Read: svabhāvam trayopādānam ity anye in place of trayopādānam svabhāvatvam ity anye; Ms. (34a.1): svabhāva tryopādāna ... kim.

¹⁵ Cf. T-Bhāsya Verse 22 comm. (L40).

¹⁶ Read: svabhāvas trividho 'sac ca nityam in place of trisvabhāvo hy asau nityam; cf. N's fn.10 p.37.

¹⁷ Ms.(34a.2): pravartate / asac ca sa but Y's emendation to pravartate sa is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.2 p.113.

¹⁸ Read: ato 'sad- in place of tasmād asad-; Ms. (34a.2): svabhāvah / a...; Tib. de'i phyir (D244a.2).

[4] That which is characterized as the other-dependent exists but not as a reality 19. That which is characterized by the imaginary, i.e. the apprehended object and apprehending subject etc., does exist since it is the basis of conventional [linguistic] usage. However, because it does not exist in its nature as apprehended object and apprehending subject etc. it does not exist as a reality as such. In order to clearly illustrate this, he says: because it consists in 'bare' error. This is what is being said: that which appears 20, like the material cause of a magical creation, does exist; the way in which it appears, like a magically created man etc., i.e. in the aspect of apprehended object and apprehending subject, does not exist as such - hence it is [described as] error. It is a reality in relation to the other-dependent nature 21. That which exists in a different way is manifested in the aspect of something non-existent in relation to itself. That it is because it is not erroneously inverted is understood here also because this is the subject under discussion.

[5] That which is characterized as the perfected both exists and does not exist as a reality ²². That which is characterized by the perfected is a reality that both exists and does not exist. It exists because it has the nature of the existence of the non-existence of the duality; and it does not exist because it has the nature of the non-existence of the duality. It is a reality because it is the objective support for purity. It is a reality in relation to the perfected nature; it is the fact that this is because it is not erroneously inverted that is referred to.

2. The Reality of Characteristic

What is the reality of characteristic?

III.4 abcd & 5 ab

N38.9

Views that consist in imputation and negation in regard to: (a) the dharmas and the personal entity, (b) the apprehended object and apprehending subject and (c) existent and non-existent [entities] do not arise due to the knowledge of this the reality-characteristic.

¹⁹ Read: paratantralakṣaṇam sac ca na ca tattvata as per Ms.(34a.3) in place of paratantralakṣaṇam sat / na ca tattvata; cf. Bhāṣya N38.4.

²⁰ Read: yat tat khyāti as per Ms.(34a.4); cf. Y's fn.4 p.113.

²¹ Read: etat paratantrasvabhäve tattvam in place of tad dhi paratantrasvabhäve tattvam; cf. Bhāṣya N38.5.

²² Read: -sadasattattvataś ceti in place of -sadasattattvam iti; cf. Bhasya N38.6.

Views that consist in imputation and negation in regard to the personal entity and the dharmas do not arise due the knowledge of this the reality-characteristic in relation to the imaginary nature. Views that consist in imputation and negation in regard to the apprehended object and apprehending subject do not arise due to the knowledge of this the reality-characteristic in relation to the other-dependent nature. Views that consist in imputation and negation in regard to existent and non-existent [entities] do not arise due to the knowledge of this the reality-characteristic in relation to the perfected nature. This non-erroneously inverted (reality-)characteriatic²³ in relation to the basic reality is described as the reality of characteristic.

[Sthiramati]

Y114

[1] Since the reality of the three natures has been described but its characteristic has not, or else, since the reality of characteristic was [initially] mentioned immediately following basic reality and it has not been established, he asks: what is the reality of characteristic? Hence he says:

III.4 ab

Views that consist in imputation and negation in regard to: (a) the dharmas and the personal entity etc.

Views that consist in imputation and negation in regard to the personal entity and the dharmas do not arise due to the knowledge of this²⁻⁴ the reality-characteristic in relation to the imaginary nature. Views that consist in imputation refer to the notional attachment to the self and to the dharmas of form etc. as existing²⁵ in an absolute sense and which, being separate²⁶ from consciousness in the appearance of these, form its objective supports. The view that consists in negation refers to the notion that they [i.e. self and dharmas etc.] do not exist even by way of conventional [linguistic] usage. Others say that the view that consists in negation is that which rejects consciousness as an appearance of the personal entity and the dharmas; this should be thought of as the rejection of the apprehending subject²⁷. Views that consist in imputation and negation in regard to the personal entity and the dharmas do not arise due to the discrimination of a particular characteristic - [this is] the reality-characteristic in relation to the imaginary nature which should be understood as being devoid of imputation and negation is that characteristic. And this is a reality as conventional usage but not by way of own-being because the views that consists in

²³ Tib. mtshan fiid kyi de kho na (D11a.2) = lakşanatattvam.

²⁴ Read: yasya jñānān in place of yajjñānān; cf. Bhāsya N38.13.

²⁵ Ms.(34b.1): santlty; disregard Y's fn.2 p.114.

²⁶ Read: -valinkts is per Ms. (34b.)) in place of -valinkts va.
27 Pead perhaps: -prattkeepo pavadadareandm iti / grahakapratiksepa
iti cintigam etat in place of -pariharo ... etat; Tib.: spon pa ni
skur pa 'debs par ita ba'o zes zer te/'dzin pa spon pa 'di ni bsam
dgos pa (D244b.3).

imputation and negation in regard to the *dharmas* and the personal entity do not arise, i.e. they are not produced, due to the awareness that they are imaginary.

- [2] Views that consist in imputation and negation in regard to the apprehended object and apprehending subject ...; the erroneous appearance of apprehended object and apprehending subject is [respectively] grasped as dharma and personal entity if one is notionally attached to their existence as a reality - the imputation in regard to the apprehended object and apprehending subject occurs in this way. Now if one is notionally attached to the non-existence of both the apprehending subject and apprehended object alike, then the negation in regard to the apprehended object and apprehending subject [occurs]28. Views that consist in imputation and negation in regard to the apprehended object and apprehending subject do not arise due to the knowledge of this characteristic, the reality-characteristic in relation to the other-dependent nature which should be known as devoid of imputation and negation. Furthermore, the latter does not exist insofar as its nature as apprehended object and apprehending subject is imaginatively constructed²⁹. but it does exist insofar as it forms the basis for the conventional usage in regard to it. Due to clear comprehension thus, the views that consist in imputation and negation do not arise in regard to the other-dependent.
- [3] Views that consist in imputation and negation in regard to non-existent [entities] 30...; certain people say that if one perceives emptiness as something existent, then this is [equivalent to] the view that imputes existence. Conversely, if [one perceives emptiness] as something non-existent, then this is [equivalent to] the view that it is not an existent. Moreover, both of these views in regard existent and non-existent [entities] do not arise due to the knowledge of this characteristic 31 the reality-characteristic in relation to the perfected nature. Some believe that 32 this [i.e. the reality-characteristic] is, in its own-being, free from both existence and non-existence because views about existence and non-existence in regard to the perfected nature do not arise as the consequence of its knowledge. Others again say that the notion that the emptiness of essential nature is [equivalent to] the non-existence of the duality 33 is [to succumb to] the extreme of the imputation of exis-

²⁸ Ms.(34b.4): grāhyagrāhakasyāpy abhāvam, but Y's emendation to grāhyasyeva grāhakasyāpy abhāvam is preferred on the basis of the Tib; cf. his fn.3 p.114.

²⁹ Ms.(34b.5): tat punar grāhya-, but Y's emendation to tat punar kalpitayā grāhya- is preferred on the basis of the Tib.

³⁰ Read: bhāvābhāvasamāropāpavāda- in place of bhāvābhāvayoh samāropāpavāda-; cf. Bhāṣya N38.16.

³¹ Read: etad ubhayam api bhāvābhāvadaršanam yasya lakṣaṇasya jītānān na pravartate in place of etad ubhayam api bhāvābhāvadaršanam / yasya lakṣaṇasya jāānān na te bhāvābhāvadaršane pravartete; distregard Y's fn.3 p.115 since this passage is included in the Tib. Bhāṣya quotation which follows.

³² Ms.(34b.7): pravartate ity eke anye contrary to Y's fn.4 p.115, however his emendation to pravartata is preferred.

³³ Ms.(34b.7): dvayābhāvasvarūpaiva but Y's emendation to dvayābhāvah svarūpeņa is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fit.5 p.115.

tence³⁴ because there is the imputation of an existent essential nature to that which in its nature is free from both existence and non-existence. Conversely, the notion that this [i.e. the non-existence of the duality] does not exist by way of an essential nature consisting in the non-existence of the duality which is free from both existence and non-existence and is the sphere of direct intuition devoid of conceptual differentiation³⁵ is (to succumb to) the extreme of the negation of non-existence. Others³⁶ believe that both of these explanations should be reflected upon because of the intimate connection. individually, of existence and non-existence with the views that consist in imputation and negation. In this context, existence refers to the existence of the non-existence of the duality. If one grasps the latter as a definite ens, then existence is imputed. If one grasps it as a definite non-ens, then it is negated. Moreover, non-existence refers to the non-existence of the duality. If one grasps this in terms of annihilation, then non-existence is imputed as an absolute non-existence. Now if one grasps it just by way of the duality, then there is negation because it is manifested as a non-existent duality. Alternatively, if one grasps it as having an existent essential nature, then there is imputation. Conversely, if one grasps it purely as a non-ens, then defilement and purification are negated. This avoidance of the two extremes³⁷ is [equivalent to] the reality characteristic in relation to the perfected nature. [Objection]: Is it not so that the characteristic of the three natures has already been mentioned in the above? [I.e. Ch.I.5 cd]: "[The three natures] ...are taught on account of the object, the imagination of what is unreal and the non-existence of the duality [respectively]". [Response]: In the latter, the 'characteristic' refers to the own-being (svabhāva) but here it refers to the mark (cihna) hence its mention here is not tautologous [as is evident in such statements as]: "...this the reality-characteristic due to the knowledge of which the two [views] do not arise", that is to say, the views that consist in imputation and negation in relation to the personal entity etc. do not arise due to the knowledge of this 38 the characteristic of the basic reality.

34 Ms.(34b.7): śūnyatety abhāva- but Y's emendation to śūnyateti bhāva- is preferred on the basis of the Tib; cf. his fn.6 p.115.

³⁵ Read: bhāvābhāvavimuktenāpi ca dvayābhāvasvarūpeņa nirvikalpajñānagocarenāsau nāstīti in place of bhāvābhāvavimuktena dvayābhāvasvarūpeņa nirvikalpajñānagocarenāpi nāstīti; Tib. de dios po dad dios po med pa las mam par grol ba gnis su med pa'i rab bžin mam par mi rīog pa'i ye šes kyi spyod yul du yah med do ze na (D245a.5).

³⁶ Ms.(35a.1): apare / bhāvo; disregard Y's fn.9 p.115.

³⁷ Read: antadvayavivarjanam in place of antadvayavighātanam; Ms.(35a.3): antadvayaviva-contrary to Y's fn.2 p.116. Tib.(D245b.2) spańs pa for vivarjanam.

³⁸ Read: -darśanāni yajjñānān na pravartante in place of -darśanam yajjñānān na pravartate; Ms. (35a.5): -nān na pravartante.

3. The Reality Free from Erroneous Inversion.

N38.21

The reality free from erroneous inversion consists in [the knowledge of] the impermanent, the painful, the empty and the insubstantial because these [act as] the counteragents to the erroneous inversion of permanence etc. How do they relate respectively³⁹ to [the threefold] basic reality?⁴⁰ They should be understood by way of the impermanence [of what is impermanent] etc.

III.5 cd

An impermanent object is: (a) a nonexistent object, (b) characterized by coming into being and passing away;

III.6 ab

and (c) stained and stainless, respectively, in relation to basic reality.

N39

For the three natures consist in basic reality. In relation to these [three] respectively, there are three kinds of impermanent object: (a) the object as non-existent, (b) the object as coming into being and passing away and (c) the object as stained and stainless⁴¹.

III.6 cd

Suffering is stated as being due to:

(a) appropriating, (b) the characteristic and lastly is considered as being: (c) on account of association.

In relation to [the threefold] basic reality, respectively⁴². Suffering is: (a) due to appropriating, i.e. due to the appropriating of notional attachment to the personal entity and the *dharmas*, (b) due to the characteristic, i.e. due to the characteristic of the threefold nature of suffering and (c) due to association, i.e. due to the association with suffering. Thus should [suffering] be understood⁴³ in relation to that [threefold] basic reality, respectively.

III.7 ab

Emptiness is considered as: (a) nonexistence, (b) existence as something other and (c) intrinsic nature;

³⁹ Tib. omits yathākramam; cf. D245b.2.

⁴⁰ Read: tatra mūlatative (yathākramaṃ) katham in place of mūlatative yathākramaṃ katham ca tatra on the basis of the Tib; cf. N's fn.6 p.38.

⁴¹ The Tib. and Sanskrit versions of this passage differ slightly. The translation here is on the basis of Nagao's emendation which accords essentially with the Tib.: trayo hi svabhāvā milistatvan tegu yathākramam trividho hityārtho sadartho utpādavyayārthah samalāmalārthaś ca. Cf. N's fm.1 p.39.

⁴² This statement is omitted from the Tib. Cf. D11a.5.

⁴³ veditavyam is omitted from the Tib. Cf. D11a.6.

Since the imaginary characteristic does not exist in any mode whatsoever the very non-existence is its emptiness. Since the other-dependent characteristic does not exist in the manner in which it is imagined but is not non-existent in every respect, its existence as something other is emptiness. Since the perfected characteristic has emptiness for its own-being its very intrinsic nature is emptiness.

III.7 cd

Insubstantiality is explained as: (a) the absence of characteristic, (b) the difference of characteristic from that [which is imagined] and (c) the individual characteristic.

Since the very characteristic of the imaginary nature does not exist the very absence of characteristic is its insubstantiality. Since the characteristic of the other-dependent does exit, but not in the manner in which it is imagined, the characteristic - which is a characteristic different from that [which is imagined] - is its insubstantiality. However, since the perfected nature consists in insubstantiality, the individual characteristic 44 itself is its insubstantiality.

The three kinds of impermanence have now been revealed in relation to the threefold basic reality as the impermanence of: (a) a non-existing object, (b) the impermanence of what comes into being and passes away and (c) the impermanence of what is stained and stainless.

The nature of suffering is threefold: (a) suffering due to appropriating, (b) suffering due to the characteristic and (c) suffering due to association. Emptiness is threefold: (a) the emptiness of what is non-existent, (b) the emptiness of what exists as something other and (c) the emptiness of own-being. Insubstantiality is threefold: (a) insubstantiality as the absence of characteristic, (b) insubstantiality as a different characteristic and (c) insubstantiality as the individual characteristic.

[Sthiramati]

N40

Y116.15 [1] Immediately following the reality of characteristic⁴⁵, the reality free from erroneous inversion should be stated because the latter was listed immediately after the former; hence he says: the reality free from erroneous inversion. For the permanent, the painful, the empty and the insubstantial⁴⁶ are described as

⁴⁴ Read: svalakṣaṇam in place of prakṛtir on the basis of the Tib. Bhāṣya (D11b.2): rati gi mtshan fiid; this is also repeated in the Tib. Tika (D247a.5), Cf. III.8 a.

⁴⁵ Ms.(35a,5): laksatattva-, but Y's emendation to laksanatattva- is preferred.

⁴⁶ Read: - anatmats in place of -anatmano; cf. Bhasya N38.22.

the reality free from erroneous inversion because they [act as] counteragents⁴⁷ to the erroneous inversion of permanence, pleasure, purity and the substantiality. Previously, the inclusion of the other realities within the basic reality was asserted, hence he asks: how do the latter relate to basic reality? As the impermanence [of what is impermanent] etc⁴⁸. The impermanent etc. refers to the modes of the impermanent, the painful, the empty and the insubstantial - the state (-tā of anitya-tā) of that is impermanence etc. In order to demonstrate that the reality free from erroneous inversion is included within the basic reality, he says:

[2]

Y117

III.5 c

An impermanent object is: (a) a non-existent object etc.

For there are three kinds of impermanent object: (a) the object as non-existent, (b) the object as coming into being and passing away and (c) the object as stained and stainless; that which exists eternally as such is permanent; the inverse of this is impermanent; ...in relation to these [three], respectively. With regard to these: (a) the imaginary nature is impermanent in the sense of the impermanence of something eternally non-existent; others believe that this is to be understood according to the rules of grammatical analysis, i.e. the impermanent (anitya) is always non-existent (asannitya). (b) The other-dependent nature is impermanent in the sense of impermanence characterized by coming into being and passing away every moment, because it arises from causal conditions, and because there is no causality when it passes away. (c) The perfected nature is impermanent in the sense of adventitious impermanence⁴⁹ which is characterized by both the possession of stain and stainlessness, although it consists in a dharma⁵⁰ that does not change.

[3]

III.6 c

Suffering is stated as being due to: (a) appropriating, (b) the characteristic etc.

Suffering⁵¹ is threefold: (a) the suffering due to appropriating, (b) the suffering due to the characteristic and (c) the suffering due to association. Of these, the imaginary nature consists in suffering that is (a) due to appropriating. There is suffering since suffering is appropriated (up#dfyate) either in the present life or in other lives on account of the absence of clear comprehension. And in order to demonstrate the manner in which suffering is appropriated on account of this absence of clear compre-

^{47 -}pratipaksatväd here but Bhäsya (N38.21) -pratipaksena.

⁴⁸ anityāditā both here and in Tib. Tīkā, but Bhāsya (N38.22): anityatāditā.

⁴⁹ Tib. omits anitya; cf. D246a.3.

⁵⁰ Ms.(35b,2): -dharmāpi, but Y's -dharmo 'pi is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; chos yin du zin kyan (D246a,3).

⁵¹ Ms.(35b.2): duhkham iti but Y's emendation to duhkham api is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.3 p.117.

hension, he says: due to the appropriating of notional attachment to the personal entity and the *dharmas*. There is the notional attachment to the personal entity and the *dharmas* since one becomes notionally attached to the personal entity and the *dharmas* through 'grasping' an entity on account of this [absence of clear comprehension]. This [appropriating] belongs to the imaginary nature because it has 'fallen' into duality. It is described in this way because the continuation of suffering is due to the notional attachment to the imaginary nature⁵². The imaginary itself is not suffering because it does not exist⁵³. Alternatively, since the imaginary nature is appropriated in the nature of an entity on account of the notional attachment to the personal entity and the *dharmas*, thus the imaginary nature consists in the suffering that is due to grasping.

- [4] The other-dependent nature is referred to as the suffering that is (b) due to the characteristic. For the threefold nature of suffering refers to the suffering of suffering, the suffering of change and the suffering of the formative forces. Moreover, since these three sufferings are the sufferings that pertain to the other-dependent⁵⁴, they are [described as] the suffering that is due to the characteristic.
- [5] The perfected nature consists in suffering that is: (c) due to association. The word "and" has a conjunctive sense. How is it due to association? He says: due to the association with suffering. The other-dependent nature consists in suffering; the real nature of the latter is described as suffering because even though perfected it is also associated with suffering. [Thus should suffering be understood] in relation to that [threefold] basic reality, respectively. In this regard, the suffering that is on account of grasping consists in the imaginary. [The suffering] that is on account of the characteristic consists in the other-dependent. [The suffering] that is on account of association consists in the perfected.

[6]

III.7 ab

Emptiness is considered as: (a) nonexistence, (b) existence as something other and (c) intrinsic nature;

Emptiness is threefold: (a) emptiness as non-existence, (b) emptiness as an existence as something other and (c) emptiness as intrinsic nature. Therein, emptiness as non-existence pertains to the imaginary nature. In order to clearly illustrate just this⁵⁵, he says: since the imaginary characteristic, like the essential nature of horns on a hare

⁵² Tib. is slightly different: "...because notional attachment to the imaginary nature is the ground for the continuity of suffering". kun brtags pa'i no bo niid la mnion par zen pa ni sdug bshal gyi rgyun gyi rgyu yin pas (D246a.6).

⁵³ Ms.(356.4): nāpi, but Y's emendation to tadabhāvāt is preferred on the basis of the Tib. Cf. his fn.4 p.117.

⁵⁴ Ms.(35b.6): paratantraduhkhatā; disregard Y's fn.1 p.118,

⁵⁵ Read: etad eva as per Ms.(36a.1) in place of etat.

etc., does not exist as the existing apprehended object in any mode whatsoever through direct perception or inference; the very non-existence is its emptiness. The emptiness of what exists as something other pertains to the other-dependent [nature]. In order to clearly illustrate just this, he says: since the other-dependent characteristic does not exist in the manner in which it is imagined by naive people, i.e. in the nature of the duality, but is not non-existent in every respect. Moreover, the mode in which it does exist is understood through mundane direct intuition that is pure; therefore its existence as something other is emptiness. The emptiness as intrinsic nature pertains to the perfected [nature], hence he says: since the perfected characteristic has emptiness for its own-being; because it has the non-existence of the duality for its own-being; therefore, its very intrinsic nature is emptiness, i.e. its very own-being.

[7]

Ш.7 с

Insubstantiality is explained as: (a) the absence of characteristic⁵⁸ etc.

Insubstantiality is threefold: (a) insubstantiality as the absence of characteristic, (b) insubstantiality as a difference of characteristic⁵⁹ and (c) insubstantiality as the individual characteristic. Of these, the absence of characteristic itself is an insubstantiality because there is the absence of the characteristic of a nature that is imaginary. This is why he says: since the very characteristic of the imaginary nature does not exist, the very absence of characteristic is its insubstantiality⁶⁰, i.e. he shows that it does not exist in any other mode. Insubstantiality as a difference in characteristic pertains to the other-dependent, because, the characteristic of the other-dependent does exist, in the nature of an entity, but not in the way in which it is imagined by naive people, i.e. as the duality; the other-dependent characteristic - which is a characteristic different from that, i.e. dissimilar to the imagined characteristic - is its insubstantiality. Thus, its insubstantiality is shown to be an imaginary substantiality⁶¹. Insubstantiality as the individual characteristic⁶² is pertinent to the perfected since the perfected nature consists in insubstantiality because it has the non-existence of the apprehended object and apprehending

⁵⁶ Read: pratyakşānumānaprakāreņa na kenacid sattvam grāhyam astīty abhāva evāsya śūnyateti in place of pratyakṣānumānākāreņa yenāpi sattvam grāhyam nāsti / abhāvatvāt tacchūnyateti; Tib. mhon sum dai rjes su dpag pa mam pa gai gis kyan yod par gzun du med de / dnos po med pa ñid pas dei stoa pa ñid (D246b.5). Cf. Bhāsya N39.10 (= D11a.6).

⁵⁷ Read: tasyātadbhāvaḥ śūnyateti in place of atadbhāvāt tacchūnyateti; cf. Bhāsya N39.12.

⁵⁸ Read: alakşapam ca nairātmyam in place of alakşapād hi nairātmyam; cf. Bhāsya N39.14.

⁵⁹ Ms.(36a,3); vilaksana-; disregard Y's fn,5 p,118.

⁶⁰ Read: lakşanam eva näsitiy alakşanam eväsya nairātmyam in place of lakşanāsativād alakşanam eva tamairātmyam; cf. Bhāṣya N39.16.

⁶¹ Ms.(36a,6): parikalpitena, but Y's emendation to parikalpitātmatvena is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.2 p.119

⁶² Read: svalakşananairātmyam as per Ms.(36a.6) in place of svalakşanam nairātmyam; Tib. ran gi mishan fiid kyis bdag med pa (D247a.4).

subject as its nature; hence by saying: the individual characteristic itself is its insubstantiality, he shows that the perfected dharma has insubstantiality for its own-being 63.

- [8] Just what difference is there between these two terms, i.e. emptiness and insubstantiality? Some believe that wherein something does not exist, the former is empty of the latter; however, if something is not the substance (\$\frac{3}{tman}\$), i.e. the own-being of something else, on account of this, the latter is insubstantial (\$an\frac{3}{tman}\$) this is the difference between the two. Others again believe that although there is no difference in reality, there is a difference according to the way in which they are respectively determined, since that wherein something does not exist is insubstantial because of the absence of own-being on its part, however, in the former case, its emptiness is on account of the absence of the perfected nature within it.
- [9] In order to demonstrate that they [act as] the counteragents to the extremes of imputation and negation and also that they consist in adventitious stain the three kinds of impermanence have now been respectively revealed⁶⁴ in relation to the threefold basic reality as: (a) the impermanence of a non-existing object, (b) the impermanence of what comes into being and passes away and (c) the impermanence of what is stained and stainless. The reality free from erroneous inversion⁶⁵ is described in this way, concluding with the statement: insubstantiality is threefold.

4. The Reality of Cause and Result.

N40.5 The reality consisting in cause and result is [equivalent to] the [noble] truth of suffering, its origination, its cessation and the path [to the latter] in relation to that same basic reality. How does the threefold basic reality consist in the [four] truths beginning with suffering? Because the latter are characterized by impermanence etc.

III.8 b Hence it is considered as the truth of suffering;

The truth of origination [is considered] through the threefold categories of origination. The threefold categories of origination are:

⁶³ Read: parinispannasya dharmasya in place of parinispannam dharmam; Tib. yods su grub pa'i chos la (D247a.5).

⁶⁴ Read: paridīpitā in place of samdaršitā; cf. Bhāsya N39.20.

⁶⁵ Read: avipary#satattvam as per Ms.(36b.2) in place of avipary#sattvam.

III.8 cd

(a) latent impression, (b) manifestation and (c) non-separation.

Origination as latent impression refers to the latent impressions of the notional attachment to the imaginary nature. Origination as manifestation refers to karma and moral defilement. Origination as non-separation refers to the non-separation of thusness from obscuration.

The truth of cessation [is considered] through the three kinds of cessation. The three kinds of cessation are:

III.9 ah

Considered as: (a) non-origination by way of own-being, (b) non-origination by way of the duality and (c) the two extinctions of stain:

Non-origination by way of own-being, non-origination by way of the apprehended object and apprehending subject and the two extinctions of stain are stated as cessation through critical consideration and also as thusness. These are the three kinds of cessation, namely, cessation by way of own-being, cessation by way of the duality and cessation by way of intrinsic nature.

How is the truth of the path respectively determined in relation to the threefold basic reality?

III.9 cd & 10 a

In relation to their: (a) clear comprehension, (b) renunciation and (c) realization as attainment; this is stated as the truth of the path.

N41

Y120

I.e. in relation to: (a) the clear comprehension of the imaginary [nature], (b) the clear comprehension and renunciation of the other-dependent [nature] and (c) the clear comprehension of the perfected [nature] and its realization as attainment. Thus it should be understood that the establishment of the truth of the path in this regard is in relation to clear comprehension, renunciation and realization.

[Sthiramati]

[1] Since the reality of cause and result should be discussed immediately after the latter [i.e. the reality free from erroneous inversion], he says: the reality consisting in cause and result ...in relation to that same basic reality⁶⁶. Therein, concerning the side of defilement, the truth of suffering is [equivalent to] the reality of

⁶⁶ Read: phalahetumayan tattvam tatraiva mulatattve in place of mulatattve phalahetutattvam; cf. Bhāsya N40.5.

the result; the truth of origination is [equivalent to] the reality of the cause. Moreover, concerning the side of purification, the truth of cessation is [equivalent to] the reality of the result and the truth of the path is [equivalent to] the reality of the cause. In this way the four truths, beginning with suffering, are [equivalent to] the reality of cause and result. It has been said that [this reality] is [equivalent to] the truth⁶⁷ of suffering, its origination, its cessation and the path [to the latter] in relation to that same basic reality⁶⁸.

[2] And since it is not discerned how basic reality pertains to the own-being of the truth of suffering etc. he asks: how does the threefold basic reality consist in the [four] truths beginning with suffering? Hence he says: because the latter are characterized⁶⁹ by impermanence etc.

III.8 b Hence⁷⁰ it is considered as the truth of suffering:

In detail, it is said that the impermanent, the painful, the empty and the insubstantial are the characteristics of the truth of suffering and, as a totality, these exist individually in the imaginary etc. Hence, the imaginary etc. are intended, individually, as the truth of suffering.

[3] The truth of origination [is considered] as the threefold basic reality, respectively,⁷¹ through the threefold categories of origination. Since their origination is not understood, he says: the threefold categories of origination are:

III.8 cd (a) latent impression, (b) manifestation and (c) non-separation.

The three kinds of origination are: (a) origination as latent impression, (b) origination as manifestation and (c) origination as non-separation. Of these, the origination as latent impression should be understood as the imaginary nature; hence he says: ...refers to the latent impressions of the notional attachment to the imaginary nature. Since, although the imaginary nature does not exist, the dharmas that consist in the mundane respectively determine the latent impressions of both conceptual differentiation and moral defilement⁷² on account of notional attachment to its existence; however, the [dharmas that consist in the] supramundane and which are without notional attachment, do not. Consequently, the imaginary nature is described as origination as latent impression. Origination as manifestation should be

⁶⁷ Read: -satyatvam in place of satyāni; cf. Bhāsya N40.6.

⁶⁸ Read perhaps: tatraiva mulatative in place of mulatative; Tib. rtsa ba'i de kho na ñid la. Cf. ibid.

⁶⁹ Read: -laksanam in place of -laksanena; cf. Bhasya N40.7

⁷⁰ Read: ato in place of tato; cf. Bhāşya N40.8.

⁷¹ Read: mūlatattvam yathākramam samudayasatyam in place of mūlatattvam samudayasatyam yathākramam; Ms.(36b.\$); mūlatattvam ya-.

⁷² klesa is omitted from the Tib.; cf. D248a.2.

understood as the other-dependent [nature]⁷³; manifestation refers to the acquisition of a substantial nature. That which comes into being from something else is [described as] "origination as manifestation", because it originates from this. Furthermore, as to its essential nature, he says: ...refers to karma and moral defilement and these two consist in the other-dependent nature because they have the nature of substantial entities. Origination as non-separation refers to [the non-separation] of thusness⁷⁴; how so? Hence he says: [its] non-separation from obscuration. This is what is being said: [thusness] receives the title "origination" since: (a) suffering originates as long as thusness is not separate from obscuration, or (b) thusness - which is not separate from obscuration -

is the real nature of origination.

[4] The truth of cessation which consists in basic reality [is considered] through the three kinds of cessation and since these are not discerned, he says: the three kinds of cessation are:

III.9 ab

Considered as: (a) non-origination by way of own-being, (b) non-origination by way of the duality and (c) the two extinctions of stain;

Therein, non-origination by way of own-being refers to the imaginary [nature] since it is an absolute non-origination because it is devoid of own-being, like the son of a barren woman. Non-origination by way of the apprehended object and apprehending subject refers to the other-dependent; moreover, since this is absolutely devoid of the essential nature 75 of an apprehended object and apprehending subject, it is non-origination by way of a nature that consists in an erroneous duality. And the two extinctions of stain refer to the perfected; the word "and" has the conjunctive sense. But since these [extinctions] are not discerned, he says: ...are stated as cessation through critical consideration and also as thusness 76. Stain is twofold: (a) obscuration such as passion and (b) the imaginary. Therein, when the 'seed' has been extracted from its basis through direct intuition that is without impurity, an extinction [is achieved] which consists in the absolute non-origination of the stain of passion etc. - this is cessation through critical consideration. By means of direct intuition into the absence of the duality which belongs to the sphere of thusness, an extinction [is achieved] which consists in the non-origination of the stain of the imaginary; this is thusness. Both of these consist in the perfected nature. These three

⁷³ svabhāva is omitted from the Ms.

⁷⁴ Read: tathatāyā iti in place of tathateti; cf. Rhāsya N40.13.

⁷⁵ Ms.(37a.4): -rūpābhyām, but Y's emen. ion to -svarūpābhyām is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn. 3 p.121.

⁷⁶ Read: pratisamkhyānirodhatathatākhyam in place of pratisamkhyānirodhas /tathatā cocyatz; cf. Bhāṣya N40,18.

kinds of cessation are to be discerned in relation to basic reality, beginning with the imaginary, according to their respective enumeration. However others believe that the extinction⁷⁷ of these dual stains consisting in moral defilement and the imaginary are described as thusness on account of the penetration of thusness because of the statement: cessation by way of the duality and cessation by way of intrinsic nature. Intrinsic nature refers to thusness because this refers to the intrinsic luminosity of mind. Hence, since it has thusness for its objective support, this cessation is described as cessation by way of intrinsic nature, [whereas] cessation alone⁷⁸ is [described as] cessation through critical consideration. Others believe that cessation is [equivalent to] thusness inasmuch as [suffering] has ceased in the latter⁷⁹. Furthermore, since cessation consists in non-origination, after collecting together all [elements] that have non-origination etc. for their own-being, they are described as the truth of cessation. The inclusion of the truth of cessation within basic reality has now been described.

[5] However, since the truth of the path should be included immediately after the latter, he asks: how is the truth of the path respectively determined in relation to the threefold basic reality?⁸⁰ Hence the statement beginning with:

III.9 c

Y122

In relation to their: (a) clear comprehension [(b) renunciation]⁸¹ etc.

i.e. in relation to: (a) the clear comprehension of the imaginary [nature]; since the imaginary nature is absolutely non-existent there is only its clear comprehension and not its renunciation, for the renunciation of what does not exist is not tenable. (b) In relation to the clear comprehension and renunciation of the other-dependent [nature], for the other-dependent is to be understood as not existing in the manner in which it appears but not as non-existent in its whole nature, like the imaginary; also, because karma and moral defilement have the nature of entities⁸², it [i.e. the other-dependent] is to be renounced. And (c) in relation to the clear comprehension of the perfected [nature] and its realization as attainment. For the perfected should be clearly comprehended as being characterized by both the exemption from existence and non-existence and as the turning about of the

⁷⁷ Ms.(37a.6): -śāntir iti malaśānti- but Y's emendation to -śāntis tathatety ucyate is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.4 p.121.

⁷⁸ Read: niruddhimātram as per Ms.(37a.7) in place of niruddhamātram.

⁷⁹ Ms.(37a.7): pratisamkhyānirodho nirodhyate 'syām, but Y's emendation to nirodhaḥ / nirodhyate is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.1 p.122.

⁸⁰ Read: trividhe mülatattve as per Ms.(37b.1) and supported by Bhasya N40.20 in place of trividhamülatattve.

⁸¹ Read: pariifiāvām [prahāne ca] in place of pariifiānam [prahānam ca]; cf. Bhāsya N40.21.

⁸² Read: vastvātmatvāt in place of bhāvātmatvāt; Tib. drios po'i bdag fiid yin pas (D249a.1); cf. Y121.3 (= D248a.3ff).

basis 83. Since the turning about of the basis is to be realized as the liberated Dharma Body, emptiness should be clearly comprehended. Cessation should be realized through the realization as attainment. Others believe that there are two aspects to both [clear comprehension and realization] because clear comprehension is twofold; (a) clear comprehension as knowledge and (b) clear comprehension as renunciation⁸⁴. Therein, the first and the third [natures] are to be clearly comprehended through clear comprehension as knowledge but the second [nature] should be clearly comprehended as both, Realization also is twofold: (a) realization as knowledge and (b) realization as attainment. Therein, although all three [natures] are to be realized through realization as knowledge only the third is [to be realized] through realization as attainment. Thus it should be understood that the establishment of the truth of the path in this, i.e. within basic reality, is in relation to clear comprehension, renunciation and realization⁸⁵; but not because it is included therein fi.e. within basic reality]. In this [section] the following are revealed through the four truths; (a) defilement, (b) that from which it originates, (c) the purification of these two and (d) that from which this originates. However, their sequential order is in accordance with one's intuitive understanding.

5. Gross and Subtle Reality.

N41.7 The reality of the gross and the subtle refers to the conventional and absolute truth. How should this be understood in relation to basic reality?

III.10 bc

Y123

The gross [should be understood] by way of: (a) designation, (b) knowledge and (c) utterance;

For the conventional is threefold: (a) conventional as designation, (b) conventional as knowledge and (c) conventional as utterance. Through these [three], the conventional truth should be known in relation to [the threefold] basic reality, respectively.

III.10 d

However, the absolute [should be understood] by way of the one.

⁸³ Read: -lakṣaṇataḥ parijiteya 3śrayaparivṛṭṭitaś ca in place of -lakṣaṇataḥ parijiteya 3śrayaparā-vṛṭṭitayāś ca; Ms. (37b.3): -lakṣaṇamataḥ parijiteyaḥ / 3śrayapari-. Tib. (D209a.2): mtshan fiid dan gana gyur pa fiid du yots su śes par bya.

⁸⁴ Ms.(37b.4): jilānaparijilā prahā paparijilā ca; disregard Y's fn.4 p.122.

⁸⁵ Read: parijilaprahāŋasākṣāticriyāyām mārgasatyavyavasthānam iti in place of parijilānaprahāṇasākṣāticaraṇair mārgasatyam vyavasthāpitam; cf. Bhāsya N41,3,

The absolute truth is to be understood as being due to the one, i.e. due only to the perfected nature. But how can that be absolute?

III.11 ab

Because the absolute is considered as threefold, i.e. by way of: (a) object, (b) attainment and (c) spiritual practice:

(a) The absolute as object is thusness, considering that it is the object of the highest direct intuition. (b) The absolute as attainment is nirvana, considering that it is the highest object. (c) The absolute as spiritual practice is the path, considering that the highest is its object.

How can both the unconditioned and the conditioned be described as the perfected nature?

III.11 cd

The two [are considered] by way of perfection that is unchanging and free from erroneous inversion.

The unconditioned is perfected insofar as it is [equivalent to] unchanging perfection. The conditioned, which is incorporated in the truth 86 of the path, [is perfected] insofar as it is [equivalent to] perfection free from erroneous inversions because of the absence of erroneous inversion in regard to the entity that is the object of knowledge.

[Sthiramati]

11 The reality of the gross and the subtle 12; gross reality refers to conventional truth; subtle reality refers to absolute truth. Since, at the beginning, [the bodhisattva] brings beings to maturity by means of the gross but liberates those who have reached maturity by means of the subtle, the gross is [explained] prior to the subtle which follows. This statement is contradictory to the congruity of a verse [i.e. III.1d]. Therein conventional truth refers to gross reality because it is the domain of non-concentrated knowledge; absolute truth refers to subtle reality because it is the domain of concentrated knowledge. Alternatively, [the former] refers to the gross because it is the domain of consciousness and [the latter] refers to the subtle because it is the domain of direct intuition. Since it has been asserted that all the realities are intended as being included within basic reality, he asks: how should this be understood in relation to basic reality? Hence he says:

N42

⁸⁶ sarya is omitted from the Tib. (cf.D12b.2).

⁸⁷ Read: audārikasūkṣmatattvam punar iti in place of audārikam tattvam sūkṣmam ceti; Ms. (37b.6): audārikasū-. Cf. Bhāṣya N41.7.

[2]

III.10 bc

The gross [should be understood] by way of: (a) designation, (b) know-ledge and (c) utterance⁸⁸;

In relation to the basic reality, the gross reality is to be understood by way of designation, knowledge and utterance⁸⁹. In order to clearly illustrate just this, he says: for the conventional is threefold. Respectively determining the form of a non-existing object, i.e. calling it a water-pitcher or a cloth⁹⁰ is (a) the conventional as designation. Others believe that the conventional as designation refers to the articulation by way of the name as distinct from the essential nature of form, sensation and conceptualization etc. Others believe that the conventional as designation is that which is conventionally expressed as form or sensation, depending upon verbal articulation. It is the imaginary nature because, like mind and the mental concomitants⁹¹, it is non-existent.

- [3] (b) The conventional as knowledge. The conventional as knowledge refers to that 92 conceptual differentiation on account of which one becomes notionally attached to forms etc. and water pitchers etc. 93 in accordance with their respective determination as having the nature of entities as if they were external realities, although they are not external to the appearances in consciousness.
- [4] And (c) the conventional as utterance. The word "and" has a conjunctive sense. The conventional as utterance refers to the demonstration of the perfected [nature] through its synonyms such as emptiness, thusness, the possession of stain and stainlessness etc. 94, although it transcends conceptual differentiation and verbal description.
- [5] The conventional truth should be known in relation to the [threefold] basic reality, respectively, through these three aspects of the conventional. The "conventional" refers to the conventional [linguistic] usage. "Designation" refers to verbal expression. The conventional as designation refers to the conventional

⁸⁸ Read: prajfaptipratipattitas /
tathodbhavanayodaran /
in place of udāram udbhāvanaivam /, prajfaptih pratipattitā /

⁸⁹ Read: praifiaptitah pratipattita udbhāvanayā cāudārikatatīvam in place of praifiaptih pratipattir udbhāvanā cāudārikatatīvam; Ms.(38a.2): -h pratipattita udbhāvanayā cāudārikatvam.

⁹⁰ Ms.(38a.2): ghapa iti, but Y's emendation to ghapah papas ceti is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.1 p.124.

⁹¹ Ms.(38a.3): caittadasatvit, but Y's emendation to cittacaittavad asattvit is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.2 p.124.

⁹² Read perhaps: vikalpyah as per Ms.(38a.4), however Y's reading of vikalpah is supported by the Tib.: mam par rtog pa.

⁹³ Read: rūpādīn ghaṭādīṃś ca in place of rūpādayo ghaṭādayaś ca.

⁹⁴ Read: ś@nyatātathatāsamalanirmalety evam ādibhih paryāyaih in place of ś@nyatātathatāsamalanirmalādibhih paryāyaih; Ms.(38a.5): ś@nyatātathatāsamalānirmalety evam ādibhih paryāyaib.

[linguistic] usage through verbal expression alone in the absence of an object; therefore, the conventional truth is imaginary since it consists in the conventional as designation because the object does not exist. "Knowledge" refers to the notional attachment to an object although it does not exist. The conventional usage that results from that [knowledge] is the conventional as knowledge; furthermore, this refers to the conceptual differentiation that pertains to notional attachment. Therefore, the conventional truth consists in the other-dependent as it consists in the conventional by way of knowledge. "Utterance" is that which [provides] a clear indication of the dharmadhātu - which is inexpressible - through words such as 'thusness'. The conventional usage in regard to the dharmadhātu by means of that [utterance] is the conventional as utterance. Hence, conventional truth consists in the perfected [nature] since it consists in the conventional as utterance. Of these, the conventional as designation and utterance should be understood as respective determinations [that occur] as comprised by the circumstances but not in terms of own-being. Conventional truth has now been described.

[6] Now the absolute truth should be mentioned, hence he says:

III.10 d

However, the absolute [should be understood] by way of the one.

For it is impossible that the absolute can belong to the imaginary and other-dependent [natures]; however, absolute truth is to be understood as being due to the one, i.e. due to just the perfected nature⁹⁵. But, for what reason is that perfected [nature] described⁹⁶ as absolute? Hence he says:

171

III.11 ab

Because the absolute is considered as threefold, i.e. by way of: (a) object, (b) attainment and (c) spiritual practice⁹⁷;

For the absolute is threefold: (a) the absolute as object, (b) the absolute as attainment and (c) the absolute as spiritual practice⁹⁸. Of these, (a) the absolute as object is thusness, for the supramundane direct intuition is highest; thusness is described as the highest object (paramārtha) considering that⁹⁹ it is the object (artha) or [sense] object (viṣaya) of that [direct intuition], just like an object of the sense faculties. In order to demonstrate this, he says: considering that it is the object of the highest direct intuition. What is meant is: because it is determined as being an

⁹⁵ Read: ekasmāt parinispannād eva svabhāvād veditavyam in place of ekatah parinispannasvabhāvo veditavyam; cf. Bhāsya N41.15.

⁹⁶ Ms.(38b.2): ucvata: disregard Y's fn.2 p.125.

⁹⁷ Read: -prapatty# as per Ms.(38b,2) and Bhasya (N41.17) in place of -prayaty#.

⁹⁸ Read: pratipattiparamārthas in place of prayatiparamārthas; cf. ibid.

⁹⁹ Ms.(38b.2): iti kṛtvā; disregard Y's fn.4 p.125.

objective support. [However], since it is not something that is separate from direct intuition, at the time [of being intuited] it does not become an objective support, like the appearances as representation-only; for example, apart from their mere representation as form etc., the appearances as form etc. do not exist for those [objects] for which representation-only is allowed¹⁰⁰.

- [8] (b) The absolute as attainment is nirvapa; thusness which is absolutely devoid of stain is the characteristic of the turning about of the basis. How can this be the absolute? Hence he says: considering that it is the highest object¹⁰¹, i.e. considering that it is both an object (artha) and is the highest (parama), it is the highest object (paramārtha). It is the highest in this regard because it is foremost among the unconditioned and conditioned dharmas; it is an object in the sense that it is the aim of the path. For thus, the attainment of nirvapa is the aim of the path but nirvapa is the object [i.e. the aim] because it is free from all harmful faults since that which is accompanied by fault is described as a non-object.
- [9] (c) The absolute as spiritual practice is the path; why is this? Considering that the highest is its object; object (artha) here means [sense]-object (visaya) or aim (prayojana)¹⁰²; the object is thusness, the aim is nirvāṇa. [Objection]: If it is described as the highest ¹⁰³ on account of the object, would there not be a reciprocal dependence [i.e. the path is highest on account of the object and the object is highest on account of the path]? [Response]: If there is reciprocal dependence ¹⁰⁴, what fault is there? [Objection]: there can be no positive determination. [Response]: This will not be so because there is reciprocal dependence as in the case of a lion and a forest ¹⁰⁵.
- [10] How can both the unconditioned, namely nirvana, and the conditioned, namely the path, be described as the perfected nature? 106 For absolute truth has been explained as the perfected nature, however, it is not tenable that the path be perfected considering that it has no non-existent previous and subsequent portions. Therefore, the path is not [equivalent to] absolute truth and if it is not perfected it cannot be included within absolute truth. Hence he says:

¹⁰⁰ Ms.(38b.4): kşamate; disregard Y's fn.5 p.125.

¹⁰¹ Read: paramo 'syārtha iti kṛtvā in place of arthasya parama iti kṛtvā; cf. Bhāṣya N41.21.

¹⁰² Ms.(38b.6): visayah prayojanam värthah; disregard Y's fn.1 p.126.

¹⁰³ Read perhaps: parama ucyamāne in place of parama ucyamānaḥ; Tib. dam pa žes bya na (D250b.4).

¹⁰⁴ Read perhaps: anyonyasamanthane sati in place of anyonyasamanthanam sat; Tib. gcig la gcig brien par gyur na (D250b.5).

¹⁰⁵ This maxim applies to things which mutually aid or protect each other; it is explained (as vanasimhanyāyah) in Colonel G.A.Jacob: A Handful of Popular Maxims, Delhi: Nirājanā; first printed in three parts: 1900-1904, reprint: 1983. Cf. p.73.

¹⁰⁶ Tib. is slightly different: the words nirvānākhyam and mārgākhyam are omitted and this quotation from the Bhāsya is found at the very end of the paragraph; cf. Tib. Tikā: D250b.5.

III.11 cd

Y127

The two [are considered] by way of perfection that is both unchanging and free from erroneous inversion 107.

An alternate [objection]: how can the unconditioned, namely nirvana, and the conditioned, namely the path, be described as the perfected nature? For the perfected refers to thusness and since it is devoid of the characteristic of the duality that pertains to the other-dependent, the condition of perfection on the part of both nirvana and the path is not tenable. [Response]: this objection is not tenable 108 since the non-existence of the duality therein refers to the perfected, however, it is only the non-existence of the duality that is [referred to as] the perfected, thus what is other than this is not refuted. And hence the two perfected [natures] other than this which comprise the absence of change and the absence of erroneous inversion are also referred to as perfected. The unconditioned refers to nirvana and thusness. The unconditioned is perfected insofar as it is [equivalent to] unchanging perfection because it cannot be otherwise. The conditioned, which is incorporated in the truth of the path and not elsewhere, is perfected insofar as it is [equivalent to] perfection free from erroneous inversion. As to why this is so, he says: because of the absence of erroneous inversion in regard to the entity that is the object of knowledge: i.e. since erroneous inversion never occurs in regard to the object of knowledge. Therefore, the path is also perfected and consequently, due to the differences in intended meaning, [the assertion] that the perfected [nature] pertains to both the conditioned and the unconditioned is not contradictory.

6. The Well Established Reality.

N42.3 How is the well established reality respectively determined in relation to basic reality? Well established reality is twofold: (a) that which is generally established and (b) that which is established through reasoning. In this respect:

III.12 a That which is generally established results from the one:

It results from the imaginary nature. There is a similarity in the perspective of all worldlings insofar as their intellects enter into familiarity

¹⁰⁷ Read: nirvikārāviparyāsaparinispattito in place of ananyathāviparyāsaparinispattito; cf. Bhāṣya N41 22.

¹⁰⁸ Read: tad idam acodyayogyam in place of tad idam anupālambhayogyam; Ms.(39a.2): tad idam aco-

with conventional symbols in regard to entities; thus [they share beliefs | such as: "this is definitely earth and not fire, and this is definitely form and not sound", 109

III 12 h That which is established through reasoning results from the three;

Based upon the three means of valid knowledge, an entity is established through reasoning which consists in the proof of logical possibility by those who are wise, i.e. learned in argumentation, and the dialecticians, [i.e. the examiners]110.

[Sthiramati]

Y128

109

[1] In this respect111: Y127.9

III.12 a

That which is generally established results from the one 112:

It results just from the imaginary [nature]113 because of the impossibility [of its establishment] in accordance with verbal description since it does not result from something other. However, its essential nature is not known, hence he says; in regard to entities etc.; the conventional symbol refers to knowledge which connects the name and the possessor of the name. Pamiliarity...; this refers to the. endeayour and perspective that is repeatedly oriented towards that [conventional symbol 114. Insofar as their irtellects enter into the latter two 115; just what does this entry into familiarity with conventional symbols by the intellect refer to? It refers to memory. This is what is being said: there is a similarity in the perspective of all worldlings, i.e. those who know the treatises and those who do not, in regard to things that are conventionally expressed, insofar as their intellect is in conformity with their memory which has familiarity with the conventional symbol. Thus [they share beliefs] such as: "this is definitely earth...116" This

An almost identical passage is found in BB (Dutt 25.5ff.).

¹¹⁰ Tib.(D12b.4) inserts mimamsakanam (spyod yul can dag) which is not found in the Sanskrit Bhasya; cf. N's fn.2 p.42.

As noted by Y (cf. his fn.1 p.127), the first portion of the Bhāsya (i.e. prasiddhatattvam mūlatattve katham vyavasthāpyate / dvividham hi prasiddhatattvam / lokaprasiddham yuktiprasiddham ca) has not been glossed by Sthiramati which could indicate that a portion of 111 the Tika is missing.

¹¹² Read: ekasmād as per Ms.(39a.5) in place of ekasyād.

¹¹³ Tib. inserts no bo fiid (D251a.5) as does Bhasya; cf. N42.6.

Read: tatra punah punah prayogadaráanam in place of tadvāramvāram prayogadaráanam; Tib. de la yan dan yan du sbyor zin ita ba (D251a.6). 114

¹¹⁵ Read: tatrānupravistayā in place of tatrānupravešayā; cf. Bhāsya N42.6.

¹¹⁶ Read: vysvahrtavastuni kasmims cid daršanatulvatā bhavati prthivy eveyam itvādīty in place of vyavahrtabhāve kva cid iyam bhūmir ityādidaršanam samānam iti; Tib. tha shad du brtags pa'i dros po gan la la 'di ni sa yin no zes bya ba la sogs par lta ba mthun pa yin no zes (D251a.7). Cf. Bhaşya N42.6.

is the generally established 117 reality considering that it is just thus as it is generally established.

[2]

III,12 b

That which is established through reasoning results from the three;

Since reasoning 118 is carried out in regard to the threefold natures, the reality that is well established through reasoning is respectively determined through the three natures. In order to demonstrate this since it is not known, he says: that ...by those who are wise, i.e. learned in argumentation... Therein, those who are wise refers to those who are learned in argumentation 119. The dialecticians refers to the examiners; after thinking about the explanation of the former [i.e. those who are learned in argumentation], the latter term [i.e. dialecticians] is stated¹²⁰. Alternatively, those who are wise are the seekers for what is wholesome. Those who are learned in argumentation are those whose skill is complete in regard to the non-contradictory meaning of the four types of reasoning which consist in: (a) consideration, (b) cause and effect, (c) [proof of] logical possibility and (d) real nature 121. The dialecticians are those who have the capacity for conjecture and exclusion and are the authors of the treatises that are free from erroneous inversion for they are based upon the scriptural statements of the tathagatas. The examiners are learned in their own and other's treatises and are scrutineers of virtues and faults. However, some people do not read "examiners", consequently, they query just who these "wise ones" are when it is said: 'on the part of those who are wise'. Hence 122, [Vasubandhul says: "those who are learned in argumentation". Moreover, the latter are of two types because they can be situated on the level of dialectic or on the level of meditative development; therefore, the dialecticians are specified. Since certain of these people are investigators of what is generally established¹²³, he says: based upon the three means of valid knowledge. What is meant is: since there is no contradiction with the three means of valid knowledge 124. The three means of valid knowledge are: (a) direct perception, (b) inference and (c) scriptural tradition. Direct perception in this context refers to: (a) the

¹¹⁷ Ms.(39a.7): lokanrasiddham: disregard Y's fn.3 p.127.

¹¹⁸ Ms.(39a.7); vuktih; disregard Y's fn.2 p.128.

¹¹⁹ Ms.(39b.1) erroneously inserts the following statement here: tatra santo ye yuktārthapanditānām ityādi.

¹²⁰ Y's reconstruction of pūrvasya vyākhyānā(śayasya paścād vacanam uktam...) cannot be correct, however a suitable Sanskrit reconstruction is difficult to formulate. In any case the Tibetan gives a clear enough indication of what is intended: sha ma bšad par bsams (P: bsam) nas tshig phyi ma brjod do (D251b.2-3).

¹²¹ Cf. MSA (B/L161.7) where these four types of reasoning are also discussed.

¹²² ata (Y128.14) is not found in the Ms. and has been inserted on the basis of the Tib. de'i phyir (D251b.5).

¹²³ Read: teşlim ca kecid lokaprasiddhinusirina iti in place of teşlim ca kaścid lokaprasiddhinusarana iti; Tib. de dag las kyań kha cig ni 'jig rten gyi grags pa'i rjes su 'brań bas na (D251b.6).

¹²⁴ Ms.(39b.4): "Ivindhena, but Y's emendation to "Ivindhenety arthah is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.3 p.128.

experiencing of pleasure and pain and the like which arises from the five sense faculties and (b) mental [perception]¹²⁵. Inference refers to the knowledge of the meaning that is to be inferred through the three types of [inferential] mark. 126 Scriptural tradition refers to the words of trustworthy people; moreover, trustworthy people are those who are free from the causes of falsehood. Alternatively, he says: "based upon the three means of valid knowledge" because the probandum that has been established can be proved for others by means of the reason and example established through direct perception, inference and scriptural tradition. An entity is established 127 through reasoning which consists in the proof of logical possibility: logical possibility refers to the three types of [inferential] mark because they are the means of substantiating the probandum. The term: "proof of logical possibility" (upapattisādhana) is a tatpurusa compound. The three types of [inferential] mark are mentioned because the substantiation of that [proof] is on account of these. Reasoning is the means, through the specific formulation of which, all that pertains to the three types of (inferential) mark is stated. Alternatively, the logical possibility is that which is not possible otherwise. The reasoning that consists in its proof refers to the Itrain of thought that connects the component parts of a syllogism - thus is the resolution of the "reasoning that consists in the proof of logical possibility" (upapattisādhanayukti). Alternatively, it may be resolved as: 'the reasoning that consists in the proof is logically possible' (i.e. as a karmadhārya compound). term: "logical possibility" is employed in order to distinguish this from the other reasonings of proof¹²⁸. In this way, based upon the three means of valid knowledge, an entity consisting in either of the three natures which is established through the reasoning of the proof of logical possibility is described as well established through reasoning¹²⁹. The well established reality has now been described.

125

Y129

Ms.(39b.4): mānasatī ca; disregard Y's fn.4 p.128.

The "mark" (litiga) is the 'middle term' which determines the character of a syllogism and also makes the inference valid or invalid. The three types are: (a) positive and negative (anvayavyatireki), (b) purely positive (kovalavyav) and (c) purely negative (kevalavyatireki); cf. Tarka-sangraha of Annambhatta. Poona: Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, 2nd Edition, 1974, pp.40 & 281-89. 126

¹²⁷ Read: prasiddham vastu in place of prasiddhabhāva; cf Bhāsya N42.11.

Read: anyābhyaḥ sādhanayuktibhyo in place of anyasādhanayuktibhyo; Ms. (39b.7): anyābhya-. 128 Tib. sgrub pa'i rigs pa gžan dag las (D252a.4).

Read: yat prasiddham vastu trayanam svabhavanam anyatamat yuktya prasiddham ucyato in place of yab prasiddhabhāvas trayanam svabhāvānam anyatamo yuktiprasiddha ucyate. Tib. gan grags pa'i dhos po do bo fiid gsum gyi nan nas gan yah run ba ste rigs pas grags pa zes bya'o (D252a.4). Cf. Bhāya N42.10 ff. 129

7. The Reality of the Sphere of Purity.

N42.13 There is the reality of the twofold sphere of purity: (a) the sphere of direct intuition for the purification of obscuration that consists in moral defilement and (b) the sphere of direct intuition for the purification of obscuration that consists in the knowable. This [reality] is:

III.12 cd A twofold sphere of purity; it is proclaimed as being due just to the one.

It is due just to the perfected nature for the other natures do not belong to the sphere of the two pure direct intuitions.

[Sthiramati]

- Y129.10 [1] Since the domain of purity should be mentioned immediately following the latter [i.e. the well established reality], he says; there is the twofold reality of the sphere of purity. Now, in order to demonstrate this twofold mode, he says: (a) the sphere of direct intuition for the purification of obscuration that consists in moral defilement and (b) the sphere of direct intuition for the purification of obscuration that consists in the knowable. cation of obscuration that consists in moral defilement is sequivalent to the relinquishment of obscuration that consists in moral defilement¹³⁰. kleśāvaranaviśuddhijāāna may be interpreted either as [a dative or locative tatpuruşa compound, i.e.; I the direct intuition; (a) leading to the purification of obscuration consisting in moral defilement, or (b) in regard to the purification of obscuration consisting in moral defilement. What is meant is [the direct intuition]; (a) that has the purification of obscuration consisting in moral defilement for its aim, or (b) that causes that [purification]. The words: "the sphere of that direct intuition for the purification of obscuration consisting in moral defilement" refer to [direct intuition which has the purification of that for its object. 131 The sphere of direct intuition for the purification of obscuration that consists in the knowable should also be described in this way.
 - [2] Of these, obscuration that consists in moral defilement refers to all moral defilements and secondary defilements that are to be relinquished by the paths¹³² of vision and meditative development. Hence the domain of the direct intuition in regard to vision, meditative development and what relates to these two which is the reality of the śrāvakas and pratyekabuddhas¹³³ is described as the truth of suffering, its origination,

¹³⁰ Ms.(40a) line 2 begins: -varapaprahāpam although Y's reading (p.129.13) would indicate that these two words are fully reconstructed.

¹³¹ Read: vişayam in place of vişaya.

¹³² Ms.(40a.3): marga; disregard Y's fn.4 p.129.

¹³³ Ms.(40a.3): pratyekabuddhānām; disregard Y's fn.5 p.129.

its cessation and the path [to the latter]. This is the sphere of the direct intuition for the purification of obscuration that consists in moral defilement. The knowable refers to that which is to be known and this, collectively, comprises the five branches of learning. These are stated as the learning in regard to: (a) subjectivity, (b) grammatical analysis, (c) logic, (d) medicine and (e) all arts and crafts. The obscuration that consists in the knowable refers to undefiled nescience which is an impediment to direct intuition in regard to these. The purification of that is [the knowledge] comprised in the spiritual level that is [equivalent to] the course of firm conviction which conforms with supramundane direct intuition and [the knowledge] comprised in the eleven spiritual levels beginning with the 'Joyous'. Moreover, [this purification] refers to the knowledge that is attained subsequently to that [knowledge in the eleven levels]. This is present within the mental continuum of the bodhisattvas and tathagatas, and, since its objective support is thusness because this has the sense of being all-pervading etc., the reality of the sphere of direct intuition for the purification of obscuration that consists in the knowable is [equivalent to] thusness. [Objection]: Since the twofold sphere of purity has already been explained by way of the description of both the reality of the cause and result and the reality of the subtle, is it not so that their enumeration again here is unnecessary? [Response]: It is not unnecessary because the reality of the cause and result and of the subtle were formerly mentioned in order to define them as entities. However, in this context, it concerns the mode in which obscuration is purified, hence, this [reality] is explained.

[3] This twofold sphere of purity is proclaimed as being due to just the one, i.e. it is due just to the perfected nature. Here now he provides the reason: for the other natures do not belong to the sphere of the [two]¹³⁴ pure direct intuitions¹³⁵. What is the reason? Because unreal imagination [which is equivalent to the other-dependent nature] is stained on account of its possession of the two stains that comprise disquiet, and the imaginary [nature] does not exist. However, since real nature is the domain of the twofold direct intuition, the reality of the domain of purity 136 is determined as being just due to the perfected [nature]. The reality of the sphere of purity has now been described.

¹³⁴ dvaya is omitted from the Ms.(cf. 40a.7) but is found in the Tib. Tika (D252b.7) and in the Bhasya (N42.17).

¹³⁵ Bhāsya is slightly different: na hy anyasvabhāvo viáuddhijdānadvayagocaro bhavati (N42.16 ff.).

¹³⁶ Ms.(40b.1): -ddhivisayatattvanr, disregard Y's fn.4 p.130.

8. The Inclusion Reality.

N42.19 How should the inclusion reality be understood in relation to the threefold basic reality?

III.13 ab

There is the inclusion of: (a) the causal-sign, (b) conceptual differentiation and (c) the name, within the two;

From the point of view of the five categories, according to which is appropriate, there is the inclusion of the causal-sign and conceptual differentiation by way of the other-dependent [nature] and [the inclusion] of name by way of the imaginary [nature].

III.13 cd

N43

There is the inclusion of correct direct intuition and true reality by way of the one.

There is the inclusion of thusness and correct direct intuition by way of the perfected nature.

[Sthiramati]

Y131 [1] However, since the inclusion reality is to be mentioned immediately following the latter [i.e. the reality of the sphere of purity], he asks: how should the inclusion reality be understood in relation to the threefold 137 basic reality? In order to demonstrate that the inclusion reality is included within the threefold basic reality, he says:

III.13 ab

There is the inclusion of: (a) the causal-sign, (b) conceptual differentiation and (c) the name, within the two;

These are included within the two: (a) the other-dependent and (b) the imaginary [natures]. Therein, the inclusion reality comprises five categories, hence he says: from the point of view of the five categories, according to which is appropriate...¹³⁸ Five categories should be understood as being included within basic reality, according to which is appropriate, but not according to sequential order. Now, the five categories are: (a) the causal-sign, (b) the name, (c) conceptual differentiation, (d) thus-

¹³⁷ Ms.(40b.1): trividhe; disregard Y's fn.1 p.131.

¹³⁸ In the Ms. (40b.2) this passage reads as follows: tatra samgrahatattvam paticavastinity ata tha yathäyogan paticavastiny trabhyeti, however the Tib. has simply: de la bsdu ba'i de kho na ci rigs su sbyar ro zes bya ba ni (D253a.3).

ness and (e) correct direct intuition. These five categories are described as the inclusion reality because all that is knowable is included within these five categories. In this respect, some believe that the causal-sign refers to the store-consciousness, defiled mind and the actual consciousnesses. It is [described as] the "causal-sign" because it exists as a reciprocal cause. The "name" is an expression or indication of just that causal-sign although it is inexpressible, like [a gesture such as] the winking of the eye. "Conceptual differentiation" refers to mind and the mental concomitants associated with all the three realms [of existence] which consist in conceptual differentiation, as both own-being and particular, of the causal-sign as has just been described. "Thusness" refers to emptiness. "Correct direct intuition" consists in the supramundane and has thusness for its objective support. Now, as to their sequential order: the causal-sign comes first therein because it is the foundation for the designation as the defilement; then comes the name because it is designated as the defilement; then comes conceptual differentiation because as soon as it has a name, then conceptual differentiation (occurs) in regard to that entity designated as a defilement: then comes thusness and correct direct intuition because that defilement is checked on account of thusness and correct direct intuition. Others believe that the meaning of these five categories [is evident] in this verse:

Error is the cause of error, the cause of that is disquiet. Therefore, the cause of the latter is error¹³⁹. But knowledge in this regard¹⁴⁰ is intrinsically tranquil.

Therein, the cause of error¹⁴¹, which is incorporated in the internal consciousnesses of sight etc., is external and internal error which are incorporated in form and the like and sight and the like. Furthermore, the cause of this external and internal error¹⁴² is disquiet and this is a latent impression of the store-consciousness. The cause of this latent impression¹⁴³ is none other than the external and internal error. As a consequence, verbal expression in regard to it manifests and it is due to verbal expression that the latent impression is lodged in the store-consciousness. The term "intrinsically tranquil" refers to thusness because the dharmadhātu is intrinsically undefiled¹⁴⁴. The

¹³⁹ Read: bhrānti in place of bhānti.

¹⁴⁰ Ms.(40b.6): tatra; disregard Y's fn.1 p.132.

¹⁴¹ Ms.(40b.7): bhränter nimittam; disregard Y's fn.2 p.132.

¹⁴² Read: tasyā punas tu bāhyādhyātmikāyā in place of tasyā bāhyādhyātmikāyā; Ms.(40b.7) substantiates this reading although it is partially illegible. Disregard Y's fn.4 p.132.

Ms.(41a.1): tasy# a-, but Y's emendation to tasy# v#(san#y...) is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.5 p.132.

¹⁴⁴ Read: prakryssamklistativad on the basis of the Tib. in place of prakrysklistativad which is also not supported by the Ms.(41a.1); the Tib. is slightly different: "The term "intrinsically tranquil" refers to thusness, i.e. to the dharmadhau, because it is intrinsically undefiled", rat bžin gyis žib ažes bya ba ni de bžin fild de / rati bžin gyis zib ažes bya ba ni de bžin fild de / rati bžin gyis kun nas iton mods pa med pa'i phyir chos kyi dbyitis (D253b.4).

words "...but knowledge in this regard", refer to that direct intuition included in the path which has thusness for its objective support.

[2] Of these, there is the inclusion of the causal-sign and conceptual differentiation by way of the other-dependent [nature]¹⁴⁵, because they are produced through causes and conditions, and [the inclusion] of the name by way of the imaginary [nature]. The name is included by way of the imaginary [nature] because no object exists in keeping with its name; thus, by connection, there is inclusion of name by way of the imaginary [nature] but its inclusion is not by way of own-being. And if it is said that the imaginary nature in not included in the five categories beginning with the causal-sign because it is established as being devoid of own-being, such a statement is not contradicted because in that context there is the desire to state inclusion in terms of own-being, 146

[3]

Y133 III.13 cd

N43.5

[There is the inclusion] of correct direct intuition and true reality etc.

Reality that is eternal or pure is true reality 147, i.e. thusness. Correct direct intuition refers to: (a) the direct intuition that has emptiness for its objective support and is free from the duality and (b) the purified mundane [knowledge] that is attained subsequently to the latter. I.e. there is the inclusion of thusness and correct direct intuition by way of just the one, i.e. the perfected nature because it is perfected on account of its perfection that is respectively without change and is free from erroneous inversion. The inclusion reality has now been described.

9. The Reality of Differentiation.

How should the reality of differentiation be understood in relation to basic reality? The reality of differentiation is sevenfold: (a) the reality of continuance, (b) the reality of characteristic, (c) the reality of representation, (d) the reality of arrangement, (e) the reality of wrong spiri-

¹⁴⁵ Read: nimittavikalpayoh paratantrena samgrahah in place of nimittavikalpadvayasya paratantrena samgrhitam; cf. Bhäsya N42.21.

¹⁴⁶ This passage evidently aims to reconcile the differing views held by the various Yogacara schools concerning the relationship of the five vastu with the three svabhāva. For example, the Yogacarabhtmi-vinicayasamgrahant (D22b.2) assers that none of the five vastu are included in the parikalpita svabhāva, i.e. the first four are included in the paratantra and only tathatā is parinispanna. Cf. JD.Willis: A Study of the Chapter on Reality... (pp.75-83) for a discussion on this matter. The Lankavatāra Sūtra (Nanjio p.227.11) on the other hand apportions both nāma and nimita to the parikapina, vikalps to the paratantra and samyagitāna and tathatā to the parinispanna. Cf. also Siddhi (p.537 ff.) where the five vastu and three svabhāva are discussed in the context of the nimitathāga/ darianabhāga dichotomy.

¹⁴⁷ Tib. omits satattvam; cf. D253b.7.

tual practice, (f) the reality of purification and (g) the reality of correct spiritual practice. ¹⁴⁸ And these seven have been explained in the Sandhinirmocana Sutra as [the sevenfold] thusness ¹⁴⁹. Of these:

III.14 a The reality of continuance is twofold;

Basic reality should be understood as 'being characterized by the imaginary and other-dependent [natures]. Inasmuch as it is the reality of continuance, similarly it is:

III.14 b Arrangement and wrong course;

The realities of arrangement and wrong spiritual practice should be understood¹⁵⁰ similarly as comprising two [of the three] kinds of basic reality.

III.14 cd The one consists in: (a) the characteristic, (b) the representation, (c) purification and (d) correct spiritual practice.

The four realities beginning with that of the characteristic consist in the one basic reality which is characterized by the perfected.

[Sthiramati]

Y133.8 [1] Now151, the variety [of the realities] that are found in relation to the reality of differentiation and the way they are incorporated within basic reality is not known, hence he asks: how should the reality of differentiation be understood in relation to basic reality? Hence he replies: the reality of differentiation is sevenfold. As to which these are, he says: (a) the reality of continuance, (b) the reality of characteristic etc. The seven types of thusness described in the Sandhinirmocana Sūtra, beginning with the thusness of continuance and concluding with the thusness of correct spiritual practice are [equivalent to] these described here as the sevenfold reality of differentiation, beginning with the reality of continuance and concluding with the reality of correct spiritual practice. Therein, the reality of continuance refers to the beginningless and endless nature of samsāra; and this is also

¹⁴⁸ The Sanskrit text of the Bhāsya includes a passage of text here which is partly incoherent and does not appear relevant to the context. It is not found in the Tibetan or Chinese versions, nor is it acknowledged in the Tita, hence it has been omitted from the translation. It reads as follows: texts prarytitatav&dintriolham / anavarāgrays eti sanpārah tathæticitasanµklešīt sattvāh sanµklišyanta iti sarvan duhkhādisatyan ca yathāsanµkhyan. Cf. N's fn.4 p.43.

¹⁴⁹ Cf. SN L99 & 219: #20.2. Cf. also MSA XIX.44 (comm.) which follows the SN description quite closely and also describes them as seven types of tathatil.

¹⁵⁰ Tib. inserts veditavyam (rig par bya) which is not found in the Sanskrit; (cf. D13a.4).

¹⁵¹ Ms.(41a,4); idin-; disregard Y's fn.3 p.133.

[equivalent to] the thusness of continuance because by no means does [samsāra] have a beginning. The reality of characteristic is fequivalent to the insubstantiality of the personal entity and the dharmas; and since it is not something other this is also fequivalent to the thusness of characteristic 152. (c) The reality of representation is [equivalent to] the state of mere-representation of the dharmas. Others believe that the reality of representation refers to the direct intuition devoid of conceptual differentiation because this is the basis of representation 153. And since the reality of representation is not something other, it is also described as the thusness of representation. (d) The reality of arrangement is [equivalent to] the truth of suffering because the formative forces are a.g. aged (i.e. established) in suffering; and this is fequivalent tol thusness considering that it is eternally just thus. (e) The reality of wrong spiritual practice is fequivalent to the truth of origination and this refers to the continuance that is on account of the perception of virtue in regard to those formative forces. (f) The reality of purification is (equivalent to) the purification of obscuration that consists in moral defilement 154 and this refers to thusness and the truth of cessation. Others believe that the nature of the turning about of the basis, i.e. the nature of cessation 155, is sequivalent to the truth of cessation. (g) The reality of correct spiritual practice is fequivalent to the truth of the path. Others believe that the reality of representation 156 is a fundamental application and treat the reality of correct spiritual practice and the reality of representation are fequivalent tol just the truth of the path. Moreover, since these three realities are eternally just thus, they are described as thusness.

[2] Of these:

III.14 a

The reality of continuance is twefold:

Basic reality¹⁵⁷ should be understood as being characterized by the imaginary and the other-dependent [natures]. Continuance therein refers to the continuity of creatures who have no beginning, and since this [continuity] does not exist, it consists in the imaginary nature. However, these [creatures] consist in the other-dependent nature because their continuity is constituted by causes. In this way, both the continuance and those for whom there is continuance are explained as the

¹⁵² Read: ananyaŭ:litvit ca tud eva lakṣaṇatathati in place of ananyathitvit cz. irvidhalakṣaṇatathati which is not substantiated by the Mis, (41a.7) nor by the Tib. which reads: gian du mi gyur pa'i phyir de did mahan fid kyi de bim fid (17254a.4).

¹⁵³ The Tib. is slightly different: "...the reality of representation refers to direct intuition devoid of conceptual differentiation or the basis of representation"; mam par mi rtug pa'i ye ses sam / mam par rig pa'i gnas 'di ni mam par rig pa'i de kho na (D254a.5).

¹⁵⁴ Ms.(41b.1): kleśajdey#va(rapa) but jdeya is not found in the Tib. (cf. D254a.7).

¹⁵⁵ nirodhātmatā is not found in D (cf. D254a.7).

¹⁵⁶ vijdaptitativam is not found in the Ms.(41b.2) and has been inserted on the basis of the Tib. (cf. D254b.1).

¹⁵⁷ Read: miliatattvam in place of miliatattve; cf. Bhasva N43.13.

reality of continuance. Alternatively, the beginningless production of moral defilement, karma and rebirth is described as the continuance of samsāra and this is characterized by notional attachment to the imaginary nature, hence, the reality of the twofold continuance is described as basic reality.

[3] Similarly it is:

III.14 b Arrangement and wrong course¹⁵⁸;

Wrong course is [equivalent to] wrong spiritual practice; these [should be understood] similarly as comprising two [of the three] kinds of basic reality. Since suffering and its origination are constituted by causes and conditions and because they have the imaginary [nature] for their object, the realities of arrangement and wrong spiritual practice are incorporated in these two [of the threefold basic realities].

[4]

. Y135

III 14 cd

The one consists in: (a) the characteristic, (b) the representation, (c) purification and (d) correct spiritual practice¹⁵⁹.

[The one consists in] the reality of characteristic, the reality of representation, the reality of purification and the reality of correct spiritual practice. These four realities consist in just the one basic reality, namely, the perfected nature. Therein, the reality of characteristic and the reality of purification are perfected insofar as they consist in the perfection 160 that cannot be otherwise. The reality of representation and the reality of correct spiritual practice are perfected insofar as they consist in the perfection that is free from erroneous inversion.

[4] Herein, the sameness of sentient beings is shown through the three realities of continuance, arrangement and wrong spiritual practice. The sameness of the dharmas [is shown] through the two realities of characteristic and representation. The sameness of enlightenment [is shown] through the reality of purification. The sameness of wisdom is demonstrated through the reality of correct spiritual practice. Hence it is said:

The sameness of sentient beings is proclaimed through the three, but the sameness of the *dharmas* through the two. Thus the sameness of enlightenment and wisdom is demonstrated singly.

¹⁵⁸ Read: sanniveśakupannată în place of sanniveśah kupannată; cf. Bhāṣya N43.15.

¹⁵⁹ Read: ekam lakşanavijñaptisuddhisamyakprapannată in place of ekam iakşanavijñaptau śuddhih sampraupannată; cf. Bhāsya N43.18.

¹⁶⁰ Read perhaps: -parinispattyā in place of -parinispannatvāt;Tib. yods su grub pas (D254b.7). Cf. the following sentence.

10. The Reality of the Skills.

N44.2 The reality of the skills is said to act as the counteragent to false views. What are the tenfold false views concerning the self [which manifest] in regard to the aggregates etc.?

III.15 abcd & 16 ab

The false views concerning the self [which manifest] in regard to these [aggregates etc.] are in relation to:
(a) oneness, (b) causality, (c) an experiencer, (d) an agent, (e) independence, (f) sovereignty, (g) permanence, (h) a basis for defilement and purification, (i) a yogi and (j) release and non-release.

There are ten kinds of skill that act as the counteragents to these ten kinds of adherence to the belief in a non-existent self which manifests in regard to the aggregates etc. These [adherences] are: (a) The adherence to the belief in its oneness. (b) The adherence to the belief in its causality. (c) The adherence to the belief in its nature as an experiencer. (d) The adherence to the belief in its nature as an agent. (e) The adherence to the belief in its sovereignty. (g) The adherence to the belief in its permanence. (h) The adherence to the belief in its defilement or purification. (i) The adherence to the belief in its nature as a yogi. (j) The adherence to the belief in its release or non-release.

How can this tenfold reality of skills be included within basic reality? Because the aggregates etc. are included in the three natures. How are they included?

III.16 cd

The former are [included] within the latter by way of: (a) the imaginary, (b) conceptual differentiation and (c) real nature.

Form is threefold: (a) Imaginary form, i.e. the imaginary nature that belongs to form. (b) Conceptually differentiated form, i.e. the other-dependent nature that belongs to form since it is in relation to that [nature] that [an entity] is conceptually differentiated as form. (c) Form as real nature, i.e. the perfected nature that belongs to form. The aggregates, such as sensation, and also the elements and sense-fields

etc. should be construed in the same way as form [has been construed above]. Thus, since the aggregates etc. are included within the three natures the tenfold reality of skills should also be understood in relation to basic reality. Although it has been stated that the skill in the aggregates etc. acts as the counteragent to the ten kinds of false view in regard to the self, the meaning of the aggregates etc. has not been stated. This will now be discussed.

[Sthiramati]

Y135.19 [1] The reality of the skills was listed immediately after the reality of differentiation¹⁶¹, so in order to clearly illustrate this he says: the reality of the skills is said to act as the counteragent to false views¹⁶². Moreover, since it is not known as to how the tenfold false views concerning the self [manifest] in regard to the aggregates etc., he asks: which are the tenfold false views concerning the self [that manifest] in regard to these¹⁶³ [aggregates etc.]? Hence he says:

III.15 abcd & 16 ab

The false views concerning the self [which manifest] in regard to these [aggregates etc.] are in relation to:
(a) oneness, (b) causality, (c) an experiencer, (d) an agent, (e) independence, (f) sovereignty, (g) permanence, (h) a basis for defilement and purification, (i) a yogi and (j) release and non-release. 164

It is the fact that [these false views arise] in regard to the aggregates etc. that is referred to. However, these ten kinds of adherence to the belief in a non-existent self¹⁶⁵...; this says that there is the adherence to the belief in what is non-existent

¹⁶¹ Ms.(42a.1): prabhedatattva-; disregard Y's fn.4 p.135.

¹⁶² Read: kauśalyatattvam darśanapratipaksenety uktam in place of kauśalyatattvam atmadrytipatupaksata cf. Bhāsya N44.2. Y has erroneously taken this passage as a verse which he names III.15ab (cf. his fn.5 p.135). The Tib. also has it in verse form as a duplication of III.2cd, however, in the Sanskrit it is evident that it is intended as a paraphrased version of that verse. Cf. N's fn.1 p.44.

¹⁶³ Read: katham esu as per Ms.(42a.2) in place of katham etad; cf. Bhasya N44.1.

¹⁶⁴ Read: ekahetutvabhoktytvakarytvavašavartane /

³dhipaty3rthanityatve kleśaśuddhy3śraye 'pi ca // yogity3muktamuktatve 3tmadarśanam esu hi /

in place of: ekahetutvabloktytve kartytvavasarane eşu ni / ekahetutvabloktytve kartytvavasavarane / adhipatyarthanityatve klešavišuddhinišrave /

yogyamuktamuktatve eteşu hy Itmadarianam //
Ms.(42a.2): ekahetutvabhoktytvakartytvavaiavartane /

Cf. Bhasya N44.4-6.

because the self does not exist. 166 [Objection]: If the self does not exist how do these adherences which are dependent upon the other, i.e. the apprehended object, manifest, when they are devoid of an object? [Response]: But they are not devoid of an object; they manifest in regard to the aggregates etc. Therefore, it is said that there are ten kinds of skill in regard to the aggregates etc. which act as the counteragents to these 167. How does this adherence [manifest] in regard to just the aggregates etc. although the self cannot be positively determined to exist? Because, when it is closely examined through reasoning, the self that is separate from the aggregates etc. cannot be discerned; indeed all the deeds and essential nature that belong to the self. which those who believe in the self maintain, manifest only in regard to the aggregates etc. Hence it is evident that it is just the aggregates etc. which form the substratum for the adherence to the belief in the self. Since this adherence to the self, on the part of those who are notionally attached to the self168, manifests in these ten forms and not in others, the skills in regard to the aggregates etc. should be understood as the counteragent to all adherence to the belief in the self. These [adherences] are 169: (a) The adherence to the belief in its oneness; this is due to the adherence to the belief in the self as a whole entity among the five aggregates that have been appropriated. The skill in the aggregates acts as the counteragent to this. (b) The adherence to the belief in its causality; the adherence to the belief in causality in regard to the self is because sight and the like promote [such an adherence]. The skill in regard to the elements $(dh\bar{a}tu)^{170}$ acts as the counteragent to this. The adverse [adherence] and its counteragent should be stated similarly in regard to the other [sense faculties]. Alternatively, the adherence to the belief in its causality¹⁷¹ [is also explained as] the notion that everything proceeds from the self: i.e. the adherence to the belief in its causality refers to the volition that consists in the notion that the self is the cause of virtuous and non-virtuous action for which there is an agreeable or disagreeable result in this birth¹⁷². (c) The adherence to the belief in its nature as an experiencer; [the notion that] the self is the agent of experience because it consists in volition, but the 'manifest' and 'unmanifest' [of the Samkhya] 173 is an object of experience because it does not consist in volition. Alternatively, the adherence to the belief in its nature as an experiencer refers to the notion that an internal agent of virtuous and non-

¹⁶⁶ Read: žimano 'bhāvād asadgrāha ity āha as per Ms.(42a.3) in place of žimano 'sattvād asam grāha ity āha.

¹⁶⁷ Read: yasya pratipakşena in place of teşām pratipakşatvena; cf. Bhāşya N44.7.

¹⁶⁸ Read: ātmābhiniveśinām as per Ms.(42a.5) in place of ātmābhiniveśānām; disregard Y's fn.8 p.136.

¹⁶⁹ Read: yad uta in place of tatha hi; cf. Bhasya N44.8.

¹⁷⁰ Ms.(42a.6): dhātukau-; disregard Y's fn.1 p.137.

¹⁷¹ Ms.(42a.7): -tutvagrāhz; disregard Y's fn.2 p.137.

¹⁷² Read: yasya ceştam in place of yasyeştam; Ms.(42a.7): yasya ceştam aniştam ceha janmani phalam tasya subhāšubhasya karmana ātma hetu.......vagrāhah. Disregard Y's fns.3, 4 & 5, p.137.

¹⁷³ Cf, Y's fn.6 p.137.

virtuous actions is the experiencer of the result of those [actions]. (d) The adherence to the belief in its nature as an agent; the belief that the self is the agent¹⁷⁴ of properly performed and wrongly performed actions. Alternatively, the adherence to the belief in its agency refers to the notion that the self possesses instrumentality etc. 175 (e) The adherence to the belief in its independence 176; [the notion that) the self exerts influence of its own accord: i.e. the notion that it is the self that holds sway. Alternatively, the adherence to the belief in its independence refers to the notion that it exerts an influence in the production of the result which is in conformity¹⁷⁷ with the cause. (f) The adherence to the belief in its sovereignty; the notion that the self is the ruler, the master, or the Iśvara. Alternatively, the adherence to the belief in its sovereignty refers to the notion that the self rules over activity that conforms with the result¹⁷⁸. (g) The adherence to the belief in its permanence¹⁷⁹: the notion that, although it is impure in terms of the five entities beginning with cause, the self is definitely permanent, otherwise there would be the imputation of action not performed and the loss of action that has been performed 180 [i.e. if there is no ātman, an action performed by A would be imputed to B and the result of an action performed by A would not be obtained by Al and similarly there would be an absence of memory and recognition. (h) The adherence to the belief in its defilement and purification; because [one would believe that] it is the basis of defilement and purification. (i) The adherence to the belief in its nature as a vogi: voga is the fixation of the mind upon the self or the suppression of the breath and the mind. Yoga is the special union of self with mind - a yogi means one who has it [i.e. yoga]. And (i) the adherence to the belief in its release and non-release; i.e. the conceptual differentiation that the self is liberated from a previous [state of] bondage¹⁸¹.

¹⁷⁴ Read: karteti in place of kartreti; Ms.(42b.1) is not clear but does not support kartıtva as per Bhāşya, cf. N44.9. Tib. byed par (D255b.5).

¹⁷⁵ Adi is omitted from the Tib. (cf. D255b.5).

¹⁷⁶ Read: svatantragrāha in place of svatantratvagrāha; cf. Bhāṣya N44.9.

¹⁷⁷ Tib. omits anurupa; cf. D255b.6.

¹⁷⁸ Ms.(42b.2): phalasyaiva vã 3-, but Y's emendation to phalānurūpasyaiva vā is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.10 p.137.

¹⁷⁹ Read: nityatvagrāha in place of śāśvatatvagrāha; cf. Bhāsya N44.10.

¹⁸⁰ Read: anyathā hy akṛtābhyāgamah kṛtavipranāśaś ca in place of anyathā tv akṛtam abhyāgamah kṛtasya nāśaś ca; Tib. gran du na ma byas pa dan phrad pa dan / byas pa chud zos pa dan (D255b.7). Ms.(42b.3): anyathā hy akṛtābhyāgamah kṛ- On akṛtābhyāgama and kṛtavipranāśa, see Jacques May: Candrakirti Prasannapadā Madyamakavrtti, p.286, fn.1044 and also Walpola Rahula: Compendium... p.32.

¹⁸¹ Ms. (42b.4): Etmano bandha-, but Y's emendation to Etmanah p@rvabandhād is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.3 p.138.

[2] How is this tenfold [reality of]¹⁸² the skills included within basic reality?¹⁸³ Because skill refers to proficiency¹⁸⁴ in regard to the aggregates etc. How can this be included within the three natures? This question is posed by one who thinks this to be impossible¹⁸⁵. Because the aggregates etc. are included in the three natures. The fact that [the aggregates] relate to the domain of the skills is shown by the word "skill", but they do not relate to skill alone; hence the reality of the skills should be understood as being included therein by way of connection, but not by way of own-being. Just as the truth of the path, which consists in the reality of the cause and result, is said to be included within the three [natures]. Although this may be so, it is not known just how they are included, hence it is asked: how are they included?¹⁸⁶ Hence he says:

III.16 cd

The former are [included] within the latter by way of: (a) the imaginary, (b) conceptual differentiation and (c) real nature. 187

It is the fact that the aggregates etc. are included within these three natures that is referred to. In this context, the inclusion of the aggregates is to be elucidated firstly because they were listed prior to the elements etc. and since they pertain to form, he says: form is threefold. How so? As: (a) imaginary form, (b) conceptually differentiated form and (c) form as real nature. What is (a) imaginary form¹⁸⁸ therein? It is the imaginary nature belonging to form; i.e. that which, with the exception of its perception by way of name, is imagined in this regard as the own-being of the sense-object. Because this is absolutely non-existent it is described as imaginary. (b) Conceptually differentiated form, i.e. the other-dependent nature belonging to form; what is meant is: it is dependent upon causes and conditions. But why is this described as conceptually differentiated form? Hence he says: since it is in relation to that [nature] that [an entity] is conceptually differentiated as form. Due to a failure in clear comprehension¹⁸⁹ on account of their lack of insight into reality, people are notionally attached to form because of the fact that they perceive consciousness - in the appearance of form¹⁹⁰ - just as form [i.e. as matter,

¹⁸² Tika omits tativa which is found in Bhasya; cf. N44.12.

¹⁸³ Read: katham idam dasavidham kausalya (tativa)m in place of etad dasavidham kausalyam katham, cf. Bhāsya N44.12.

¹⁸⁴ Ms.(42b.5): vaicakşanyam contrary to Y's fn.4 p.138, however, D: mkhas pa yin na (256a.3) which would support a reading of vaicakşanye.

¹⁸⁵ Ms.(42b.5): - #wayatah contrary to Y's fn.5 p.138.

¹⁸⁶ Read: katham antarbhūtā in place of katham antarbhavanti; cf. Bhāsya N44.14.

¹⁸⁷ Read: parikalpavikalpärthadharmatärthena teşu te in place of vikalpakalpitärthena dharmatärthena tatra te; cf. Bhāsya N44.15.

¹⁸⁸ Read: parikalpitam rūpam in place of parikalpitarūpam; cf. N44.16.

¹⁸⁹ Ms. (43a.2): aparijiāta, but Y's emendation to aparijiātya is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.2 p.139.

¹⁹⁰ Read: rūpapratibhāsavijāāne in place of rūpakhyātavijāāne; Tib. gzugs su snan ba'i mam par śes pa la (D256b.2).

pure and simple), as for instance, one grasps at a self among the aggregates. Therefore, it is said that form is conceptually differentiated in relation to the other-dependent [nature]. (c) Form as real nature, i.e. the perfected nature that belongs to form. This refers to emptiness whose nature is devoid of both imaginary form and conceptually differentiated form. The aggregates, such as sensation, and also the elements and sense-fields etc. 191 should be construed in the same way as form [has been construed above], i.e. in the same way that form is included in the three natures after having differentiated it as threefold. [By "etc." is meant]: the aggregates that consist in sensation, perception, the formative forces and consciousness, as well as the elements, the sense-fields, the limbs of dependent origination, the worthy and unworthy objects, the sense faculties, the three times 192, the four truths, the three vehicles and both the conditioned and the unconditioned. Moreover, [all] that pertains to sensation etc. and the elements etc., individually, are to be included in the three natures after distinguishing them as threefold according to the differences in their essential natures as imaginary 193, conceptually differentiated and real nature

[3] Therein, in the same way that [an entity] in the appearances of form is imagined as the apprehended object, [so too] that absolutely non-existent existence of apprehending subject and apprehended object, which is imagined in relation to the appearances of sensation etc., is [described as] imaginary sensation, up to and concluding with imaginary consciousness. Conceptually differentiated sensation, up to and concluding with conceptually differentiated consciousness, refers to that [sensation] in regard to which the conceptual differentiation as apprehending subject and apprehended object is performed. Sensation as real nature refers to the perfected nature pertinent to sensation; it is to be understood in the same way [for the other aspects] up to and concluding with consciousness as real nature. In detail, it should be stated in the same way, according to the circumstances, in regard to the elements and sense-fields etc.

[4] An entity that is to be nominally designated as form or sensation, and so on up to and concluding with the conditioned and unconditioned, should also be understood as consisting in the imaginary, the other-dependent and the perfected 194 natures. How so? The imaginary consists therein because [an entity] is designated as of the essential nature of form etc. The other-dependent [consists therein] since it is this which is the support for the designation because it depends upon other causal conditions. That which is absolutely devoid of an entity of form etc. is the perfected.

¹⁹¹ Read: skandhā dhātvāyatanādayaś ca as per Ms.(43a.3) in place of skandhadhātvāyatanādayaś ca; cf. Bhāsya N44.19. Tib. phuá po mama dań / khams dań skye mched la sogs pa la yań (D256b.4).

¹⁹² Ms.(43a.4): arthatrayam, but Y's emendation to kallatrayam is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.3 p.139.

¹⁹³ Ms.(43a.4): -svabhāvabhe-; disregard Y's fn.4 p.139.

¹⁹⁴ Ms.(43a.7): -paratantranispanna-, but Y's emendation to -paratantraparinispanna- is preferred.

[5] [Objection]: Since only the other-dependent (nature) is nominally described as an entity of form etc. 195 and the imaginary is not, the conceptual differentiation of the imaginary is meaningless. [Response]: It is not meaningless because the essential nature of form etc. is superimposed on that (i.e. the imaginary nature) since the object does not exist in exactly that way in which 196 the name is used in regard to it: however. naive people are notionally attached to its essential nature. [Objection]: Why is it that one is attached to it but not 197 as an object [existing] in reality? [Response]: Because there is the exclusion from the relation of 'signified' and 'signifier' on the part of the name and the object be they similar or dissimilar; i.e. if the words for trees etc. and their objects were not different by nature then the name's capacity to signify would be excluded, like the object, because it would not be distinct from the essential nature of the object. And the object's capacity to be signified would disappear because it would not be distinct from the essential nature of the name, due to the absence of a specific cause (i.e. which causes the existence of that which is to be signified). Alternatively, since there would be one 'signifier' 198 belonging to the name and a different 'signified' belonging to the object, there would be an endless series of statements. Moreover, if there were numerous names for the one object and numerous objects for the one name 199, there would be multiple essential natures; however, it is untenable that the one [entity] can have multiple natures²⁰⁰. Moreover, a 'signifier' of non-existence would not exist, like the object, because the object would be [of the nature of]²⁰¹ a non-existent; alternatively, if the name were to exist its object would also exist. In regard to dissimilarity, there would be no ascertainment of [the existence of] an object conformable with a word, since in this regard a 'tree' is only a word, it is not an object. However, the object is not a 'tree' nor is it a 'non-tree'. Therefore, the object of the word 'tree' is not the sphere of the knowledge of the 'tree' because the object of that [word] does not have the nature of a tree. In this way²⁰² there is the exclusion of the word and the object from the relation of 'signified' and 'signifier'. In regard to disparity, there would be no determination of 'signified' and 'signifier' because of the absence of a determining cause.

[6] There is a theory that the name may generate the object or cause it to become manifest. In this regard, [the name] does not generate [the object] because the name is applied to the object only after it has arisen. This would lead to the conclusion that,

¹⁹⁵ Ms.(43b.1): -pādi-; disregard Y's fn.3 p.140.

¹⁹⁶ Read: yath# yath# hi as per Ms.(43b.1) in place of yath# hi.

¹⁹⁷ Read: na tu in place of na tv api; Tib. de an log par moton par zen pa yin gyi yan dag pa'i don du ma yin par ci moton ze na (D257a.5).

¹⁹⁸ Ms.(43b.3): vanvad vacakam: disregard Y's fns. 6 & 7 p.140.

¹⁹⁹ Ms.(43b.3); nāmnas; disregard Y's fn.9 p.140.

²⁰⁰ Read perhaps: anekarūpatā ayogyā in place of bahusvarūpatvam ayogyam; Tib. du ma'i no bor mi run no (D257b.1). Cf. previous sentence.

²⁰¹ Tib. omits rupa; cf. D257b.1.

²⁰² Read: evam ca in place of tadvidhati ca; Ms.(43b.5): -vati ca. Tib. de ltar (D257b.2).

although [the object] has [already] arisen, it would be generated again and again because the name is applied again and again. Nor does [the name] cause [the object] to become manifest because the name is applied after the object is apprehended and it is not tenable that it would cause the manifestation of what has not been apprehended²⁰³, i.e. another person who does not know an object will not apprehend it with the same name; also, it is not tenable that that same [name] can cause both the manifestation and the non-manifestation of the same object. Lamps etc. which cause things to become manifest do not cause the manifestation of a manifest²⁰⁴ object in dependence upon knowledge²⁰⁵. Also, since no determining cause of manifestation is seen in regard to manifest²⁰⁶ pots and clothing etc., all objects would be caused to manifest by all names. Moreover, there would be no determination by way of smell etc.²⁰⁷ because axes and water etc. are considered to be agents that generate smell etc., but not things that cause manifestation. Therefore, it is evident that the notional attachment to the 'signified' and 'signifier', like the notional attachment to the apprehended object and apprehending subject²⁰⁸, is meaningless.

[7] Thus, since the aggregates etc. are included within the three natures, the tenfold reality of the skills should also be understood in relation to basic reality. Although it has been stated that the skill in the aggregates etc. 209 acts as the counteragent to the tenfold false views in regard to the self, the meaning of the aggregates etc., in regard to which the skill in the aggregates etc. is the counteragent to the adverse views regarding self²¹⁰, has not been stated, hence this will now be discussed.

a. The Meaning of the Aggregates.

N45.2 III.17 ab

At the beginning [they are considered] in the sense of: (a) multiple,

(b) collected and (c) disparate;

²⁰³ Read perhaps: cagnitasya as per Ms.(43b.6) in place of Y's emendation to: ca gnitasya. The Tib. is worded differently: "...because, if the name is applied after the object is apprehended, it is not tenable that it should cause the manifestation of what has [already] been apprehended": ... di Itar don roogs nas min 'dogs na roogs zin pa la ni gsal bar bya ba mi rigs so (D257b.4).

²⁰⁴ vyangam but the Tib. (D257b.6):gsal bar bya ba would suggest a reading of vyangyam.

²⁰⁵ Read: vyupattyapeksä as per Ms.(43b.7) in place of vyutpattyapeksayä.

²⁰⁶ vyangam, and again the Tib, would suggest a reading of vyangyam, cf.fn.294 above.

²⁰⁷ Ms.(43b.7): gandhāditi rano-, but Y's emendation to gandhādito niyam(ābhāvaḥ) is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.5 p.141.

²⁰⁸ Disregard Y's fn.6 p.141 since this passage is found in D; cf. 257b.7.

²⁰⁹ skandhādikauśalyam; however both P and D: phun po la sogs pa'i don = skandhādyartham.

²¹⁰ This passage is problematical; the first syllable of the line of the Ms.(44a.2) appears to read; dhg, but Y's emendation to (vipa)kya is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.1 p.142.

Now at the beginning these, i.e. the aggregates, should be understood in a threefold sense: (a) In the sense of 'multiple'; in detail it is said: "any form belonging to the past, present or future..." (b) In the sense of 'collected'; i.e. [the same scriptural reference continues]: "...after collecting all that [form] together..." And (c) in the sense of 'disparate'; because the characteristic of form etc. is respectively determined as separate. For 'aggregate' (skandha) has the sense of 'heap' (rāśi) and thus is it generally understood in the sense of a 'heap'.

[Sthiramati]

[1]

Y142.4 III.17 ab

At the beginning [they are considered] in the sense of: (a) multiple, (b) collected and (c) disparate:

The term: "at the beginning"211 refers to the fact that [the aggregates] are stated initially. Now at the beginning these, i.e. the aggregates are described and they should be understood in a threefold sense: (a) In the sense of 'multiple'212; fin detail it is said]; "any form213 belonging to the past. present or future, internal or external, gross or subtle, inferior or exalted, distant or near..."214; thus [they should be understood] in the sense of 'multiple' because numerous substantial entities of the past etc. 215 are described by the word 'aggregate'. The aggregates [should be understood]: (b) in the sense of 'collected' because of the scriptural statement: "...after collecting ...together...". By "after collecting ...together" is meant; after making into one²¹⁶. (c) In the sense of 'disparate'; it is the aggregates that are referred to. The word "and" has a collective sense, i.e. this belongs with the previous two statements; i.e. in the sense of 'multiple' and in the sense of 'collected'. Here now he gives the reason: because the characteristic of form etc.²¹⁷, belonging to the aggregates, is respectively determined as separate, from the characteristic of sensation etc. The words "separate" and "disparate" are syllonyms. What is the reason that in this context the meaning of the aggregates is respectively determined in terms of these three aspects? For 'aggregate' (skandha)

²¹¹ ādita is not found in the Ms.(44a.2) but has been inserted on the basis of the Tib.; cf. Y's fn.1 p.142.

²¹² Read: anekārthena in place of anekārtho; cf. Bhāsva N45.3.

²¹³ Read: vat kimcid rūpam in place of vat kim ca rūpam; cf. Bhāsya N45.4.

This passage which is also cited in the Kośa (P13.5 ff.) is from Samyutta-nikāya; cf. LVP Kośa I p.35, fn.2.

²¹⁵ Read: attīānādīnām as per Ms.(44a.3) in place of attīānāgatādīnām; Tib. 'das pa la sogs pa (D258a.3).

²¹⁶ Read: ekikrtva as per Ms.(44a.4) in place of ekikrtyam

²¹⁷ Read: rtipādilaksanasya in place of rtipalaksanena; cf. Bhāsya N45.6.

has the sense of 'heap' $(r\vec{s}i)^{218}$, hence, and thus is it generally understood, i.e. established. Thus, what is generally understood as 'multiple', 'collected' and 'disparate' is described as a 'heap'. The aggregates of sensation etc. are to be understood in the same way as the aggregates of form. Therein, an act of skill in regard to the meaning of the aggregates is described as the counteragent to the adherence to the belief in a unique self, namely, such as that all this that has been and will be in the future is none other than the purusa [of the Sāṃkya]. How so? Because form etc., differentiated as belonging to the past etc. and collected together as a unity, is designated as the aggregate of form etc. Moreover, the aggregates are respectively determined as threefold as counteragents to three kinds of confusion; the three kinds of confusion are: (a) confusion in regard to singularity, (b) confusion in regard to the conventional and (c) confusion concerning the mixture of characteristics.

b. The Meaning of the Elements.

N45.9 III.17 cd

Y143

The next is considered in the sense of the 'seed' of: (a) the apprehending subject, (b) the apprehended object and '(c) the perception of the latter.

What is next? The elements. In the above, (a) the sense of the 'seed' of the apprehending subject refers to the elements of sight etc.; (b) the sense of the 'seed' of the apprehended object refers to the elements of form etc.; and (c) the sense of the 'seed' of the perception of the latter refers to the elements of sight-consciousness etc.

[Sthiramati]

Y143.8 [1] The elements were listed immediately after the aggregates, therefore, he gives the meaning of the elements immediately following the meaning of the aggregates.

III.17 cd

The next is considered in the sense of the 'seed' of: (a) the apprehending subject, (b) the apprehended object and (c) the perception of the latter.

The word "next" in this context²¹⁹ refers to the elements since they were listed immediately after the aggregates; this is why the author of the commentary also says: what is next? The elements. 'Element' (dhātu) has the sense of 'seed' (blja); accordingly,

²¹⁸ Ms.(44a.5): rasyartho; disregard Y's fn.5 p.142.

²¹⁹ Ms.(44b.1): 'tra; disregard Y's fn.3 p.43.

when there is gold²²⁰ it is said to be the element gold - the 'seed' of gold is thus understood. Moreover, this is distinguished as threefold because of the difference in result: (a) the element that consists in the apprehending subject. (b) the element that consists in the apprehended object and (c) the element that consists in the perception of the latter. In the above, (a) the sense of the 'seed' of the apprehending subject refers to the element of sight etc.²²¹; the element of sight is the first of the elements which begin with sight and conclude with mind. They are [described as] apprehending subjects because they form the basis for the perception of sense-objects of form etc. They are described as elements because they are the cause of [the elements of sight etc. of the same genus. (b) The sense of the 'seed' of the apprehended object refers to the elements of form etc., concluding with the nonsensuous element. Because they are the objects of sight-consciousness etc.²²² they are [described as] apprehended objects. These too are described as elements because they are thus causes [in the production] of the form etc. of a similar nature. (c) The sense of the 'seed' of the perception of the latter refers to the elements of sight-consciousness etc.; the perceptions in regard to form etc. are those which begin with sight-consciousness and conclude with mind-consciousness because they have the knowledge of form etc. for their own-being. These too are described as elements because they are causes [in the production] of the sight-consciousness etc. of a similar nature, i.e. beginning with the element of sight-consciousness and concluding with the element of mind-consciousness.

[2] However, others say²²³ that the store-consciousness, imbued with [the latent impressions of 1224 the karma of notional attachment to sight etc., is the 'seed' of those respective [elements] of sight etc. Similarly, the store-consciousness, imbued with²²⁵ [the latent impressions of]²²⁶ the karma inherent to the notional attachment to form etc., is the 'seed' of those respective [elements] of form etc. Likewise, just the storeconsciousness, imbued with [the latent impressions of] the karma inherent to the notional attachment to sight-consciousness etc., is the 'seed' of those respective [elements] of sight-consciousness etc. [Objection]: If this is so, the following should be reflected upon: it would be just the store-consciousness that is signified by the word "element" and not [the faculty of] sight etc. [Response]: The element have been respectively determined in this threefold sense as 'seed' in order to remove confusion concerning: (a) the agent, (b) the deed and (c) the karma. In this respect, the former

D: dper na gser yod pa'i rdo rje la..., but rdo rje la should be omitted as per P; cf. P102a. 220

Ms.(44b.2); -bljärthaś caksur-; disregard Y's fn.6 p.143.

²²¹ Ms.(44b.3); caksurādijāāna-, but Y's emendation to caksurādivijāāna- is preferred; cf. his fn.8 p.143.

Ms.(44b.5); anye tv āhuh; disregard Y's fn.1 p.144. 223

²²⁴ Tib. (D259a.2) inserts: vasana (bag chags) which is not found in the Ms.

²²⁵ Read: vāsikam as per Ms.(44b.5) in place of vāsitam.

²²⁶ Again, vasana is found only in the Tib. (cf. D259a.2).

[element of] sight etc. is the cause of just the latter [element of] sight etc. and there is nothing else, a self or another cause, in regard to this. Consequently, the removal of the adherence to the belief in causality in regard to the self is due to skill in the elements.

c. The Meaning of the Sense-Fields.

N45.14 III.18 ab

The next [is considered] as the medium of origination for the experience of: (a) sensetion and (b) the discrimination of an object;

What is next? The sense-fields. Of these, the six internal sense-fields [are considered] in the sense of the medium of origination for the experience of sensation. The six external [sense-fields are considered] in the sense of the medium of origination for the experience of the discrimination of an object.

[Sthiramati]

Y144.19 [1] The meaning of the sense-fields should be mentioned immediately following the meaning of the elements, hence he says:

III.18 ab

The next [is considered] as the medium of origination for the experience of: (a) sensation and (b) the discrimination of the object;²²⁷

Since there are numerous [topics] apart from the elements such as the sense-fields and dependent origination and also because of the general nature of the word "next", he poses the question: what is next? This word "next", as a matter of course, stands just for the sense-fields because they were listed immediately following the elements, thus in order to show this, he says: it refers to the sense-fields. Of these, the six internal sense-fields [are considered] in the sense of the medium of origination for the experience of sensation²²⁸. The six external sense-fields [are considered] in the sense of the medium of origination for the experience of the discrimination of the object. The medium of origination (3yadvāra) is equivalent in meaning to sense-field (3yatana). Origination (3ya) is

²²⁷ Read:

veditārthaparicchedabhogāyadvārato 'param

in place of: vedanārthaparicchede bhogāyadvārātāparam, Cf. Bhāsya N45.14.

228 Read: vedinopabhogāyadvārārthena in place of vedanopabhogāyadvārārthena; cf. Bhāsya N45.15.

[equivalent to] an arrival; they are sense-fields (avatanani) insofar as they propagate (tanvanti) that [which originates] among the experience of sensation and the discrimination of an object. This differentiation as internal and external is according to the difference in the medium of origination for an experience either as sensation or conceptualization. Sensations are either pleasurable, painful or neither pleasurable nor painful. Because the latter are partaken of (upabhuiyate), they are [described as] enjoyment (upabhoga); what is meant is; they are experienced (apubhūvate). Only the internal sense-fields²²⁹ are described as the medium of origination²³⁰ for that experience of sensation because, although the sense-objects are the medium of the origination of that [sensation], the support²³¹ i.e. sight etc., is the basis on account of the fact that: (a) it is helpful or obstructive. (b) it consists in clear comprehension in regard to that [sensation] and (c) it is specific, since the experience of sensation [occurs] by means of it when there is sight etc. and [the experience of sensation] does not [occur] when there is no [sight etc.]²³². The discrimination of an object refers to conceptualization (samiñā) because it has the nature of the apprehension of the sign of the object. This consists in the experience of sense-objects²³³ because it has the nature of the knowledge of objects. The external sense-fields are described as the medium of origination for those [conceptualizations]; but not the internal [sense-fields], for, even if they were the medium of origination for those [conceptualizations], in the absence of a sense object, there is no experiencing of the discrimination of the object²³⁴ even if the internal sense-fields of sight etc. do exist. The sense-fields should be known because they are the medium of origination for both sensations and the discrimination of objects together with their associated elements.

[2] The two apprehendings are very important. Furthermore, apprehending is very important because it consists in the experience of the result of karma and also because it consists in the discrimination of objects. Since there is the conceptual differentiation of an agent of experience on account of the experience of sensation and the discrimination of objects, the sense-fields are respectively determined²³⁵ in a twofold sense. Thus, skill in the sense-fields [leads to the understanding that] the agent of experience consists in the internal sense-fields and the object of experience consists in the external sense-fields. And since no other²³⁶ agent of experience exists, the adherence to the belief in the self as the agent of experience is checked.

²²⁹ Ms.(45a.3); eväyatanäny; disregard Y's fn.1 p.145.

²³⁰ Read: vedanopabhogasyāyadvāram as per Ms.(45a.3) in place of vedanopabhogasyāyad dvāram.

²³¹ Read: adhisthininim in place of Biravinim; cf. Y145.25-26.

²³² Cf. Kośa P34 and LVP Kośa I pp.95-96.

²³³ Read: vissyānām upabhogan in place of vissyam upabhudkte; Tib, yul mams la fie bar spyod do (D259b.4).

²³⁴ Ms.(45a.5): "rthaperibhedo-, but Y's emendation to "rthapericchedo- is preferred.

²³⁵ Read: äyatanavyavasthänam in place of äyatane vyavasthänam; Ms.(45a.6): äyatana-; Tib. skye mehod mam par gáng pa (D2596.7).

²³⁶ Tib. omits anvox cf. D260a.1

Y146

[3] [Objection]: The assertion that was made above is not tenable, i.e. that because the sense-fields of sight etc. are helpful or obstructive it is just the support of that [sensation] which is conventionally expressed as the agent of experience - because, on account of the denial of [the existence of] form, the sense-fields of sight etc. would also not exist, like the self. [Response]: This censure is not appropriate because it is only imaginary form that is denied here, not conceptually differentiated form. In the above, the internal sense-field is the store-consciousness which undergoes a special transformation on account of its projection by the karma that is imbued with the conceptual elaborations of sight etc. The external sense-field is the appearance as form etc. and belongs to the actual consciousness which has the latter [i.e. the storeconsciousness] as its cause. The appearance as a common object and the²³⁷ appearance as sentient beings belong to the store-consciousness and are described as the external sense-field because they are the predominant-causal conditions in the arising of the actual consciousness which appears as the apprehended object and apprehending subject. However, it is not because these [external sense-fields] consist in the sense object.

[4] [Objection]: Is it not so that this [interpretation] conflicts with the words of the Sutras which state that sight-consciousness and the like are produced in dependence upon the two [i.e. sense faculty and external object]? The appearance as form etc.²³⁸ is different from consciousness and it is not tenable that it alone can be the causal condition for the arising of just itself because of the existence of the arisen and the nonexistence of the non-arisen states²³⁹, and because action in regard to itself is contradictory. Therefore, form and the like should necessarily be acknowledged as being different from their appearances in consciousness. [Response]: This censure is not appropriate because consciousness in the appearance of form etc. deposits the (causal) latent impression²⁴⁰ in the causal consciousness for the arising of a consciousness of a similar nature; and due to a transformation in the latent impression, consciousness in the appearance of form etc. is born again. In this way, consciousness, when it has deposited a latent impression in the nature of an appearance of form etc.241, is described as the causal condition for consciousness, hence there is no contradiction with the Sūtras. Thus, the meaning of 'support', too, is appropriate for the appearance as form etc. because it is the causal condition for consciousness in (the aspect of)²⁴² its own appearance. Alternatively, that which is innate to consciousness is established as

²³⁷ Ms.(45b.2): -bhāsaḥ / yaś ca

²³⁸ Ms.(45b.3) substantiates (rupādipratībhā)so; disregard Y's fn.2 p.146.

²³⁹ Ms.(45b.3): "Ivasthayoh samatväd abhävät, but Y's emendation to "Ivasthayor bhäväd abhäväc ca is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.3 p.146.

²⁴⁰ Read: pratyayavāsanām as per Ms.(45b.4) although pratyaya is omitted from the Tib.; cf. D260a.7.

²⁴¹ Ms.(45b.4): vijfiānanirbhā-, but Y's emendation to vijfiānam rūpādinirbhā(sātmakatvena) is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.4 p.146.

²⁴² Akara is omitted from the Tib.: cf. D260b.1.

the objective support-causal condition by those who hold to the [existence of the] external object by way of connection with the fact that the existence or non-existence of consciousness is due to the existence or non-existence of that [causal condition for the objective support] although there is no relation of producer and produced. Similarly, here too, although the appearance of form etc. and consciousness do not have²⁴³ the relation of that which is produced and producer, they are respectively determined as the causal condition and the possessor of the causal condition because there is no fallacious reasoning regarding the existence or non-existence of consciousness as being due to the existence or non-existence of that [causal condition for the objective support].

[5] [Objection]: There would be no actual correspondence with an example for both the appearance of form etc. and consciousness because they cannot be differentiated. [Response]: This is not so because they are figurative differences²⁴⁴; for example, the consciousness of dreams, magical creations and cities of Gandharvas and the like. although ultimately undifferentiated, is respectively determined in the relation of that which is supported and the supporter just as it is (conventionally)245 differentiated in the aspects of apprehended object and apprehending subject because it is the basis for the conceptual differentiation of apprehended object and apprehending subject. Likewise, there is no contradiction in the other cases too. Moreover, the relation of that which is supported and the supporter is, in all cases, only expressed figuratively; it does not actually exist. Because, whether consciousness has or has not arisen, it does not take a sense-object as objective support due to the fact that this [object] passes away immediately upon origination since [in the former case] it does not continue to exist at the time of the act of supporting and because [in the latter case] it does not exist. Also, the sense-object is not tenable as the objective support whether it has or has not arisen or has both [arisen and not arisen], because: (a) it does not continue to exist when it has arisen and (b) that which has not arisen does not exist, since the act of supporting devoid of a foundation is not tenable. An objective support for the presently existing consciousness is meaningless since it is through the mere fact of its existence that the relation of cause and result is determined²⁴⁶ as the relation of that which is supported and the supporter because it is established as having the nature of that [which is supported]. Also, [the objective support] for that [consciousness] which is in the process of arising is meaningless because it does not [yet] exist. [Objection]: [an objective support for that consciousness] in the process of arising is not meaning-

²⁴³ Read: rūpādinirbhāsasya vijāānasya cāsaty as per Ms.(45b.6) in place of rūpādinirbhāsasya vijāānasya sasty, although the Tib. does not support this rendering: gzugs la sogs pa sasab ba'i mam par šes pa ...med (D260b.2). Cf. Y's fn.5 p.146.

²⁴⁴ Read: (sādr) śyam / na bhedopacārāt as per Ms.(45b.7); disregard Y's fn.1 p.147.

²⁴⁵ vyavahāra is inserted on the basis of the Tib. (cf. D260b.4) and is not found in the Ms.

²⁴⁶ vyavasthāpyate is inserted on the basis of the Tib. (cf.D260b.7) and is not found in the Ms. Cf. Y's fn.5 p.147.

less because when the sense-object really exists, consciousness acquires the nature of an appearance as the latter. [Response]: If the appearance as the object were caused by an object that is external to consciousness, then the consciousness of things belonging to the past, the future or to dreams would be devoid of aspect (nirākāra), or else would not exist because of the non-existence of the object of those [things belonging to the past etc.]. An effect cannot be produced by causal conditions that are deficient²⁴⁷ since this would transcend the bounds of logic²⁴⁸ and the assertion that something non-existent can possess a causal condition is also not tenable. Moreover, the external object is not the causal condition of the objective support for consciousness since the latter exists even without the former. Therefore, all consciousness of people who have ophthalmia is to be acknowledged as having no dependence on an objective support that consists in the external object.

d. The Meaning of Dependent Origination.

N45.19 The meaning of dependent origination:

III.18 cd

Y148

[It is considered in the sense of] the absence of both imputation and negation in regard to cause, result and activity.

Dependent origination [is considered] in the sense of the absence of both the imputation and negation of cause, result and efficacy. respect, (a) there is the imputation of causality due to imagining that the formative forces etc. have dissimilar causes. (b) There is the negation of causality due to imagining that [the latter] are devoid of causality. (c) There is the imputation of result due to imagining that the formative forces etc., together with the self, manifest from causal conditions such (d) There is the negation of result due to imagining that the formative forces etc., which have ignorance etc. for their causal conditions, do not exist. (e) There is the imputation of efficacy due to imagining that ignorance etc. is instrumental in the production of the formative forces etc. (f) There is the negation of efficacy due to imagining that [the latter] are devoid of power. The absence of both imputation and negation should be understood as being due to the non-existence of these [conditions].

²⁴⁷ Ms.(46a.4): -kalailr; disregard Y's fn.6 p.147.

²⁴⁸ Ms.(46a.4): 'tiprasaigāt, disregard Y's fn.7 p.147.

[Sthiramati]

Y148.6 [1] Since the meaning of dependent origination should be mentioned immediately after the meaning of the sense-fields, he says; the meaning of dependent origination:

III.18 cd

[It is considered in the sense of] the absence of both imputation and negation in regard to cause, result and activity.²⁴⁹

It means: arisen from causal conditions that are inactive, impermanent and have power; as has been stated in a Sütra: "when this exists, there is that. Due to the arising of this, that is produced - in detail: the formative forces have ignorance for their causal condition." In order to illustrate that in this context dependent origination is considered by way of the refutation of the imputation and negation of cause, result and efficacy, he says: dependent origination [is considered] in the sense of the absence of both the imputation²⁵⁰ and negation of cause, result and efficacy. "Efficacy" is stated by the word: "activity".

- [2] In this respect, there is the imputation of causality...; this is due to imagining that the purusa, the isvara, the atom²⁵¹ or the pradhāna etc. possess causality, having rejected ignorance etc. [as the cause]. In order to demonstrate this, he says: ...due to imagining that the formative forces etc., concluding with old-age and death, have dissimilar causes. "Dissimilar" refers to the notion that something permanent such as the purusa can be the cause of the formative forces etc. which are impermanent; what is meant is: 'different' [i.e. that a cause can produce something that is of a completely different nature to itself]. Alternatively, a cause is considered to require a modification of its essential nature, like the seed in relation to the sprout etc., but there can be no modification of essential nature on the part of that which is permanent. The imputation of causality is due to imagining causality in regard to a [result that is] dissimilar [to its] cause.
- Y149 [3] There is the negation of causality due to imagining that [the latter] are devoid of causality²⁵²...; if one agrees that the formative forces etc. are produced without a cause, ignorance etc. is excluded as the cause of the formative forces etc.²⁵³

²⁴⁹ Ms. (46a.5): -Inaropinavidatah, but Y's emendation to -Inaropinapavidatah is correct; cf. his fn.1 p.148 & Bhisya N45.20.

²⁵⁰ Read: asamiropii- in place of aniropii-; cf. Bhisya N45.21.

²⁵¹ Tib. Etman (bdag) in place of anu; cf. D261a.7.

²⁵² Read: nithetukatvakalpanād in place of 'haitukakalpanād: cf. Bhāsya N46.1.

²⁵³ Ms.(46b.2); samskärädihetutvam apodham; disregard Y's fn.1 p.149.

- [4] There is the imputation of result²⁵⁴ due to imagining that the formative forces etc., together with the self, manifest from causal conditions such as ignorance. Some believe that the formative forces etc. manifest from causal conditions such as ignorance if the self exists, but do not [manifest] if it does not exist. Thus, there is the imputation of result if the self is imputed upon the result such as the formative forces²⁵⁵. Others believe that the imputation of result is due to imagining that the formative forces etc., together with the self, manifest from causal conditions such as ignorance, either by being intimately connected with a substantial²⁵⁶ self or by being an agent that is beneficial or obstructive²⁵⁷ to the latter.
- [5] There is the negation of result due to imagining that the formative forces etc., which have ignorance for their causal condition, do not exist²⁵⁸; for example²⁵⁹, there are heretics who negate good conduct, bad conduct and other worlds [i.e. subsequent rebirths] believing that there is no good conduct, there is no bad conduct and there are no other worlds.²⁶⁰
- [6] There is the imputation of efficacy due to imagining that ignorance etc. is instrumental in the production of the formative forces etc. If it is imagined that in the production of the formative forces etc. ignorance etc. can cause the nature of an entity to become something other²⁶¹, then there is imputation of efficacy.
- [7] There is the negation of efficacy due to imagining that [the latter] are devoid of power. There is the negation of efficacy due to imagining that the formative forces are devoid of power although they have the capacity for origination through the mere [imputation of the] existence of ignorance etc.
- [8] The absence of both imputation and negation should be understood as being due to the non-existence of these [conditions]. The absence of imputation and negation should be understood as being due to the absence of that imputation and negation in regard to cause, result and efficacy²⁶². Thus, whether [this belief relates] to a self separately²⁶³ from ignorance etc. or to something else, this skill in dependent origination should be understood as the counteragent to the adherence to the belief in an agent. For, there is no self nor anything else in this regard, apart from

²⁵⁴ Ms.(46b.2); phalasamāropah; disregard Y's fn.2 p.149.

²⁵⁵ Read: samakārādiphaleşv ātmasamārope phalasamāropa ity in place of samskārādiphaleşv ātmasamāropa ity; Tib. Dras bu du byed la sogs par bdag sgro dogs na "bras bu la sgro dogs pa žes bya'o (D261b.3-4).

²⁵⁶ Ms.(46b.3): Itmadravya-; disregard Y's fn.4 p.149.

²⁵⁷ Ms.(46b.3): -opaghāta-; disregard Y's fn.5 p.149.

²⁵⁸ Read: phalāpavado na santy avidyādipratyayāh samskārādaya iti kalpanāt in place of phalāpavādah samskārādinām avidyādipratyayāpravmikalpanād; cf. Bhāsya N46.2-3.

²⁵⁹ Ms.(46b.4): -th#: disregard Y's fn.6 p.149.

²⁶⁰ Read: nāstikānām nāsti as per Ms.(46b.4) in place of nāsti; Tib. med pa mams (D261b.6).

²⁶¹ The parentheses mentioned by Y in his fn.7 p.149 are not found in the Ms. However, the text, from nāsti duścaritum to samskārānām (Y149.12-17), is written in a different hand.

²⁶² Ms.(46b.5): hetuphalakri-; disregard Y's fn.8 p.149.

²⁶³ Ms.(46b.6): -vvatirena-, but Y's emendation to -vvatirekena- is correct.

ignorance, which is the agent of pure or impure actions. There is no other agent of consciousness apart from the formative forces. And this should be stated in all cases [i.e. each of the twelve nidāna], according to the respective circumstances, because the formative forces etc. arise from ignorance etc. which is inactive, impermanent and has power.

e. The Meaning of the Possible and the Impossible.

N46.8 III.19 abcd

The next [is considered] in the sense of an other-dependence in relation to:
(a) what is not desired, (b) what is desired, (c) purity, (d) concurrent births, (e) sovereignty, (f) complete attainment and (g) behaviour.

The possible and the impossible should be understood in the sense of a sevenfold dependence upon something other. Of these, (a) there is dependence upon something other in relation to what is not desired due to falling into wretched states of existence, although not desiring it, on account of bad conduct. (b) There is dependence upon something other in relation to what is desired due to the attainment of propitious states of existence on account of good conduct. (c) There is dependence upon something other in relation to purity because of not bringing suffering to an end by not relinquishing the five hindrances and so on up until not having cultivated the seven limbs of enlightenment. (d) There is dependence upon something other in relation to concurrent births of the two existing simultaneously, i.e. of two tathagatas or two cakravartins, since they cannot be born into the one world sphere. (e) There is dependence upon something other in relation to sovereignty since women cannot become cakravartins etc. (f) There is dependence upon something other in relation to complete attainment since a woman cannot become perfectly enlightened, whether it be individual enlight-(g) There is dependence upon enment or supreme enlightenment. something other in relation to behaviour because one endowed with [sound] views does not engage in [violent]²⁶⁴ behaviour, such as

²⁶⁴ Tib. omits upakrama: cf. D14b.2.

murder, in contrast to the behaviour of ordinary people. This can be followed up in detail through a perusal of the Bahudhātuka Sūtra²⁶⁵.

[Sthiramati]

Y151

Y150.7 [1] The meaning of the possible and the impossible should be mentioned immediately following the meaning of dependent origination, hence he says:

III.19 abcd [The next (is considered) in the sense of an other-dependence in regard to]: (a) what is not desired, (b) what is desired, (c) purity etc. 266

The possible and the impossible should be understood in the sense of a sevenfold dependence upon something other. In the above "possible" is [equivalent to] 'cause'. "limpossible" is [equivalent to] 'absence of cause'. As has been stated in this verse [from Dhammapada, 223]:

One ought speak the truth and not be angry; one ought give to a beggar even from a little²⁶⁷. Through these three proprieties here in this world one ought proceed to the presence of the gods.

It is understood that [proprieties (sthāna)] are [equivalent to] causes. Alternatively, propriety (sthāna) is [equivalent to] possibility (saṃbhava) and impropriety (asthāna) is [equivalent to] impossibility (asaṃbhava). The skill in these is [equivalent to] skill in the possible and the impossible. "Dependence upon something other" (pāratantrya) is the state (bhāva) of being dependent upon something other (paratantrasya); what is meant is: resting upon something other (parāyattatā). Although this is sevenfold it is included within the three other-dependencies of karma, defilement and rebirth.

[2] Of these, there is dependence upon something other in relation to what is not desired...; what is not desired refers to the wretched states of existence. In order to demonstrate that the attainment of these [states of existence] rests upon bad conduct²⁶⁸ and is a dependence upon something other, he says: ...due to falling into wretched states of existence, although not desiring it²⁶⁹, on account of bad conduct, including actions of body speech and mind, such as the taking of a life, together with their preparation and consequences. The wretched states

²⁶⁵ Majjhima Nikāya, 115; cf. N's fn. 13 p.46.

²⁶⁶ The Tib. includes all four plides of this verse.

²⁶⁷ The Ms.(18a.1) suggests a reading of dadylid alpla: ca ylicitab in place of dadylid alpo pi ylicitab; Tib. slod la chud yat abyin bar bya (D262a.6). For further discussion on this verse, see F.Bernhard: <u>Udanyanga</u>, XX.16 p.274 and J.Brough: <u>The Gandhard Dhammapada</u>, 281 p.262.

²⁶⁸ Tib. omits duścaritāyattatvary; cf. D262b.1.

²⁶⁹ Ms.(18a,3): - Inicchildito, but Y's emendation to - Inicchato is correct; cf. Bhilsya N46.11.

of existence refers to the hells, the Pretas and animals²⁷⁰. Because of the extreme suffering²⁷¹ in these [states], there is no desire on the part of anyone to attain rebirth there. Since actions are powerful and are the cause of wretched states of existence, on account of the power of actions alone, one whose conduct is bad attains rebirth in such places although not desiring it.

- [3] There is dependence upon something other in relation to what is desired due to the attainment of propitious states of existence on account of good conduct; what is desired refers to the attainment of the propitious states of existence. The propitious states of existence are those of the gods and mankind. Good conduct consists in: (a) abstention from the taking of life etc. together with the preparation and consequences of the latter, (b) acts of generosity, respect and honour etc. towards teachers, preceptors and those who possess virtue etc. and (c) the special virtues of love and compassion and the like. There is dependence on something other since rebirth in the propitious states of existence is dependent upon good conduct alone, for even those who seek it do not attain a propitious state of existence in any other way apart from [the practice of] good conduct.
- [4] There is dependence upon something other in relation to purity...: purity refers to the relinquishment of moral defilement²⁷². ...[by not relinquishingl the five hindrances, i.e.: (a) the desire for sensual pleasure, (b) malice, (c) torpor and drowsiness. (d) excitability and remorse and (e) doubt: they are five after combining torpor and drowsiness as one, and similarly, excitability and remorse. Nonspecifically, they are hindrances (nivaranāni) since they hinder (nivrnyanti) the wholesome side. Specifically, they are hindrances since they respectively conceal; (a) the joy of setting forth²⁷³ [from the household life], (b) correct spiritual practice for one who is inspired in the right way by fellow brahmacarins towards the actions of body and speech that conform to that [correct spiritual practice], (c) the absence of faintheartedness at the time of meditative calm. (d) the absence of distraction at the time of exertion and (e) the state of spontaneity at the time of meditative calm and penetrating insight. ... By not relinquishing; i.e. by not abandoning²⁷⁴, those [hindrances] and so on up until not having cultivated the seven limbs of enlightenment. He says: "concluding with" because this is an exposition of the final member, that is to say, the foundations of mindfulness²⁷⁵, the complete relinquishments²⁷⁶, the bases of psychic

²⁷⁰ Ms.(18a.3); pretās tirvancas ca: disregard Y's fn.2 p.151.

²⁷¹ Ms.(18a.3): ekänta duhkhatvän which Y has amended to ekäntena; however, the Tib.: śin tu sdug bsňal ba'i phyir would suggest a reading of suduhkhatvän.

²⁷² Ms.(18a.6-7); viśuddhih kleśaprahānam; disregard Y's fn.4 p.151.

²⁷³ Tib. omits pravraiva: cf. D262b.6.

²⁷⁴ Ms.(18a.7): aprahāṇāyātyaktvā, but Y's emendation to aprahāyātyaktvā is correct; cf. Bhāṣya N46.13.

²⁷⁵ Ms.(18b.1); smrtyupasthāna-; disregard Y's fn.8 p.151.

²⁷⁶ Read: -prahāna- in place of -prahāna-.

Y152

Y153

power, the faculties and the powers are also included. ...Because of not bringing suffering to an end. The end of suffering refers to that [state] where suffering is non-existent and this is nirvāṇa²⁷⁷. That this is not brought about is [equivalent to] its non-attainment; what is meant is: one does not attain nirvāṇa. Alternatively, the end of suffering refers to the fact that one does not create the suffering pertinent to rebirth, for one does not create such²⁷⁸ suffering as that from which other suffering is entailed. The dependence upon something other in regard to purity refers to the fact that it is subject to the relinquishment of the five hindrances.²⁷⁹

[5] There is dependence upon something other in relation to concurrent births of two tathagatas or cakravartins 280, existing simultaneously. What is meant is: both together; ...i.e. of two tathagatas or two cakravartias, since they cannot be born into the one world sphere. Some schools believe that with regard to the two tathagatas, the world-sphere of 3,000 million worlds is meant: however, [the world-sphere that consists in] the four continents [is meant] in regard to the two cakravartins. Others believe that the world consisting in the four continents (is meant] in regard to the two tathagatas as well. 281 For the Buddhas, the Venerable Ones, display their perfect enlightenment and pariniryana in the world-sphere of 3,000 million worlds through the influence of the Dharma Body, in each world consisting in four continents, by means of the Transformation Body²⁸². For thus, the aim of the bodhisattvas, i.e. the possession of death and rebirth²⁸³ in one of the worlds that consist in the four great²⁸⁴ continents in the Tusita realms or among mankind²⁸⁵, is the same in regard to the other worlds that consist in the four continents. Therefore, they believe that the Venerable One, who was perfectly enlightened already in the Akanistha realm, displays by means of the Transformation Body: (a) his birth and passing away in all of the worlds consisting in the four continents which are included in the [worldsphere of \(\frac{1286}{3} \) 3,000 million worlds and in the Tusita realms and (b) everything such as the possession of rebirth among mankind. In this respect the dependency on something other in regard to concurrent existences refers to the fact that the birth of a Buddha and a cakravartin depends on unequal productive karma²⁸⁷.

²⁷⁷ Ms.(18b.1): nirvāṇa / tasya however a small section of the folio is missing directly above this passage hence the anusvāra should be inserted; disregard Y's fn.1 p.152.

²⁷⁸ Read: tādršam as per Ms.(18b.2) in place of tādršvam.

²⁷⁹ Tib. is slightly different: "The dependence upon something other in regard to purity is due to its being subject to..."; ...la rag lus pa'i phyir mam par dag pa gźan gyi dbań fild (D263a.4).

²⁸⁰ tathtiggaracakravartinor is inserted after the Tib, and is not found in the Ms.; cf. Y's fn.3 p.152

²⁸¹ Ms.(18b.3): everyaty apare, but Y's emendation to every apare is correct.

²⁸² Ms.(18b.4); nirmānakāyena; disregard Y's fn.5 p.152.

²⁸³ Ms.(18b.4): cyutijanmaparigrahe, but Y's emendation to -parigraho is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.6 p.152. Note: Tib. omits cyuti.

²⁸⁴ Tib. omits mahl; cf. 2263b.1.

²⁸⁵ Ms.(18b.4): manyesyesu, but Y's emendation to manusyesu is correct.

²⁸⁶ lokadhātāv is inserted on the basis of the Tib.

²⁸⁷ Read: c@samasamvartanlya which accords with the Ms.(18b.6) and D: mfiam par 'gyur ba ma yin pa (D263b.2) in place of ca samasamvartanlya.

[6] There is dependence upon something other in relation to sovereignty since women²⁸⁸ cannot become *cakravartins* etc. Because it is only in the body of a man that the *karma* conducive to [rebirth as] a *cakravartin* has the power to provide the [appropriate] *karma* result, for a woman²⁸⁹ does not have the capacity to enjoy the [seven] 'jewels' such as a wife.²⁹⁰ The word "etc." refers to śakra [i.e. Indra] etc.

[7] There is dependence upon something other in relation to complete attainment since a woman²⁹¹ cannot become perfectly enlightened, whether it be individual enlightenment or supreme enlightenment. "Attainment" refers to the realization of: (a) Buddhahood or (b) pratyekabuddha-hood. The dependence on something other in this context refers to its attainment by a man and its non-attainment by a woman²⁹², because, like a rhinoceros [i.e. a pratyekabuddha], [a woman] is not suited to: (a) become a teacher in the three realms and (b) have no contact [with people], respectively. Furthermore, [women] are vulnerable to all kinds of rogues and are unfit for perfect enlightenment without a teacher due to their scant wisdom.

[8] There is dependence upon something other in relation to behaviour because one endowed with [sound] views does not commit [violent] behaviour such as murder²⁹³, in contrast to the behaviour of ordinary people. One endowed with [sound] views is [equivalent to] one who has insight into the truth. "Murder²⁹⁴ refers to the taking of a life. By the word "etc.", the taking of what is not given and the like are referred to. Those [acts] such as murder which have the acts of body and speech for their own-being do not manifest on the part of one who has insight into the truth²⁹⁵ because such a person has relinquished the moral defilement which causes the arising of bad conduct such as murder²⁹⁶ by means of the path of vision. These [acts] do manifest on the part of ordinary people since they do

.

²⁸⁸ Read: striyāś in place of striyā; cf. Bhāsya N46.16.

²⁸⁹ Read: strf as per Ms.(18b.7) in place of strfh.

²⁹⁰ The seven rama of the Cakravartin are: wheel, elephant, horse, riches, wife, 'treasurer' (grhapati) and minister. For a full list of references see LVP Kośa II p.203, fn.2. Cf. also BHSD p.450b.

²⁹¹ Read: striv@h which accords with Bhasva N46.17 in place of stry@: Ms.(18b.7): striv@

²⁹² Read: aprāptih striyāh in place of na tu striyah; Tib. bud med kyis 'thob par mi 'gyur te (D263b.4-5).

²⁹³ Read: vadhādy- as per Ms.(47a.1) in place of bādhādy-; disregard Y's fn.4 p.153.

²⁹⁴ Read: vadhah as per Ms.(47a.1) in place of badhah.

²⁹⁵ Read: to vadhādayah kāyavākkriyāsvabhāvā dīstasatvasya in place of to badhādayah kāyavākkriyāsvabhāvā dīstasampannasya; contrary to Y's fin.5 p.153, Yls.(47a.2): -h kāyavākdriyāsvabhāvā dīstasatyasya. The Tib. is slighdy different: "those [acts] such as muret which have the acts of body and speech for their own-being do not manifest on the part of one who is endowed with [sound views]" good pa la sogs pa de dag ui su dati riag gi bya bai rati bžin no // ...la ba phun sum tshogs pa daa ldan pa ni kun tu mi spyod do (D263b.7ff.).

²⁹⁶ Read: vadhādi- in place of bādhādi.

not relinquish these [moral defilements]. Furthermore, they do not relinquish the latter due to the fact that the path which [acts] as the counteragent to them has not arisen.

[9] Thus, the skill in the possible and the impossible is associated with a dependence upon something other in relation to what is not desired etc. and is the counteragent to the adherence to the belief in the independence of the purusa and the Iśvara etc. For, if there were no dependency whatsover, [people] should not fall into wretched states of existence on account of bad conduct. It should be stated similarly in regard to the other cases as well.

f. The Meaning of the Faculties.

N46.22 There are twenty-two kinds of faculties:

III.20 ab

[These are considered] as being for the purpose of: (a) perception, (b) duration, (c) continuity, (d) experience and (e) the two purities.

N47 [They are considered] as being for the purpose of [the five beginning with] perception and concluding with the two purities, since they exercise a dominant influence in regard to these [five]. For, (a) there is the dominant influence of the six beginning with sight in relation to the perception of sense-objects of form etc. (b) [There is the dominant influence] of the vital faculty in relation to duration since death does not occur on account of its dominant influence. (c) [There is the dominant influence] of the female and male faculties in relation to the continuation of the family because these [faculties] exercise a dominant influence in the procreation of offspring. (d) [There is the dominant influence] of the faculties of sensation in relation to experience since one experiences the result of wholesome and unwholesome action. And (e) [there is the dominant influence] of faith etc. in relation to mundane purity: [there is the dominant influence] of the faculty of understanding

(Sthiramati)

Y154.3 [1] Since the meaning of the faculties should be mentioned immediately following the explanation of the meaning of the possible and the impossible, he says: there are twenty-two kinds of faculties, i.e. beginning with the faculty of sight and

what has not been understood etc. in relation to supramundane purity.

concluding with the faculty of the possession of perfect knowledge. Moreover, in this context "faculty" (indriya) has the sense of 'dominant influence' (3dhipatya). As to which has dominant influence where, he says:

III.20 ab

[These are considered] as being for the purpose of: (a) perception, (b) duration, (c) continuity, (d) experience and (e) the two purities.²⁹⁷

In this [verse] the word "dominant influence" is to be understood as having been omitted for metrical reasons; properly²⁹⁸ [it should read]: "as being for the purpose of exercising a dominant influence upon: ...(e) the two [purities]". Therefore, the author of the commentary says: since they exercise a dominant influence in regard to these [five]. The expression: "for the purpose of" (artha) in the statement: "for the purpose of perception", signifies 'aim' (prayojana). He shows that the faculty therein is for the purpose of perception²⁹⁹. The same should be stated, respectively, in other cases as well. "in regard to these" [means] in regard to the perception of the object etc. It is a dominant influence since it is a superior power; what is meant is: the dominant influence is [equivalent to] causality.

- [2] The sense-objects of form etc. are those beginning with form and concluding with the non-sensible. The perception of the latter consists in sight-consciousness etc. In relation to these, there is the dominant influence of the six beginning with sight. It is described as a faculty since it is a superior power over colour etc.³⁰⁰ because sight is the basis for the consciousness which differentiates form/colour (rūpa) without exception. However, the colour blue cannot be the objective support for the consciousness of the colour yellow etc.³⁰¹ In detail, the same should be stated, respectively, with regard to hearing etc.
- [3] [There is the dominant influence] of the vital faculty in relation to duration. The vital faculty, which is pierced by the latent impressions of previous karma³⁰² when there is no interrupting condition in the karma result continuum, consists in the capacity for rebirth in each subsequent moment which corresponds to each preceding moment. Here now he gives the reason for the fact that there is the

²⁹⁷ Read: -bhogasuddhidvayārthataḥ as per Mr.(47a.4) in place of -bhoge suddhidvayārthataḥ; cf. Bhāsva N46.23.

²⁹⁸ Read: yuktitah in place of yuktau; Tib. rigs pa las (D264a.4).

²⁹⁹ Read: grahanaprayojananimitam as per Ms. (47a.5) in place of grahanaprayojanam nimitam Tib. is slightly different and omits tatrendriyam iti dariayati: 'dzin pa'i dgos pa ste ched (pa) yin la (D264a.5) = "...the purpose of perception being the cause".

³⁰⁰ Cf. Kośa (P38.3); kaji punar indriyārthaji / idi paramaiśvarye / tasya indantīti indriyāņi / ata ādhipatyārtha indriyārthaji.

³⁰¹ The first few syllables of line 7 (47a) are illegible; the line begins: -sya vijdānasyā-. Perhaps a better reading than Y's would be: ptādirūpasya vijdānasyā-.

³⁰² Ms.(47a,7): karmakarmaväsanä-, but Y's emendation to ptirvakarmaväsanä- is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.5 p.154.

¥155

dominant influence of the vital faculty with regard to duration 303: since death does not occur on account of its dominant influence. The absence of death is [equivalent to] the continuance of the homogeneous groups projected by previous karma. Food and the like are not faculties even though they are instrumental in the maintenance of life because, in spite of their presence, there is no continued existence when life is extinguished and also, because in the realm of form and the formless realm, the presence of continued existence is due only to the vital faculty, even though there is no bodily nutriment. Moreover, in this case the dominant influence of contact etc. in relation to continued existence is not tenable because the maintenance of sentient beings in the conditions of the attainment of cessation and non-consciousness and also in [induced] non-consciousness is possible, even though contact etc. is absent.

[4] [There is the dominant influence] of the female and male faculties in relation to the continuation of the family 304. Since there is no break in the continuation of the family when a son is born the continuation of the family refers to the birth of a son. Now, in order to demonstrate this, he says: because of their dominant influence in procreation. A certain part of the faculty of touch receives the title: "female" or "male faculty" because it exerts a particular dominant influence. Where there is the establishment of the family, there is the presence of the female faculty and the male faculty and by virtue of these there is no break in the continuity of the family. Moreover, the family is established among the gods dwelling in [the realm of] form if the female faculty and the male faculty are present, but not if they are not present. For, there is no dominant influence in this respect on the part of gandharvas etc. because there is no capacity for the procreation of sons on the part of eunuchs etc. who have no female or male faculties 305, even if the gandharvas etc. are present³⁰⁶. Furthermore, food and the like are not faculties because they are not enumerated among animate things although they are dominant influences (of sorts).

[5] [There is the dominant influence] of the faculties of sensation in relation to experience; the fact that this is a dominant influence is understood. How so? Hence he says: because one experiences the result of wholesome and unwholesome action. The faculties of sensation are five: pleasure, pain, gladness, sadness and equanimity. It is [through the dominant influence] of these that one experiences the result of wholesome and unwholesome karma because they have the nature of experience, but name / form (nāmarūpa) have no dominant influence over them because they are [only] the ground for sensation. And the state of having them

³⁰³ Ms.(47b.1); -ne iL. ndrivasvädhipatyam; disregard Y's fn.6 p.154.

³⁰⁴ Ms.(47b.2): kula-; disregard Y's fn.1 p.155.

³⁰⁵ Read: strtpurusendri- in place of strtpusendri-.

³⁰⁶ For a full discussion on the significance of the gandharvas in child-conception, cf. O. H. De A. Wijesekera: "Vedic Gandharva and Pali Gandhabba" in UCR April 1945.

[i.e. nāmarūpa] as the ground refers to sensation because of the predominance of the karma-result.

- [6] Mundane purity refers to the relinquishment of the mundane moral defilement. In regard to this [there is the dominant influence] of faith etc., i.e. of the five faculties of faith, vigour, mindfulness, meditative concentration and wisdom; that these are dominant influences remains in force because they are characterized by the mundane path since they are the counteragents to lack of faith etc. Others believe that it is because they are characterized by the elements that are conducive to liberation.
- [7] [There is the dominant influence] of the faculty of understanding what has not been understood etc. in relation to supramundane purity. The fact that this is a dominant influence remains in force. Supramundane purity refers to the relinquishment of moral defilement by means of the supramundane path. In relation to this, there is the dominant influence of three: (a) the faculty of understanding what has not been understood, (b) the faculty of understanding and (c) the faculty of the possession of perfect knowledge, for these three are respectively incorporated in the paths of: (a) vision, (b) meditative development and (c) the adept. Therein, with reference to which is appropriate according to their differences in being based on the preliminary stage [of the first dhyāna]³⁰⁷ etc., these nine faculties, i.e. the five beginning with faith as well as mind, happiness, gladness and equanimity, are called: (a) the faculty of understanding what has not been understood, on the path of vision, (b) the faculty of understanding, on the path of meditative development and (c) the faculty of the possession of perfect knowledge¹⁰⁸, on the path of the adept.
- [8] However, this arrangement is different for the yogācāra the faculty of understanding what has not been understood and the faculty of understanding comprise ten faculties, i.e., there are ten after adding 'sadness' to the nine mentioned above. However, the faculty of the possession of perfect knowledge³⁰⁹ comprises only nine. In this regard, on the path of preparation which consists in the aids to insight³¹⁰, and on the fifteen moments of the path of vision, there is the faculty of mind and the five beginning with faith and any one of the faculties of happiness, gladness, sadness and equanimity³¹¹, as is appropriate according to their differences in being based on the preliminary stage [of the first dhyāna] etc. Furthermore, the faculty of sadness³¹² should be understood as being included because of the longing for supreme deliverance that follows after the aids to insight, at the time of preparation. These tenfold faculties, according to which is appropriate, are described as the faculty of under-

³⁰⁷ anteamyz cf. Siddhi fn.1 p.489 and LVP Kośa VI p.228.

³⁰⁹ Ms.(48a.3); Jiffitävindriyan; disregard Y's fn.1 p.156.

³¹⁰ Ms.(48a.3); nirvedha-; disregard Y's fn.2 p.156.

³¹¹ Ms.(48a.4): -poksandriyilailar; disregard Y's fn.3 p.156.

³¹² Ms.(48a.4): daurmanasyendriyam; disregard Y's fn.4 p.156.

standing what has not been understood since they manifest in order to understand a reality that was not previously³¹³ understood. The same ten kinds of faculties are described as comprising the faculty of understanding on this the path of one who is still in training, i.e. extending from the sixteen moments of the path of vision up until the vajra-like meditative concentration, because there is nothing to be known that was not previously known. On the path of the adept, the remaining [faculties] with the exception of the faculty of sadness receive the title [collectively]: 'the faculty of the possession of perfect knowledge'. Since it is the faculty pertinent to one who possesses perfect knowledge it is [described as] the faculty of the possession of perfect knowledge³¹⁴. In this way the adherence to the belief in a dominant influence in regard to the purusa, the nārāyaṇa or the Iśvara is checked for one who is skilled in the faculties.

g. The Meaning of the Times.

N47.8 III.20 cd

Y157

The next [is considered] in the sense of the experience of the result and the cause and likewise their non-experience.

What is next? The three respective times: the past time [should be known] in the sense of the experience of the result and cause. The future time [should be known] in the sense of the non-experience of the result and cause. The present time should be known in the sense of the experience of the cause and the non-experience of the result.

[Sthiramati]

Y157.9 [1] The meaning of the three times³¹⁵ should be mentioned immediately after the meaning of the faculties, hence he says:

III.20 cd

The next [is considered] in the sense of the experience of the result and the cause and likewise their non-experience. 316

³¹³ Tib. (D265b.4) inserts ston (pllrva) which is not found in the Ms.

³¹⁴ Read: ijālākvina indriyam ijālākvindriyam iti in place of ijālbhlivīndriyam iti ijālākvindriyam ucyate; Ms. (48a.6): -indriyam ijālākvindriyam iti. Tib. kun ées pa dati Idan pa'i daba po yin pas kun ées pa dati Idan pa'i dbah po zes bya'o (D265b.6). Disregard Y's fn.3 p.157.

³¹³ Ms.(48a.6): adhvatray@rtho; disregard Y's fn.4 p.157.

³¹⁶ Read: phalahettipayogärthanopayogät tathäparam phalahettipayogatväd anäbhogät tathäparam; Cf. Bhäsya N47.8.

The cause therein refers to the cause of rebirth: the result is that which is incorporated in the rebirth. The experience of the cause is the imparting of the result; the experience of the result is the expiration of what has been experienced. And since such a cause and its result pertain to the past, he says; the past time [should be known] in the sense of the experience of the result and cause³¹⁷. The future time Ishould be knownl in the sense of the non-experience of the result and cause³¹⁸ in that same mode. Furthermore, the present³¹⁹ time, i.e. the present rebirth, should be known in the sense of the experience of the cause, because the cause has produced a result; and in the sense of the non-experience of the result, because this result follows the present rebirth. The determination of the times should be understood as referring to momentariness, for the existing entities, at every instant, possess the nature of cause and result³²⁰. Therein, the experience of the cause is due to the production of its own result. The experience of the result is due to the destruction of the effect³²¹ immediately following its birth. Thus the subject under discussion is the fact that the past time [should be understood] in the sense of the experience of the result and the cause; the future time [should be understood] in the sense of the non-experience of the result and the cause. The non-experience of the result and its cause should be known as being due to: (a) the non-production³²² of the effect and (b) the absence of the destruction of what has not come into being statement; [the present time should be understood] in the sense of the experience of the cause and the non-experience of the result [means]: (a) the experience of the cause is due to the production of the effect and (b) the non-experience of the result is due to the non-destruction of the effect. In this way, the adherence to the belief in the self as something permanent is checked for one who is skillful in the times because he does not perceive anything other apart from the times 323.

h. The Meaning of the Four Truths.

N47.13 III.21 abcd

Y158

The next is considered in the sense of: (a) sensation together with its cause, (b) the practice caused by the

³¹⁷ Read: phalahet@payog&rthen&tito in place of phalasya taddhetoś copayog&rthen&tito; cf. Bhasya N47.9. Ma.(48a.7): phalahet@pa-.

³¹⁸ Read: phalahetvanupayogārthenānāgato 'dhvā in place of phalasya hetoś cănupayogārthenānāgato 'dhvā; cf. Bhāṣya N47.10.

³¹⁹ Read: pratyutpannah in place of vartamanah; cf. Bhasya N47.11.

³²⁰ Ms.(48b.1): hetuphalabhä-; disregard Y;s fn.9 p.157.

³²¹ Read: kāryasya as per Ms.(48b.2) in place of phalasya.

³²² Read: anutplidanit as per Ms.(48b.2) in place of anutplidit.

³²³ Ms.(48b.3): adhva-: disregard Y's fn.2 p.158.

latter, (c) the appeasement of these and (d) the counteragent.

What is next? The four truths. Of these, the truth of suffering [is considered] in the sense of sensation together with its cause, considering that [it is said]: "whatever sensation is, in this context it [bas the characteristic] of suffering". Furthermore, the cause of sensation should be known as the *dharmas* that pertain to sensation. The truth of origination [is considered in the sense] of the practice caused by that, i.e. the practice caused by the truth³²⁴ of suffering. The truth of cessation [is considered] in the sense of the appeasement of the [first] two. The truth of the path [is considered] in the sense of the counteragent.

[Sthiramati]

Y158.10 [1] The meaning of the four truths should be mentioned immediately following the meaning of the three times, hence he savs:

III.21 ab

[The next is considered] in the sense of: (a) sensation together with its cause, (b) the practice³²⁵ caused by the latter etc.³²⁶

It is generally known that sensation has the sense of suffering; also, according to this statement from a treatise³²⁷: "whatever sensation is, in this context it [has the characteristic] of suffering". With regard to the respective determination of the [four] truths, all sensation together with its cause, be it pleasurable and accompanied by impurity, painful, or neither pleasurable nor painful, means the truth of suffering; in order to demonstrate this the author of the commentary says: of these, the truth of suffering [is considered] in the sense of sensation together with its cause. Why is it that all sensation is [equivalent to] suffering? He says: considering that³²⁸ [it is said]: "synatever sensation is, in this context it [has the characteristic] of suffering". These sensations are [equivalent to] suffering since they have the nature of: (a) the suffering of suffering, (b) the suffering of change and (c) the suffering of the formative forces, respectively. Alternatively, [sensation is equivalent to] suffering on account of just the fact that it has the nature of the suffering of the formative forces. Furthermore, the cause of sensation should be known as the *dharmas* that pertain to sensation. The *dharmas* that pertain to

³²⁴ Tib. omits satya; cf. D15a.2.

³²⁵ Read: -prapattitah as per Ms. (48b.4) in place of pratipattitah; cf. Bhisya N47.13.

³²⁶ Read: vistarah in place of vitarah.

³²⁷ Cf. LVP Kośa VI p.131 where this passage is discussed.

³²⁸ Read: kptvä in place of vacanad; cf. Bhāşya N47.16.

sensation are those consisting in the sensations of pleasure, pain, and neither pleasure nor pain. In this way the five aggregates are explained as the truth of suffering.

- [2] The truth of origination [is considered in the sense] of the practice caused by the latter³²⁹. The truth of suffering is referred to by "the latter". That practice on account of which suffering is brought into being consists in the truth of origination. Moreover, the latter, which has the activities of body, speech and mind for its essential nature, is preceded by the cause of the craving for sensation and the dharmas that pertain to sensation. In brief, it has been said that the truth³³⁰ of origination consists in the karma³³¹ that is the source of becoming.
 - [3] The truth of cessation [is considered] in the sense of the appeasement of the [first] two. The [first] two are the truths of suffering and of origination. The words: "in the sense of the appeasement..." Thus, since it is characterized by the non-origination of suffering together with its causes, cessation is described as being twofold: (a) as possessing a remaining substratum in the sense of the appeasement of origination and (b) as not possessing a remaining substratum in the sense of the appeasement of suffering.
- [4] The truth of the path..., i.e. the way leading to the cessation of both suffering and its origination [is considered] in the sense of the counteragent to these same two which have been referred to, i.e. suffering and its origination. In this way, since one who is skillful in the truths has an understanding of defilement and purification alone, his adherence to the belief in defilement and purification other than this is checked because [he understands that] it does not exist.

i. The Meaning of the Three Vehicles.

N47.22 III.22 abc

¥159

The next are to be known because one goes forth through the knowledge of virtues and faults and [through direct intuition] devoid of conceptual differentiation [learnt] from others or by oneself;

³²⁹ Read: tannimittapratipattitah in place of tan nimittam pratipattitah; cf. Bhāsya N47.17-18.

³³⁰ Tib. omits satyant; cf. D266b.5.

³³¹ Ms.(49a.1): karma; disregard Y's fn.1 p.159.

³³² Ms. (49a.1): sama-; disregard Y's fn.2 p.159 in this context. As has been already noted, the Ms. does not often distinguish between sa, sa and sa.

[The next are] the three vehicles, respectively. Of these, the śrāvaka vehicle [should be known] because one goes forth [as a mendicant], having learnt from others through the knowledge of the virtues and faults of nirvāna and samsāra. The pratyekabuddha vehicle [should be known] because one goes forth on one's own, without having learnt from others, through that same [knowledge]. The universal vehicle should be known because one goes forth on one's own through direct intuition devoid of conceptual differentiation.

[Sthiramati]

Y159.15 [1] The meaning of the three vehicles is illustrated immediately following the meaning of the truths, hence he says:

III.22 ab-

...Through [the knowledge] of virtues and faults and [direct intuition] devoid of conceptual differentiation³³³ etc.

The word "knowledge" is understood with both, i.e. (a) as the knowledge of virtues and faults and (b) as the direct intuition devoid of conceptual differentiation. Alternatively, the words '[knowledge that consists in] conceptual differentiation' can be regarded as having been omitted from (a). It should be mentioned that in (a) above [the word "knowledge" has the sense of the knowledge of virtues and faults after distinguishing it as [being learnt] from others or on one's own. Since that which is devoid of conceptual differentiation is only learnt by oneself, in the commentary he says: respectively. Of these, ...through the knowledge of the virtues and faults of nirvana and samsara. The word "nirvana" in this context includes both the path and cessation, as does the word "tranquillity". Therein, the knowledge of virtue in relation to nirvana refers to knowledge that is: (a) in the aspects of tranquillity etc. 334 in regard to cessation and (b) in the aspects of definitive liberation etc. in regard to the path³³⁵. Although samsara has the nature of both suffering and its origination. therein, the knowledge of faults in relation to samsara refers to knowledge that is: (a) in the aspects of impermanence and suffering etc. and (b) in the aspects of origination and causal conditions etc. Having learnt from others...; i.e. having learnt of the virtues and faults of nirvāṇa and saṃsāra, as have been described³³⁶ from the Buddhas and bodhisattvas etc.. Because one goes forth [as a mendicant]...; i.e. because one departs from samsāra, the árāvaka vehicle [should be known]; i.e. because

³³³ Ms.(49a.3): gunadośłwikalpena; disregard Y's fn.3 p.159.

³³⁴ Ms.(49a.5): śāntyādy-; disregard Y's fn.5 p.159.

³³⁵ Ms.(49a.5): marge; disregard Y's fn.6 p.159.

³³⁶ Read: nirvāņasamsārayor yathoktān došān guņāms ca śrutvā as per Ms.(49a.6) in place of nirvāņasamsārayor gunān yathoktān došāms ca śrutvā; in the Ms. gunān has been added in the magin.

one goes forth relying on the unterances of others. By the term "śrāvaka vehicle", he shows that it is distinct from the pratyekabuddha vehicle.

- [2] Through that same knowledge of the virtues and faults of nirvāṇa and saṃsāra because one goes forth on one's own, not having learnt³³⁷ from others³³⁸, i.e. from the Buddhas and bodhisattvas, the pratyekabuddha vehicle [should be known]. By the words: "on one's own, not having learnt from others"³³⁹. The shows that it is distinct from the śrāvaka vehicle.
- [3] Through direct intuition devoid of conceptual differentiation...; direct intuition is devoid of conceptual differentiation because it penetrates the ineffable real nature of saṃsāra and nirvāṇa; the universal vehicle should be known because one goes forth just on one's own without having learnt from others. In this context, the term "direct intuition" distinguishes this from the pratyekabuddha vehicle.
- [4] However, others believe: (a) the śrāvaka vehicle is [described as] a going forth through the direct intuition which has the insubstantiality of the personal entity for its object and consists in an absence of conceptual differentiation in regard to virtues and faults, after having learnt from others, (b) the pratyekabuddha vehicle [is described as] a going forth on one's own without dependence upon³⁴⁰ learning [from others] and (c) the universal vehicle is described as a going forth on one's own through direct intuition which is devoid of conceptual differentiation, having the insubstantiality of the dharmas for its object and leading to the nirvāṇa [in which the bodhisattva is] not permanently fixed. However this explanation³⁴¹ is contradicted by the commentary.

In this way the adherence to the belief that the self is a yogi is checked for one who possesses skill in the three vehicles due to the observation that there is just yoga.

j. The Meaning of the Conditioned and the Unconditioned.

N48.6 III.22 def

The final [topic] is declared as being due to: (a) the causal-sign in accompaniment with both its designation

³³⁷ Ms.(49a.7): -ddh# but Y's emendation to (śru)tv# is preferred; cf. his fn.3 p.160.

³³⁸ Read: parato as per Ms.(49a.6) in place of parabhyo; cf. Bhlisya N48.3.

³³⁹ parato is inserted on the basis of the Tib. and is not found in the Ms.

³⁴⁰ Ms.(49b.1): nirapeksasya which Y amends to nirapeksaya; cf. his fn.5 p.160.

³⁴¹ Read: vyškhyšnam as per Ms.(49b.2) in place of škhyšnam; Tib. mam par bšad pa.

and cause and (b) tranquillity together with its object.

[The final topic] refers to the conditioned and the unconditioned. The words: "in accompaniment with its designation" in this [verse] refer to the name-group etc. The "cause" refers to that in which the 'seeds' are incorporated, i.e. the store-consciousness. The "causal-sign" refers to that in which the support, the body and experience are incor-Those [elements] that are incorporated in the actual consciousnesses are: (a) mind, (b) apprehending and (c) conceptual This the causal-sign, in accompaniment with both its differentiation. designation and cause together with its associated elements, is to be known as the conditioned. Therein, "mind" refers to the aspect of mental activity that is eternal; "apprehending" refers to the five groups of consciousness; "conceptual differentiation" refers to the mind-consciousness because it is this that conceptually differentiates.

The unconditioned refers to: (a) cessation which is [equivalent to] tranquillity and (b) the object of tranquillity, i.e. thusness. [Therein, tranquillity refers to both cessation and the path, considering that the former [i.e. cessation] consists in tranquillity and tranquillity is on account of the latter [i.e. the path]. Thusness is the object of tranquillity (prasamartha), considering that it is the object of tranquillity (prasamasya artha)]³⁴² because thusness is the objective support of the path. Tranquillity belongs to the path because tranquilization [occurs] on account of it.

Thus, it is in these meanings that the knowledge in regard to the aggregates etc. should be known as the skill in the aggregates etc.

[Sthiramati]

Y160.23 [1] Now, in order to clearly illustrate the meaning of the conditioned and the unconditioned, he says:

Y161 III.22 def

The final [topic] is declared as being due to: (a) the causal-sign in accompaniment with both its designation and cause and (b) tranquillity together with its object.³⁴³

in place of:

sagrajfaptisahetukam/
nimittam prasamah sārthah pascēld eva prakāšitam // Cf. Bhāşya N48.6.

³⁴² The passage marked by parenthesis (N48.15-17) is not found in the Tib. Bhasya (cf. D15a.7) although portions of it are glossed by the Tiki; cf. Nagao's fn.7 p.48.

³⁴³ Read: saprajdaptisahetukit / nimittik prašamik siirthik pascimam samudihrtam //

What is this that is listed as the final [topic]? He says: it refers to the conditioned and the unconditioned because this was listed at the very end. Therein, the conditioned is determined as being due to the causal-sign in accompaniment with its designation and cause; whereas, the unconditioned is determined as being due to tranquillity together with its object. Moreover, in order to clearly illustrate the designation, cause and causal-sign since they are not known, he says: The words: "in accompaniment with its designation"344 refer to the name-group etc. The name-group and sentence-group consist in the designation since the own-being and particular of things are designated through the name-groups and sentence groups³⁴⁵; but the syllable-group consists in the designation because it causes the manifestation of the latter two. The "cause" refers to that in which the 'seeds' are incorporated, i.e. The latter, which is endowed with the latent the store-consciousness. impressions of all impure dharmas and is not the imparted result, is incorporated in the truth of origination. Consequently, in order to distinguish this from the imparted result, he says: "that in which the 'seeds' are incorporated". The "causal-sign" refers to that in which the support, the body³⁴⁶ and experience are incorporated.³⁴⁷ It is the store-consciousness that is referred to. The [elements] incorporated in the actual consciousnesses are: (a) mind, (b) apprehending and (c) conceptual differentiation³⁴⁸. The "support" in the above refers to the inanimate world, i.e. the store-consciousness in the appearance of the latter. It is incorporated 349 as the support since it is incorporated in its nature as the support. The "body"350 refers to the physical body together with the faculties, i.e. the store-consciousness in the appearance of the latter. It is that in which the body is incorporated; what is meant is; it possesses the body for its nature. It is that in which experience is incorporated - this has already been described in the above. It consists in experience³⁵¹ since the other consciousnesses experience these two [i.e. the body and experience] as being the dominant entities³⁵². Alternatively, it is experience (bhoga) since food and drink and the like are experienced (bhuivate). That within which experience is incorporated is the [store]-consciousness in the appearance as the latter. This the causal-sign, in accompaniment with both its designation and cause together with its associated elements, is to be known as the conditioned

³⁴⁴ Read: sapraitiantir in place of praitiantir; cf. Bhasya N48.8.

³⁴⁵ Cf. LVP Kośa II p.238.

³⁴⁶ Ms.(49b.5): -deha-; disregard Y's fn.2 p.161.

³⁴⁷ Cf. MSA XI.40 comm.

³⁴⁸ Read: pravrttivijñānasamgṛħitās ca manaudgrahavikalpaḥ in place of pravṛttivijñānasamgṛħitam manaudgrahavikalpam; cf Bhāṣya N48.10.

³⁴⁹ pratisthis amgrhitam is omitted from the text of the Ms. (49b.6) but has been added to the Ms. margin.

³⁵⁰ Ms.(49b.6); pratisth#dehabhoga-; disregard Y's fn.3 p.161.

³⁵¹ Ms.(49b.7); -vāh but Y's rendering of (bhog)ah is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. D268a.4-5.

³⁵² Cf. MSA XI.40 comm.

¥162

since it is included in the other-dependent nature. "Together with its associated elements" 353 refers to the mental concomitants; he shows that the conditioned is not solely these. But to what does the causal-sign belong? To the name-group etc. because these clearly indicate the own-being and particular of that [causal-sign] and since it is in conformity with these [i.e. the name-group etc.], the causal-sign belongs to the [elements] called mind, apprehending and conceptual differentiation, i.e. the mind and mental concomitants 354 of those who are notionally attached to the own-being and the particular.

- [2] Some believe that mind, apprehending and conceptual differentiation³⁵⁵ consist in the causal-sign which belongs to the store-consciousness because the nourishment of the latent impressions occurs there. Others again believe that since it designates the own-being and particular, the sign belongs to the designation and consists in conceptualization (samjñā) because of the statement³⁵⁶ that it has the nature of the apprehension of the sign. The store-consciousness which incorporates the support, the body and experience is the causal-sign of the foundation because there is the nourishment of the latent impressions [therein]. Mind, apprehending and conceptual differentiation are also the causal-sign of the objective support because they create the objective support-sign³⁵⁷; and since it creates the sign in both cases, the causal-sign belongs to both the objective support and the supporter³⁵⁸.
- [3] Therein, "mind" refers to the aspect of mental activity^{3.59} that is eternal; that which eternally thinks (manyate) in terms of 'me' and 'mine' is described as mind (manas). It is associated with: (a) delusion regarding the self, false view of the self, affection for the self and self-conceit and (b) the five all-pervading [dharmas], i.e. sensation, conceptualization, volition, contact and mental attention. "Apprehending" refers to the five groups of consciousness because they have the characteristic of 'seizing' the essential nature of the sense-object. Since the latter are to be understood intuitively and cannot be stated, they are described as 'apprehending'. "Conceptual differentiation" refers to mind-consciousness because it is this which conceptually differentiates³⁶⁰ the characteristic of the dharmas as individual or universal, All³⁶¹ of these, the causal-sign in accompaniment with its designation and

³⁵³ Read: sasamprayogas in place of samprayogas; Tib. mishuds par Idan pa dad boas pa (D268a.6)

³⁵⁴ Ms.(50a.1): cittacaittānām; disregard Y's fn.1 p.162.

³⁵⁵ Read: manaudgrahavikalpaś in place of manaudgrahavikalpam; cf. Bhāsya N48.10.

³⁵⁶ Tib. omits iti vacanāt: cf. D268b.2.

³⁵⁷ Ms (50a.3): (Blambanam) mittikarakaranārthena but Y's reading of Blambanamimittikaranārthena agrees with the Tib.; dmigs pa mishan mar byad pa'i phyir (D268b.2).

³⁵⁸ Ms.(50a.3): <u>#lamban#n#lambana-;</u> but Y's emendation to #lamban#lambaka- is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.2 p.162.

³⁵⁹ mananākāram here but Bhāsya (N48,12) manyanākāram.

³⁶⁰ Read: tasya vikalpakatvād in place of tasya vikalpitvād (Y 162.19); cf. Bhāsya N48.13-14.

³⁶¹ Tib. omits sarvam; cf. D268b.6.

cause, consist in the conditioned because they are brought about through karma and moral defilement.

[4] The unconditioned refers to: (a) cessation which is [equivalent to] tranquillity and (b) that which is the object of tranquillity, i.e. thusness. It is appropriate that cessation has tranquillity for its essential nature because it is characterized by the appeasement of suffering together with its causes. Why is thusness described as the object of tranquillity? Considering that it is the object of tranquillity. If thusness³⁶² has no connection whatsoever with cessation, how can it be the object of tranquillity? Because thusness is the objective support of the How is it that tranquillity belongs to the path? Because tranquilization 363 [occurs] on account of it. Nirvana is [equivalent to] tranquillity because it consists in tranquillity, and since tranquilization364 [occurs] on account of this [i.e. the path], tranquillity refers to ...the path. Thus, from analysing³⁶⁵ the resolution of the compound (i.e. prasamārtha) [it is evident that] both nirvāna and the path are referred to through the single expression "tranquillity". But does the truth of the path consist in the conditioned or the unconditioned? It consists in the conditioned because it is to be produced³⁶⁶. If one were to say that it consists in the unconditioned because: (a) it is not brought about through karma and moral defilement and (b) it is characterized by the unconditioned, there would be no fault in this. Thus it is in these meanings that the knowledge in regard to the aggregates etc. should be known as the skill in the aggregates etc.

> At the beginning [they are considered] in the sense of: (a) multiple, (b) collected and (c) disparate. (III.17 ab)

In detail, this should be stated in every case.

³⁶² Read: tathatilyi as per Ms.(60a.6) in place of tathatayi.

³⁶³ Read: prasamanāt in place of prasamakaranāt; cf. Bhāsya N48.17-18.

³⁶⁴ Read: prasamanāt in place of prasamakaraņāt; cf. ibid.

³⁶⁵ Ms.(50a.7): -bhedād ekābhidhānena: disregard Y's fn.1 p.163.

³⁶⁶ Ms.(50a.7): samskṛtam samakṛtam utpādyatvāt but Y's emendation to samskṛtam utpādyatvāt is preferred; cf. his fn.2 p.163.

The Summary Meaning of Reality.

The summary meaning of reality. In brief, reality is twofold: (a) N48.22 mirror reality and (b) visible reality. Mirror reality in this regard is [equivalent to] basic reality because the others are visible therein. Visible reality is ninefold: (a) the visible reality free from illusory notions: (b) the visible reality free from erroneous inversion³⁶⁷; (c) the visible reality of going forth by means of the śrzyska vehicle; (d) the visible reality of going forth by means of the universal vehicle because it brings about maturity by way of the gross and liberates by way of the subtle; (e) the visible reality of the refutation of opponents because they are refuted through reasoning based upon example: (f) the visible reality of the lucid explanation of the universal vehicle; (g) the visible reality of entry into the knowable in all aspects; (h) the visible reality of the lucid explanation of true thusness and (i) the visible reality of entry³⁶⁸ into all intended meanings in regard to the foundation for the adherence to the belief in the self.

[Sthiramati]

NAG

Y163.15 The summary meaning of reality 369. In brief, reality is twofold 370: in detail, it has been described as tenfold. Hence in brief, it is twofold³⁷¹: (a) mirror reality and (b) visible reality. Mirror reality in this regard is [equivalent to] basic reality: moreover, this consists in the three natures. As to why it is described as "mirror reality" because the others, i.e. the reality of characteristic etc., are visible therein³⁷². Visible reality which was explained previously as the reality of characteristic etc. is ninefold. Why is it described as visible reality? Because it is visible within basic reality. (a) The visible reality free Y164 from illusory notions: i.e. the reality of characteristic, for the freedom from illusory notions [comes about] on account of this because there is neither imputation nor negation in regard to: (a) the personal entity and the dharmas, (b) the apprehended object and apprehending subject and (c) existence and non-existence. (b) The visible reality free from erroneous inversion³⁷³; i.e. that which is characterized by

aviparyāsa-but Tib.: phyin ci log gi gēen po = viparyāsapratipakşa (D15b.2). 367

Tib, replaces pravesa with rtoes pa (-adhigama/prativedha etc.) 368

³⁶⁹ Read: tattvasya pindirthab in place of tattvapindirthab; cf. Bhisya N48.22.

³⁷⁰ Read: samisato dvividham tattvam in place of samisatab tattvam dvividham; cf. Bhasya N48.22

³⁷¹ Tib. inserts: "What are these two?" (mam pa gfiis po ji lta bu že na D269a.5).

³⁷² Read: tatra darsanit in place of taddarsanit; cf. Bhisya N48.23.

³⁷³ Although both the Tib. Bhasya (D15b.2) and Tika (D269a.7) reinforce Y's rendering of viparyayapratipaksa. I have retained the reading as found in the Sanskrit Bhasya (N49.1-2).

the absence of erroneous inversion, for this is the counteragent to erroneous inversion³⁷⁴ such as the notion of permanence. (c) The visible reality of going forth by means of the *śrāvaka* vehicle: i.e. the reality of cause and result. The going forth of the śrāvaka is via meditative development and the penetration of the four noble truths. (d) The visible reality of going forth by means of the universal vehicle; i.e. the reality of the gross and the subtle. One goes forth via the universal vehicle because it brings beings to maturity by way of the gross, i.e. by way of conventional truth, and because it liberates them by way of the subtle, i.e. by way of ultimate truth³⁷⁵. (e) The visible reality of the refutation of opponents, i.e. well established reality. How so? He says: because they are refuted through reasoning based upon example³⁷⁶; examples are generally accepted when refuting an opponent. It is reasoning since it is based on words³⁷⁷ that are well established through reasoning. (f) The visible reality of the lucid explanation of the universal vehicle; i.e. the reality of the sphere of pure direct intuition, for this refers to the sphere of the pure direct intuition³⁷⁸ of both obscuration that consists in moral defilement and the knowable³⁷⁹ and comprises the lucid explanations of other scriptural traditions - this is the universal vehicle. (g) The visible reality of entry into the knowable in all its aspects; i.e. the reality of inclusion. Moreover, this refers to the five categories because with reference to them, one enters into all that is knowable. (h) The visible reality of the lucid explanation of true thusness³⁸⁰: i.e. the reality of differentiation, for the lucid explanation of both the true thusness and the unaltered thusness of things is on account of this. (i) The visible reality of entry by way of all intended meanings 381 in regard to the foundation for the adherence to the belief in the self; i.e. the reality of the skills, for, on account of this [the bodhisattva] enters by way of all interpretations in regard to the foundation for the adherence to the belief in the self. The adherence to the belief in a self among the aggregates etc. arises in accordance with the ten interpretations as were previously described382.

The statements concerning the summary meaning of the realities are for the purpose of: (a) facilitating [mental] retention since one can supply the text by means of its meaning and (b) the removal of confusion and frustration because frustration is not

³⁷⁴ Read: -viparyāsa- as per Ms.(50b.4) in place of -viparyāya-.

³⁷⁵ Ms.(50b.5): -satyena; disregard Y's fn.2 p.164.

³⁷⁶ Read: drstāntasamniśrayena in place of drstāntam niśritya; cf. Bhāsya N49.4.

³⁷⁷ śabda is omitted from the Tib. which reads: "...since it is established through reasoning"; cf. D269b.3.

³⁷⁸ Read: -jdānagocaram in place of -jdānagocarasya; Tib. ye śes kyi spyod yul gyi (D269b.4).

³⁷⁹ Ms.(50b.6): kleśajdeyävarapa-; disregard Y's fn.4 p.164.

³⁸⁰ Read: avitathatathatābhidyotana- in place of avitathatathatā abhidhyotana-,

³⁸¹ Read: - abhisamdhi- in place of - abhipraya-; cf. Bhasya N49.7.

³⁸² Cf. Y136.16.

generated³⁸³ on the part of one who has thoroughly understood the subject from beginning to end. Also, confusion does not arise due to the understanding of the explanations as they are listed.

Reality has now been described.

Chapter Four

- 1. The Meditative Development of the Counteragent
- 2. The State Therein.
- 3. The Attainment of the Result.

Prologue.

[Sthiramati]

Y166.2 [1] The Meditative Development of the Counteragent, as well as the State Therein and the Attainment of the Result should be mentioned immediately following the exposition of Reality, hence the Fourth Chapter is undertaken in order to clearly illustrate these [subjects]. The State Therein and the Attainment of the Result are stated because: (a) they were [initially] listed immediately after¹ Reality and (b) they are subject to the Meditative Development of the Counteragent.

1. The Meditative Development of the Counteragent.

Introductory.

N50.3 The meditative development of the counteragent which is [equivalent to] the meditative development of the factors that contribute to enlight-

[Sthiramati]

Y166.7 [1] In order to demonstrate that in a Sūtra, the factors which contribute to enlightenment are asserted as being counteragents, he says: the meditative development of the counteragent which is [equivalent to] the meditative development of the factors that contribute to enlightenment²... For they are described as counteragents since they gain strength while being cultivated for the relinquishment of adverse obscuration as described [in Chapter II]. Furthermore, they number thirty-seven, [beginning with] the four applications of mindfulness up until the noble eight-limbed path. The meditative development of these is synonymous with: (a) their promotion, (b) their generation, (c) their practice and (d) their repetition³. [These] should now be mentioned because they were listed immediately after Reality.

¹ Ms.(51a.4): tattvänamtantaram, contrary to Y's fn.2, but his emendation to tattvänantaram is correct.

² Read: bodhipakşya- as per Ms.(51a.5) in place of bodhipakşa-; cf. Bhāşya N50.3.

Read: bahullkriyeti as per Ms.(51a.6) in place of bahulā kriyeti; Tib. lan man du bya ba (D270a.4).

a. The Four Applications of Mindfulness.

N50.5 Now, in regard to these, at the beginning:

IV.1 abcd

The meditative development of the applications of mindfulness leads to the comprehension of the four truths and is due to: (a) disquiet, (b) the cause of craving, (c) the foundation [of the latter] and (d) the absence of confusion.

(a) Disquiet is made manifest through the body; one comprehends the truth of suffering through the investigation of the latter because [the bodyl is characterized by the formative forces together with disquiet: for, disquiet consists in the painful nature of the formative forces - on account of this the Noble Ones regard all impure entities in terms of suffering. (b) The cause of craving is sensation; through the investigation of this, one comprehends the truth of origination. (c) Mind is the foundation for the notional attachment to the self; through the investigation of this, one comprehends the truth of cassation due to the removal of the fear of self-annihilation. (d) Through the investigation of the dharmas one comprehends the truth of the path due to the absence of confusion concerning the dharmas pertinent to defilement and purification. Hence the meditative development of the applications of mindfulness is established at the beginning for the comprehension of the four truths.

[Sthiramati]

Y166.15 [1] Why is the meditative development of the applications of mindfulness described firstly and not the other, i.e. the meditative development of the factors that contribute to enlightenment? He says: Now, in regard to these, in the beginning:

IV.1 a

Y167

...Due to: (a) disquiet, (b) the cause of craving⁴ etc.

"In regard to these" [means]: in regard to the meditative development of the factors that contribute to enlightenment. The word "now" has the sense of sequential order. Now, at the beginning, the meditative development of the applications of mindfulness is mentioned and [the meditative development of] the others [are mentioned] subse-

⁴ Read: dausthulvät tarsahetutväd in place of dausthulvatrsnähetutväd; cf. Bhäsya N50.6.

quently. Because they are not aware of the virtues and faults of nirvāṇa⁵ andsaṃsāra [respectively] on account of their notional attachment to something pleasurable, pure, permanent and substantial among the formative forces, naive people delight⁶ in enjoyment and existence and are fearful of the meaning of nirvāṇa⁷. Moreover, this lack of awareness in regard to virtues and faults and the notional attachment to the pleasurable etc. are checked because of the insight into the truths. Hence, in order to encourage them to shrink from saṃsāra and to bring them face to face with nirvāṇa, just the meditative development of the applications of mindfulness is mentioned at the beginning for the comprehension of the four truths.

[2] Disquiet is made manifest through the body. Disquiet (dausthulya) is [equivalent to] uneasiness (duhsthitatā) because, while it is formatively influenced every day, the body is certainly changed; hence disquiet is made manifest through the body. Consequently, one comprehends the truth of suffering through the investigation of the body. As to why this is so, he says: because [the body] is characterized by the formative forces together with disquiet. Since the formative forces, accompanied by disquiet, are the characteristic, i.e. the own-being, of the truth of suffering and disquiet is made manifest through the body, therefore, one comprehends the truth of suffering through the investigation of the body. However, since disquiet is not understood, he says: for, disquiet consists in the painful nature of the formative forces. Formative force refers to the arising of states [of existence]; and since it is the foundation of all ruin, it is disquiet. And this consists in suffering because it is repugnant to the Noble Ones. As has been said:

This rebirth is unfortunate, for when there is birth, there are the enemies of old-age, death, disease, misfortune, murder, bondage and so on. These misfortunes would not occur if the body⁹ did not originate, [just as] there would be no destruction through fire, wind and forest fires if trees did not arise.

On account of this, i.e. it is on account of the painful nature of the formative forces, and not on account of the painful nature of change nor the painful nature of suffering, because: (a) the latter two do not pervade and (b) they are the causes of the painful nature of the formative forces. The Noble Ones regard all impure entities in terms of suffering 10 but not fentities I that are free from impurity because

Y168

5

Ms.(51a.7): nirvāņa-; disregard Y's fn.1 p.167.

⁶ Read: abhinandanam in place of abhimandanam. Tib. mnon par dga' źin (D270a.7).

⁷ Ms.(51b.1): nirvlnlrthe contrary to Y's fn.2 p.167.

⁸ Read: tasya sadauşthulyasamskāralakşanatvād in place of talllakşanasya hi sadauşthulyasamskāratvād; cf. Bhāsya N50.9.

⁹ Read perhaps: bahubhayo in place of avināio; Tib. (D270b.5): 'jig(s) mad - literally: "that which is susceptible to many dangers"; this would appear to be an epithet for the physical body.

¹⁰ Read: Eryll duhkhatah pasyanti in place of Eryair duhkhatvenälokitam; cf. Bhasya N50.10-11. This passage is omitted from the Ms. and has been inserted on the basis of the Tib.; cf. Y's fn.1 p.168.

the truth of the path is the counteragent to the arising 11 of rebirth. However, ordinary people¹² do not fregard impure entities in terms of suffering because their mental disposition is impaired by erroneous inversion¹³. The following is stated in a Sūtra too: "In brief, suffering consists in the five aggregates that have been appropriated." Others believe that the painful nature of the formative forces is lequivalent tol the lack of pliability (akarmanyata). Others again believe that disquiet does not consist in the lack of pliability 14. What is the reason? The state of being accompanied by impurity should necessarily be acknowledged as having arisen from a causal 'seed' because the lack of pliability 15 is accompanied by impurity, however sometimes, even without a 'seed' flack of pliabilityl exists in the mental continuum of an arhat due to a defect in diet, or environment16 etc. and this does not have the capacity to become disquiet because it is free from impurity. Hence, it is the 'seed' of an impure dharma which lodges in the store-consciousness and is considered as disguiet in this context. This body, accompanied by [sensations that are] pleasurable, painful and neither pleasurable nor painful, is the result of that ['seed']. Therefore, disquiet is made manifest through the body which comes into being every moment accompanied by the state 17 of pleasure etc. The term ["made manifest"] is equivalent in meaning to 'made evident'. For example, a seed that has slipped into a crack in the floor of a granary [manifests]18 through its sprout. Therefore, one comprehends the truth of suffering through the investigation of the body. Here now he gives the reason: "because [the body] is characterized by the formative forces together with disquiet" 19. What is meant is: because [suffering] consists in the formative forces together with their 'seeds'. For, the painful nature of the formative forces is described as disquiet²⁰ because: (a) it is associated with the disquiet of both the painful nature of change and the painful nature of suffering and (b) it is the cause of these two²¹. Hence, on account of the painful nature of the formative forces the Noble Ones regard all impure entities in terms of suffering²². In this way one comprehends the truth of suffering through the application of the mindfulness of the body.

¹¹ skye ba (utpatti) is only found in P.

¹² Read: prthagjanā in place of prthagjanair assuming this sentence follows the same construction as Bhāsva N50.10-11.

¹³ Ms.(51b) line 6 begins: -yatvāt / sūtre 'py...; cf. Y's fn.2 p.168.

¹⁴ Cf. Siddhi pp. 608 & 642 where daugthulya and akarmanyati are discussed in depth.

¹⁵ Read: akarmanyaräsäsravatväd in place of akarmanyarä säsravatväd; Tib. las su mi run ba'i zag pa dan beas pa'i phyir (D271a.2).

¹⁶ Tib, inserts dari gnas (D271a.2) which is not found in the Ms.

¹⁷ Read perhaps: -avasthā- in place of -avakāśa-; Tib. gnas skabs (D271a.4).

¹⁸ Tib. inserts maton pa (D271a.5) which is not found in the Ms.

¹⁹ Read: tasya sadauşthulyasamskāralakşanatvād in place of tallakşanasya hi sadauşthulyasamskāratvād; cf. Bhāsya N50.9.

²⁰ dausthulyam but Tib. 'du byed kyi sdug bahal = samakāraduḥkhatā (D271a.6).

²¹ Ms.(52a.2) reads simply: kBranatvic, but Y's emendation to tatkBranatvic is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.4 p.168.

²² Read: äryä duḥkhatayä paiyanti in place of äryair duḥkhatvenālokitam; Tib. 'phags pa rna na kyis sdug banal fild du gzigs (D271a.7) which is parallel to Bhāsya N50.10 & D15b.7.

- [3] The cause of craying is sensation. The intended meaning here is that it arises from contact which consists in ignorance, because [sensation] that is free from impurity does not cause such craving. In this respect, a pleasurable sensation is the cause of the craving for connection with that [sensation] and non-separation from it. A painful [sensation] is the cause of the craving for separation from that [sensation] and non-connection with it. [A sensation that is I neither pleasurable nor painful is the cause of: (a) craving for the delight in that state and (b) craving for connection with that [sensation] and non-separation from it²³ in regard to any attainment. Even a painful sensation is a cause of craving for pleasure since it is said that: "one who has made contact²⁴ with a painful sensation rejoices in the pleasure of sensual delight." One comprehends the truth of origination through the investigation of this. While investigating sensation by way of essential nature, cause, effect, impermanence²⁵ and suffering, one indeed comprehends the truth of origination. What is meant is: one understands that craving is the effect of these [sensations]. In a Sūtra, craving is described as the truth of origination; in detail it is said: "What is the origination of suffering? It is the craving which leads to further existence and which is accompanied by the passion for joy."26 In this way one comprehends the truth of origination through the application of the mindfulness of sensation.
- [4] Mind is the foundation for the notional attachment to the self. Since there is no other self that is distinguished by the quality of permanence etc., the objective support for the notional attachment to the self is mind alone. Therefore, through the investigation of this, one comprehends the truth of cessation, i.e. as tranquillity. Here now he provides the reason: due to the removal of the fear of self-annihilation. For the notional attachment to the self is checked by investigating impermanence etc. in regard to mind, and its perception as suffering arises. Hence, due to the removal of the fear of self-annihilation, one comprehends the truth of cessation as tranquillity²⁷ because it is [equivalent to] the annihilation of suffering alone. In this way one comprehends the truth of cessation through the application of the mindfulness of mind²⁸.
- [5] Through the investigation of the dharmas, one comprehends the truth of the path due to the absence of confusion concerning the

²³ Ms.(52a.4): tatramyogaviyoga-, but Y's reading of tatramyogaviyoga- is preferred on the basis of the Tib.: de dan phrad cin mi bral bar (D271b.2).

²⁴ Ms.(52a.4) is not clear but substantiates a reading of sprspalr, cf. Y's fn.1 p.169.

²⁵ Ms.(52a.5); kāraņato 'nityato but Y's emendation to kāraņataḥ kāryato 'nityato is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.2 p.169.

²⁶ Read: typil paunarbhavikā nandrikasahagateti in place of typil paunarbhavikānandā rāgasahagateti; Ms. (32a.6): -kā nandrikasahagateti. Tib. yasi byusi ba'i sred pa dga' ba'i 'dod chaga dati idan pa (D271b.4). Disregard Y's fn.3 p.169. Cf. Mahāvastu III p.332.5-6 and J.J.Jones: The Mahāvastu, Vol. III, p.324.

²⁷ Ms.(52b.1): -nävataratīti: disregard Y's fn.4 p.169.

²⁸ Ms.(52b.1): cittasmrty-; disregard Y's fn.5 p.169.

dharmas pertinent to defilement and purification. Therein, the dharmas that pertain to defilement are the moral defilements and the secondary defilements; but those that pertain to purification, i.e. love and friendliness etc., are the counteragents to the moral defilements and secondary defilements²⁹. Having become aware of the nature of the [respective] adverse elements and counteragents to those [dharmas] that pertain to defilement and purification, one comes face to face with the expedient for the absolute relinquishment of all distress, i.e. the truth of the path. In this way one comprehends the truth of the path through the application of the mindfulness of the dharmas.

[6] "Comprehension" in the above refers to the understanding of the characteristic of truth in regard to each of the [four] truths. Therein, the meditative development of the applications of mindfulness of the body refers to fits understanding as: (a) impermanent, painful, empty and insubstantial, (b) having many impure substances for its ownbeing, (c) the 'seed' of the impure, (d) the outflow of the impure, (e) the cause of continued existence of impurity, (f) a modification into impurity, (g) the absence of essence, like a mass of foam, (h) a state of existence and (i) a place of birth. Furthermore, the meditative development of the applications of mindfulness of sensation, mind and the dharmas refers to (their understanding) as: (a) impermanent, painful, empty and insubstantial, (b) an individual characteristic, (c) a universal characteristic³⁰, (d) a cause. (e) a result. (f) a realm of existence, spiritual level and state of existence. (g) wholesome, unwholesome and undefined and (h) adverse elements and counteragents. For the bodhisattvas however, the meditative development of the applications of mindfulness for the purpose of the relinquishment of conceptual differentiation is both in this way [as described] and is also in the aspect of non-perception. Although the applications of mindfulness have wisdom for their own-being, the term "applications of mindfulness" is so-called because (the wisdom elements) are applied by the force of mindfulness, or, mindfulness is applied by means of these (wisdom elements)31.

¥170

P (117a) and D (272a.2-3): mam par byañ ba mams ni ñe ba'i gñen por gyur ba mams te / mi sdug pa dañ byams pa la sogs pa. This should be amended to: mam par byañ ba mams ni ñon moñs pa dañ de ba'i ñon moñs pa i gñen por gyur ba mams te / sdug pa dañ. Cf.Y's fn.1 p.170.

³⁰ samanyalaksanato (spyi'i mtshan tiid dati) is found in the Tib. (D272a.5), but is omitted from the Ms.

³¹ Cf. LVP Kośa VI.161.

b. The Four Correct Exertions³²

N50.18 Then, the meditative development of the correct exertions [is described] because:

IV.2 abcd

When there is the clear comprehension of adverse elements and their counteragents, in every respect, a fourfold vigour arises for their [respective] removal and encouragement³³.

When there is clear comprehension of the adverse elements and their counteragents, in all modes, through the meditative development of the applications of mindfulness a fourfold vigour arises for: (a) the removal of the adverse elements and (b) the production of their counteragents. In detail, these are for the relinquishment of the evil unwholesome dharmas that have already arisen.

[Sthiramati]

Y170.18 [1] Immediately following the meditative development of the applications of mindfulness, the meditative development of the correct exertions is described. As to why, he says: because...

IV.2 a

Y171

When there is the clear comprehension of adverse elements etc.

...Through the meditative development of the applications of mindfulness. Although no distinction is made, it is to be understood that it is just through the meditative development of the application of the mindfulness of the dharmas. When there is clear comprehension of the adverse elements and their counteragents, in all modes³⁴; i.e. [in modes such as] the relinquishment of the realms of existence and spiritual levels and in aspects of the universal characteristic etc., and also, according to their differentiation as: impure, without impurity, realm of existence, spiritual level, one still in training and the adept etc.³⁵ For: (a) the removal of the

³² The interchangeability of the two terms samyakprahāṇa and samyakpradhāṇa is noted in BHSD (pp.308 & 389). For the sake of consistency I have translated both terms as "correct exertion" here because it is essentially their vigorous and effortful nature that is brought out in this context.

³³ Nagao notes that tadapāyāya is to be resolved as tadapāya-āya although the Tib. translator reads it as a Dative form of apāya (de dag spati phyir). Cf. N50, fn.3.

³⁴ Read: sarvaprakāram as per Ms.(52b.7) in place of sarvaprakāra-; cf. Bhīsya N50.21.

³⁵ Read: dhātubhūmiprahāpaih sāmānyalakṣaṇādyākārais tathā sāsravānāsravadhātubhūmiśaikṣāśaikṣādibhedena ca in place of dhātubhūmyavakāśaih sāmānyalakṣaṇādyākārais tathā sāsravānāsravadhātubhūmiśaikṣādaikṣādyākārais tathā āsravānāsravadhātubhūmiśaikṣāśaikṣādibhedena ca; Tib, khama dar sa'i spans pa dari / spyi'i mishan ñid la sogs pa'i mam pa dari / de ba'in du zag pa dari bezs pa dari / zag pa med pa dari / khams dari / sa dari / slob pa dari / mi slob

adverse elements; the removal of adverse elements³⁶ here signifies the breaking of the continuity of those that have already arisen and the non-generation of those that have not yet arisen. And (b) the production of their counteragents³⁷; the production of their counteragents is [equivalent to] the continuing supply of those that have already arisen and the generation of those that have not yet arisen. A fourfold vigour³⁸ arises³⁹, i.e. a fourfold vigour arises according to its differentiation as an adverse element or counteragent, which has already arisen or has not yet arisen. In detail, these are for the relinquishment of the evil, unwholesome dharmas that have already arisen. By this he shows the fourfold arising of vigour in accordance with scriptural tradition. The term "in detail" refers to additional text; this is the additional text: For the relinquishment [of evil unwholesome elements that have already arisen]⁴⁰ one generates will-power, makes endeavour, undertakes with vigour, 'seizes' the mind and strives with correct effort. Thus, in detail; one generates will-power for the non-production of the evil, unwholesome dharmas that have not yet arisen. Similarly, in detail: one generates will-power for the production of the wholesome dharmas that have not vet arisen. For the maintenance, increase, (nondeprivation 141 and completion of the wholesome dharmas that have already arisen, one generates will-power, makes endeavour, undertakes with vigour, 'seizes' the mind and strives with correct effort. The maintenance of those that have arisen refers to their non-decrease 42. Increase is [equivalent to] continuous production; completion is [equivalent to] culmination. By the words: "one generates will-power", he shows that it is an earnest application of the meditative development of vigour⁴³. By "one makes endeavour" is meant: one focuses on the body and mind for the relinquishment of laziness⁴⁴. "One undertakes with vigour", in order to dispel both indolence and excitability. How does one undertake? By 'seizing' the sluggish mind through the mental attentions directed towards the dharmas that are both perceptible⁴⁵ and agreeable.

When the mind is enhanced⁴⁶ it strives with correct effort towards just that objective

pa la sogs pa'i bye brag gis (D272b.2). Y's rendering appears to follow P which is clearly incorrect.

³⁶ vipaksāpagamo is omitted from the Tib.: cf. D272b.3.

³⁷ Read: pratipaksopagamāya ca in place of pratipaksāgamāya ca; cf. Bhāsya N50.22.

³⁸ Read: virvam caturdha in place of virvacaturdha: cf. Bhasva N50.22.

³⁹ pravartate, but Bhäsya sampravartate (cf. N50.23).

⁴⁰ Tib. inserts: sdig pa mi dge ba'i chos skyes pa mams (D272b.5) which is not found in the Ms.

⁴¹ asampramosāya is not found in either P or D; presumably it has been inserted here by Y because it is traditionally included with this group (cf. Mvy. #961)

⁴² Read: aparihāṇiḥ as per Ms.(542.4) in place of aparihāriṇiḥ; Tib. yods su mi ñams pa (D272b.7).

⁴³ Read perhaps: sagauravaviryabhāvanāprayogam in place of sagauravodyuktavīryasamuddhim; Tib. gus par brison grus bagom pai sbyor ba (D273a.1). Cf. Y172.20. Y's reconstruction here is probably based on P; gus par brison grus brison pai 'byor ba (118b.1).

⁴⁴ Tib. is slightly different: "...while relinquishing laziness"; ...le lo spats te (D273a.1).

⁴⁵ Ms.(54a.5); samvejantys-, but Y's emendation to samvedantys- is preferred; cf. his fn.1 p.172.

⁴⁶ Read: uddhate citte in place of uddhatam cittam; Tib. sems rgod par gyur na (D273a.2).

support; what is meant is: it holds fast through the mental attentions directed towards the *dharmas* that are both perceptible and agreeable⁴⁷. Thus it is shown that the correct exertions⁴⁸ have the nature of vigour and they are [described as] correct exertions (samyakpradhāna) since they correctly (samyak) hold (dhārayanti) body, speech and mind by means of these [correct exertions].

c. The Four Bases of Psychic Power.

N51.2 IV.3 abcd

The pliability of stability in the context of the latter leads to power in all matters - it follows from the cultivation of the eight formative forces for the relinquishment of the five faults.

The pliability of the stability of mind in the context of that meditative development of the vigour for the removal and production of those [dharmas]⁴⁹ consists in the four bases of psychic power because they are the causes of mental power in all subjects. Stability, which refers to the stability of mind here, should be known as meditative concentration. Hence, the bases of psychic power follow immediately after the correct exertions. Furthermore, this pliability is to be known as following from the meditative development of the eight formative forces that facilitate relinquishment leading to the relinquishment of the five faults.

[Sthiramati]

[1]

Y172.8 IV.3 a

The pliability of stability in the context of the latter⁵⁰ etc.

The pliability of the stability of mind in the context of the latter, i.e. in the context of that meditative development of vigour for the removal and

⁴⁷ Tib. omits: samvedanīyapramodanīyadharmamanaskārair; cf. D273a.2.

⁴⁸ Read: samyakpradhānāni as per Ms. (\$4a.6) in place of Y's samyakprahāṇāni which is in agreement with the Tib. (D273a.2). Cf. my fn.32 above.

⁴⁹ tadapāyāya; the Tib. translator has again misunderstood this compound by translating it as de span bai phyir (D16a.5). Cf. my fn.33 above.

⁵⁰ Read: karmanyatā sthites tatra in place of sthitikarmanyatā tatra; cf. Bhāsya N51.2.

production of adverse elements and their counteragents⁵¹; pliability⁵² is [equivalent tol the capacity for mental power in all subjects and this pliability comes about under the influence of will-power, vigour, mind and examination. Hence he says: pliability consists in the four bases of psychic power. Psychic power is [equivalent to] mental power in all subjects such as the higher knowledges. These are the bases of psychic power in the sense that they are the support for it - what is meant is: the bases of psychic power are the causes of psychic power⁵³. Hence he says: because they are the causes of mental power. Moreover, they are: (a) the base of psychic power associated with the formative force that facilitates relinquishment and which consists in the meditative concentration of will-power. (b) The base of psychic power associated with the formative force that facilitates relinquishment and which consists in the meditative concentration of vigour. (c) The base of psychic power associated with the formative force that facilitates relinquishment and which consists in the meditative concentration of mind. (d) The base of psychic power associated with the formative force that facilitates relinquishment and which consists in the meditative concentration of examination. Of these, (a) the meditative concentration of will-power occurs when one attains single-pointedness of mind while earnestly engaging in the meditative development of vigour under the influence of will-power. (b) The meditative concentration of vigour occurs when one attains single-pointedness of mind while undertaking with vigour due to continual application. (c) The meditative concentration of mind occurs when one attains single-pointedness of mind while holding the mind on mind alone owing to the 'seed' of previous meditative concentration. (d) The meditative concentration of examination occurs when one who has thoroughly analysed the objective support attains single-pointedness of mind. Stability, which refers to the stability of mind here, should be known as meditative concentration; the reference to meditative concentration is for the purpose of distinguishing this from those [states] characterized by the conditioned elements. The pliability of mind⁵⁴ pertinent to one who has undertaken with vigour consists in the four bases of psychic power: hence⁵⁵, the bases of psychic power are explained immediately following the correct exertions. Furthermore, this pliability - as to its purpose⁵⁶ and cause, he says: - is to be known as following from⁵⁷ the medi-

¥173

Read: tasyām vipakṣapṛatipakṣāpāyāgamavirya- as per Ms.(54a.7) in place of tasyām vipakṣāpagamavirya-; cf. Bhāṣya N51.4. The Tib., which is the basis of Y's emendation, is most likely incorrect as the result of the mis-reading as noted above (cf. my fits. 33 & 49).

⁵² Tib. (D273a.4) inserts las su run ba fiid (= karmanyatii) which is not found in the Ms.

Read: tasyāh pratisthārthena rūdhipādā rūdhipādā rūdhihetava ity arthah as per Ms. (54b.1) in place of tasyāh pratisthārthena rūdhipādā rūdhihetava ity arthah and contrary to Y's fn.6 p.172; it appears that the Tib. translator has abbreviated this passage; cf. Y's fn.6.

⁵⁴ Ms.(54b.4): cittasthi-, but Y's emendation to cittakar(manyatā) is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. Y's fn.1 p.173.

⁵⁵ Read: atah in place of tata; cf. Bhāsva N51.6.

⁵⁶ Read: kimprayojanā as per Ms.(54b.5) in place of kimprayojanam.

⁵⁷ Ms.(54b.5): -bhāvanānvayā; disregard Y's fn.2 p.173.

tative development of the eight formative forces that facilitate relinquishment leading to the relinquishment of the five faults. The term "following from" is [equivalent in meaning to] 'cause'58.

The Five Faults.

N51.10 Which are the five faults? He says:

IV.4 abcd

(a) Laziness, (b) the forgetting of instructions, (c) indolence and excitability⁵⁹, (d) the absence of formative influence and (e) formative influence - these are considered as the five faults.

In the above, indolence and excitability are made one fault. The absence of formative influence is a fault at the time of the tranquillization of indolence and excitability. Formative influence [is a fault] at [the time of] tranquillity.

[Sthiramati]

Y173.11 [1] Since these five faults are not known, he asks: which are the five faults?⁶⁰ In order to demonstrate them he says:

IV.4 ab

(a) Laziness, (b) the forgetting of instructions, (c) indolence and excitability⁶¹ etc.

Since these faults number six, he says: in the above, indolence and excitability are made one fault - thus there are five. Of these, laziness is a fault at the time of application because the absence of application is on account of it. The forgetting of instructions is a fault on the part of one who has undertaken [to act] because the absence of the concentration of mind is on account of it. Indolence / excitability is a fault on the part of one whose mind is concentrated because the absence of pliability of mind is on account of this. The absence of formative influence is a fault at

The Tib. for this final section (Y173.7-9) is slightly different: "...what is its cause? Hence he says: ...is to be known as following from the cause which is the meditative development of the formative forces for the relinquishment of the five faults (cf. D273b.3).

⁵⁹ Read: uddhavah as per the Ms. in place of uddhatah; cf. N's fn.5 p.51.

⁶⁰ Read: katame patica dosă în place of ka ete patica dosă; cf. Bhāṣya N51.10.

⁶¹ Read: uddhavah as per Ms. (54b.6) in place of udbhavah. Cf. also N's fn.5 p.51 of the Bhasya where he rejects his Ms. reading of uddhavah, although this reading is probably correct.

the time⁶² of [the tranquillization of]⁶³ indolence and excitability; the absence of formative influence is [equivalent to] indifference because the absence of the tranquillization of these two is on account of that. Formative influence [is a fault] at [the time of] tranquillity; [the word] "fault" remains in force. Formative influence consists in volition, for it is said that tranquillity is due to the attainment of 'sameness'(samatā) which is because of the removal of indolence and excitability.

The Eight Formative Forces that Facilitate Relinquishment.

How are the eight formative forces that facilitate relinquishment respectively determined for the relinquishment of those [faults]? Four are for the relinquishment of laziness, namely: (a) will-power, (b) effort, (c) faith and (d) quiescence. Moreover, these should be known sequentially as:

IV.5 ab

N51.16

N52

- (a) The basis,(b) that which is based on it,(c) the cause of the former and(d) the result;
- (a) Will-power is the basis of effort. (b) Effort is that which is based [on will-power]. (c) Faith is the cause of that basis, i.e. will-power, because there is an eagerness in one who has firm belief. (d) Quiescence is the result of that which is based [on will-power], i.e. effort, because one who undertakes with vigour attains a special meditative concentration.

There are four remaining formative forces that facilitate relinquishment, namely, (a) mindfulness, (b) full awareness, (c) volition and (d) equanimity which are the counteragents to the [other] four faults as enumerated. Furthermore, they should be known sequentially, beginning with mindfulness:

IV.5 cdef

(a) the non-loss⁶⁴ of the objective support, (b) the understanding of indolence and excitability, (c) the formative influence for the removal of the latter and (d) the employment of meditative calm when appearament occurs.

^{62 -}avasthāyām here, but Bhāṣya (N51.14): kāle; Tib. tshe here (D273b.6) but Bhāṣya (D16a.7): dus na.

⁶³ Tib. Tikā omits praśamana; cf. D273b,6 and also Y's fn.5 p.173.

⁶⁴ Read perhaps: 'sampramoso for metrical reasons in place of 'sammoso; cf. Nagao's fn.3 p.52.

(a) Mindfulness is the non-loss of the objective support. (b) Full awareness is the understanding of indolence and excitability when there is no loss of mindfulness. (c) Volition is the formative influence for the removal of the latter [two] after they have been understood. (d) The employment of meditative calm refers to the equanimity of mind that occurs when that indolence and excitability are appeared.

[Sthiramati]

Y174.4 [1] [The term]: 'formative forces that facilitate relinquishment' (prahāṇa-saṃskārāḥ)⁶⁵ is [resolved as]: 'formative forces that lead to relinquishment (prahāṇāya saṃskārāḥ) [i.e. as a tatpuruṣa compound]. They are for the relinquishment of what? For the relinquishment of the five faults that have just been described. If so, then this should be stated: How are the eight formative forces that facilitate relinquishment respectively determined for the relinquishment of those⁶⁶ [faults]? Of these, four are for the relinquishment of laziness, namely: (a) will-power, (b) effort, (c) faith and (d) quiescence. Will-power therein is [equivalent to] eagerness; effort is [equivalent to] vigour; faith is [equivalent to] firm belief; and quiescence is [equivalent to] pliability. Just how are they respectively determined as leading to the relinquishment of laziness? Hence he says: moreover, these should be known sequentially as:

IV.5 ab

(a) The basis, (b) that which is based on it, (c) the cause of the former and (d) the result;

Hence he shows that they are conducive to the relinquishment of laziness because they arise⁶⁷ one from the other. (a) Will-power is the basis of effort. (b) Effort is that which is based [on will-power], because eagerness is preceded by effort. (c) Faith is the cause of that basis⁶⁸, i.e. will-power... How so? He says: ...because there is an eagerness in one who has firm belief, for, one who possesses faith in regard to the cause and result is eager to act. Quiescence is the result of that which is based [on will-power], i.e. effort. How so? He says: ...because one who undertakes with vigour attains a special meditative concentration is the cause of quiescence. Hence quiescence is described as the result of effort because one who undertakes with vigour attains a special meditative concentration.

⁶⁵ prahāṇasaṃskārāh is inserted on the basis of the Tib, and is not found in the Ms; cf. Y's fn,1 p.174.

⁶⁶ Read: esām in place of tesām; cf. Bhāsya N51.16.

⁶⁷ prabhāvitatvād; Tib. 'byun bas (D274a.2).

⁶⁸ Read: tasyāśrayasya cchandasya in place of tasyāśrayacchandasya; Ms.(55a.4): -yasya cchandasya. Cf. Bhāşya N51.20-21.

For thus, joy arises in one who has undertaken with vigour due to the absence of the fault of conceptual differentiation that consists in disquiet⁶⁹. The quiescence of body and mind that results from a joyous mind has the characteristic⁷⁰ of pliability; consequently, it is described as: "the attainment of a special meditative concentration". Moreover, in this context, it is vigour together with its cause and result that is described as the counteragent⁷¹ to laziness.

[2] [The remaining four formative forces that facilitate relinquishment, namely: (a) mindfulness, (b) full awareness, (c) volition and (d) equanimity are] the counteragents to the [other] four faults as enumerated. Of these, mindfulness is the counteragent to the forgetting of instructions. Full awareness is [the counteragent] to indolence and excitability. Volition is [the counteragent] to the absence of formative influence. Equanimity is [the counteragent] to formative influence; in this context it is the equanimity that consists in the formative forces that is to be understood. Moreover, since he wishes to discuss the characteristic of mindfulness etc. here, he says: furthermore, they should be known sequentially, beginning with mindfulness. [mindfulness refers to:]

IV.5 c

Y175

(a) non-loss of an objective support

(a) Mindfulness is the non-loss of an objective support; what is meant is: the expression of the content of an instruction conducive to the stability of mind⁷². Pull awareness is the understanding of indolence and excitability when there is no loss of mindfulness, for, one for whom mindfulness is present possesses full awareness; this why he says: "when there is no loss of mindfulness". After they are understood, i.e. indolence and excitability; what is meant is: [full awareness] arises effortlessly due to the coupling of the two, i.e. meditative calm and penetrating insight. By "equanimity" is meant: the absence of formative influence in regard to other objects. This is what is being said: one for whom mindfulness is present understands the sluggish or excited mind, as it is in reality, when instruction [is given]; and in order to be rid of indolence and excitability he formatively influences that [mind]. Also, due to the disappearance of both indolence and excitability, equanimity is created. Thus the meditative calm that belongs to the mind of such a person

⁶⁹ Ms.(55a.5): dauşthulyavitarkādyaprakṣālavigamā-, but Y's emendation to dauşthulyavikalpa-doṣavigamāt is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.3 p.174.

⁷⁰ Read: -lakṣaṇā as per Ms.(55a.6) in place of -lakṣaṇatā.

⁷¹ Read: -pratigaksatvenoktam as per Ms. (55a.6) in place of -pratigaksenoktam.

⁷² Read [Y175.6-8]: te punah smrtyādayo veditavyā yathākramam iti / [smṛtir] alambane sam(pra)mosa IV.5 c

iti vistarah / smrtir 3lambane sampramosa iti cittasthāpanīyāvavādavastvabhilapanam ity arthah

in place of: te punah smrtyådayo veditavyå vathåkramam iti / smrtir ålambane 'sammosa iti vistærikrta ålambanam iti cittasthåpanlyam åvavådavastv abhilapanam ity arthah.

Cf. Ms. (55a.7-55b.1): ...yathākramam Nambane asammoşa i- -yavavādavastv abhilapanam contrary to Y's fn.3 p.175. The Tib. replaces avavādavastvabhilapanam with gdams nag yid kyis brjod (D274b.1).

is [described as] pliability. Moreover, in regard to the inherent meaning of the statement "the stability of pliability", the suffix of state [i.e. the tā of karmaņyatā] is just like hard-ness' etc. [i.e. the -tva of khakkhata-tva]⁷³.

d. The Five Faculties.

N52.11 The five faculties beginning with faith [were listed] immediately after the bases of psychic power. How are they respectively established?

IV.6 abcd

When the elements that are conducive to liberation are fostered, it is due to the influence of: (a) will-power, (b) application, (c) non-loss⁷⁴ of the objective support, (d) non-diffusion and (e) analysis.

The words: "from the influence of" remain in force [in each case]. When the root of the wholesome which is conducive to liberation has been fostered by the bases of psychic power in one who has mental pliability, it is due to: (a) the influence of will-power, (b) the influence of application, (c) the influence of the non-loss of the objective support, (d) the influence of non-diffusion and (e) the influence of thorough analysis. The five faculties beginning with faith should be known in sequential order.

[Sthiramati]

Y176 [1] It is the fact that the five faculties beginning with faith were described immediately after the bases of psychic power that is referred to. How are they respectively established? The intended meaning is: in what sense (are they respectively established)? Hence he says:

IV.6 ab

When the elements that are conducive to liberation are fostered, it is due to the influence of: (a) will-power, (b) application etc.

⁷³ svapeta- is incorrect. The Ms. (55b.3) is not clear although the first syllable is definitely kha. For khakkhatatva cf. Mvy. #1842; this sentence is omitted from the Tib.

⁷⁴ Read perhaps: 'samoramosa: cf. fn.64 above.

The words: "due to the influence of"75 remain in force [in each case]; by this statement he shows that the term "influence" employed here, i.e. in the phrase "it is due to the influence of: (a) will-power, (b) application...", remains in force for each subsequent [faculty] as well. When the root of the wholesome which is conducive to liberation has been fostered 76 by the bases of psychic power in one who has mental pliability...; the root of the wholesome which is conducive to liberation finds a support in the mental continuum⁷⁷ of one who has mental pliability. ...It is due to: (a) the influence of will-power etc., concluding with (e) the influence of thorough analysis: but not in one who does not have mental pliability. Moreover, this mental pliability comes about through the bases of psychic power. Therefore, immediately after the bases of psychic power, the five faculties beginning with faith are respectively determined as being due to the influence of the fostering of the root of the wholesome which is conducive to liberation. It is actually faith that is referred to by the term "will-power" in the statement: "...from the influence of willpower", for, the effect is expressed figuratively here in regard to the cause [i.e. willpower is the cause of faith. Just as, for example, [the eating of] yoghurt and melon [which is the cause] is [figuratively described as] a sudden fever. Alternatively, belief, serene faith, and eagerness respecively with regard to existence, the possession of virtue⁷⁸ and ability are the characteristics of faith. Hence, through the reference to willpower⁷⁹ in this context, it is actually faith as characterized by eagerness that is referred to and not will-power. (b) The influence of application; it is application (prayoga) since one applies oneself (prayujyate) by means of this. By the word 'application', it is vigour that is intended. (c) The influence of the non-loss⁸⁰ of the objective support; i.e. due to the influence of the faculty of mindfulness. The faculty of mindfulness is characterized by the distinct expression of the objective support⁸¹. (d) The influence of non-diffusion; i.e. due to the influence of the faculty of meditative concentration, for, non-diffusion consists in the faculty of meditative concentration because this is characterized by single-pointedness of mind. And (e) the influence of thorough analysis; i.e. due to the influence of the faculty of wisdom because the faculty of wisdom has the nature of the thorough analysis of the dharmas. The word "and" shows that the five faculties beginning with faith82

Y177

should be known in sequential order because they are the influences in the

⁷⁵ Read; ādhipatyata as per Ms.(55b,4) and Bhāsya N52.15 in place of adhipatyata

⁷⁶ Read: karmanyacittasyāropite mokşabhāgiye kuśalamūla iti in place of karmanyacittasya mokşabhāgiyakuśalamūlaropanasyeti; cf. Bhāsya N52.15-16.

⁷⁷ Read: cittasamtāne as per Ms.(55b.5) in place of cittasamdhāne; Tib. sems kyi rgyud la (D274b.6).

⁷⁸ Tib.: yon tan can (D275a.2).

⁷⁹ Ms.(55b.7); cchanda-; disregard Y's fn.3 p.176.

⁸⁰ Read: -asampramosa- in place of -asammosa-; cf. Bhāsva N52.17.

⁸¹ Ms.(57a.1): -mbanābhilapana-; disregard Y's fn.4 p.176.

⁸² Ms.(57a.2); pañca śraddhā-; disregard Y's fn.2 p.177.

fostering of the root of the wholesome which is conducive to liberation⁸³. One who has faith undertakes with vigour in order to escape from the prison of samsāra, and, since one who has undertaken with vigour⁸⁴ accomplishes the three teachings, the faculties of mindfulness, meditative concentration and wisdom follow in sequential order. Thus, at the level of application on the path of vision, the faculties are considered to be of central importance.

[2] However, others believe that faith etc. are not faculties because they influence the nourishment of the root of the wholesome which is conducive to liberation. Rather, they are described as faculties because when the root of the wholesome which is conducive to liberation is nourished, faith etc. exercise influence. It is in order to demonstrate just this that he says: "due to the influence of will-power", faith is a faculty; the latter is to be supplied. Application is [equivalent to] performance. Not forgetting [or non-loss: asammosa; asampramosa] is [equivalent to] the non-disappearance of the objective support. Non-diffusion is [equivalent to] non-dispersion. Analysia85 is [equivalent to] thorough analysis. The mental concomitants called vigour, mindfulness, meditative concentration and wisdom are termed faculties because of their influence over application, non-forgetfullness, non-diffusion and thorough analysis. However, the following should be stated in this regard: when the root of the wholesome which is conducive to liberation has been nourished there is no difference in ownbeing, in comparison with the unnourised state⁸⁶, between the influence of will-power etc. and faith etc.87 because at that time they are established as faculties due to their influence over it (i.e. the root of the wholesome).

e. The Five Powers.

N52.21 These same [elements], i.e. faith etc., are described as the powers when they possess power. Moreover, their possession of power is:

IV.7 a

due to the curbing of adverse elements:

⁸³ Disregard Y's fn.1 p.177 since the Ms.(57a.2) does not substantiate the reading of pakṣabhā-gīya-.

⁸⁴ Ms.(57a.2): ārabdhavīryasya; disregard Y's fn.3 p.177.

⁸⁵ Read: vicayah in place of pravicayah since this passage seems to be explaining the individual terms listed in verse IV.6 cd.

⁸⁶ Read: 'ropitāvasthātaś as per Ms.(57a.5) in place of ropitāvasthātaś.

The Tib. is worded differently: "When he root of the wholesome which is conducive to liberation is either nourished or unnourished, there is no difference in own-being between the influence of will-power etc. and faith etc." that pai cha dan mithun pa'i dge ba'i risa ba bskyed pa dan ma bskyed pa gdis kyi dus na 'dun pa la sogs pa ran báin du bye brag tu gyur pa gan yan med de (D275b.3).

N53 When they are not intermixed with adverse elements such as lack of faith. Why is there an initial and subsequent explanation of faith etc.?

Recause:

IV.7 b the latter is the result of the former:

For, one who possesses faith undertakes with vigour in regard to cause and result. Mindfulness is present for one who has undertaken with vigour; the mind of one for whom mindfulness is present becomes concentrated; one whose mind is concentrated understands [phenomena] as they are in reality. The faculties that pertain to the elements which are conducive to liberation, and which have been nourished, have now been described.

Now, should the elements that are conducive to penetration be known as being in the state of a 'faculty' or in the state of a 'power'?

IV.7 cd

The elements conducive to penetration are in twos, i.e. both faculties and powers.

The [state of] heat and the summit are faculties; the receptivities and highest mundane realizations are powers.

[Sthiramati]

Y177.22 [1] These same [elements], i.e. faith etc., which are discussed immediately after the faculties, are described as the powers when they possess power. Moreover, their possession of power is due to what? Hence he says:

IV.7 a Due to the curbing of adverse elements;

9178 Because the adverse elements are curbed. In order to demonstrate just this, he says: when these faculties such as faith are not intermixed with adverse elements such as lack of faith, i.e. with lack of faith⁸⁸, laziness, forgetfulness, distraction⁸⁹ and lack of full awareness which are adverse to faith etc.; what is meant is: they do not intermingle [with adverse elements] because, being extremely attenuated they do not manifest time and again - at that time they are described as 'powers'. These faculties are intermixed with adverse elements at that time because the elements that are adverse to them have not been erased.

[2] If the faculties are possessed of adverse elements that have not been subdued, how then, can faith etc. be described as 'faculties' by way of the influence of mundane purity in [Chapter III.10 titled] The Reality of the Skills'? Because in that section the faculties

⁸⁸ Ms.(57a.7): iti / Eśraddhya-; disregard Y's fn.1 p.178.

³⁹ Ms.(57a.7): -viksepa-; disregard Y's fn.2 p.178.

are intended as being without differentiation but here they are differentiated in order to demonstrate the differentiation of the root of the wholesome which is conducive to penetration - therefore there is no contradiction. Only hence does he say: "these same faculties ...are described as 'powers'".

- [3] For, one who possesses faith undertakes with vigour in regard to the cause and result⁹⁰; i.e. he connects the cause with its respective result and vice versa. Thus, because of his faith in the result, one who possesses faith undertakes with vigour in regard to the cause. Mindfulness is present for one who has undertaken with vigour because it depends upon the latter⁹¹. The mind of one for whom mindfulness is present becomes concentrated, i.e. it becomes single-pointed because there is no distraction. One whose mind is concentrated understands [phenomena] as they are in reality; i.e. the wisdom that is assisted by meditative concentration becomes pure. The faculties that pertain to the elements which are conducive to liberation, and which have been nourished⁹², have now been described.
- [4] The elements which are conducive to penetration follow immediately after the elements which are conducive to liberation and the powers follow immediately after the faculties, hence this should be stated: should the elements conducive to penetration be known as being in the state of a 'faculty' or in the state of a 'power'93? Similarly, do the essential natures of the faculties and the powers consist in the elements that are conducive to penetration, or are their essential natures separate from them? Hence, [answering] all of these [questions] he says:

IV.7 cd

The elements conducive to penetration are in twos: both faculties and powers.

Alternatively, in the same way that the elements that are conducive to liberation are characterized by the meditative development of the counteragent, or are characterized by faith etc., so too are the elements that are conducive to penetration; consequently, he says: "the faculties that pertain to the elements which are conducive to liberation, and which have been nourished⁹⁴, have now been described etc." Hence he then says: "the elements conducive to penetration are in twos".

⁹⁰ Read: hetuphalam as per Ms.(57b.2) in place of hetuphale; cf. Bhāsya N53.4.

⁹¹ tatparatvät is omitted from the Tib. (cf. D276a.2).

⁹² Read: avaropitamoksa- in place of ropitavimoksa-; cf. Bhasya N53.6.

⁹³ Ms.(57b.4): balava-; disregard Y's fn.6 p.178.

⁹⁴ Read: avaropita- in place of ropita-; cf. Bhasya N53.6.

[5] The [states of] heat⁹⁵ and the aummit are faculties: they are definitely faculties because these [roots of the]96 wholesome are feeble since it is untenable97 that there are powers⁹⁸ among them. The receptivities and highest mundane realizations are powers. The receptivities and highest mundane realizations are definitely powers for it is impossible that these can be faculties because of their strength. Moreover, faith etc. are threefold according to their differentiation as weak, middling and strong. The weak and middling of these are the faculties and the strong are the powers. Those that are weak are the [states of] heat; the middling are the summits. However the strong therein are divided into four: the weak, the middling and the strong are, respectively, the weak, middling and strong receptivities; while the strongest of the strong are the highest mundane realizations. Moreover, all⁹⁹ of these elements that are conducive to penetration 100, together with their associated elements. have meditative concentration and wisdom for their essential nature; the aspect of heat in the latter is the [state of] heat. For example, from the rubbing together of kindling sticks, heat is produced which is the first mark of the arising (utpatti-cihna) of the fire which has the capacity to burn that [fuel]. Similarly, the [state of] heat is so-called 101 because its nature precedes the 'fire' of the noble path which has the capacity to consume the 'fuel' of all moral defilement. The summit [is so-called] since it extends up until the summit away from fickle roots of the wholesome. The receptivities [are so-called] because they consist in perseverance in the meaning of the [four] truths. The highest mundane realizations are so-called because they are accompanied by impurity and also because they are foremost among the other impure dharmas. Their preeminence is due to the fact that the origination of the path of vision occurs immediately after [the realization of] them. Moreover, they are momentary, [whereas] the other elements that are conducive to penetration are continuous. In the above, the root of the wholesome [the realization of] which is conducive to liberation, should be known as the yoga that destroys the power that causes samsara to come into being. [The realization of those conducive to penetration [should be known] as the yoga that generates

Y180

the power for the arising of dharmas that are not accompanied by impurity.

⁹⁵

Tīkā: usmagatāni but Bhāsya (N53.10): usmagatam.

⁹⁶ Tib. (D276a.7): rtsa ba which is not found in the Ms.

Ms.(57b.6): asvatantratvad but Y's emendation to ayuktatvad is preferred on the basis of the 97 Tib.; cf. his fn.2 p.179.

Tib. omits balānām; cf. D276a.7. 98

Ms.(56a.1); sarvāny e- but Y's emendation to sarvāni is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. 99 D276b.3.

Ms.(56a.1): nirvedha-; disregard Y's fn.3 p.179. 100

Ms.(56a.2): ucyante but Y's emendation to ucyate is preferred. 101

f. The Seven Limbs of Enlightenment.

N53.13 The limbs of enlightenment follow immediately after the powers.

How are they established?

IV.8 abcd

(a) The limb of the basis, (b) the limb of own-being, (c) the limb of setting forth is the third, (d) the limb of advantage is the fourth and (e) the limb of the absence of defilement is considered as threefold.

The limbs of enlightenment refer to the limbs that lead to enlightenment on the path of vision. Of these, (a) the limb of the basis of enlightenment refers to mindfulness. (b) The limb of own-being refers to the analysis of the dharmas. (c) The limb of setting forth refers to vigour. (d) The limb of advantage refers to delight. (e) The limb of the absence of defilement which is threefold refers to: quiescence, meditative concentration and equanimity. But why is the limb of the absence of defilement taught as threefold?

N54 IV.9 ab

It is taught by way of: (a) the underlying cause, (b) the basis and (c) the own-being;

(a) The underlying cause of the absence of defilement refers to quiescence because defilement is caused by disquiet and [quiescence] is the counteragent to the latter. (b) The basis refers to meditative concentration. (c) The own-being refers to equanimity.

[Sthiramati]

Y180.10 [1] Since the path of vision arises immediately after the highest [mundane] realizations and because it is characterized by the limbs of enlightenment, he says: the limbs of enlightenment follow immediately after the powers. How are they established 102? The intended meaning is: for what purpose are they established? Hence he says:

IV.8 a

(a) The limb of the basis, (b) the limb of own-being etc.

The limbs of enlightenment refer to the limbs that lead to enlightenment on the path of vision. It is [described as] vision (darśana) since [the aspirant] has

¹⁰² Read: teşlim katham vyavasthanam in place of katham etani vyavasthapyanta; cf. Bhasya N53.13.

the perception, for the very first time, of a reality that was previously unseen; and since it causes the attainment of the noble spiritual levels it is the path (mārga); hence it is described as the path of vision (darśana-mārga). For, in the state of [the realization of] the elements that are conducive to penetration 103, the [four] truths are perceived as though concealed by a fine silken cloth; [whereas] in the state of the path of vision, it is as though that [cloth] has been removed. In the above, enlightenment 104, which refers to the perfect comprehension of reality, consists in the direct intuition that is free from conceptual differentiation. With regard to that, the six beginning with mindfulness are [described as] its limbs because they are in conformity with enlightenment. However, the analysis of the dharmas is [equivalent to] enlightenment because it has the nature of understanding 105 and it is a 'limb' because it is a 'companion' to the others 106. Another [school] believes that the analysis of the dharmas, which is included in the path that brings an immediate result (ānantarya-mārga), is [only] a limb of enlightenment but that [analysis] which is included in the path of liberation (vimukti-mārga) is [equivalent to] enlightenment.

[2] Of these, (a) the limb of the basis of enlightenment¹⁰⁷ refers to mindfulness; because the absence of distraction in regard to an objective support is through the force of mindfulness. (b) The limb of own-being refers to the analysis of the dharmas; because enlightenment has direct intuition for its own-being¹⁰⁸. (c) The limb of setting forth refers to vigour; because the level of ordinary people is completely transcended through vigour. (d) The limb of advantage refers to delight; because the benefits to body and mind¹⁰⁹ are on account of this. (e) The limb of the absence of defilement which is three-fold refers to: quiescence, meditative concentration and equanimity because these are counteragents to defilement. Alternatively, it is because they are differentiated as the underlying cause, the basis and the own-being of the absence of defilement.

[3] But why is the limb of the absence of defilement taught as threefold? The intended meaning is: in what sense is it taught as threefold? Hence he says:

¹⁰³ Ms.(56a,6): nirvedha-; disregard Y's fn.3 p.180.

¹⁰⁴ Read: bodhir nirvikalpam as per Ms. (56a.6) in place of bodhinirvikalpam.

¹⁰⁵ Ms.(56a.7): -nabodhātmakatvād but Y's reading of (ava)bodhātmakatvād is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. D277a.4.

¹⁰⁶ Tib.: de dag gis grogs su gyur pas; cf. D277a.4.

¹⁰⁷ Ms.(56a,7); bodher 3-; disregard Y's fn.1 p.181.

¹⁰⁸ Tib. omits jitāna reading simply: "because this is the own-being of enlightenment"; byad chub kyi no bo nid yin pa"i phyir (D277a.5).

¹⁰⁹ Ms.(56b.1): kāvacittā-; disregard Y's fn.3 p.181.

IV.9 ab

It is taught by way of: (a) the underlying cause, (b) the basis and (c) the own-being:

- (a) The underlying cause of the absence of defilement refers to quiescence¹¹⁰; the absence of defilement is [equivalent to] purification. The underlying cause (nidana) is [equivalent to] the cause [karana]. As to why it is the underlying cause, he says: because defilement is caused111 by disquiet and because [quiescence] is the counteragent to the latter. This is what is being said: [quiescence] is the underlying cause of the absence of defilement because it is the counteragent to the underlying cause of defilement. Disquiet consists in physical and mental inefficiency; when this exists, mind becomes distracted because single-pointedness of the mind is impossible. Moreover, defilement, such as passion, arises in one whose mind is distracted; thus, disquiet is the cause of defilement. The counteragent to that disquiet is quiescence. How so? Because quiescence brings about physical and mental efficiency¹¹². In this way quiescence is determined to be the underlying cause of the absence of defilement. (b) The basis refers to meditative concentration. The mind is concentrated in one whose body and mind are assisted by quiescence; when the mind becomes concentrated, one understands [phenomena] as they are in reality and moral defilement is relinquished because one sees them as they are in reality. Thus, the basis of the absence of defilement is meditative concentration. (c) The own-being refers to equanimity because this is the counteragent to: (a) mind's unevenness, (b) mind's absence of meditative calm and (c) mind's state of effort¹¹³, all of which are conformable to the defiled condition. Thus, the own-being of the absence of defilement is equanimity.
- [4] However, others believe that when defilement which is to be relinquished through vision has been relinquished by means of the paths of relinquishment 114, wisdom is generated 115 that is free from the encumbrances of that defilement, is pure in its own-being, is incorporated in the path of liberation 116 and is positively determined in regard to the knowable. That wisdom is described as equanimity in this context since one shows equanimity in thinking: "there is extinction in regard to extinction", because what is to be done has been done.

¹¹⁰ Read: asamkleśasya nidānam praśrabdhir in place of praśrabdhir asamkleśanidānam; cf. Bhāṣya N54.2.

¹¹¹ Bhāsya (N54.2): hetutvāt, but Tīkā (Ms.56b.3): hetukatvāt which is preferred.

¹¹² Read: karmanyatāyā āpādanāt in place of karmanyatāpādanāt. Ms. (56b.7): karmanyatāyā pādanāt. Tib. las su run bar 'gyur te (D277b.4).

¹¹³ Read: cittasābhogāvasthitāyāś as per Ms.(56b.6) and contrary to Y's fn.5 p.181, in place of cittābhogāvasthitāyāś; Tib. sems risol ba dań bcas pa'i... omitting avasthita (cf. D277b.6).

¹¹⁴ Read: prahānamārgair darśanaheye as per Ms.(56b.7) in place of prahānair mārgair darśanaheye disregarding Y's fn.1 p.182; Tib. spon ba'i lam mams kyis mthon bas span bar bya ba'i...(D277b.7).

¹¹⁵ Ms.(56b.7): prajāyate; disregard Y's fn.2 p.182.

¹¹⁶ Ms.(56b.7): vimuktivimärga-but Y's reading of vimuktimärga is correct.

g. The Eight Limbs of the Path.

N54.6 The limbs of the path follow immediately after the limbs of enlightenment. How are they respectively established?

IV.9 cd & 10 ab

The eightfold limbs of the path consist in: (a) accurate determination, (b) the attainment [of others], (c) the threefold confidence of others and (d) the counteragent to adverse elements:

On the path of meditative development, the limb for the accurate determination of the path of vision¹¹⁷ is mundane correct view which is obtained subsequently to the supramundane - by means of this, one accurately determines one's own understanding. The limbs for the attainment of others are correct intention and correct speech because their attainment is on account of speech together with that which causes its arising. The limbs for the confidence of others are threefold: correct speech, correct action and correct livelihood, for, by means of these respectively:

IV.10 cd

It is considered that another is informed in regard to vision, morality and austerity.

One has confidence in wisdom on account of correct speech¹¹⁸, i.e. on account of discussion and certainty in regard to doctrinal disputation. [One has confidence] in morality on account of correct action because one does not perform action that should not be performed. [One has confidence] in austerity on account of correct livelihood because of the Dharma and also because one's desire for garments etc. is in moderation.

The limb that is the counteragent to adverse elements is threefold: correct effort, correct mindfulness and correct meditative concentration, for, these are respectively:

IV.11 ab

The counteragents to: (a) moral defilement, (b) secondary defilement

¹¹⁷ Read: bhāvanāmārge daráanamārgasya paricchedārigam which accords with both the Tib. Ţika (cf. D278a.4) and Bhāya (D17b.3) which reads: bsgom pa'i lam gyi ishe mthon ba'i lam la ...yots su gcod pa'i yan lag ste (D17b.3).

¹¹⁸ Omit tasya (N54.15) since it is not found in the Tib. Bhasya nor in the Tika.

and (c) what is adverse to supremacy;

For the adverse elements are threefold: (a) the moral defilement to be abandoned by meditative development, (b) the secondary defilements of indolence and excitability and (c) that which is adverse to supremacy and is an obstruction to the achievement of the special qualities. Here, correct effort is the counteragent to the first, since the meditative development of the path is on account of that. Correct mindfulness [is the counteragent] to the second, because one who has properly applied mindfulness in regard to the causal-signs of tranquillization etc., is free from indolence and excitability. Correct meditative concentration [is the counteragent] to the third because one achieves the qualities such as the higher knowledges with the meditative absorptions for a basis.

[Sthiramati]

N55

Y182.8 [1] The limbs of the path follow immediately after the limbs of enlight-enment. How are they respectively established? The path of meditative development follows immediately after the path of vision and since the limbs of the path are included therein, the limbs of the path are described immediately following the limbs of enlightenment. In what sense are they respectively established? Hence he says:

IV.9 c ...(a) Accurate determination, (b) the causing of attainment 119 etc.

The eight limbs of the path¹²⁰, beginning with correct view, are respectively established as being adverse to [the eight beginning with] false view and concluding with false meditative concentration. Their sequential order is in accordance with their order of efficacy¹²¹; in detail, they consist in the path of meditative development. It is the path of meditative development (bhāvanā-mārga) since it is cultivated (bhāvyate) through the frequent exercise of meditative development (nisevana-bhāvanā).

[2] On that path of meditative development, the limb for the accurate determination of the path of vision which is cultivated through meditative development that has been attained is mundane correct view; it is mundane since it is accompanied by conceptual differentiation. It is determined [as such] even for one who has entered the path because such a person has acquired the capacity for its

¹¹⁹ Read: paricchedo 'tha samprăptih in place of paricchedah prăpanam ca; cf. Bhāsya N54.7.

¹²⁰ Ms.(58a.2): mārgāṇāṃ which is amended to mārgāṅgānāṃ in the Ms. margin.

¹²¹ Read: kāritrānukrameņa as per Ms.(58a.2) in place of kārikānukrameņa; Tib. byed pa'i rim gyis (D278a.4).

arising; however, the supramundane is devoid of conceptual differentiation 122. Although devoid of conceptual differentiation 123, it is described as correct view since it is the basis of correct view; hence he says: ...which is obtained subsequently to the supramundane. What is meant is: [correct view] is obtained, i.e. has arisen, subsequently, i.e. through the force of 124, the supramundane path of vision. But what is its object? Hence he says: ...by means of this, one accurately determines one's own understanding, i.e. one's understanding of the path of vision insofar as one thinks: "I understand thus". It is described as correct view because it has the path of vision for its object.

[3] The limbs for the attainment of others; in detail: the limbs for the attainment of others ...are correct intention and correct speech because, by means of correct speech and correct intention, others attain [the realization of] a reality that has been accurately determined through correct view. Hence he says: because their attainment is on account of speech together with that which causes its arising; for, correct intention causes the arising of [correct] speech because of this statement from a Sūtra: "one does not utter a word without having conjectured and deliberated". 125 Moreover, one who is concentrated should be known as being endowed with these two [qualities] because he acquires the capacity to produce them; however, one who is concentrated neither speculates nor speaks.

[4] The limbs for the confidence of others are threefold: correct speech, correct action and correct livelihood. How so? He says: for, by means of these, i.e. by means of correct speech¹²⁶, action and livelihood, respectively:

IV.10 cd

It is considered that another is informed 127 in regard to vision, morality and austerity.

On account of correct speech etc. Discussion refers to the teachings of the Dharma; certainty concerning doctrinal disputation refers to the formulation of objections and refutations in regard to spiritual realization, together with others. One has confidence in wisdom on account of that discussion and certainty concerning doctrinal disputation; i.e. due to the injunction of others ¹²⁸ a reality is understood on account of those fi.e. discussion etc.] inasmuch as one thinks: "this

¹²² Read: lokottară tu nirvikalpă în place of lokottarăt tu nirvikalpăt; Tib. 'jig rten las 'das pa ni mam par mi rtog pa'o (D278a.5).

¹²³ Read: nirvikalpă saty api în place of nirvikalpasya sato 'pi; Tib. mam par mi rtog par gyur kvan (D278a.5).

¹²⁴ balena is not found in the Tib.

¹²⁵ Cf. LVP Kośa II, p.174.

¹²⁶ vak is omitted from the Ms. and is included on the basis of the Tib.; cf. D278b.4.

¹²⁷ Read: paravijitaptir isyate in place of paravijitaptikā matā; cf. Bhāsya N54.14.

¹²⁸ paresām niyogād is not found in the Tib.; cf. D278b.5.

Y184

statement has meaning which is unimpaired 129, thoroughly ascertained, without contradiction and is crystal clear". [One has confidence] in morality on account of correct action; [the words]: "one has confidence" remain in force. Why [does one have confidence in morality...]? He says: because one does not perform action that should not be performed. Correct action is contrary to evil conduct; hence, one who is endowed with 130 this does not perform action that should not be performed, even for the sake of his life. Consequently, since such a person possesses morality that is pleasing to the Noble Ones, one has confidence in him. [One has confidence] in austerity on account of correct livelihood; [the words]: "one has confidence" are understood. Why (does one have confidence in austerity...!? He says: because of the Dharma and also because one's desire for garments etc. 131 is in moderation. Correct livelihood refers to the normal behaviour of body and speech which is devoid of the faults of hypocrisy etc. for the sake of the necessities of life. On account of this, one has confidence in austerity and one's cravings for the necessities of life is certainly relinquished because such a person desires garments etc. according to propriety and in moderation just for the sake of the continued existence of the basis (i.e. the physical body)132. In this regard, one has no pride on account of correct view because of clear comprehension of one's own understanding [of phenomena] as it is in reality. One speaks with the roar of a lion through the speech which is caused to arise 133 by way of correct intention. By means of correct speech¹³⁴, action and livelihood, one manifests one's individual status (i.e. as a śramana or brahmacāri etc.].

[5] The limb that is the counteragent to adverse elements is threefold 1.35 and since these are not known, he says: correct effort, correct mindfulness and correct meditative concentration. As to which adverse elements these are the counteragents, he says: for these 1.36 are, respectively:

IV.11 ab

The counteragents to: (a) moral defilement, (b) secondary defilement and (c) what is adverse to supremacy;

¹²⁹ Read: tattvam adhigatam tam asamsaktam in place of tattvam adhigatam tathā hy upašama-samsaktam; upašama is not found in the Tib. and it seems to have been expunged from the Ms.(cf. 58b.1). The reading of asamsaktam is substantiated by the Tib. thogs pa med pa (cf. D278b.5 & 6).

¹³⁰ Read; samanvāgato as per Ms.(58b.2) in place of sambandhāgato; Tib. dań Idan pa (D278b.7).

¹³¹ Ms.(58b.3): civaradyeşanad iti; disregard Y's fn.2 p.184.

¹³² āśraya; Tib. lus (D279a.2).

¹³³ Read: samutthāpitayā vācā in place of samutthāpitavācā; Ms.(58b.4): -tayā vācā. Tib. kun nas bslan ba'i tshig gis (D279a.3).

¹³⁴ Ms.(58b.5) omits vak which is inserted on the basis of the Tib.; cf. D279a.3.

¹³⁵ Read: tridhaiva as per Ms.(58b.5) in place of trividha; cf. Bhasya N54.18.

¹³⁶ Read: esām as per Ms. (58b.6) & Bhāsya (N54.19) in place of tesām.

Here, correct effort [is the counteragent] to the first. For, moral defilement that is to be relinquished by means of meditative development is the first adverse element; correct effort is the counteragent to that. How so? He says: since the meditative development of the path is on account of that, i.e. is on account of correct effort. Correct effort is fequivalent to l vigour which is taken possession of by correct view and remains in meditative concentration free from indolence and excitability 137. By means of this, with the aim of relinquishing the moral defilements which are to be relinquished through meditative development, one cultivates the path as the counteragent to them; thus, correct effort is described as the counteragent to the first because it causes the accomplishment of correct meditative concentration. In the context of that same meditative development of the path, correct mindfulness (is the counteragent] to the second, i.e. secondary defilement which is characterized by indolence and excitability; [the term] "counteragent" needs to be supplied. Why [is correct mindfulness the counteragent? He says; because one who has properly applied mindfulness in regard to the causal-signs of tranquillization etc. is free from indolence and excitability 138; by the word "etc." 139 the causalsign of exertion and the causal-sign of equanimity are also to be understood. Tranquillization in this regard is due to the appearement of the causal-sign of distraction. The causal-sign (nimitta) of tranquillization etc. is [equivalent to] the cause (karana) of tranquillization etc. With regard to this, when mind is excited or is suspected of becoming excited, mental attention directed towards an entity that is apt to cause a 'shuddering' 140 is the causal-sign of tranquillization. When mind is sluggish or is suspected of becoming lethargic, mental attention directed towards an entity that is apt to cause delight is the causal-sign of exertion. When the path is devoted exclusively to tranquillity or is devoted exclusively to insight¹⁴¹, or when it combines both of these, the impassive mental attention directed towards that which is defiled 142 by the former two secondary defilements is the causal-sign of equanimity. That which is adverse to supremacy¹⁴³ which consists in the qualities such as the higher knowledges is undefiled nescience 144 which consists in the obscuration to the attainments. Correct meditative concentration [is the counteragent] to the third; [the word] "counteragent" is to be supplied. As to why [it is the counteragent to the third], he

¹³⁷ Read: Jayauddhatyavigatasamādhyavasthānam in place of Jayauddhatyavigatam samādhyavasthānam on the basis of the Tib.: byth ba dan rgod pa dan bral ba'i tin ne 'dzin gyi dus (DZ79a.6).

¹³⁸ Read: layauddhatyābhāvād in place of layauddhatyavigamād: cf. Bhāsya N55.4.

¹³⁹ Read: adišabdenain place of adišabdah; Tib. sogs pa žes bya ba'i sgras ni (D279b.1).

¹⁴⁰ Ms.(53a,2): samvenIya- with ja added in the Ms. margin contrary to Y's fn.1 p.185.

¹⁴¹ Read: vidarśanaikāntike as per Ms.(53a.3) in place of vipaśyānaikāntike disregarding Y's fn.3 p.185.

¹⁴² Read: tadubhayopakleśenopakliste in place of tadubhayopakleśānupakliste; Tib. ñe ba'i ñon mońs pa de gnis kyis ñe bar ñon mońs par gyur pa la (D279b.4).

¹⁴³ Ms.(53a,4); vibhutvasya; disregard Y's fn,4 p.185.

¹⁴⁴ Ms.(53a.4): aklistam ajfilanam; disregard Y's fn.5 p.185.

says: because one achieves 145 the qualities such as the higher knowledges with the meditative absorptions for a basis. For, meditative absorption is the basis for the achievement of all the special qualities - thus it is the counteragent to the obscuration to the attainments 146, being adverse to the achievement of the qualities such as the higher knowledges. Therefore, he has stated that: (a) dissociation from obscuration that consists in moral defilement is by way of correct effort, (b) the complete purification of meditative concentration is by way of correct mindfulness and (c) separation from obscuration to the attainments 147 is by way of correct meditative concentration.

h. The Differentiation¹⁴⁸ of the Meditative Development of the Counteragent.

N55.7 That meditative development of the counteragent should be known summarily as threefold:

IV.11 cd & 12 ab

Meditative development as: (a) conformable but erroneously inverted, (b) erroneous inversion accompanied by connection and (c) not erroneously inverted and free from the connection with erroneous inversion;

(a) Although erroneously inverted, it conforms with the absence of erroneous inversion; (b) although not erroneously inverted, it is connected with erroneous inversion; and (c) it is not erroneously inverted and is free from connection with erroneous inversion. These relate respectively to the state of: (i) ordinary people, (ii) those in training and (iii) the adepts. However, for the bodhisattvas:

IV.12 cd

A distinction is made in terms of: (a) objective support, (b) mental attention and (c) attainment.

For, the objective supports of the érêvakes and pratyekebuddhes are the body etc. belonging to their own mental continuum. [The objective

¹⁴⁵ Read: - abhinirharad in place of - abhinirharanad: cf Bhasya N55.5.

¹⁴⁶ Read: samāpatti- in place of samādhy- on the basis of the Tib. sāoms par jug pa- (D279b.6) and also because of statement (c) below; cf. Y185.19.

¹⁴⁷ Read: (samāpattyāvara)ņavigamam in place of (samāpattyāva)raņena vişamam; Ms.(53a.6): ņavigamam. Tib.; sāoms par 'jug pa'i sgrib pa dan bral ba'o (D279b.7).

¹⁴⁸ N's prabheda is adopted here rather than Y's samasa.

supports] for the bodhisattvas are [those things] belonging to their own mental continuum as well as that of others. The śrāvakas and pratyeka-buddhas are mentally attentive of their body etc. in the aspects of impermanence etc. However, the bodhisattvas [are mentally attentive] in the manner of non-perception. The śrāvakas and pratyekabuddhas cultivate the applications of mindfulness etc. only insofar as they lead to the dissociation of the body etc. The bodhisattvas do not [cultivate the latter] for the purpose of dissociation, nor for non-dissociation, [they cultivate them] up until the [attainment of the] nirvēpa in which they are not permanently fixed.

The meditative development of the counteragent has now been described.

[Sthiramati]

Y185.21 [1] That meditative development of the counteragent should be known summarily as threefold, according to its differentiation as: (a) an undertaking, (b) something obtained and (c) completion; however, in detail it is of various kinds. How so?

Y186 IV.11 c

[Meditative development as]: (a) conformable but erroneously inverted etc.

In this respect, (a) although erroneously inverted, it conforms with the absence of erroneous inversion. The absence of erroneous inversion refers to the path of vision because it has reality for its object and since it conforms with the latter, it conforms with the absence of erroneous inversion. Moreover, this is the meditative development of ordinary people, thus, it is erroneously inverted because it does not have reality for its object; i.e. because ordinary people have not relinquished moral defilement in its entirety. How can it conform with the absence of erroneous inversion when it is erroneously inverted? Because it has arisen¹⁴⁹ from the latent impressions of what is learnt which flows out of the extremely pure dharmadhātu. And this begins with the meditative development of the applications of mindfulness and concludes with the meditative development of the powers. (b) Although not erroneously inverted, it is connected with erroneous inversion. equivalent to the meditative development of the limbs of enlightenment and the meditative development of the path, and is pertinent to those still in training. It is not erroneously inverted because it has reality for its object and it is connected with erroneous inversion because of the presence of moral defilement which is to be relinquished through meditative development. (c) It is not erroneously inverted and

¹⁴⁹ Read: utpannatvāt in place of utpannāt; Tib. byun ba'i phyir (D280a.3).

is free from connection with erroneous inversion. This refers to the meditative development of the path pertinent to the adepts, i.e. the arhats¹⁵⁰. It is not erroneously inverted because it has reality for its object; it is not connected with erroneous inversion and is pertinent to the arhat because he has relinquished all stain.

[2] Now, in order to demonstrate the distinction between the meditative development of the counteragent for the *bodhisattvas* in contrast to that of the *śrāvakas* etc., he says: however, for the *bodhisattvas*:

IV.12 cd

A distinction is made in terms of: (a) objective support, (b) mental attention and (c) attainment, 151

Therein, there is a distinction¹⁵² in terms of objective support, for, the objective supports, i.e. the body, sensation, mind and the dharmas, of the śrawakas and pratyekabuddhas are the body etc. with its own mental continuum. However, the objective supports, i.e. the body, sensation, mind and the dharmas, for the bodhisattvas are [those things] belonging to their own mental continuum as well as that of others. Why do they have different objective supports? Because it is with reference to just their own benefit that the śrāvakas etc. engage in the meditative development of the counteragent; however, for the bodhisattvas, it is with reference to both their own benefit and that of others. In the aspects of impermanence etc.; i.e. in the aspects of the impermanent, the painful, the empty and the insubstantial. Why is the mental attention of the śrāvakas and pratyekabuddhas [applied] thus in [the aspect of] the impermanent etc.? In order to generate aversion for the latter because one who is not averse does not dissociate from them. The bodhizattvas [arementally attentive] in the manner of nonperception; what is meant is; in the modes of the non-perception of the imaginary nature. Why are they mentally attentive in this way? In order to relinquish all conceptual differentiation - this is said to be peculiar to them. Not only are they mentally attentive in the manner of non-perception but also in the aspects of the impermanent etc. Thus, a distinction is made because of the differences in their mental attention. Only insofar as they lead to the dissociation of the body etc. The dissociation of the body etc. refers to the nirvana without a remaining substratum and the relinquishment of moral defilement which has it (i.e. the body) for its objective support. The bodhisattvas do not [cultivate the latter] for the purpose of dissociation, nor for non-dissociation. What is the reason? For, those who are absolutely dissociated or not dissociated from the body etc. would not have the capacity to

¹⁵⁰ Read: iyam arhatām aśaikṣa- in place of iyam aśaikṣa-; Tib. 'di ni dgra bcom pa mi slob pa'i (D280a.5).

¹⁵¹ Read: ălambanamanaskāraprāptitas tadviśişļatā in place of ālambanād višişţā sā manas-kārāc ca prāptitaḥ; cf. Bhāṣya N55.13.

¹⁵² Read: viśistatā in place of viśtstatā.

provide benefit for sentient beings, just like those whose moral defilement has been extinguished fi.e. who are absolutely dissociated and ordinary beings fi.e. who are absolutely non-dissociated). If it leads neither to dissociation nor non-dissociation 153. why then do they cultivate¹⁵⁴ the counteragents? Hence he says: [they cultivate theml on account of the fact that they lead to the attainment of the nirvāna in which one is not permanently fixed 155. What is this nirvāna [in which the bodhisattva is] not permanently fixed? While [the aspirant] is in the bodhisattya state - since it is the basis for mastery over karma and rebirth - although he is reborn in samsara on account of his compassion, he is without defilement there owing to the strength of his wisdom. This is called 156 the unfixed nirvana of the the bodhisattva. Although he is stationed in samsāra, he is not defiled like ordinary people are, nor does he [attain] the nirvāna devoid of substratum 157, like the śrāvakas etc. Moreover, in the tathagata state he is not permanently fixed in samsara because he has relinquished the obscuration that consists in both moral defilement and the cognizable. Nor is he permanently fixed in the nirvana devoid of a remaining substratum because there is no interruption to the Dharma Body, for, as long as the world exists, he performs benefit for others through the Enjoyment and Transformation Bodies. In this way a distinction is made between the bodhisattva and the śrāvaka etc. concerning the meditative development of the counteragent according to differences in objective support, mental attention and result. The meditative development of the counteragent has now been described.

¹⁵³ nāvisamyogāya is inserted on the basis of the Tib. and is not found in the Ms.; cf. Y's fn.1 p.187.

¹⁵⁴ Read: bhāvayantīty in place of bhāvayatīty; cf. Bhāsya N55.18.

¹⁵⁵ Read: yāvad ovāpratişthitanirvāņāya in place of yenaiva apratişthitanirvāņārtham bhāvayati; cf. Bhāşya N55.19.

¹⁵⁶ Read: abhidhīvate as per Ms.(59a.1) in place of vidhīvate; Tib. briod (D281a.1).

¹⁵⁷ Read: nirupādāne as rer Ms.(59a.2) contrary to Y's fn.2 p.187 in place of nirupādāno.

2. The State Therein.

N56.2 Which are the states in regard to the latter?

IV.13 abcd

(a) The causal state, (b) that called 'arrival', those named: (c) 'preparatory' and (d) 'result', (e) that with duties to be performed, (f) without duties to be performed and (g) that of excellence, (h) the superior and (i) the unsurpassable.

IV 14 shed & 15 a

[The states] are listed in relation to: (a) firm conviction, (b) entry, (c) setting forth, (d) prophecy, (e) teaching, (f) consecration, (g) attainment, (h) benefit and (i) performance of duty.

Of these, (a) the causal state is that which pertains to an individual stationed on a spiritual lineage. (b) The arrival state pertains to one whose resolve for enlightenment has been generated. (c) The preparatory state is subsequent to the generation of the resolve when the result has not been attained. (d) The state of result [occurs when the latter] has been attained. (e) The state with duties to be performed pertains to one who is still in training. (f) The state without duties to be performed pertains to the adept. (g) The state of excellence pertains to one endowed with the special qualities of the higher knowledges etc. (h) The superior state pertains to the bodhisativa who has entered the spiritual levels above the śrāvaka etc. (i) The unsurpassable state pertains to the Buddha because there is no state that is superior to that.

(a) The state of firm conviction pertains to the bodhisattves on all the spiritual levels consisting in the course of firm conviction. (b) The state of entry [pertains to those] on the first spiritual level. (c) The state of setting forth, on the six spiritual levels subsequent to the latter. (d) The prophetic state, on the eighth spiritual level. (e) The state of teacher, on the ninth. (f) The state of consecration, on the tenth. (g) The attainment state refers to the Dharma Body of the Buddhas. (h) The state of benefit refers to the Enjoyment Body. (i) The state of the performance of duty refers to the Transformation Body.

Moreover, all of these various states, in short, should be known as:

IV.15 bcd

Threefold in relation to the dharmadhātu: (a) impure, (b) impure and pure and (c) purified, as is appropriate.

Of these, (a) the impure state is inclusive of the causal state, up until the preparatory. (b) The state that is both impure and pure pertains to those still in training. (c) The purified state pertains to the adepts.

IV.16 ab

N57

Hence is the respective establishment of individuals considered, as is fitting;

Hence, from the differentiation of their states, as is fitting, the establishment of individuals should be known in this way: "this [person] is stationed in a spiritual lineage; that [person] has arrived" etc. The states have now been described.

[Sthiramati]

Y188.2 [1] The state therein is described immediately following the meditative development of the counteragent, hence he asks: which are 158 the states in regard to the latter? The words "in regard to the latter", signify: in regard to that meditative development of the counteragent; hence he says:

IV.13 a

(a) The causal state, (b) that called 'arrival' etc.

Of these, (a) the causal state is that which pertains to an individual stationed in a spiritual lineage. What is meant is: the spiritual lineage is the cause, i.e. the 'seed'. In this respect, one who abides in a spiritual lineage, but not among those whose resolve has been generated, is the person who is meant in this context as being stationed in a spiritual lineage; furthermore, such a one enters into the higher states and does not turn back. Some [schools] claim that the spiritual lineage has the root of the wholesome for its essential nature because certain roots of the wholesome are said to consist in the spiritual lineage of the śrāvaka and so forth, up until certain others are said to consist in the spiritual lineage of the bodhisativa. Others again believe that, with reference to the state of the ordinary person 159, the differentiation of the faculties is said to consist in the spiritual lineage and they claim that the spiritual lineage refers to a capacity of the 'seed' of mind. When this exists, then, in the

¹⁵⁸ Read: katamā in place of kā; cf. Bhāsya N56.2.

¹⁵⁹ Ms.(59a.5): prgjanya- which has been correctly amended to prthagjana- by Y; cf. his fn.2 p.188.

state of the ordinary person¹⁶⁰ and in the state of the one still in training, it is described as the spiritual lineage of the śrāvaka and so forth up until its description as the spiritual lineage of the bodhisattva. However, one who is in the state of the adept is described as a śrāvaka or pratyekabuddha or a Buddha¹⁶¹ and there is no spiritual lineage on his part because of his pre-eminent state. Moreover, that [i.e. the spiritual lineage] is differentiated as threefold according to one's differentiation as śrāvaka etc. and is sixfold according to its differentiation as determinate and indeterminate¹⁶².

[2] (b) The arrival state pertains to one whose resolve for enlightenment has been generated. Such a person can be one of three types belonging respectively to either the spiritual lineage of the śrāvaka, the pratyekabuddha or the tathāgata because he generates the resolve for the enlightenment 163 of either the śrāvaka, the pratyekabuddha or the Perfectly Enlightened One. Since he arrives in the preparatory [state] when the latter has originated, because it consists in the roots of preparation, its generation is described as the state of arrival in preparation. (c) The preparatory state is subsequent to the generation of the resolve, when the result has not been attained. It is described as preparatory since, for one whose resolve for enlightenment 164 has been generated, it is the expedient which causes the attainment of the first result by practising the wholesome roots 165, beginning with generosity and morality and terminating in the meditative development of the powers. Why are the causal and arrival states described as the states that comprise the meditative development of the factors that contribute to enlightenment? Because they are causes of the latter. Only because of this is it said that the generation of the resolve due to the spiritual lineage has ever increasing results etc. 166. (d) The state of result [occurs when the latter has been attained; i.e. when the first result [has been attained] for preparation is undertaken for the sake of the result; hence, the state of result follows immediately after the preparatory [state]. (e) The state with duties to be performed pertains to one still in training 167 because he has not completely attained the results since there are duties still to be performed for the attainment of the other results 168. (f) The state without duties to be performed pertains to

¹⁶⁰ Ms.(59a.6): pṛṭhagjanāvasthāvasthāvāṣṭhāvasthāvāṣṭh but Y's reading of pṛṭhagjanāvasthāyāṣṭn is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. D281a.7.

Ms.(59a.6): śrāvaka ity ucyate buddha iti vā, but Y's emendation to śrāvaka ity ucyate pratyekabuddha iti buddha vā is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.3 p.188 & errata p.141.

¹⁶² Line 7 of Ms. (59a) begins: -dena şadvidham bhavati; there is no evidence of the extra syllables mentioned by Y in his fn.4 p.188.

¹⁶³ Ms.(59a.7): -bodhişūtpādāt, but Y's emendation to -bodhişu cittotpādāt is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.5 p.188.

¹⁶⁴ bodhi is omitted from the Tib.: cf. D281b.3.

¹⁶⁵ mula is not found in the Ms. and is inserted on the basis of the Tib.

¹⁶⁶ This is a quotation from the Bhāṣya of the next section (phala-prāpti); cf. N57.19.

¹⁶⁷ This explanation for sakaranty avasth (Y189.9-11) is omitted from the Tib.; cf. D281b.5.

¹⁶⁸ Read: phalāntaraprāptim prati karanīyasadbhāvāt as per Ms.(59b.3) in place of phalāntaraprāptipratikaranīyasadbhāvāt.

the adept. For, he is an adept insofar as being free from the passion that pertains to the three realms of existence. It is the state without duties to be performed because such a one has completely attained the results since there are no duties still to be performed for the attainment of the other results. (g) The state of excellence pertains to one endowed with the special qualities of the higher knowledges etc. When there is the state of an arhat, one is endowed with the special qualities such as the higher knowledges. [therefore], the state of the perfection of the special qualities of the higher knowledges etc. is distinguished from other [states] and is described as the state of excellence. (h) The superior state pertains to the bodhisattva who has entered the spiritual levels above the śrāvaka and pratvekabuddha169. Owing to the acquisition of the supramundane path which penetrates 170 both the insubstantialities and is occupied with benefit for oneself and others, the state of the latter is described as the superior state because it is superior to other vehicles. (i) The unsurpassable state pertains to the Buddha. What is the reason?¹⁷¹ He says: ...because there is no state subsequent to that. pertains to the Buddha [state] because this is the final basis 172 for the excellence and absence of all virtues and faults [respectively]. It is described as unsurpassable because another state of excellence subsequent to this cannot be found.

[3] Although [the explanation of] the states in their entirety is complete because of what has been discussed so far, in order to demonstrate the differentiation of the eleven spiritual levels of the bodhisattva, the states beginning with the course of 173 firm conviction and terminating in the state of consecration etc. 174, are now described. However, in order to demonstrate the differentiation of the three Buddha Bodies, the three beginning with the attainment state are also explained. In the above, the causal and arrival states are to be known as being common to both bodhisattvas and others 175.

(a) The state of firm conviction pertains to the bodhisattvas on all the spiritual levels that consists in the course of firm conviction. In this regard, subsequent to the generation of the resolve [for enlightenment] and before his entry to the spiritual levels, although [the aspirant] has not perceived reality, it is as though he has perceived reality, [for] he comprehends the dharmas by way of the three natures on account of his firm conviction in the Buddhas and the bodhisattvas. He is intent upon the resources for the achievement of Buddhahood, i.e. generosity and morality etc., which are difficult to perform for other sentient beings, thus this is

¹⁶⁹ śrävakapratyekabuddhebbyo but Bhāşya (N56.12) and Tib. Ţīkā (D281b.7): śrävakādibhyo.

¹⁷⁰ Read: prativedhakasya as per Ms. (59b.5) in place of prativedhasya.

¹⁷¹ Read: kim kāranam as per Ms. (59b.6) in place of kim artham.

¹⁷² adhisthana is omitted from the Tib.; cf. D282a.2.

¹⁷³ Ms.(59b.7): omits caryā which is inserted on the basis of the Tib.; cf. Y's fn.4 p.189.

¹⁷⁴ adi is omitted from the Tib.; cf D282a.3.

¹⁷⁵ Read: bodhisattvasya anyānām ca sādhāranatvena in place of bodhisattvasyānyena sādhāranatvam; Tib. byan chub sems dpa' dan gzan dag thun mon par (D282a.4).

described as the course of firm conviction because it is characterized by the state of firm resolve. Moreover, this 176 [continues] for countless aeons or more. (b) The state of entry [pertains to the bodhisattva] on the first spiritual level; it is described as the state of entry because, from the very beginning, through the direct intuition of the three natures that is devoid of conceptual differentiation he has the intuitive knowledge and penetration of reality. This same [level] is the path of vision of the bodhisattva. (c) The state of setting forth, on the six spiritual levels subsequent to the latter; these spiritual levels are described¹⁷⁷ as the state of setting forth because [the bodhisattva]: (a) reaches the end of the relinquishment of notional attachment to the multiplicity of signs (nimitta) which is to be relinquished through meditative development and (b) reaches the end of preparation that is accompanied by deliberate effort¹⁷⁸. (d) The prophetic state, on the eighth¹⁷⁹ spiritual level; due to the absence of deliberate effort 180 and conceptualization in regard to all signs in every respect on the eighth level, it is prophesized through an open prophecy by the Buddhas, the Venerable Ones, that Buddhahood is certain. (e) The state of teacher¹⁸¹, on the ninth; on the ninth level he obtains the four analytical knowledges which are distinctly superior to [those of] the śrāvakas and pratyekabuddhas and becomes an unsurpassable teacher of the universal Dharma. (f) The state of consecration, on the tenth spiritual level¹⁸²; for, on the tenth level the bodhisattva has reached the end of the path and is consecrated by anointment with rays of light from the Buddhas, the Venerable Ones, who are stationed in the world spheres of the ten directions. (g) The attainment state refers to the Dharma Body of the Buddhas. It is the Dharma Body of the Buddhas since it: (a) has the nature of the turning about of the basis, (b) has control over all dharmas and (c) is without a foundation - these are due to both the relinquishment of all obscuration and the accumulation of the 'seeds' of all dharmas that are without impurity and which act as counteragent to those [obscurations]. It is described as the attainment state because by means of this [body the bodhisattva] reaches the culminating point in his penetration of the dharmas. However, others claim that the extremely pure dharmadhātu is sequivalent tol the Dharma Body which is the Body of real nature

(dharmatākāva)¹⁸³ because of the removal of adventitious stain in its entirety. Others

¹⁷⁶ Ms.(60a,2): sānta, but Y's emendation to sā ca is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.3 p.190.

¹⁷⁷ Read: ucyante in place of ucyate.

¹⁷⁹ Ms.(60a.4): -stamyām; disregard Y's fn.4 p.190.

¹⁸⁰ ābhoga, but Tib.(D282b.2): bad pa; cf. my fn.178 above.

¹⁸¹ Read: kathikatvāvasthā in place of kathikāvasthā; cf. Bhāşya N56.17.

¹⁸² Bhasya omits bhūmau; cf. N56.17.

¹⁸³ Tib. is slightly different: "the extremely pure dharmadhātu is [equivalent to] the Dharma Body because it is the Body of the Dharma", chos kyi dbyids rab tu mam par dag pa fiid ni chos kyi sku yin pas chos kyi sku (D282b.6) = suvišuddho dharmadhātur eva dharmasya kāyād dharmakāya.

again believe that the Dharma Body consists in a distinctive direct intuition which proceeds without attachment or hindrance in regard to everything knowable ¹⁸⁴. (h) The state of benefit refers to the Enjoyment body. The Essential Nature [Body] is the body established in which he becomes perfectly enlightened ¹⁸⁵. The Enjoyment Body is that body on account of which one experiences the Recitation of the Dharma in the circle of assembly ¹⁸⁶ together with the bodhisattvas who have reached their final end. (i) The state of the performance of duty refers to the Transformation Body ¹⁸⁷. The Transformation Body is that which: (a) has the Essential Nature Body for a basis, (b) takes heed of the aspirations of sentient beings and (c) has infinite divisions in regard to the engagement in undertakings ¹⁸⁸ for the sake of those to be trained.

[4] Moreover, all of these various states, in short, should be known as:

IV.15 b

Threefold in relation to the dharmadhātu etc.:

As to how this can be, he says:

IV.15 cd

(a) impure, (b) impure and pure and

(c) purified, as is appropriate. 189

Of these, (a) the impure state is inclusive of the causal state up until 190 the preparatory; i.e. the states of cause, arrival, preparation and firm conviction are included. In relation to these states, the impure state is so-called because the stain of the dharmadhātu has not been relinquished in its entirety. (b) The state that is both impure and pure pertains to those who are still in training; and in this context, the states of result, that with duties still to be performed 191, distinction, superiority, entry, setting forth, prophecy, teacher and consecration are included. Moreover, in relation to these states, the state that is both impure and pure is so-called because the moral defilement of the dharmadhātu is both relinquished and not relinquished. (c) The purified state pertains to the adepts; i.e. the states without duties still to be performed, distinction, superiority, attainment, benefit and the performance of duty are described as the purified state because the adepts have relinquished moral defilement in its entirety.

¹⁸⁴ Contrary to Y's fn.1 p.191, the Ms.(60a.7) reads sarvasminn jūeye 'saktā- (jūeye is inserted in the Ms. margin).

¹⁸⁵ Read: svābhāviko yasmin sa kāye vyavasthito in place of svābhāviko yasmin kāye vyavasthite; Ms.(60b.1): svābhāviko yasmin satkāye vyavasthito. Tib. (D282b.7) inserts 'body' (sku).

¹⁸⁶ Read: par; anmandale as per Ms.(60b.1) in place of parşanmandalo.

¹⁸⁷ Read: nirmānakāya in place of nairmānikah kāya; cf. Bhāsya N56.19.

¹⁸⁸ Read: kriyā in place of prakriyā since the latter is not substantiated by the Ms.; cf. 60b.2.

¹⁸⁹ Read: yathārhata in place of yathocitam; cf. Bhāsya N56.22.

¹⁹⁰ Read: yāvat as per Ms.(60b.2) and Bhāsya (N56.23) in place of a.

¹⁹¹ Tib.: bras bu'i khyad par dan bcas pa, but Y's emendation to bras bu dan bya ba dan bcas pa is preferred; cf. his in.1 p.192.

[5] Furthermore, these states are respectively determined as being pertinent to: (a) ordinary people, (b) those still in training and (c) the adepts. And as to the way in which their various respective establishments should be discerned, he says:

IV.16 ab Hence is the establishment of individuals 192 considered, as is fitting;

By "as is fitting" [is meant] - according to circumstances. By "hence" is meant: as the consequence of this differentiation of the states. In this way: "this [person] is established in a spiritual lineage; that [person] has arrived and so on" Because of the reference to the word "etc.", [the following possible differentiation of individuals is understood]: this [person] has made preparation; ...has won the stream; ...is a once-returner; ...is a non-returner; ...is an arhat; ...possesses ornaments; ...is a bodhisattva; ...is a tathāgata; ...courses in firm conviction; ...courses in a pure higher 193 aspiration; ...has set forth; ...has been prophesized; ...is a teacher of the Dharma; ...has been consecrated; ...has attained enlightenment; ...possesses deliberate effort; ...performs benefit for others.

The states have now been described.

^{192.} Read: pudgalānām in place of pudgalasya; cf. Bhāsya N57.2.

¹⁹³ Read: śuddhādhyāśayacārī as per Ms.(60b.7) in place of śuddhyādhyāśayacārī.

3. The Attainment of the Result.

N57.7 Which are the results that are attained?

IV 16 cd

The results respectively are: (a) the fit vessel known as the karma-result, (b) strength because of the influence of the latter, (c) inclination, (d) growth and (e) purification.

(a) The fit vessel refers to the karma-result that conforms with the wholesome. (b) Strength which is [equivalent to] a preponderance of the wholesome is due to the influence of .'re fit vessel. (c) Inclination refers to the inclination towards the wholesome that is due to previous practice. (d) Growth refers to the nourishment of the root of the wholesome which is due to practice in regard to the wholesome dharmas in the present. (e) Purification refers to the relinquishment of obscuration. These fivefold results are to be known respectively as: (a) the karma-result, (b) the influenced result, (c) the result as natural outcome, (d) the result of human effort and (e) the result of dissociation.

IV.17 cd & 18 abcd

The other results are, in brief: (a) the ever increasing, (b) the first, (c) due to the practice of the latter, (d) due to completion, (e) due to conformity, (f) due to adverse elements, (g) due to dissociation, (h) due to excellence, (i) due to superiority and (j) due to unsurpassability.

(a) The ever increasing result is to be understood as a successive development, i.e. the generation of the resolve is due to the spiritual lineage, and so on. (b) The first result refers to the acquisition, from the very beginning, of the supramundane dharmas. (c) The result of practice follows after the latter in the state of one still in training. (d) The completion result refers to the qualities of the adept. (e) The conforming result is to be known as the ever increasing result in its causal mode. (f) The result of the adverse elements is [equivalent to] the path of relinquishment and is [to be known as] the first result; [the adverse

elements] are intended as counteragent 194. (g) The dissociation-result, i.e. the direct realization of cessation 195, consists in both the result of practice and completion result and refers to the dissociation from moral defilement on the part of one still in training and the adept, respectively. (h) The excellent result refers to the special qualities such as the higher knowledges. (i) The superior result refers to the spiritual levels of the bodhisativa because these are superior to the other vehicles. (j) The unsurpassable result refers to the spiritual level of the Buddhas. The latter four are divisions of the above-mentioned practice and completion results. The other results are [explained] by way of a condensed explanation but in full detail they are immeasurable.

[Sthiramati]

Y192.19 [1] Since the state precedes the result, in order to comment on the result immediately after the states, he asks: which are the results that are attained? Hence he says:

IV.16 c ...(a) The fit vessel known as the karms-result etc.

In orief, the result is fivefold: (a) the fit vessel, (b) strength, (c) inclination, (d) growth and (e) purification. Of these, (a) the fit vessel refers to the karma-result that conforms with the wholesome. Now, that which consists in: (a) the relinquishment of the eight inopportune births, (b) the six sense-fields distinguished as impure and (c) the karma-result of the dharmas that contribute to enlightenment, is described as the fit vessel because it is the basis for the production of all wholesome dharmas. (b) Strength which is [equivalent to] a preponderance of the root¹⁹⁶ of the wholesome, what is meant is: a thriving condition, ...is due to the influence of the fit vessel; i.e. it is on account of the feeble production of moral defilement on the part of the basis [i.e. the physical body]¹⁹⁷ - this is described as strength. (c) Inclination¹⁹⁸ refers to the inclination towards the wholesome which is due to previous practice¹⁹⁹. Due to the practice of the wholesome dharmas in previous lives, there is a tendency towards [the practice of] wholesome dharmas in the present life, according to the analogy of a river and its currents²⁰⁰ - this is inclination. (d) Growth refers to the nourishment of the root of the wholesome²⁰¹ - thus

Y193

N58

¹⁹⁴ pratipakşo 'bhipretah, but Tib. (D19a.7) mi mthun pa'i phyogs guen po la bya bar 'dod do = vipakşah pratipakşo 'bhipretah.

¹⁹⁵ nirodhasākṣātkriyā is omitted from Tib. Bhāṣya (D19a.7) and receives no mention in the Tikā.

¹⁹⁶ mūla is omitted from the Bhasya; cf. N57.11.

¹⁹⁷ Read: āśrayasya as per Ms. (61a.2) in place of āśraya-; Tib. (D283b.5): lus.

¹⁹⁸ Ms.(61a.2): ru-; disregard Y's fn.2 p.193.

¹⁹⁹ Read: pūrvābhyāsāt in place of pūrvābhyāsena; cf. Bhāsya N57.11.

²⁰⁰ nadisrotony@vena is omitted from the Tib.; cf. D283b.6.

²⁰¹ Ms.(61a,3): kuśalapu(stir...) but Bhasya (N57.12-13): kuśalamūlaparipustir.

is the intended meaning - which is due to the practice of the dharmas that consist in the root²⁰² of the wholesome in the present²⁰³, i.e. the production of excellent wholesome dharmas that were non-existent in the past. This is the difference between strength and growth, for strength consists in firmness in regard to the karma-result of the feeble wholesome [dharmas]²⁰⁴. Whereas, growth refers to the ever increasing excellence in wholesome [dharmas]. Others again believe that in this context, the statement: "the nourishment of the root of the wholesome", is intended to signify the nourishment of the latent impressions of the excellent wholesome dharmas.

- (e) Purification refers to the relinquishment of obscuration. For those who belong to the spiritual lineages of the śrāvaka and the pratyekabuddha, purification, in this regard, refers to the relinquishment of obscuration that consists in moral defilement; but for those who belong to the spiritual lineage of the bodhisattva²⁰⁵, purification refers to the relinquishment of both the obscuration that consists in moral defilement as well as the cognizable.
- [2] These fivefold results are [to be known] respectively as: (i) the karma-result: i.e. the karma-result that is conformable with the wholesome dharmas.
- (ii) The influenced result; i.e. the preponderance of wholesome [dharmas] that is due to the influence of the fit vessel. (iii) The result as natural outcome; i.e. the inclination towards the wholesome that is due to previous practice. (iv) The result of human effort; i.e. the nourishment of the root of the wholesome that is due to the practice of the wholesome dharmas in the present. (v) The result of dissociation; i.e. the relinquishment of obscuration.
- [3] The division of these fivefold results that have been mentioned briefly, is now explained in brief:

IV.17 c

[The other results are, in brief]: (a) the ever increasing, (b) the first²⁰⁶ etc.

(a) The ever increasing result is to be known as a successive development of the states as described above, i.e. the generation of the resolve is due to the spiritual lineage, the preparatory [state] is due to the generation of the resolve, and so on. (b) The first result refers to the acquisition, from the very beginning, of the supramundane dharmas; i.e. the attainment of the path of vision. (c) The result of practice follows after the latter in the state of

²⁰² müla is omitted from the Bhasya; cf. N57.12.

²⁰³ Y:pratyutpanne, which accords with the Bhäsya (N57.12) and with both Tib. eds. of the Bhäsya as well as P Tika (cf. 130b.4); however, D(283b.6): da lta byun ba-supports the Ms.(61a.3) reading of pratyutpanna.

²⁰⁴ Read: mandakuśaiasya vipāka- in place of mandakuśalavipāka-; Ms.(61a.4): -lasya vipāka-

²⁰⁵ Ms. (61a.5): sambuddha but Y's emendation to bodhisativa is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.6 p.193.

²⁰⁶ Read: adyati in place of adis; cf. Bhasya N57.16.

one still in training²⁰⁷; i.e. the results pertinent to both the once-returner and the non-returner in the state of one who is still in training which is comprised of the five individuals and is subsequent to the path of vision. It is the result of practice because it consists in the path of meditative development which is subsequent to that [state] accompanied by preparation. (d) The completion result refers to the qualities of the adept. Now, these are called: correct view, correct intention, correct speech. correct action, correct livelihood, correct effort, correct mindfulness, correct meditative concentration as well as liberation and insight into the direct intuition that constitutes liberation. It is described as the completion result because it is situated at the end of all the results. (e) The conforming result is to be known as the ever increasing result in its causal mode. The causal mode (upanişadbhāva) is [equivalent tol the cause (hetu)²⁰⁸; i.e. because the generation of the resolve therein conforms with the spiritual lineage, the generation of the resolve is [the conforming] result²⁰⁹. It should be known that there are separate respective teachings, by way of statement and explanation, for the ever increasing result etc. and the conforming result etc. (f) The result of the adverse elements is lequivalent tol the path of relinquishment and is [to be known as] the first result²¹⁰; because the adverse elements themselves are the result, this is [described as] the result of the adverse elements on account of which the path of relinquishment becomes dissociated from moral defilement. The first result is that which was mentioned previously as: "the acquisition, from the beginning, of the supramundane dharmas". Although the term "adverse element" (vipaksa) is [often] described as an obscuration in the sense that it is a hindrance to the counteragent, in this context, the adverse elements are intended as the counteragent²¹¹, for the word can be analysed in this way: the adverse (vipaksa) is the side (paksa) that impedes (vi-ghātana)212 obscuration. (g) The dissociation-result consists in both the result of practice and completion-result and refers to the dissociation from moral defilement on the part of one still in training and the adept, respectively. Dissociation as the result of practice pertains to the five still in training, beginning with the once-returner's entry into the result, because they possess residual moral defilement²¹³. Dissocia-

207 Read: tasmāt pareņa śaikṣāvasthāyām iti in place of tatparaśaikṣāvastheti; cf. Bhāṣya N57.21.

²⁰⁸ upanisadbhāvo hetuh is omitted from the Tib.; cf. D248b.1.

²⁰⁹ Read: tatra cittotpädasya gortanukülatväc cittotpädaphalam iti in place of tatra cittotpädo gortanukülatväc cittotpädah phalam iti; Ms.(61b.3): -ädänukülatväc cittotpädaphalam iti. Tib. is not clear: de la sems biskyed pa ni rigs dan rjes su mthun pa'i phyir sems biskyed pa ni bras bu (D284b.1).

²¹⁰ Read: evādiphalam in place of ādiphalam tad eva; cf. Bhāsya N57.24.

²¹¹ Read: pratipakso bhipretah in place of pratipaksa ucyata iti matam; cf. Bhāsya N57.19.

²¹² Read: -vighātana- in place of -nirvāsa- since this is probably an etymological explanation of the term vipakṣa; Ms.(61b.5) seems to read vighātrāya.

²¹³ Read: sāvašesakiešatvāt as per Ms.(61b.6) in place of klešasasešatvāt.

susceptible to fall²¹⁵, because these have relinquished all moral defilement belonging to the three realms of existence, without remainder. The above [results] have been described by way of their differentiation, now the excellent fresult is described since it is not differentiated: (h) the excellent result refers to the special qualities such as the higher knowledges²¹⁶. This should be understood just as the excellence of the practice and completion results²¹⁷. What is the reason? Because [Vasubandhu] has in mind the acquisition of the special qualities on the part of the non-returners, the arhats, the pratyekabuddhas, the bodhisattyas, and the tathagatas. (i) The superior result refers to the spiritual levels of the bodhisattva²¹⁸; here he provides the reason; because these are superior to the other vehicles²¹⁹. Even though the spiritual levels of the bodhisattva consist in both the first result and the result of practice, because these have the natures of the paths of vision and meditative development, nevertheless, in relation to the śrāvaka and pratvekabuddha vehicles, the superior result is to be known to pertain to the spiritual levels²²⁰ of the bodhisattva because: (a) it is devoid of conceptual differentiation. (b) it belongs to the spiritual lineage of the Buddhas and (c) it is the result of universal enlightenment²²¹. (j) The unsurpassable result refers to the spiritual level of the Buddhas. This consists only in the completion result since it is due to the relinquishment of all moral defilement and secondary defilement together with their latent impressions, and is also due to the relinquishment of undefiled nescience. It is described as the unsurpassable result because there is no other spiritual level²²² that is superior to it.

tion²¹⁴ as the completion result pertains to the six adepts, beginning with the one

[4] The other results are [explained] by way of a condensed explanation, however in full detail they are immeasurable 223. In full detail, the results that are set forth pertinent to the śrāvakas, the pratyekabuddhas, the bodhisattvas and the Perfectly Enlightened Ones are immeasurable.

²¹⁴ Tib. omits visamyogalr, cf. D284b.5-6.

²¹⁵ Cf. LVP Kośa VI.252 ff. for a full description of these six.

²¹⁶ Read: abhijfiādiko gupavišeşa in place of abhijfiādigupavišeşa; cf. Bhāṣya N58.1-2. Ms. (61b.7): -şa iti; disregard Y's fn.1 p.195.

²¹⁷ Read: etad abhyāsasamāpti- as per Ms.(61b.7) in place of etad apy abhyāsasamāpti-; disregard Y's fn.2 p.195.

²¹⁸ sattva is added in the Ms. margin; cf. Y's fn.3 p.195.

²¹⁹ Read: tadanyayānottaratvād in place of atadyānottaratvād; cf. Bhāsya N58.2-3.

²²⁰ bhuminam is omitted from the Tib.; cf. D285a.3.

²²¹ Ms.(62a.1): buddhagotratvād ma-; disregard Y's fn.5 p.195.

²²² Tib. omits bhūmi; cf. D285a.4.

²²³ Read: vyāsatas tv aparimāņam in place of vyāsato 'parimāņam; cf. Bhāsya N58.5.

The Summary Meaning of the Meditative Development of the Counteragent, the State Therein and the Result.

N58.7

The summary meaning of the meditative development of the counteragent consists in: (a) the meditative development of comprehensive learning, (b) the meditative development of abstinence, (c) the meditative development of the necessary preparation, (d) the meditative development of higher enterprise, (e) the connected meditative development because of the connection with the path of vision, (f) the meditative development of entry, (g) the superior meditative development, (h) the meditative development of the beginning, (i) the meditative development of the middle, (j) the meditative development of the end, (k) the higher meditative development, (l) the unsurpassable meditative development which is distinguished in terms of objective support, mental attention and attainment.

The summary meaning of the state consists in: (a) the future state of one stationed in the spiritual lineage, (b) the state of undertaking, up until the preparatory [state]²²⁴, (c) the impure state, (d) the impure and pure state, (e) the purified state, (f) the state accompanied by ornamentation, (g) the pervading state because it pervades the ten spiritual levels and (h) the unsurpassable state.

The summary meaning of the result consists in those that are due to:
(a) aggregation, (b) the distinction of the latter, (c) previous practice,
(d) ever increasing accomplishment, (e) statements and (f) explanations.

Of these, (a) that which is due to the aggregation refers to the five results. (b) that which is due to the distinction of the latter refers to the remaining [results]. (c) That which is due to previous practice refers to the karma-result. (d) That which is due to ever increasing accomplishment refers to the other four. (e) That which is due to statements refers to the four beginning with the ever increasing result. (f) That which is due to explanations refers to the six beginning with the conforming result because these are the explanations of the latter four.

N59

²²⁴ Both the Tib. Bhāsya (D19b.5) and Tīkā have a different rendering to that given here; cf. Nagao's fn.7 p.58.

[Sthiramati]

Y196.2

[1] The summary meaning of the meditative development of the counteragent consists in: (a) the meditative development of comprehensive learning; i.e. the meditative development of the applications of mindfulness due to comprehensive learning in regard to the four noble truths. (b) The meditative development of abstinence; i.e. the meditative development of the correct exertions due to abstinence from unwholesome dharmas. (c) The meditative development of the necessary preparation; i.e. the meditative development of the bases of psychic power, for these facilitate the necessary preparation for meditative concentration because one's devotion to the eight formative forces that facilitate relinquishment leads to the relinquishment of the five faults. (d) The meditative development of higher enterprise; i.e. the meditative development of the faculties that results from the generation of the root of the wholesome which is conducive to liberation. (e) The connected meditative development²²⁵; i.e. the meditative development of the powers²²⁶ because of the connection with the (f) The meditative development of entry: the meditative development of the limbs of enlightenment because therein one enters into reality²²⁷. either due to entry into the supramundane dharmas, or else, due to entry into the Noble Path²²⁸. (g) The superior meditative development; i.e. the meditative development (component) of the path because the path of meditative development is superior to the path of vision. (h) The meditative development of the beginning²²⁹; i.e. in the state of the ordinary person it is either conformable, or else, erroneously inverted. (i) The meditative development of the middle; i.e. in the state of one still in training it is not erroneously inverted but is encumbered by erroneous inversion²³⁰. (i) The meditative development of the end; i.e. in the state of the adept it is not erroneously inverted and is free from the encumbrance of erroneous inversion. (k) The higher meditative development²³¹; i.e. that pertinent to the śrāvaka etc. (1) The unsurpassable meditative development²³²; i.e. that pertinent to the bodhisattva, which is distinguished in terms of objective support, mental attention and attainment. The summary meaning of meditative development has now been set forth²³³.

²²⁵ Read: ślistabhāvanā in place of ślesabhāvanā; cf. N58.9.

²²⁶ Ms.(62a.5): balabhāvanā disregard Y's fn.2 p.196.

²²⁷ Ms.(62a.5): tattvapraveśāt; disregard Y's fn.3 p.196.

²²⁸ Ms.(62a.5): aryabhūmi-, but Y's emendation to aryamarga- is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.4 p.196.

²²⁹ Read: ādibhāvanā in place of prathamabhāvanā; cf. Bhāsya N58.10.

²³⁰ Ms.(62a.6): viparylsasinubandhi, but Y's emendation to viparylsinubandhi is preferred; cf. his fn.5 p.196.

²³¹ Read: sottarā bhāvanā in place of sottarabhāvanā; cf. Bhāsya N58,11.

²³² Read: niruttarā bhāvaṇā in place of anuttarabhāvaṇā; cf. Bhāsya N58.11.

²³³ Read: abhihito as per Ms.(62a.7) in place of a(yam) vihito.

Y197

[2] The summary meaning of the state consists in: (a) the future state; i.e. the causal state. (b) The state of undertaking refers to preparation together with the generation of the resolve²³⁴. (c) The impure and pure state; i.e. the two states: the state of result and the state of duties still to be performed. (d) The purified state; i.e. the state without duties still to be performed. (e) The state accompanied by ornamentation; i.e. the state of excellence. (f) The pervading state; i.e. the higher state - it is described as the pervading state because it pervades the ten spiritual levels of the bodhisattvas. (g) The unsurpassable state; i.e. that of the Buddhas, the Venerable Ones, for there is no other state superior to it. And this refers to the attainment state, the state of benefit and²³⁵ the state of the performance of duty, which have been previously described.

[3] The summary meaning of the result consists in those that are due to: (a) the aggregation, (b) the distinction of the latter, (c) previous practice, (d) ever increasing accomplishment, (e) statements and (f) explanations. The author of the commentary himself provides an exposition of these: of these, (a) that which is due to aggregation refers to the five results; i.e. those beginning with the karma-result since all the results are included in these. (b) That which is due to the distinction of the latter refers to the remaining [results]; i.e. the differentiation of those same five. The remaining [results] should be known as those beginning with the ever increasing result. (c) That which is due to previous practice refers to the karma-result; i.e. the karma-result in the present life which is due to the accumulation of wholesome [dharmas] in past lives. Since it arises in conformity with the wholesome, it is described as being due to previous practice. (d) That which is due to ever increasing accomplishment refers to the other four; i.e. the influenced result. the result as natural outcome, the result of human effort and the result of dissociation. In relation to these, strength refers to what is accomplished through the karma-result; inclination refers to what is accomplished through strength; growth refers to what is accomplished through inclination; purification refers to what is accomplished through growth. Some believe it is described as an ever increasing accomplishment because it is characterized by successive expositions. (e) That which is due to statements refers to the four beginning with the ever increasing result; i.e. the ever increasing result, the first result, the result of practice and the completion-result. (f) That which is due to explanations refers to the six beginning with the conforming result because these are the explanations of the latter

²³⁴ Sanskrit Bhāṣya: ārambhāvasthā yāvat prayogāt (N58.13), but Tib. Bhāṣya: sems bskyed nas bzuń sto / sbyor bai bar du (D195.). However, both Ms. and Tib. Tikas: ārambhāvasthā saha cittopādena prayogat; cf. N's fn.7 p.58.

²³⁵ Ms.(62b.1); ca yāh; disregard Y's fn.1 p.197.

four²³⁶; i.e. the conforming result is [equivalent to] the explanation of the ever increasing result; the result of adverse elements is [equivalent to] the explanation of the first result; the result of dissociation is [equivalent to] the explanation of both the result of practice and the completion-result. And the excellent result, the superior result and the unsurpassable result are [equivalent to] the explanation of that same completion-result because [Vasubandhu] has in mind the possession of ornamentation on its part. However, others believe²³⁷ that the excellent result is [equivalent to] just the explanation of the results of practice and completion, because it is possible for the non-returner also [to possess] the qualities of the higher knowledges etc., whereas, the unsurpassable result is [equivalent to] the explanations of the completion-result.

²³⁶ Read: nirdesad in place of nirdesatah; cf. Bhasya N59.1.

²³⁷ As noted by Y (fn.1 p.198) the Ms. (62b) line 7 begins: -ttaraphalam ādiphalasyābinyāsaphalasya ca nirdešah which cannot be reconciled with the Tib.; cf. D287a.4.

Chapter Five

The Supremacy of the Vehicle

1. The Three Kinds of Supremacy.

N60.3 The supremacy of the vehicle should now be mentioned - it is said:

V.1 ahc

Supremacy is considered as listed in relation to: (a) spiritual practice, (b) objective support and (c) full attainment.

The threefold supremacies which are [incorporated] in the universal vehicle and on account of which it is the supreme vehicle are: (a) supremacy of spiritual practice, (b) supremacy of objective support and (c) supremacy of full attainment.

[Sthiramati]

Y199

[1] The supremacy of the vehicle was [initially] listed immediately after the listing of the six subjects, such as the Characteristics and the Obscurations²; hence, subsequent to the explanation of these, the subject under discussion is the explanation of the Supremacy of the Vehicle. Consequently, he says: the supremacy of the vehicle should now be mentioned - it is said:... The [word] "now" means "immediately following the explanation of the six [subjects] such as the Characteristic". The intended meaning is: because it was listed immediately after those [subjects].

[2] Alternatively, the Meditative Development of the Counteragent, the State Therein and the Attainment of the Result were explained as common to both the śrāvaka etc. and the bodhisattva; however, because they do not share the same states³, the supremacy of the vehicle is not common to both the bodhisattva and the śrāvaka etc. And in order to demonstrate that it is described as the chief object in this context, he says: "the supremacy of the vehicle should now be mentioned - it is said:...". Since there is nothing higher than this, the word 'supremacy' is a synonym for 'excellence'. But what is this? It is the universal vehicle, for thus, inasmuch as the universal vehicle is superior to both the śrāvaka and pratyekabuddha vehicles, there is none other that is more excellent compared with the universal vehicle because of the proportional differences in cause and result in regard to it. Supremacy (ānuttarya) refers to the state (bhāva) of that which is supreme (anuttarasya); but just what is this? Hence he says:

¹ Tib. omits ying; cf. D20a.2.

² Tib. omits āvaraņa; cf. D287a.6.

³ Read perhaps: asādhāraņāvasthātaḥ in place of asādhāraņaprasangena on the basis of the Tib.: thun mod ma yin pa'i gnas skabs su bab pas na (D287b.1); Ms.(63a) line 3 begins: yānānuttaryan tu...

V.1 a

Supremacy [is considered as listed] in relation to: (a) spiritual practice⁴ etc.

The threefold supremacies which are [incorporated] in the universal vehicle...⁵ But what is this universal vehicle? Just these three; (a) spiritual practice. (b) objective support and (c) full attainment. Of these, (a) the supremacy of spiritual practice refers to the accomplishment of the ten perfections, i.e. the spiritual practice of the bodhisattva is described as supreme in regard to the accomplishment of the perfections. (b) Moreover, the objective support refers to the dharmas of the perfections etc. and to the dharmadhātu. By means of this objective support, the bodhisattyas have the capacity to provide benefit without remainder for others⁶; hence, the supremacy of objective support refers to its being the cause of the capacity 7 to provide benefit for others. Why? This the objective support of the bodhisattvas is described as supreme because it belongs to him. (c) Full attainment refers to the result of: (i) the spiritual lineage, (ii) firm conviction and (iii) the generation of the resolve [for enlightenment] etc. Since the [bodhisattva] reaches full knowledge [samudāgacchanti] or attains this due to the spiritual lineage etc., it is [described as] full attainment [samudāgama]; and it is described as supreme because there is no other full attainment superior to this. Consequently, in this context, supremacy refers to the absence of anything other that is superior to that full attainment. And in this respect, it is said that the spiritual practice of the bodhisattvas, together with its foundation and result⁸, consists in the universal vehicle. Moreover, it is described as supreme on account of the threefold supremacy that has just been described.

[3] Alternatively, the universal vehicle refers to the direct intuition devoid of all the discursive elaboration of conceptual discrimination in accompaniment with its associated elements, the accumulations, application, subsequently attained direct intuition, the special qualities, objective support and result. Of these, the objective support refers to thusness; the result refers to the nirvāṇa in which the bodhisattva is not permanently fixed. And the universal vehicle refers to the teachings such as the Sūtras which are expressive of this universal vehicle. Moreover, the [name of the] text is applied figuratively for its subject matter, just as the "Slaying of Kamsa" is [figuratively used] for

⁴ Read: anuttaryam prapattau hi in place of pratipatter anuttaryam; cf. Bhasya N60.4.

⁵ Read: trividham ānuttaryam mahāyāne in place of mahāyānasya hi trividham ānuttaryam; cf. Bhāsva N60.6.

⁶ Read perhaps: bodhisattvānām niravašeşa- in place of bodhisattvānām ašeşa-; Ms.(63a.6): bodhisattvānām viesa-. Tib.: dmigs pa dis byan chub sems dpa mams gžan gyi don ma lus par mdzad nus par gyur ro (D287b.6.

⁷ Tib. is slightly different: "...refers to the fact that it is the objective support that is the cause of the capacity to provide benefit..."; de'i phyir gian gyi don byed nus pa'i rgyu'i dmigs pa ni (D287b.6).

⁸ Ms.(63b.1); saphala-; disregard Y's fn.2 p.200.

the 'stealing of iewels'9. It is universal (mahat) because it possesses the seven kinds of universality; it is a vehicle (vāna) since [the bodhisattva] reaches the unfixed nirvāna travelling (yānti) by means of it. Since it is both a vehicle and is universal, it is the universal vehicle¹⁰. Furthermore, its sevenfold universality refers to universality in regard to: (a) objective support, (b) spiritual practice, (c) direct intuition, (d) vigour, (e) expedients, (f) attainment and (g) action. Of these, (a) the universality in regard to objective support refers to the Dharma of the endless Siltras, such as the Hundred Thousand [Prajñāpāramitā], for these form the objective support for the path of the bodhisattya. (b) The universality in regard to spiritual practice is the result of spiritual practice concerned with benefit in its entirety for both oneself and others. (c) The universality in regard to direct intuition is due to knowledge of insubstantiality in regard to the personal entity and the dharmas. (d) The universality in regard to vigour is due to application in regard to the many hundreds of thousands of difficult tasks [to be performed] over three countless aeons. (e) The universality in regard to skill in expedients is due to the fact that [the hodhisattva] is fixed neither in samsara nor nirvana. (f) The universality in regard to attainment is due to the understanding of the immeasurable and countless virtues, such as the powers, self-confidence and the special Buddha qualities. (g) The universality in regard to actions is due to the performance of the acts of the Buddha by revealing his enlightenment for as long as samsāra exists. Only this universal vehicle is supreme; the state of the latter is [described as] supremacy¹¹ because there is no vehicle that is superior to it. Furthermore, it consists in spiritual practice, objective support and full attainment, for thus, the universal vehicle is described as supreme because it possesses these [three] elements. supremacy of spiritual practice since spiritual practice is supreme; the supremacy of objective support and the supremacy of full attainment should be understood in the same way. These supremacies are respectively determined with reference to: (a) the path of the bodhisattva, (b) its support and (c) the result of that [path]. Moreover, it is just these that are referred to through the statements of the Buddha, viz.; spiritual practice, objective support and the result of that [practice].

9 It is difficult to establish the full significance of this analogy; perhaps it refers to the appropriation of Kansa's wealth etc. by Kṛṣṇa after he had killed him.

The Ms. omits this statement: de ni theg pa yan yin la chen po yan yin pas theg pa chen po'o [D288a.5].

¹¹ tadbhāva ānuttaryam (Y201.3) is omitted from Tib.

2. Supremacy of Spiritual Practice.

N60.10 Of these, the supremacy of spiritual practice is to be known by way of the spiritual practice of the ten perfections.

V.1 d Spiritual practice is sixfold:

In relation to those perfections.

V.2 abc

That which: (a) is highest, (b) concerns mental attention, (c) is in conformity with the Dharma, (d) avoids the extremes, (e) is specific and (f)

is non-specific;

These are the six kinds of spiritual practice, namely: (a) the highest spiritual practice, (b) spiritual practice in regard to mental attention, (c) spiritual practice that conforms with the Dharma, (d) spiritual practice that avoids the two extremes, (e) specific spiritual practice and (f) non-specific spiritual practice.

[Sthiramati]

Y201.12 [1] Although it is fitting that the objective support be explained firstly because spiritual practice is preceded by the objective support, spiritual practice is mentioned initially in order to demonstrate that it is the essence of the practice of the bodhisattvas. Of these, how is the supremacy of spiritual practice [to be known]? Hence he says: the supremacy of spiritual practice is to be known by way of the practice of the ten perfections. The spiritual practice of the perfections is [equivalent to] the performance of the perfections; and since this spiritual practice of the perfections is explained only in the universal vehicle and not in any other vehicle 12, he shows the universal vehicle to be supreme. Moreover, this spiritual practice becomes supreme while [the bodhisattva] is applying himself to the perfections in their various modes; and in order to illustrate these modes, he says:

V.1 d Spiritual practice is sixfold:

It is not known as to how this spiritual practice of the perfections [is sixfold], beginning with the highest up until the non-specific, hence he says:

¹² yāna is omitted from Tib.; cf. D288b.6.

a. The Highest Spiritual Practice.

. 20	Of	these:	
	V.2	đ	The highest consists in twelve:
	V.3	abcd	 (a) Magnanimity, (b) duration, (c) prerogative, (d) inexhaustibility, (e) continuity, (f) non-hardship, (g) wealth and (h) possession;
	V.4	ab	 (i) Enterprise, (j) acquisition, (k) natural outcome and (l) accom- plishment - these are considered as highest.

N60.

These twelve types are considered as highest, namely: (a) the highest degree of magnanimity, (b) the highest degree of duration, (c) the highest degree of prerogative, (d) the highest degree of inexhaustibility, (e) the highest degree of continuity, (f) the highest degree of non-hardship, (g) the highest degree of wealth, (h) the highest degree of possession, (i) the highest degree of enterprise, (j) the highest degree of acquisition, (k) the highest degree of natural outcome and (l) the highest degree of accomplishment.

Of these, (a) the highest degree of magnanimity is to be known as not seeking after all mundane success and also as pre-eminence. The highest degree of duration is due to the cultivation (of the perfections] for three countless acons. (c) The highest degree of prerogative is due to the prerogative for deeds of benefit for all beings. highest degree of inexhaustibility is due to the complete absence of exhaustion on account of the transformation into universal enlighten-(e) The highest degree of continuity is due to a firm conviction in the sameness of self and others due to the fulfilment of the perfections of generosity etc. in regard to all beings. (f) The highest degree of non-hardship is due to the fulfilment of the perfections of generosity etc. on the part of others, through his approval alone. (g) The highest degree of wealth is due to the fulfilment of generosity etc. by means of the "treasury of the sky" meditative concentration etc. (h) The highest degree of possession is due to the possession [by the bodhisattva] of direct intuition that is devoid of conceptual differentiation. highest degree of enterprise refers to the abundant measure of patience on the spiritual level of the one who courses in firm conviction. (i) The

highest degree of acquisition refers to the first spiritual level. (k) The highest degree of natural outcome refers to the eight spiritual levels subsequent to the latter. (l) The highest degree of accomplishment refers to the tenth spiritual level and to that of the tathägata since these are the [respective] accomplishments of (a) the bodhisattva and (b) the Ruddhas.

V.4 cd

N62

Consequently, the ten perfections are considered in the highest sense;

Since these twelvefold [spiritual practices] exist in the highest degree within the latter [i.e. the perfections], consequently, the ten perfections [are considered]¹³ in this sense, i.e. as highest. As to which are these ten and in order to disclose their names, it is said:

V.5 abcd

(a) Generosity, (b) morality, (c) patience, (d) vigour, (e) meditative absorption, (f) wisdom, (g) expediency, (h) vows, (i) strength and (j) direct intuition - these are the ten perfections.

Which are the individual actions pertinent to each of these?

V.6 abcdef

Actions that: (a) are of assistance, (b) are non-violent, (c) endure such [violence], (d) increase virtues, (e) have the capacity to initiate and (f) to liberate, (g) are inexhaustible, (h) engage constantly [in generosity] (i) engage eternally in [generosity], (j) are enjoyable and bring [beings] to maturity.

These are the respective actions that pertain to the latter [i.e. to the perfections] for, (a) through his generosity, the bodhisattva assists beings. (b) On account of his morality, he does not injure others. (c) Through patience, he endures the injury inflicted by others. (d) Through vigour, he increases his virtues. (e) Through the meditative absorptions, he initiates beings, having attracted them by means of his psychic power etc. (f) Through his wisdom, he liberates them by providing correct counsel. (g) Through the perfection of skill in expe-

¹³ Tib. inserts 'dod (matil); cf. D20b.5

dients, he causes his generosity etc. to become inexhaustible due to the transformation into universal enlightenment. (h) Through the perfection of vows, he engages in generosity etc. at all times while rejoicing in the birth of a Buddha in all his lives due to his possession of favourable rebirths. (i) Through the perfection of strength, he engages eternally in generosity etc. due to the strength of his critical consideration and meditative development because he is not overcome by adverse elements. (j) Through the perfection of direct intuition, since it removes confusion about the literal meaning of the Dharma, he experiences in return the enjoyment of the Dharma which has generosity etc. as its controlling influence and he brings beings to maturity.

The highest spiritual practice has now been described.

[Sthiramati]

Y201.12 [1] Of these:

Y202 V.2 d

The highest consists in twelve:

What is meant is: the highest [spiritual practice] which is vast and unsurpassable has twelve modes - in order to demonstrate these modes, he says:

V.3 a

(a) Magnanimity, (b) duration etc.

From the highest degree of magnanimity up until the highest degree of accomplishment; these twelve types are considered as highest 14. Of these, the highest degree of magnanimity is to be known as not seeking after 15 all mundane 16 success and also as pre-eminence. Success is [equivalent to] prosperity; and this has two aspects: (a) that which is attained and (b) that not attained. Of these, [the bodhisattva] does not become attached to what is attained nor does he strive for what is not attained because he applies himself to the removal of the suffering of others without regard for his own happiness. As has been stated:

O virtuous one, what fruit of merit you have; all that is for the benefit of others, not for your own sake¹⁷.

It is [also described as] pre-eminence 18 because its fruit is Buddhahood which is

¹⁴ Read: eşā dvādašavidhā paramā matā in place of eşā dvādašaprakārā paramatā; cf. Bhāşya N61.5.

^{15 -}anarthakatvena here, but Bhāsya (N61.10): -anarthitvena.

¹⁶ Read: sarvalaukika- in place of sarvaloka-; cf. Bhasya N61.10.

¹⁷ Read: yad asti punyasya phalati ca sädho / sarvam parärtham tava nätmahetor //

in place of yat punyam punyaphalam ca sădho sarvam parârtham tava nătmahetor; Tib: dge ba khyod kyi bsod nams 'bras bu gat //

thams cad gian gyi don to bdag gi don rgyur min // (D289a.3). Ms.(64a.4): -lai ca sādho... contrary to Y's reading.

¹⁸ Ms.(64a.4): utkṛṣṭatvaṃ tvam but Y's reading of utkṛṣṭatvam is preferred.

endowed with relinquishment, direct intuition and might. Alternatively, the sublime person is generally described as being of two sorts: (a) munificent and (b) devoid of the desire for services in return. Therefore, the bodhisattva does not strive for all mundane success¹⁹ but applies himself to the perfections, such as generosity, which are ever increasing. This is what is described as the magnanimity of spiritual practice.

- [2] The highest degree of duration...; its pre-eminence is on account of its duration. Moreover, duration refers to the extended practice of the perfections beginning with generosity. And since it is not known as to how long this endures, he says: is due to the cultivation [of the perfections] for three countless aeons. For, the bodhisattvas' meditative development of the perfections, such as generosity, is of exceeding duration because it is completed over three countless aeons.
- [3] The highest degree of prerogative²⁰ is due to the prerogative for deeds of benefit for all beings²¹. It is described as the highest by way of prerogative²² (adhikārataḥ) since the bodhisattvas engage in generosity etc. without regard for their own happiness for the sake of (adhikṛtya) bringing about welfare and happiness for all beings.
- [4] The highest degree of inexhaustibility²³...; it is of the highest degree on account of the fact that it is inexhaustible; but what is its inexhaustibility due to? Hence he says: is due to the complete absence of exhaustion on account of the transformation into universal enlightenment²⁴. Even after yielding the karmaresult in all places of rebirth [the perfections], such as generosity which consist in the transformation into universal enlightenment, are not completely severed. Even in the nirvāṇa devoid of the remnants of existence they are not exhausted due to: (a) the production of the Dharma Body which is characterized by the turning about of the basis and (b) the inexhaustibility of that [Dharma Body]. However, they are severed in the nirvāṇa realm that is devoid of the remnants of existence through the transformation into enlightenment of the śrāvaka etc. due to the severance of the basis [i.e. the body]²⁵ in every respect.
- [5] Alternatively, [the perfections] such as generosity become inexhaustible through the transformation into universal enlightenment due to the abundant karma-result; and what is abundant is said to be inexhaustible because it is not completely exhausted through the yielding of the karma-result. But the transformation into enlightenment of

¹⁹ Read: sarvalaukika- in place of sarvaloka-; cf. my fn.16 above.

²⁰ Ms.(64a,6); adhikāra-; disregard Y's fn.3 p.202.

²¹ Read; sarvasattvārthakriyādhikārād in place of sarvasattvārthakriyoddesād; cf. Bhāsya N61.12.

²² Ms.(64a,7): 'dhik#ratah; disregard Y's fn.4 p.202.

²³ Read: akṣayarvaparamatā in place of akṣayaparamatā; cf. Bhāṣya N61.12. As noted by Y (cf. his fn.5 p.202) this is omitted from the Ms. and has been inserted on the basis of the Tib.

²⁴ Ms.(64a.7): mahābodhi-; disregard Y's fn.1 p.203.

²⁵ āśraya; Tib. lus (D289b.3).

the śrāvaka etc. is not inexhaustible in this way. Or else, for the bodhisattvas, the roots of the wholesome that are transformed into universal enlightenment for the benefit of beings become inexhaustible, like the realms of beings; hence, like the realms of beings, they are not completely exhausted.

- [6] The highest degree of continuity is due to a firm conviction in the sameness of self and others due to the fulfilment of the perfections of generosity etc. in regard to all beings²⁶. For, on the Joyous spiritual level, the bodhisattva becomes firmly convinced of the sameness of self and others because of his awareness of the all-pervading meaning²⁷ of the dharmadhātu. Having relinquished conceptual differentiation in regard to self and others due to his firm conviction in the sameness of self and others he obtains an extremely joyous mind on account of others' generosity etc., just as he does on account of his own generosity etc. As the consequence of this the perfections, such as generosity, are continually fulfilled every instant, every moment and every day.
- [7] The highest degree of non-hardship is due to the fulfillment of the perfection of generosity etc. on the part of others, through his approval alone. The bodhisattvas with joyous mind express their approval of the roots of the wholesome, such as the generosity of beings, in such a way that the perfections, such as generosity, of those [beings] is fulfilled through that approval alone.
- [8] The highest degree of wealth is due to the fulfillment of generosity etc. on account of the 'treasury of the sky' meditative concentration etc. The bodhisattvas obtain the meditative concentration called 'treasury of the sky' through meditative development which penetrates the dharmadhātu. From obtaining this, they cause the fulfillment of the perfection of generosity because they are then able to fulfill all the aspirations of sentient beings. For, under the influence of this, the very sky (gagana) becomes a treasury $(ga\bar{n}ja)$ thus it is designated as the 'treasury of the sky' $(gagana-ga\bar{n}ja)$ meditative concentration. Alternatively, a treasury $(ga\bar{n}ja)$ is established in the sky $(gagana)^{28}$ because all of the necessities of life are produced from the sky through the power of this meditative concentration. By the word "etc." the meditative concentrations that are taught in the Sütras of the Prajñāpāramitās etc. are referred to.
- [9] The highest degree of possession is due to the possession [by the bodhisattva] of direct intuition that is devoid of conceptual differentiation. For the bodhisattvas' direct intuition is devoid of conceptual differentiation³⁰

²⁶ Read: sarvasattvadānādibhiḥ pāramitāparipūraņād in place of sarvasattveşu dānādipāramitāparipūranād; cf. Bhāsya N61.14-15.

²⁷ Ms.(64b.4): sarvatragārthā-; disregard Y's fn.2 p.203.

²⁸ The first explanation of the term gagana-gañja explains it as a karmadhāraya compound and the second as a tatpurusa compound.

²⁹ The Ms.(65a.1) is illegible prior to jäyante; cf. Y's fn.1 p.204.

³⁰ Tib. inserts: mam par mi rtog pa'i ye ses (D290a.6) which is not found in the Ms.

due to their non-perception of the trio, i.e. the giver³¹, the object given and the recipient. Similarly, their direct intuition is devoid of conceptual differentiation due to their non-perception of [the three modes of the other nine perfections³²] up until the knower, object of knowledge and the knowledge itself. The spiritual practice of generosity etc. is encompassed by that highest direct intuition devoid of conceptual differentiation. How so? Because it conforms with that [direct intuition] and because it has the latter as objective support - hence is it described as highest.

[10] The highest degree of enterprise refers to an abundant measure of patience on the spiritual level of the one who courses in firm conviction. The first immeasurable aeon is described as the level of the one who courses in firm conviction. Moreover, through the force of the spiritual lineage and friends, there is, in those whose resolve for enlightenment has been generated, a totally firm conviction³³ in the insubstantiality of the *dharmas* in terms of the three natures - this consists in an abundant measure of patience which is not overpowered by adverse elements such as lack of faith³⁴. For this is the state of enterprise of the *bodhisattva*, and as a consequence, his patience in abundant measure is described as the highest degree of enterprise.

[11] The highest degree of acquisition refers to the first spiritual level. For the supramundane perfections are obtained on the first spiritual level because of [the bodhisattvas'] awareness of the all-pervading nature of the dharmadhātu. However, since the mundane [perfections] were included previously by way of the preparation for the first spiritual level, it is said that on the first spiritual level there is the highest degree of acquisition.

[12] The highest degree of natural outcome refers to the eight [spiritual levels] subsequent to the latter. Acquisition that is distinctively superior on the eight spiritual levels other than the latter [i.e. the first] is the natural outcome of the perfections acquired on the first spiritual level³⁵, thus it is the highest degree of natural outcome. Alternatively, the highest degree of acquisition refers to the acquisition of the first spiritual level through the force of the meditative development of the perfections on the level of the one who courses in firm conviction. A quotation from a Sütra states:

The first spiritual level is included in the path of vision of the bodhisattva and because of his entry into that, [the bodhisattva] is born in the spiritual

³¹ Read: dāvaka- as per Ms.(65a.1) in place of dānaka-; Tib.: sbvin bdag (D290a.6).

³² This obviously refers to a series, hence the ten perfections are suggested tentatively.

³³ Ms.(65a,3): -ādhimokso; disregard Y's fn.4 p.204.

³⁴ Tib. is slightly different: "...is victorious over adverse elements"; mi mthun pa'i phyogs las rgyal ba la bya (D290b.2).

³⁵ Ms.(65a.5): pratibhūmipratilabdhānām contrary to Y's fn.1 p.205; pra(ti)bhūmi- is corrected in the Ms. margin to: pra(thama)bhūmi-.

lineage of the tath#gata³⁶ due to his acquisition of the qualities that have its nature and are in conformity with it ³⁷

The remaining spiritual levels are the highest degree of natural outcome because they are the outcome³⁸ of the first level acquired through the force of the meditative development³⁹ of the perfections. Or again, the highest degree of natural outcome refers to the eight spiritual levels [other than the first] because they are the natural outcome of the perfections that are acquired on the first level.

[13] The highest degree of accomplishment refers to the tenth spiritual level and to that of the tathägata⁴⁰ since these are the [respective] accomplishments of (a) the bodhisattvas and (b) the Buddhas. (a) Since the bodhisattva reaches the end of all the bodhisattva courses on the tenth spiritual level, he is consecrated there⁴¹ as an incumbent [Buddha] by the tathägatas. There is the highest degree of accomplishment for the bodhisattvas on the tenth spiritual level⁴² because of: (i) the fulfilment of an inconceivable accumulation of merit and direct intuition; (ii) the absence of conceptual differentiation in regard to all dharmas and (iii) the production of benefit, in every respect, for oneself and others. (b) But on the spiritual level of the tathägatas⁴³, since the Venerable One becomes the teacher of the whole world because he attains pre-eminence in relinquishment, direct intuition and might hence there is accomplishment as the full accomplishment of the Buddhas. But what is this accomplishment? It is the achievement of the qualities of the bodhisattva and of the qualities of the Buddha, in every respect.

[14] Alternatively, the culmination of the perfections that are included on the level of one still in training is [equivalent to] the tenth spiritual level; hence, there is the full accomplishment of the bodhisattva on that [level]. The highest limit of [the perfections] that are included on the level of the adept is [equivalent to] the Buddha level. Since training in the perfections is no longer present on that level, there is full accomplishment as the full accomplishment of the Buddhas. Thus, the highest degree of full accomplishment is to be known as: (a) the full accomplishment of the bodhisattvas on the tenth spiritual level and (b) the full accomplishment of the Buddha on the level of the tath Bata.

³⁶ Ms. (65a.6): āto not jātau as per Y; read: jāto gotre taihāgatasya in place of jātau tathāgatasyot-pannah. Tib.: de bzin gšess pa'i rigs su skyes pa vin (D290b.5).

³⁷ Tib. omits: tadanukūla; cf. D290b.5. Ms.(65a.7) line begins: -tilambhād iti... It is difficult to establish precisely where this quotation begins.

³⁸ Tib. omits: nisvandatv#t: cf. D290b.6.

³⁹ Ms.(65a.7) omits bhāvanā which is inserted on the basis of the Tib.; cf. D290b.6.

⁴⁰ tāthāgatyām but Bhāsva (N61.21); tathāgatyām,

⁴¹ tatra is omitted from the Tib.; cf. D291a.1.

⁴² Tib. inserts sa bcu pa la (daśamyām bhūmau); cf. D291a.2.

⁴³ Ms.(65b.2): tāthāgatātyām.

[15]

V.4 cd

Consequently, the ten perfections are considered^{4,4} in the highest sense.

Since these⁴⁵ twelvefold [spiritual practices] exist in the highest degree within the latter [i.e. in the perfections], such as in the generosity [practised by] the bodhisattva, but not in the generosity etc. of the śrāvaka, consequently, the ten perfections beginning with generosity and concluding with direct intuition which pertain just to the bodhisattva are considered in this sense, i.e. as highest according to the rules of etymology. Thus it is just the spiritual practice that pertains to the ten⁴⁶ perfections which is said to be of the highest degree.

[16] Of these [means] of these perfections, with regard to which, even their mere name has not been disclosed; in order to disclose their names, it is said:

V.5 abcd

(a) Generosity, (b) morality, (c) patience, (d) vigour, (e) meditative absorption, (f) wisdom⁴⁷, (g) expediency, (h) vows, (i) the powers and (j) direct intuition - these are the ten perfections.

It was stated that "the highest degree of prerogative is due to the prerogative for deeds of benefit for all beings" 48, hence the question is asked: which are the actions pertinent to each of these? Therefore he says:

V.6 ab

Actions that: (a) are of assistance, (b) are non-violent, (c) endure such [violence] etc.

For, through generosity, the bodhisattva assists beings. (a) In this regard, because he is irreproachable on account of his wish to honour and assist [beings], one who is indifferent to both the service⁴⁹ in return for a favour and the karma-result abandons objective and subjective entities on account of a particular volition and bestows [gifts on beings] through his acts of body and speech - this is the material generosity of the bodhisattva. By means of this he assists beings by taking away their sufferings of hunger and thirst etc. (b) The instructions to do with modes of living, scriptural treatises, arts and crafts and [the practice of] non-violence towards beings, [instructions] which are preceded by compassion, as well as the instructions on propitious states of existence and the path to liberation, are the gifts of the Dharma. More-

⁴⁴ Read: mat#: disregard Y's fp.1 p.205.

⁴⁵ Read; esä in place of iyam; cf. Bhāsya N61.24.

⁴⁶ Tib. omits daia: cf. D291a.6.

⁴⁷ Ms.(65b.6); prajitāna which seems to be amended to prajitā in the margin.

⁴⁸ Read; sarvasattvärthakriyādhikārād in place of sarvasattvārthakriyoddešād; cf. Bhāsya N61.12.

⁴⁹ Ms.(66a) line 1 begins: -upakāra...; disregard Y's fn.6 p.206.

over, by means of these [the bodhisattva] assists beings by removing the sufferings of saṃsāra due to (wrong) modes of living and wretched states of existence. (c) The gift of fearlessness consists of liberation for those who are attacked by kings⁵⁰, thieves, kinsmen or beasts of prey etc. and thereby he assists beings by removing the fear of calamity to life, enjoyment, children and wife etc.

- [17] He does not injure these [beings]⁵¹ on account of his morality. Morality refers to abstention from all misdeeds of body and speech, and, in keeping with worldly practice, abstention (from the acts of body and speech) which are forbidden and the performance of the acts of body and speech that are prescribed. Because he is established in this morality [the bodhisattva], even for the sake of his life, does not injure or offend beings himself, nor does he cause others to do so.
- [18] Through patience, he endures the injury inflicted⁵² by others. Patience consists in the endurance and toleration of all forms of suffering with a mind predominated by compassion. On account of this [the bodhisattva] endures injury such as murder⁵³, bondage or the beatings inflicted by others, because he has no wish to preliate⁵⁴
- [19] Through vigour, he increases his virtues. Vigour is a strength of will with reference to generosity etc.; increase is the accomplishment of superior virtues due to the practice of the virtues of generosity etc. on account of that [vigour].
- [20] Through the meditative absorptions, he initiates [beings], having attracted them by means of his psychic power etc. By the word "etc.", the knowledge of the mental make-up of others⁵⁵ is included. Meditative absorption refers to meditative concentration, i.e. the single-pointedness of mind. Psychic power is [the power of] flying through the air etc. Initiation refers to the exposition of the real nature of the teachings because those who are attracted by psychic power and the extraordinary ability to read the thoughts [of others], which result from the meditative absorptions, have the capacity to be initiated in the teachings.
- [21] Through his wisdom, he liberates [beings] by providing correct counsel⁵⁶. Wisdom is characterized by the investigation of the *dharmas* in all aspects; moreover, the action pertinent to this is the provision of correct counsel and the relinquishment of moral defilement, [both of] which are dependent upon instruc-

⁵⁰ Ms.(66a.2); rāja...; disregard Y's fn.1 p.207.

⁵¹ esām (Tib. de dag) but Bhasva (N62.10); paresām.

⁵² Ms.(66a.4): upaghātam marşayatī contrary to Y's fn.2 p.207.

⁵³ Read perhaps: vādhaka in place of himsa; Tib. asod pa [D292a.1].

⁵⁴ Tib. omits upaghātam as noted by Y (fn.3 p.207) however Ms.(66a.5); -m upaghātam marşayati contrary to his reading.

⁵⁵ citta(or cetah)paryāyaiūāna is one of the higher knowledges (abhijūā); cf. Mvy. #206.

⁵⁶ Omit vimocanād which is not substantiated by the Tib, nor the Bhāsya.

tions that are free from erroneous inversion. Hence, [the bodhisattva] liberates [beings] through his wisdom by providing correct counsel.

[22] Through the perfection of skill in expedients, he causes his generosity etc. to become inexhaustible due to the transformation into universal enlightenment. An expedient is a particular device for the accomplishment, the augmentation, the attainment or the relinquishment of a *dharma*; therefore, the skill or proficiency in regard to that is [described as] the skill in expedients. The action pertinent to this causes the generosity etc. that has been performed and accumulated 57 to become inexhaustible through the transformation into universal enlightenment. The roots of the wholesome which consist in the transformation into universal enlightenment, like universal enlightenment itself, become inexhaustible.

[23] Through the perfection of vows he engages in generosity etc. at all times while rejoicing in the birth of a Buddha⁵⁸ in all of his rebirths due to his possession of favourable births. Because vows have the nature of all sorts of wishes⁵⁹, a vow in this context is considered as being the wish for a rebirth in keeping with one's wholesome [deeds]. The action pertinent to this is the engagement in generosity etc. at all times while rejoicing in the birth of a Buddha in each of one's rebirths.

[24] Through the perfection of strength, he engages eternally in generosity etc. due to the strength⁶⁰ of his critical consideration and meditative development, because he is not overcome by adverse elements. Critical consideration refers to wisdom; meditative development refers to the practice in [developing] the wholesome dharmas. Both of these, which cannot be overcome by adverse elements, are described as the perfection of strength. The action pertinent to this is the engagement in generosity etc. of necessity every moment, for the word 'eternal' is synonymous with 'perpetual'. Thus the wholesome [deeds] such as generosity which possesses the strength of critical consideration and meditative development are not overcome by the adverse ones⁶¹.

[25] Through the perfection of direct intuition, since it removes confusion about the literal meaning of the Dharma, he experiences in return the enjoyment of the Dharma which has generosity etc. as its control-

⁵⁷ Ms.(66b.1): -citānāητ; disregard Y's fn.1 p.208.

⁵⁸ Ms.(66b.2): buddhotpädärägapato; disregard Y's fn.2 p.208.

⁵⁹ Read: anekavidhaprārthanātmakatvāt in place of anekavidham prārthanātmakatvāt, Tib. mam pa du mar smon pa'i bdag nid yin mod kyi (D292b.1-2).

⁶⁰ Ms.(66b.3) omits ball-.

⁶¹ Read: na hi pratisamkhyānabhāvanābalavaddānādikušalāni vipakṣair abhibhūyante in place of na hi pratisamkhyānabhāvanābalād dānādikusalam vipakṣepābhibhūtam; Ms.(66b.4) is not clear, but seems to be amended in the margin to read: ...balavaddāna-. Tib. so sor rtog pa dan bsgom pa'i stobs dan idan pa'i sbyin pa la sogs pa'i dge ba la mi mthun pa'i phyogs mams kyis zil gyis mi non to (D292b.4ff.).

Y209

ling influence, and he brings beings to maturity. The perfection of direct intuition is the direct intuition that is devoid of confusion concerning the literal meaning of all the doctrinal teachings of the universal vehicle. What is this confusion in regard to the literal meaning? This confusion in regard to literal meaning refers to that whereby one construes [the meaning] in just the literal sense after rejecting the intended meaning. The Dharma, i.e. the Sutras etc., which is taught with reference to generosity, i.e. having made generosity the objective support, has generosity as its controlling influence. . [The Dharmal should be considered in the same way [in regard to the other perfections] i.e. as having morality, patience and vigour etc. as controlling influence. The actions pertinent to the perfection of direct intuition are: (a) the experiencing of the enjoyment of the Dharma and (b) the maturation of beings, through: (a) analytical discussion [of the Dharma]62 which is free from erroneous inversion concerning the universal vehicle on the part of the Buddhas and bodhisattvas⁶³ and (b) the bringing of beings to maturity by means of the Dharma teachings that are free from erroneous inversion because the Dharma instructions, such as the Sutras, are constituted by the perfection of direct intuition.

b. Spiritual Practice in relation to Mental Attention.

Which is the spiritual practice in relation to mental attention?

V.7 abcd

N63.1

The bodhisattva's mental attention, in the universal vehicle, to the Dharmas as set out, is always through the three modes of wisdom.

In the universal vehicle, the continuous mental attention to the Dharmas, such as the Sutras, as set out with reference to generosity etc. is the spiritual practice in regard to mental attention through the wisdom that consists in: (a) learning, (b) reflection and (c) meditative development. Through these three modes of wisdom, what virtue does that mental attention bring⁶⁴?

⁶² sämkathyaviniścayakarapāt, but Tib.: chos bstan pas (D293a.1).

⁶³ Read: buddhabodhisattvānām aviparta- in place of buddhabodhisattvenāviparta-; Tib. satis rgyas dai byai chub sems dpa' mams kyi theg pa chen po las brtsams te (D292b.7ff. & P139b.5). Ms.(66b.7) line begins: -parta... Cf. Y's fn.2 p.209.

⁶⁴ karoti here, but Tib. Bhāṣya (D21a.5): thob; Sanskrit Tikā (Y210.16): āvahati; however, Tib. Tika (D293b.4): byed.

V.8 ab

It leads to: (a) the nourishment of the constituent element, (b) entry and (c) success in one's aim;

(a) Through the wisdom that consists in learning there is the nour-ishment of the constituent element for [the bodhisattva] who is mentally attentive. (b) Through [the wisdom] that consists in reflection he whole-heartedly enters into the meaning of what he has learnt. (c) Through [the wisdom] that consists in meditative development he attains success in his aims because [such wisdom] causes the purification for entry to the spiritual levels.

V.8 cd

This [spiritual practice] is to be known as being associated with the ten acts of the Dharma.

Moreover, this spiritual practice in regard to mental attention is to be known as being encompassed by the ten acts of the Dharma. Which are these tenfold Dharmic acts?

V.9 abcd

They are: (a) transcription, (b) veneration, (c) giving, (d) listening, (e) reading, (f) receiving, (g) revealing, (h) recitation, (i) reflection and (j) meditative development.

(a) The transcription [of the works] of the universal vehicle, (b) its veneration, (c) providing others with i^* , (d) listening when it is being recited by another, (e) reading it oneself, (f) receiving it, (g) teaching the texts or their meaning to others, (h) recitation, (i) reflection and (j) meditative development.

V.10 ab

N64

For these tenfold acts entail an immeasurable collection of merit:

In the Sutras, why are the acts of the Dharma taught as having an exorbitantly great result only in the universal vehicle, but not in the fravaka vehicle? For two reasons:

V.10 c

Because of their: (a) superiority and (b) inexhaustibility.

How can it be due to their: (a) superiority and (b) inexhaustibility?

V.10 d

Because they: (a) assist others and (b) do not cease.

They are superior because they are engaged in assisting others. They are to be known as inexhaustible because they are not appeased, i.e. do not cease, even in parinirvana.

Spiritual practice in relation to mental attention has now been described.

[Sthiramati]

Y209.12 [1] The spiritual practice in relation to mental attention should be mentioned immediately following the explanation of the highest spiritual practice; consequently, he asks: which is the spiritual practice in relation to mental attention? Hence he says:

V.7 ab

[The bodhisattva's] mental attention, in the universal vehicle, to the Dharmas 65 as set out etc.

The mental attention to the Dharmas such as the Sutras as set out⁶⁶ with reference to generosity etc., i.e. with reference to 67 generosity, morality, patience, vigour etc. Herein, [the mental attention to] the Dharmas, such as the Sūtras, mixtures of prose and verse and also the prophesies taught by the Venerable One with reference to generosity in whatever mode, which are so devoid of superimposition and negation as to meaning and word, is the spiritual practice in regard to mental attention; not anything else. In the universal vehicle, but not in the śrawaka vehicle: for, in the latter, generosity etc. 68 is taught as being just for one's own benefit and not for the benefit of oneself as well as others, as in the universal vehicle. Therefore, it is just in the universal vehicle that the mental attention by the bodhisattvas to the Dharmas, such as the Sutras as set out with reference to generosity etc., is the spiritual practice in regard to mental attention. It is made known that in the śrāvaka vehicle the mental attention to the Sütras etc. that are preached with reference to generosity etc. is a false spiritual practice in regard to mental attention⁶⁹ because it has just the benefit of the individual for its basis. Moreover, for the most part, these [Dharmas of the universal vehicle are taught with reference to evenmindedness, non-attachment, lack of arrogance and non-perception. Evenmindedness, in this respect, is the wish to assist equally both those who possess virtues and those who do not. Non-attachment, in this respect, is the application in regard to generosity etc. that is only for the benefit of others on the part of one who has no wish for existence and enjoyment. Lack of arro-

⁶⁵ Read: dharmamahäyänamanaskriyä in place of dharmo mahäyänamanaskriyä; cf. Bhäsya N63.2

⁶⁶ Read: yathāprajītaptānām sūtrādidharmāņām in place of sūtrādīnām yathāprajītaptadharmāṇām; cf. Bhāsya N63.4.

⁶⁷ Read: adhikṛtya in place of uddiśya; Tib. ched kyi phyir (D293a.4), cf. Y202.22 & D289a.7.

⁶⁸ Ms.(67a,3) line begins: -yo desita...; cf. Y's fn.6 p.209.

⁶⁹ As noted by Y (fn.1 p.210), Ms.(67a.4) line begins: -manasikārapratipattih..., however, manasikāra is not substantiated by the Tib.; cf. D293a.7.

gance, in this respect, is to not praise oneself nor despise others on account of one's generosity etc. Non-perception, in this respect, is the purification of the three aspects [of giving] according to the rule of the non-perception of the gift, the giver and the recipient. Continuously, i.e. again and again, through the wisdom that consists in: (a) learning, (b) reflection and (c) meditative development. Of these, (a) that which consists in learning is the certainty that comes from the authoritative statements of a trustworthy person. (b) That which consists in reflection is [the certainty] that comes from reasoning and profound reflection. (c) That which consists in meditative development is [the certainty] that comes from meditative development.

[2] Since all engagement is for the sake of the result, he asks: through these three modes of wisdom what virtue does that⁷⁰ mental attention bring⁷¹? Hence he says:

V.8 ab

It leads to: (a) the nourishment of the constituent element, (b) entry and (c) success in one's aim;

The constituent element is the spiritual lineage⁷², i.e. the 'seed'; its nourishment is [equivalent to] its augmentation. And, on account of the nourishment of the constituent element, one becomes possessed of brilliant and extensive wisdom in the future. [The bodhisattval whole-heartedly enters into the meaning of what he has learnt. Through the wisdom that consists in reflection, he resolutely enters into the true meaning⁷³ that is not erroneously inverted⁷⁴ because this is generated through the force of reasoning in respect of the meaning of those Dharmas such as the Sūtras that he has learnt. Through [the wisdom] that consists in meditative development, he attains success in his aims. The success in one's aims is fequivalent to) the understanding of reality. How so? He says: because [such wisdom] causes the purification for entry to the spiritual levels. For, he enters the spiritual levels through the purifying [processes] of the applications of mindfulness_etc. Hence it is explained that he enters the spiritual levels only through the applications of mindfulness etc. Consequently, he attains success in his aims due to the purifying (processes) that come from the wisdom that consists in the meditative development of the applications of mindfulness etc. which constitute the entry to the spiritual levels. What is meant is: he enters the spiritual levels.

⁷⁰ Read: sā triprakārayā in place of tayā triprakārayā; cf. Bhāsya N63.6. Ms.(67a.7) line begins:
-yā kaṃ guṇam...; cf. Y's fns.2 & 3 p.210.

⁷¹ Ivahati here, but Bhilsya (N63.6): karoti; cf. my fn.64.

⁷² Ms.(67a.7); dhātur gotram; disregard Y's fn.5 p.210.

⁷³ Ms.(67b.1) line begins: 'rthasadbhāvam; cf. Y's fn.7 p.210.

⁷⁴ Tib. inserts phyin ci me log pe; cf. D293b.6.

[3] Furthermore, this spiritual practice in relation to mental attention is to be known as being in accompaniment with its accessory elements; it is not in isolation. What are its accessory elements⁷⁵? He says:

V.8 cd

This [spiritual practice] is to be known as being associated with the ten acts of the Dharma⁷⁶

This is to be known as being encompassed by...; what is meant is: 'surrounded by'. The acts of the Dharma are the acts which have the Dharma as their nature, or else, which have the Dharma as their authority. But since they are not known, he asks⁷⁷: which are the tenfold acts of the Dharma⁷⁸? Hence he says:

V.9 a

They are: (a) transcription, (b) veneration, (c) giving⁷⁹ etc.

Of these, there is: the transcription by oneself or someone else [of the works] of the universal vehicle either to preserve them for a long time or in order to listen to them. Its veneration with flowers, incense, lamps⁸⁰ and perfumes etc. - it is the universal vehicle that is referred to. Having paid respect to it, the giving of the written works etc. to others81 by one who has entered the universal vehicle. Having paid respect to it, listening when it is being recited by another. Reading it oneself with great reverence. Receiving it, i.e. appropriating it oneself with great faith. Revealing 82, i.e. making known, through great effort, the texts or their meaning to those who are worthy. Recitation, i.e. the repeated practice of what has been received. Reflection, i.e. determining the meaning by way of reasoning. Meditative development, i.e. practice by way of wisdom that has been concentrated. The word "and" has the conjunctive sense. Of these, the actions of the body are demonstrated through the four terms; transcription, veneration, giving and listening, The actions of speech are demonstrated through the four terms⁸³: reading, receiving⁸⁴. revealing and reciting. And the actions of mind are demonstrated through the pair: reflection and meditative development.

⁷⁵ Read: kah saparivārah in place of kas tatparivārah; Ms.(67b.2): kah. Tib. de'i khor gad źe na (D294a.2).

⁷⁶ Read: saṃyuktā dharmacaritaih sā jileyā daśabhih punah sā dharmacaritair jileyā saṃyuktā daśabhih punah. Cf. Bhāsya N63.12.

⁷⁷ Ms.(67b.3): iyaty ata 3- but Y's emendation to ity atah prechati is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.2 p.211.

⁷⁸ Read: katamad daśadhā dharmacaritam in place of kāni daśavidhāni dharmacaritānīty; cf. Bhāṣya N63.14.

⁷⁹ Read: lekhanā pūjanā dānam in place of lekhanam pūjanam dānam; cf. Bhāsya N63.15.

⁸⁰ Tib. inserts mar me; cf. D294a.4.

⁸¹ Read: parebhyah in place of paresu; cf. Bhäsya N63.17.

⁸² pra(kāśanā) here, but Bhāsya (N63.19); deśanam.

⁸³ Ms.(67b.7) line begins: -dair vākkarma contrary to Y's fn.2 p.212.

⁸⁴ Ms.(67b.6): väcanodgrahah but Y's emendation to väcanam udgrahapam is preferred; cf. his fn.1 p.212.

[4]

V.10 ab

For, these tenfold acts entail an immeasurable collection of merit⁸⁵:

The collection of merit that arises from each particular Dharmic act, i.e. from the Dharmic acts that are said to be of ten types, cannot be measured either by oneself or by another because it is far too great. Why is it, when [both vehicles] are equal as regards explanations of the Dharma in regard to generosity, morality, the meditative absorptions etc., that in the Sutras, the acts of the Dharma are taught as having an exorbitantly great result only in the universal vehicle, but in the Sutras, the acts of the Dharma are not taught in the árðvaks vehicle as having a great result? For two reasons are the acts of the Dharma taught as having an exorbitantly great result in the universal vehicle - as to which are these two 86, he says:

V.10 c

Because of their: (a) superiority and

(b) inexhaustibility;

Since these two are not known, he asks: how can it be due to their: (a) superiority and (b) inexhaustibility? Hence he says:

V.10 d

7213

Because they: (a) assist others and

(b) do not cease.

For, in the universal vehicle, the spiritual practice of the bodhisattva in regard to generosity etc. is taught as being uniformly instrumental in providing benefit for all beings⁸⁷, but its chief object in the śrāvaka vehicle is the benefit for oneself. Hence, the universal vehicle is superior88 to the śrāvaka vehicle because it is engaged in assisting others. Thus, when one states the motive [for its practice], the universal vehicle is superior in comparison with the śrīwaka vehicle. Its inexhaustibility is due to the manifestation of an inconceivable result of the accumulation of merit and penetrating insight which: (a) is incorporated in the three [Buddha] Bodies, (b) is engaged in deeds of welfare for beings for as long as the world exists, (c) is not appeased even in the nirvana realm that is devoid of the remnants of existence and (d) is characterized by the turning about of the basis. However, this is not so in the case of the śrāvaka vehicle, for the result of the accumulations of the śrāvaka is said to be exhaustible because it is severed completely on the part of those who have attained the nirvana devoid of the remnants of existence. Thus, in respect of nirvana too, the universal vehicle is superior to the śrāvaka vehicle because of the manifestation of a result that does not cease⁸⁹.

⁸⁵ Ms.(67b.7): ameyapunyaskandham hi; disregard Y's fn.3 p.212.

⁸⁶ Read: katamäbhyäm dväbhyäm as per Ms.(68a.2) in place of katamäbhyäm.

⁸⁷ Read: sarvasattvārthakaraņaikarasā desyate in place of sarvasattvārthakaraņaikam desyate; Ms. (68a.3) line begins: -karaņaikarasā desyate. Tib. sems can thams cad kyi don bya bar ro gcig par bstan gyi (D294b.4ff.)

⁸⁸ višisyase here, although Bhasya: višistatvam; cf. N64.6.

⁸⁹ Read: anuparatephaladyoteniic as per Ms.(68a.5) in place of anuparatary phalasy dyotaniic.

[5] Alternatively, the aim of the universal vehicle which consists in generosity etc. is devoted to the assistance of all beings; however, the aim of the śrāvaka vehicle is not the same since it is intent upon benefit for oneself. Moreover, the bodhisattvas' dharmas, such as generosity, which are brought about owing to the purity of their bodies, are not interrupted even in parinirvāṇa. However, because of the analogy of the path of the śrāvaka as a raft⁹⁰, [the dharmas] are completely eradicated in parinirvāṇa, thus they are not inexhaustible. Therefore, it is appropriate that the Dharmic acts provide a great result only in the universal vehicle and not in the śrāvaka vehicle.

c. Spiritual Practice that Conforms with the Dharma

N64.10 Which is the spiritual practice that conforms with the Dharma?

V.11 ab

Spiritual practice that conforms with the Dharma is that which becomes free from: (i) distraction and (ii) erroneous inversion;

These are the twofold spiritual practices that conform with the Dharma, namely, (i) that which becomes free from distraction and (ii) that which becomes free from erroneous inversion.

[Sthiramati]

Y213.22 [1] The spiritual practice that conforms with the Dharma should be mentioned immediately after the spiritual practice in relation to mental attention, consequently, he asks: which is the spiritual practice that conforms with the Dharma? Hence it is said:

Y214 V.11 ab

Spiritual practice that conforms with the Dharma is that which becomes free from: (i) distraction and (ii) erroneous inversion;⁹¹

The spiritual practice that conforms with the Dharma refers to the spiritual practice that is adapted to the Dharma, that is to be meditated upon and known, which consists in

pranatav anudharmiki, cf. Bhasya N64.11.

Read: kolopamatvāt as per Ms.(68a.6) in place of kaulopamatvāt; contrary to Y's fn.4 p.213.
 Read: avikṣiptāviparyāsaprapatā cānudharmikī as per Ms.(68a.7) in place of avikṣiptāviparyāsa-

the meditative development of tranquillization and penetrating insight⁹² that have been entered into. Of these, that which becomes free from distraction consists in the meditative development of tranquillization and that which becomes free from erroneous inversion consists in the meditative development of penetrating insight. These are the twofold spiritual practices that conform with the Dharma.

That which becomes Free from Distraction.

The freedom from distraction in this regard is due to the absence of six types of distraction. These six types of distraction are: (a) innate distraction, (b) external distraction, (c) internal distraction, (d) the distraction of signs, (e) distraction consisting in disquiet and (f) distraction to mental attention. How should the characteristic of each of these be understood? He says:

V.11 cd & 12 abcd

(a) Emergence, (b) dispersal towards sense objects, (c) relishment, indolence and excitability⁹³, (d) the tendency to [self] esteem, (e) 'I-notion' with mental attention and (f) an inferior mentality - these are the distractions to be clearly comprehended by one who possesses intelligence.

Thus are the characteristics of the six types of distraction that should be clearly comprehended by the bodhisattva. Of these, (a) innate distraction refers to one's emergence from meditative development through the groups of the five consciousnesses. (b) External distraction refers to the dispersal towards a sense-object. (c) Internal distraction refers to the relishing of meditative concentration and indo-(d) The distraction of the 'sign' refers to the lence and excitability. intention for [self] esteem, having created this 'sign' through applica-(e) Distraction that consists in disquiet refers to the mental attention. tiveness accompanied by 'I-notion' because pride manifests through the force of disquiet. (f) Distraction to mental attention refers to the inferior mentality because of the manifestation of the mental attention of the inferior vehicle.

N65

⁹² Ms.(68b.1): -vipaśyanābhāvanātmikā; disregard Y's fn.2 p.214.

⁹³ Read; -ddhavah as per Ms. (cf. N's fn.9 p.64); cf. also my fn.59 p.231 (Ch. IV.4).

[Sthiramati]

7214.7 [1] Since this prefix 'a' occurs in many senses, it is not known as to which of these [is applicable] in the term "freedom from distraction" (a-vikṣepa), hence he says: the freedom from distraction in this regard is due to the absence of six types of distraction. And since it is not known as to how distraction can be sixfold, he says: these six types of distraction are: (a) innate distraction, (b) external distraction etc. 94 etc. Although this is so, distraction has only been shown by way of its differentiation but not by way of its essential nature, thus he asks: how should the characteristic of each of these six types of distraction be understood? 95 Hence he says:

V.11 c

Y215

(a) Emergence, (b) dispersal towards

a sense-object etc.

The expression: "by one who possesses intelligence" [means] the intelligent one, i.e. the bodhisattva. Of these, one's emergence from meditative concentration through the groups of the five consciousnesses...; for, when one of any of the five consciousnesses, such as sight, arise in one who is meditatively concentrated, he has then emerged from meditative concentration - this is innate distraction because it is occupied with externals. The emergence from meditative concentration through the groups of the five consciousnesses is described as innate distraction because it consists in the distraction that pertains to the groups of the five consciousnesses.

- [2] External distraction refers to the dispersal⁹⁶ towards a sense-object. Some believe that when the mind-consciousness of one who is meditatively concentrated moves unintentionally away from the meditative objective to another objective support it is external distraction because [consciousness] transfers to the other objective support. Others believe that when one who is meditatively concentrated relinquishes the meditative objective, the transference to another sense-object by mind-consciousness is external distraction.
 - [3] Internal distraction refers to the relishing of meditative concentration, and indolence and excitability. If one who is concentrated relishes a particular meditative concentration on account of moral defilement, such as craving, he becomes distracted from that pure meditative concentration due to the proximity of defiled concentration. Also, he is distracted from that meditative concentration wherein tranquillization and penetrating insight are brought together on account of indolence

⁹⁴ Tib. omits prakrtiviksepah bahirdhāviksepah; cf. D295a.7.

⁹⁵ Read: sa eşa kimlakşanah şadvidho vikşepo veditavya in place of etatşadvidhalakşano vikşepah katham veditavya: cf. Bhasya N64.17.

⁹⁶ Read: visāro in place of sāro; cf. Bhāsya N65.4.

and excitability⁹⁷. Since relishment, indolence and excitability are impairments for one who is concentrated⁹⁸, relishing etc. are described as internal distractions.

- [4] The distraction of the 'sign' refers to the intention for [self] esteem. A meditator may consider himself a great being and thus holds himself in esteem; on account of this 'sign' on the part of one who has applied himself to meditative concentration there is distraction from the wholesome side, whether it has been obtained or not, due to the waning away of his [original] aspiration this is described as the distraction of the 'sign'. Here now he provides the reason: having created this 'sign' through application. Having created the 'sign', i.e. that intention for [self] esteem on account of the desire for a reputation for skill⁹⁹, thinking that [thereby], because he has applied himself to meditative concentration, there would be esteem for himself.
- [5] The distraction that consists in disquiet refers to mental attentiveness accompanied by 'I-notion'. Even while resolutely seeking the virtues of meditative concentration one who possesses mental attention, accompanied by 'I-notion' 100, is associated with pride insofar as he may consider himself to be a meditator and as a consequence of this he exalts himself and holds others in contempt. Why does this mental attention consist in disquiet? Hence he says: because pride manifests through the force of disquiet. This is what is being said: distraction that is characterized by disquiet is [described as] the distraction that consists in disquiet 101. But what is disquiet? It is the full maturation of the latent impressions of pride since pride manifest on account of it; thus [it is said]: "because pride manifests through the force of that [i.e. disquiet]". Others believe that disquiet refers to the false view of individuality.
- [6] Distraction to mental attention refers to the inferior mentality. On account of: (a) the fear of the sufferings of samsāra, (b) acting wrongly towards sentient beings and (c) being in company with people who are not good spiritual friends, the śrāvaka and pratyekabuddha mentality arises in one who has applied himself to the mental attention of the universal vehicle but whose spiritual lineage as a śrāvaka etc. is not determined. This is the inferior mentality because it has merely the benefit for one-

⁹⁷ Read perhaps: layauddhatyābhyām in place of layauddhatyam; Tib. byin ba dan rgod pa dag gis (D295b.6).

⁹⁸ Read: samāhitavipātanatvāc in place of asamāhitatvāc; the Ms.(68b.7) is not clear but appears to read samāhitatvāc with vipātana inserted in the margin. Tib. is slightly different: "since meditative concentration is impaired by relinquishment, indolence and excitability..." ro myan ba dan byin ba dan rgod pas tin he 'dzin fiams par 'gyur pas na (D295b.7).

⁹⁹ Read: jillitra- in place of jillitu-; Tib. ses kyi khe (D296a.2).

¹⁰⁰ Ms.(69a.2): sāhamkāramanaskāramanaskāro 'pi but Y's reading of sāhamkāramanaskāro 'pi is correct.

¹⁰¹ Tib. omits dausthulyaviksepa iti; cf. D296a.4.

self as its main object. This śrāvaka and pratyekabuddha mentality is described 102 as a distraction to mental attention since it is a distraction to the mental attention of the universal vehicle. Here now he provides the reason: because of the manifestation of the mental attention of the inferior vehicle 103. The inferior vehicle refers to the śrāvaka and pratyekabuddha vehicles. The manifestation of this mental attention distracts one from the mental attention of the universal vehicle because it is essentially occupied with [attainment of] parinirvāņa for oneself - thus it is said that the distraction to mental attention refers to inferior mentality. Thus this absence of the faults of the six types of distraction on the part of the bodhisattva is to be known as the meditative development of tranquillization 104.

That which Becomes Free from Erroneous Inversion.

N65.11 Therein, the absence of erroneous inversion is to be known in relation to ten kinds of entities, namely:

V.13 abcd

(a) Syllables, (b) object, (c) mental activity, (d) non-dispersal, (e) & (f) the dual characteristics, (g) lack of purity and purity, (h) what is adventitious, (i) absence of fear and (j) absence of arrogance.

In regard to these:

V.14 abcd

The object both exists and does not exist (a) because of combination and familiarity and (b) because of separation and lack of familiarity - this is the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to syllables.

When there is a combination of syllables as an uninterrupted articulation, they have significance due to familiarity inasmuch as one thinks: "this is the name of that". In the contrary case, they are devoid of significance. Seeing that this is so is to be known as the absence of

¹⁰² Read: -vikşepa ucyate in place of -vikşepah kathyate; Ms.(69a.5): -vikşepa u(pa)cyate. Tib. zesbva ste (D296a.7).

¹⁰³ Read: hInayānamanasikārasamudācārād in place of hInayānena tanmanasikārasamudācārād; cf. Bhāsva N65.8.

¹⁰⁴ Ms.(69a.7); śamatha; disregard Y's fn.1 p.216.

erroneous inversion in relation to syllables. What is the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the object?

V.15 abcd

It appears as the duality but is nonexistent as such - this is the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the object and is devoid of existence and non-existence.

It appears as the duality, i.e. as apprehended object and apprehending subject, since it arises in the aspect of these [two] although it does not exist as such, i.e. in the way in which it appears. Seeing this in regard to the object is [equivalent to] the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to it. The object is devoid of existence because of the non-existence of both apprehended object and apprehending subject; it is devoid of non-existence because of the real existence of its erroneous appearance as that [duality].

V.16 abcd

Mental activity that consists in talk is developed by that [dualistic] talk and is the basis for it - this is the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to mental activity which is the ground for the manifestation of the duality.

Mental activity that consists in talk is fully developed by talk that pertains to the apprehended object and apprehending subject and is the basis for that conceptual differentiation of the apprehended object and apprehending subject. Thus, this is [equivalent to] the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to mental activity. In relation to which mental activity? In relation to that which forms the ground for the manifestation of the apprehended object and apprehending subject. For, this mental activity that consists in talk is to be known as the basis for the conceptual differentiation of apprehended object and apprehending subject because it is fully developed by verbal notions.

V.17 abcd

The existence and non-existence of the object is considered like a magical creation etc. - this is the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to non-diffusion because [mind] does

166

not disperse towards existence or non-existence.

That which was just described as the existence or non-existence of the object is considered like a magical creation etc. In the same way that a magical creation neither exists as an entity such as an elephant, nor is it non-existent because it exists as 'bare' error; so too does the object neither exist in the way in which it manifests, i.e. as the apprehended object and apprehending subject, nor is it non-existent because it exists as 'bare' error. By the word "etc.", similes such as mirages, dreams and the reflection of the moon on water are to be understood as is appropriate. That which sees the non-dispersal of mind in this way, since it sees in the object its resemblance to a magical creation etc., is [equivalent to] the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to non-dispersal because the non-dispersal of mind towards existent or non-existent entities is on account of it.

N67

V.18 abc

The fact that everything is name-only is for the non-engagement in all conceptual differentiation - this is the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the individual characteristic;

All this is name-only, i.e. that which comprises [the sense-fields of] sight/form up until mind/non-sensibles - knowing that this is so [acts] as the counteragent to all conceptual differentiation. This is [equivalent to] the absence of conceptual differentiation in relation to the individual characteristic. In relation to which individual characteristic?

V.18 d

In relation to the individual characteristic in an ultimate sense.

However, the notion that this is name-only in a conventional sense, is not accepted.

V.19 abcd

Since no dharms is to be found outside the dharmadhātu, therefore the latter is the universal characteristic this is the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to that.

Since no dharms whatsoever is to be found that is exempt from the insubstantiality of the dharms, therefore, the dharmsdhātu is the uni-

versal characteristic of all the *dharmas* - knowing that this is so is [equivalent to] the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the universal characteristic.

V.20 abcd

The lack of purity on the part of that [i.e. the dharmadhātu] and its purity are due to the non-relinquishment and relinquishment of erroneously inverted mental activity - this is the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to that.

A lack of purity on the part of the dharmadhātu refers to the non-relinquishment of erroneously inverted mental activity; purity [on its behalf] refers to the relinquishment of that - knowing that this is so is [equivalent to] the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the lack of purity and purity, respectively.

V.21 abcd

This pair is adventitious, for, the dharmadhātu is pure by nature, like space, - this is the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to that.

Moreover, because the *dharmadhātu* is pure by nature, like space, the pair, i.e. its lack of purity and subsequent purity, is adventitious; knowing that this is so is [equivalent to] the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to its adventitious nature.

V.22 abcd

Defilement and purity do not belong to the *dharmas* nor to the personal entity because they do not exist, hence, there is neither fear nor arrogance - this is the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to that.

There is no defilement nor purity on the part of the personal entity nor the dharmas because neither the personal entity exists nor do the dharmas. Consequently, there is no defilement nor purification of anyone whatsoever. Hence, concerning the side of defilement, there is no deficiency on the part of anyone and concerning the side of purification, there is no excellence on the part of anyone on account of which fear and arrogance [respectively] would result. This is [equivalent to] the

N68

absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the lack of fear and lack of arrogance.

[Sthiramati]

v216.15 [1] Thus, the manner in which the spiritual practice that conforms with the Dharma and which becomes free from erroneous inversion is to be cultivated by one who is devoid of distraction is now described. That which becomes free from erroneous inversion is that which arises in the nature of the absence of erroneous inversion. The absence of erroneous inversion in this regard refers to the knowledge of an entity exactly as it is. Now, in how many types of entity does such an entity consist? Since this is not known, he says: therein, the absence of erroneous inversion is to be known in relation to ten types of entity; in order to demonstrate those

entities that are free from erroneous inversion, he says: namely:

V.13 ab

(a) Syllables, (b) object, (c) mental activity, (d) non-dispersal, (e) the dual characteristics etc.

Now, in order to demonstrate the way in which the absence of erroneous inversion relates to these entities, he says: in regard to these:

V.14 abcd

The object both exists and does not exist, (a) because of combination and familiarity 105 and (b) because of separation and lack of familiarity 106 - this is the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to syllables.

Y217

When there is a combination of syllables...; "combination" refers to the close contact of one with another and this is not found on the part of [spoken] syllables because they cannot co-exist since the simultaneous articulation of syllables is not logically tenable. In order to dispel the uncertainty that a preceding articulation 107 does not remain 108 at the time that subsequent syllables are articulated because they pass away immediately after they are articulated, he says: as an uninterrupted articulation. This is what is being said: an articulation that is not erroneously inverted or sluggish is considered in this regard as a combination of syllables, but the close contact of syllables, one with another, is not. Due to familiarity 109, inasmuch as one thinks: "this is the name of that"; i.e. when conventional symbols are learnt by heart, one thinks: "this is the name of that entity", thus, through these two modes [i.e. combina-

¹⁰⁵ Read: samstaväc as per Ms.(69b.2) in place of sambhaväc.

¹⁰⁶ Read: asamstavät as per Ms.(69b.2) in place of asambhavät.

¹⁰⁷ Read: pūrvoccaranānām in place of pūrvavikalpānām; Tib. snar brjod pa mams (D296b.6). Ms.(69b.3) line begins: -nām umaravyanjano...

¹⁰⁸ Ms.(69b.3): 'vasthānam but Y's emendation to 'navasthānam is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.2 p.217.

¹⁰⁹ Read: samstavād as per Ms.(69b.4) in place of sambhavād.

tion and familiarity] they have significance. what is meant is: the syllables are understood. In the contrary case, they are devoid of significance¹¹⁰; the contrary case refers to the absence of both, or the lack of one of the two. Seeing that this is so, i.e. the knowledge [that this is so], is to be known as the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to syllables in a conventional sense but not in an ultimate sense because the absence of erroneous inversion in an ultimate sense is devoid of conceptual differentiation¹¹¹. Thus, through this absence of erroneous inversion, the bodhisattva penetrates all dharmas free from the meaning of words [i.e. he understands without concepts (vikalpa) expressed in words (śabda)].

[2] Now in order to demonstrate the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the object which is the cause for its designation by means of syllables, he says:

V.15 ab

It appears as the duality but is nonexistent as such etc.

It appears as the duality, i.e. as apprehended object and apprehending subject. What does? The other-dependent nature. Why? Because it possesses the 'seed' of the apprehended object and apprehending subject. But what is the meaning of "appears" in this context? Hence he says: since it arises in the aspect of these Itwol: i.e. it appears as the apprehended object and apprehending subject because it arises in the aspect of apprehended object and apprehending subject; but this is not due to the influence of an adjunct, like a crystal. "But is non-existent as such", although it does not exist as such, i.e. in the way, or in the aspect, in which it appears 112 because it is non-dual by nature. Seeing this in regard to the object, as it is the cause for the arising of the erroneous consciousness, is [equivalent to] the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to it. intended meaning is that it avoids [the extremes of] existence and non-existence. The object is devoid of existence; here now he provides the reason; because of the non-existence of both apprehended object and apprehending subject 113. The existence of the object [implies] the real existence of apprehended object and apprehending subject; [the object] is devoid of that because it is empty of the aspect of apprehended object and apprehending subject. It is devoid of non-existence...; what is the reason? Because of the real existence of the erroneous appearance as that [duality]. What is meant is: because of the real existence of the erroneous appearance as apprehended object and apprehending subject. And this is said to be an absence of erroneous inversion in a conventional sense but not in an ultimate

¹¹⁰ Read: viparyayān nirarthakatvam in place of viparyatas tv anarthakatvam; cf. Bhāsya N65.18.

¹¹¹ Ms.(69b.5): nirvikatvād but Y's reading of nirvikalpatvād is correct.

¹¹² Read: tathā ca na vidyate yathākārepa pratibhāsate in place of yathā pratibhāsākāras tathā na vidyate; cf. Bhāsya N65.23 to 66.1.

¹¹³ Ms.(70a.1); grāhyagrāhakābhāvād; disregard Y's fn.1 p.218.

sense because it is accompanied by conceptual differentiation. Through this knowledge free from erroneous inversion, the bodhisattva penetrates all dharmas as being devoid of the essential nature of apprehended object and apprehending subject.

[3] Now, in order to demonstrate the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the store-consciousness which is the cause for the manifestation¹¹⁴ of the apprehended object and apprehending subject¹¹⁵, he says:

V.16 ab

Mental activity that consists in talk is developed by that [dualistic] talk and is the basis for it etc.

Mental activity that consists in talk is fully developed by talk that pertains to the apprehended object and apprehending subject 116. With regard to the object that has the characteristic of the manifestation of the apprehended object and apprehending subject, one has the thought: "that is the object and this is the subject" - such a spoken or mental utterance is described as "talk". What is fully developed by this talk is described as "developed" since it causes the maturation of the 'seed' for the production of talk of the same genre in the future. It is called the mental activity that consists in talk because it is fully developed by talk, for the cause is figuratively expressed in the sense of the effect [which it produces]. However, in this context, talk refers to the latent impressions of talk since talk exists in those [impressions] considering that there is no differentiation between the support and that to be supported [i.e. talk and mental activity]. Alternatively, because there is no mention of the word "support" [i.e. one is not the support of the other], one speaks of mental activity that consists in talk since it is the support for the 'seed' of the condifferentiation 117 of apprehended object and apprehending subject which is called "talk" 118. Furthermore, it is the basis for talk that arises in dependence upon the latter, thus, this is [equivalent to] the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to mental activity. What is meant is: the knowledge that is not erroneously inverted. But this mental activity, in relation to which there is an absence of erroneous inversion, is not known, hence he asks: in relation to which¹¹⁹ mental activity is there an absence of erroneous inversion? Hence, in order to specify that mental activity, he says: in relation to that which forms the ground for the manifestation of the apprehended object and apprehending subject. What is meant is: it consists in a special aspect of the store-consciousness. In order to demonstrate the way in which the latter forms the ground for the

¹¹⁴ Tib. omits prakhvāna: cf. D297b.2.

¹¹⁵ Ms.(70a.3); grāhyagrāhyagrāhaka but Y's reading of grāhyagrāhaka is correct.

¹¹⁶ Read: grāhyagrāhakajalpaparibhāvito in place of grāhyagrāhakajalpena paribhāvito; cf. Bhāsya N66.6

¹¹⁷ Ms.(70a.6) line begins: -vikalpasya contrary to Y's fn.4 p.218.

¹¹⁸ Tib. omits jalpāknyasya; cf. D297b.5.

¹¹⁹ Read: katamasmin in place of kasmin; cf. Bhasya N66.8.

manifestation of the apprehended object and apprehending subject, he says: for, this the mental activity that consists in talk... because it is fully developed by verbal notions. It is the fact that it [i.e. the store-consciousness] forms the ground for the manifestation of the apprehended object and apprehending subject that is referred to. Alternatively, as to mental activity that consists in talk, in order to demonstrate that this causes one's engagement in verbal notions, he has said 120: "it is described as mental activity that consists in talk because it is fully developed by verbal notions". Verbal notions refer to notions that arise from speech, or which cause the arising of speech. It is on account of these (notions) together with their associated elements, that the mental activity consisting in talk is described as "fully developed", because they cause the maturation of the individual 'seeds' in the mental activity that consists in talk. This too is an absence of erroneous inversion just in a conventional sense but not in an ultimate sense. Moreover, through this absence of erroneous inversion, the bodhisattva avoids the underlying cause of erroneous inversion which is characterized by notional attachment to the apprehended object and apprehending subject and in this way disquiet is easily avoided¹²¹.

[4] Now, in order to demonstrate the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to non-dispersal, he says:

V.17 ab

The existence and non-existence of the object is considered like a magical creation etc.

That which was just described as the existence or non-existence of the object... How was it just described? [As the following]:

It appears as the duality but is non-existent as such - this is the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the object 122 and is devoid of existence and non-existence. [V.15]

It is considered like a magical creation etc. "Like a magical creation etc." [means]: like entities that are magical creations etc. In order to demonstrate just this, he says: in the same way that a magical creation neither exists as an entity such as an elephant, since it manifests in the nature of an elephant etc. which is non-existent in itself¹²³. Although it is said that it does not exist as an entity such as an elephant, it is not non-existent in every respect - on the contrary¹²⁴: nor is it non-

¹²⁰ Ms.(70b.1): ...ivy ato 'bhidhinaprayrttinimittam äha but on the basis of the Tib., this should read: ity abhidhänaprayrttinimittapradaráanārtham äha; Tib. žes brjod pa 'jug pa' rgyu yin par bstau pa' phyir (cf. D298a.1).

¹²¹ Ms.(70b.3): -titi; disregard Y's fn.2 p.219.

¹²² Read: arthe sa cāviparyāṣaḥ in place of ayam arthe viparyāṣaḥ; cf. Bhāṣya N65.22.

¹²³ Read: prakhyānān na hastyādibhāvenāstīti in place of prakhyānād hastyādibhāvena nāstīti; cf. Bhāsya N66.14.

¹²⁴ Tib. omits kim tarhi: cf. D298a.6.

existent because it exists as 'bare' error 125. It is not non-existent in every respect because of the existence of that 'bare' error that manifests in the aspect of an elephant etc. So too does the object neither exist in the way in which it manifests, i.e. as apprehended object and apprehending subject, nor is it non-existent because of the existence of that 'bare' error which manifests as such in the essential nature 126 of the mind and the mental concomitants that pertain to the three realms of existence 127. By the word "etc.", similes such as mirages, dreams and the reflection of the moon on water etc. are to be understood, as is appropriate. For the mirages, dreams and reflections of the moon on water etc. 128 neither exist in the way in which they appear in the nature of water, men and women¹²⁹, nor indeed do they not exist¹³⁰ because of the existence of 'bare' error. In detail, [the statement]: "so too does the object..." is to be connected with each [simile]. Moreover, by the word "etc." in this context¹³¹. cities of Gandharvas and echoes etc. are to be understood. That which sees in this way, without distraction, the non-dispersal of mind towards the sides of existence and non-existence. because it sees in the object its resemblance 132 to a magical creation etc. 133, is [equivalent to] the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to non-dispersal. But what is non-dispersal? Some believe that it consists in the meditative concentration of the middle way¹³⁴. If this is so, how can there be an absence of erroneous inversion in relation to non-dispersal? Hence he says; because the non-dispersal of mind¹³⁵ toward existent or non-existent entities is on account of it. Through this absence of erroneous inversion, the bodhisattva is

¹²⁵ Read: na ca naivăsti tad bhrăntimătrăstivăd în place of năpi năsti tasya bhrăntimătrăstivăd; cf. Bhâsya N66.14-15. N6.170b.5): tadbhrăntimătrăstivăd contrasy to Y's fn.1 p.220. Tib. de ni Thrul pa tsam du yod pa'i phyt med pa 'at ma yin te (D298a.6).

¹²⁶ Ms.(70b.6): -svartipasvasva but Y's reading of svartipasva is correct.

¹²⁷ Read: tathārtho (or evam artho) pi na cāsti yathā samprakhyāti grāhyagrāhakatvena na ca naivāsti tasya traidhānukacittacaitasvarūpasya tathā prakhyāyamānasya bhrāntimātrasyāstitvāt in place of tathārtho (pi yathā grāhyagrāhakatvena prabhāsate tathā na ca naivāsti tasya traidhātukacittacaitasvarūpasya tathā prakhyāyamānasya bhrāntimātrasyāstitvāt. Ms. (70b.6): ...tathā prakhyāyamānasya bhrāntimātrasyāstitvāt contrasy to Y's fn.2 p.220. Tib. de bžin du don (not yod) kyad ji lar gzub ba dad 'dzin par saan ba lar yod pa 'at ma yin la / khams gzum pa'i sems dad sems las byuh ba'i rat gi no bo de / de lta bur saan ba de 'khrul pa tsam du yod pa'i phyir med pa 'at ma yin no (D298a.7ff.)

¹²⁸ Tib. omits dyslind yathlyogam veditavyl iti na hi marktisvapeodakacandridayo; cf. D298b.1.

129 Read: varbodakanarastrolimani in place of varbi stripumitmani. Tib. chu dat stress na dat hu

¹²⁹ Read: yathodakanarastryikmanii in place of yathii stripumiitmanii; Tib. chu dan skyes pa dan bud med kvi bdae fiid du ii ltar (D298b.1).

¹²⁰ Ms.(70b.7): na ca na santy; disregard Y's fn.3 p.220.

¹³¹ Read: atrăpyādiśabdena in place of atra cădiśabdena; Ms.(70b.7): atra ădiśabdena with -pyā inserted in the margin.

¹³² Read: -upamärthe derianit as per Bhilsya (N66.18) in place of -upamärthadarianit Ms.(70b.7): -upamärthapradarianit.

¹³³ Read: evanp yan milyiidyupamiirthe darianiit sattviisattvapakşayor avisiiram cetaso 'vikşepatvena paiyati in place of evanp yan milyiidyupamiirthadarianiit sattviisattvapakşayoi cittasyiivisiiratveniiviispapatvena darianan; cf. Bitisya N66.18-19.

¹³⁴ Read: madhyamāpratipat samādhir in place of madhyamā pratipatsamādhir.

¹³⁵ Ms.(71a.1): cittasyāsyāvisarapād but Y's reading of cittasyāvisarapād is correct; cf. Bhāsya N66,19.

not distracted from the objective support for [the realization of] emptiness because of the absence of conceptual differentiation in regard to existence and non-existence 136. Therefore, it is described as the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to non-dispersal 137. And this is an absence of erroneous inversion in just a conventional sense, but not in an ultimate sense, for the latter is devoid of conceptual differentiation.

[5] Now, in order to demonstrate the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the individual characteristic, he says:

V.18 ab

The fact that everything is name-only is for the non-engagement in all conceptual differentiation 138 etc.

All this is name-only, i.e. that which 139 comprises [the sense-fields of] sight/form up until mind/non-sensibles - hence all that comprises the twelve sense-fields is to be known as name-only. The designation, in this respect, comprises the own-being and the particulars of the name; also, the own-being of either the object to be designated or the designation does not exist because: (a) it is imaginatively constructed in such a nature and (b) what is imaginatively constructed does not exist. Otherwise, apprehending would take place through sight etc. 140 regardless of the name. yet there can be no apprehending 141 of a conventional symbol that is not known. Therefore, because that object implied by the terms sight/form etc. does not have the own-being of that [sight/form]. [the sense-fields of] sight/form up until mind/nonsensibles are indeed name-only, [hence] knowing that this is so [acts] as the counteragent to all conceptual differentiation 142. This is [equivalent to] the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the individual characteristic. One engages in all conceptual differentiation in regard to all dharmas by way of imaginary dharmas 143. Hence, the knowledge which penetrates (the realization that all is] name-only and which is the counteragent to all conceptual differentiation, is described as the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the individual characteristic. However, it is not known in this regard whether the latter refers to the individual characteristic in an ultimate sense or in a conventional sense, consequently he asks: in

7221

¹³⁶ Read: bodhisattvah sattväsattvavikalparahitatväc chünyatllambanäd aviksepo bhavati in place of bodhisattvo bhäväbhävavikalparahitäc chünyatllambane viksepo bhavati. Ms. (71a.1) line ends: ...bodhisattvah contrary to Y's reading; Tib. byat chub sems dpa' yod pa dan med par nog pa med pa' phyir ro // stod pa fid la dmigs pa las mi g-yed sto (D298b.3-4).

¹³⁷ Read: avisāre 'viparyāsa in place of avisāre viparyāsa; Tib. mi 'phro ba la phyin ci ma log pa (D298b.4); Ms.(71a.2): visāre 'viparyāsa.

¹³⁸ Read: sarvakalniloravrttave in place of sarvakalniloravartanit; cf. Bhisya N67.1.

¹³⁹ Read: yad idam in place of yad etac; cf. Bhligya N67.3.

¹⁴⁰ Ms.(71a.4): -ksurāditvena: disregard Y's fn.1 p.221.

¹⁴¹ Tib.: mi 'dzin pe (= agrahanam) which should be amended to 'dzin pa; cf. D298b.7.

¹⁴² Rest: sarvavikalpānām pratipakṣeṇa / in place of sarvavikalpasya pratipakṣa iti; cf. Bhāṣya N6: ↓.

¹⁴³ Ms.(71a.5): -rikalpitadharmamukhena; disregard Y's fn.2 p.221.

relation to which individual characteristic? Hence he says that this absence of

V.18 d

In relation to the individual characteristic in an ultimate sense.

Because the individual characteristic, in an ultimate sense, is inexpressible but not so in a conventional sense. What is the reason? Because the notion that this is name-only in a conventional sense, is not accepted 144; for, imaginative constructions 145 arise in relation to the essential nature of a dharma through speech; however, this is just in a conventional sense and not in an ultimate sense because it is the process of conceptual differentiation that recognizes that it is name-only. Through this absence of erroneous inversion, the bodhisattva becomes skilled in both the conventional and the ultimate.

[6] Now, in order to demonstrate the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the universal characteristic, he says:

V.19 ab

Since no dharma is to be found outside the dharmadhātu¹⁴⁶ etc.

For, no dharma whatsoever whether material or non-material is to be found that is exempt from the insubstantiality of the dharmas, because all dharmas are inexpressible and non-dual¹⁴⁷ by nature since they are devoid of the relation of apprehended object and apprehending subject. Therefore, the dharma-dhātu is the universal characteristic of all dharmas; it is described as the universal characteristic of [all] these existing [dharmas]¹⁴⁸ as it is not different in all dharmas. Knowing that this is so is [equivalent to] the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the universal characteristic ¹⁴⁹; i.e. in a conventional sense but not in an ultimate sense because the latter is devoid of conceptual differentiation. Through this absence of erroneous inversion, the bodhisattva becomes skilled in the objective support of purity because it is the object of direct intuition free from conceptual differentiation.

[7] How does one distinguish between the universal characteristic and the individual characteristic? The characteristic in the ultimate sense¹⁵⁰ refers to the inexpressible essential nature [which acts] as the counteragent¹⁵¹ to the superimposed essential

¹⁴⁴ Read: grhyate in place of grhnāti; cf. Bhāsya N67.7.

¹⁴⁵ Read: parikalpa- in place of samkalpa-; Tib. kun tu rtog pa (D299a.4).

¹⁴⁶ Read: dharmadhātuvinirmukto in place of dharmadhātur vinirmukto; cf. Bhāsya N67.8.

¹⁴⁷ Tib. omits advaya; cf. D299a.5.

¹⁴⁸ Y's tatsat is very doubtful - read perhaps: [sarvadharmāṇāṃ] teṣāṃ setāṃ; Tib. de yod pa'i (D299a.6).

¹⁴⁹ Read: -laksane in place of -laksanatve; cf. Bhasya N67.12.

¹⁵⁰ Read: paramārtham lakṣaṇam in place of paramārthalakṣaṇam; the anusvāra is inserted in the Ms. margin.

¹⁵¹ Ms.(715.3): -tiksepam but Y's emendation to (prati)paksena is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.2 p.222.

nature [expressed] in speech. Furthermore, the universal characteristic refers to the insubstantial imaginary nature which is innate to all dharmas. Thus, this distinction is in accord with a difference in perspectives but is not due to the object.

[8] Now, in order to demonstrate the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the lack of purity or the purity on the part of the dharmadhātu, he says:

V.20 bc

...Are due to the non-relinquishment and relinquishment of erroneously inverted 152 mental activity etc.

A lack of purity 153 on the part of the dharmadhatu refers to the nonrelinquishment of erroneously inverted154 mental activity; the purity [on its behalfl refers to the relinquishment of that. In this respect, erroneously inverted155 mental activity is conceptual differentiation that consists in erroneous inversion and is the ground of the store-consciousness. The lack of purity on the part of the dharmadhātu refers to the non-relinquishment of the latter 156; purity [on its behalf] refers to its relinquishment. Knowing that this is so is [equivalent to] the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the lack of purity and purity, respectively. The absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the lack of purity on the part of the dharmadhatu refers to the fact that the non-relinquishment of erroneously inverted¹⁵⁷ mental activity is fequivalent to the lack of purity on the part of the dharmadhatu. The absence of erroneous inversion in relation to its purity refers to the fact that its relinquishment is [equivalent to] purity [on its behalf]. What is the reason? Because the dharmadhatu is defiled by adventitious secondary defilement. This too is an absence of erroneous inversion only in a conventional sense but not in an ultimate sense; and, through this absence of erroneous inversion, the bodhisattva clearly comprehends: (a) the obscuration to the dharmadhatu that has not been relinquished and (b) that which has been relinquished.

[9] Now, in order to demonstrate the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the adventitious nature of both the lack of purity and the purity on the part of the dharmadhātu. he says:

V.21 ab

Y223

...For, the dharmadhātu is pure by nature, like space etc.

Moreover, because the *dharmadhātu* is pure by nature, like space, this pair, i.e. its lack of purity and subsequent purity¹⁵⁸, is adventitious. The

¹⁵² Read: viparyasta- as per Ms.(71b.4) in place of viparyastu-.

¹⁵³ Ms.(71b.4); avišuddhih; disregard Y's fn.7 p.222.

¹⁵⁴ Read: viparyasta- as per Ms. (71b.4) in place of viparyastu-.

¹⁵⁵ Read: viperyaste- in place of viperyastu-. on the basis of my fns.154 & 157.

¹⁵⁶ Ms.(71b.4); tasyāprahāṇan dharmadhātor; disregard Y's fn.8 p.222.

¹⁵⁷ Read: viparyasta- as per Ms. (71b.5) in place of viparyastu-

¹⁵⁸ Ms.(71b.7): aviśuddhir viśu-: disregard Y's fn.2 p.223.

lack of purity on the part of the dharmadhātu is mentioned in this regard because it is [superficially] defiled by unreal conceptual differentiation; its purity [is mentioned] because one subsequently relinquishes that [secondary defilement] through the arising of the counteragent and both of these are adventitious to the dharmadhātu. What is the reason? Because the innate purity of the dharmadhātu is like that of space. [Objection]: A lack of purity on the part of what is pure by nature may be adventitious, but, since its purity is an eternal purity, how can it be adventitious? [Response]: This fault is not [applicable] since, in this context, this purity is intended 159 as an absence of stain; only because of this is it stated that its purity is subsequent. Knowing that this is so in regard to the dharmadhātu 160 is [equivalent to] the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the adventitious nature of both the lack of purity and the purity on the part of the dharmadhātu. This too is [an absence of erroneous inversion] in a conventional sense but not in an ultimate sense. Through this absence of erroneous inversion, the bodhisattva 161 clearly comprehends the fabricated nature 162 of the states of defilement and purification in regard to the dharmadhātu.

[10] Now, in order to demonstrate the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the lack of fear and lack of arrogance, he says:

V.22 ab

Defilement and purity do not belong to the *dharmas* nor to the personal entity etc.

There is no defilement nor purity on the part of the personal entity 163 nor the *dharmas*; [the words]: "defilement and purity" remain in force in regard to the latter [i.e. the *dharmas*]. Here now he provides the reason: because neither the personal entity exists, nor do the *dharmas*; [the word] "exists" remains in force in regard to the latter 164. At the time of the states of defilement and purification 165, if [an entity] exists separately from defilement and purification it would be tenable to imagine that it can possess defilement or purification, and diminution and increase 166 would also exist separately from that [entity]. [However], there is no personal entity such as that because it is not an object of direct perception or inference. Also, the *dharmas* which possess an imaginary nature are certainly non-existent

¹⁵⁹ Read: malarahitatvena yā viśuddhih; in place of yā malavigamatātmatayā viśuddhih; Tib. dri ma dat bral bas mam par dag pa gad yin pa (D300a.2). The first two syllables of the Ms.(72a.2) are not clear but do not support Ys reading, nor does the Tib.

¹⁶⁰ Tib. omits dharmadhātu; cf. D300a.2-3.

¹⁶¹ Ms.(72a.3) line begins: dharmadhlitoh samkleśa...; cf. Y's fn.3 p.223.

¹⁶² D is not clear; P (147b.7): bcos ma ma yin par for krarimatvam which should read: bcos ma yin par.

¹⁶³ Read: na hi pudgalasya samkleśo na viśuddhih in place of na pudgalasya samkleśo 'sti na viśuddhih; cf. Bhasya N68.3.

¹⁶⁴ The Tib. omits autity anuvariate because its phrasing of this quotation from the Bhāṣya requires no further elaboration; cf. Y's fn.1 p.224.

¹⁶⁵ kun nas fion mods pa dan / mam par byan ba gflis kyi dus na - this statement is only found in the Tib. (D300a.5).

¹⁶⁶ Ms.(72a.5) line begins: sa tādršab...; cf. Y's fn.2 p.224.

because numerous imaginative constructions arise in regard to the one entity, and it is not tenable that the one fentityl can have numerous natures. Consequently, because both the personal entity and the dharmas are non-existent, like the son of an infertile woman etc., there is no defilement or purification; the possession of defilement and purity is not accepted 167 even on the part of the other-dependent [nature] which is the sphere of pure mundane direct intuition. For what reason? Because it is inexpressible and non-dual by nature. [Objection]: If [defilement and purity] are not even accepted 168 as belonging to the other-dependent [nature], to which [nature] would they belong for the effort directed towards the relinquishment of defilement and the acquisition of purity 169 would be in vain if they (i.e. defilement and purity) did not exist? [Response]: This fault is not [applicable] since defilement and purity belong to the dharmadhātu, [or rather] although they are respectively determined as belonging to the dharmadhātu, they are certainly not identical with it because they are both adventitious to the dharmadhatu170 and, like space, the dharmadhatu does not change. Hence, concerning the side of defilement, there is no deficiency whatsoever and concerning the side of purification, there is no excellence whatsoever 171 on account of which fear or arrogance [respectively] would result. is [equivalent to] the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the lack of fear and the lack of arrogance.

[11] However, others say that in regard to the side of defilement, there is no deficiency on the part of anyone and in regard to the side of purification, there is no excellence 172 because there is no one who possesses both of these. Since the two do not exist in this way 173, how, in this respect, can one either fear that: "this is not mine", or have the prideful notion that: "this 174 excellence belongs to me"?

[12] Others again believe that in the first place the personal entity does not exist and a dharma which experiences the two states and to which the two are imagined to belong also does not exist. On the contrary, this defilement and purification is none other than dependent origination.

[13] This too is [intended] in the conventional but not in the absolute sense¹⁷⁵ because

¹⁶⁷ Ms.(72a.6): naivesyate; disregard Y's fn.3 p.224.

¹⁶⁸ Ms.(72a.6): nesyate; disregard Y's fn.4 p.224.

¹⁶⁹ Ms.(72a.7) line begins: -prantivatna...; cf. Y's fn.5 p.224.

¹⁷⁰ Ms.(72a.7); dharmadhātau; disregard Y's fn.6 p.224.

Ms. (72b.1) line begins: kaś cid viśeşo...; cf. Y's fn.7 p.224. This apparent quote from the Bhāṣya is quite different to the corresponding passage from the Sanskrit Bhāṣya which echoes the view expressed by Sthiramati in paragraph [11] below.

¹⁷² Ms.(72b.1); viścso; disregard Y's fn.8 p.224.

¹⁷³ Read: na bhavatas in place of bhavatas; Tib. ma yin pas (D300b.4). P (148b) omits the ma.

¹⁷⁴ Ms.(72b.2): ayam; disregard Y's fn.2 p.225. In the Ms. this line begins: Iti kim...; cf. Y's fn.1 p.225.

¹⁷⁵ Ms.(72b.3) line begins: - #arthatalp / tasya...; cf. Y's fn.3 p.225.

the latter is devoid of conceptual differentiation. Through this absence of erroneous inversion, [the bodhisattva] penetrates the unchanging nature of the dharmadhātu because of the absence of decay and growth¹⁷⁶.

[14] Others believe that [the bodhisattva] goes forth free from obscuration after having relinquished all obscuration through this absence of erroneous inversion. Alternatively, the absence of erroneous inversion in an absolute sense is indicated here by way of the tenfold absences of erroneous inversion which consist in the conventional¹⁷⁷, since it is not possible to explain it in any other way. Others say that these absences of erroneous inversion in relation to syllables, object, mental activity, nondispersal, individual characteristic, universal characteristic, lack of purity, purity, what is adventitious, lack of fear and lack of arrogance also refer to the three natures 178. Of these, the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to syllables and object refer to the imaginary nature because both [the notions of] combination and object that has 'fallen' into duality are imaginary. Although they do not have a reality for their object, these two do consist in an absence of erroneous inversion since they are without erroneous inversion as such in this way. The absence of erroneous inversion in relation to mental activity 179 refers to the other-dependent nature because the mental activity that consists in talk is intrinsic to the nature of the store-consciousness. The absence of erroneous inversion¹⁸⁰ in relation to non-dispersal¹⁸¹ refers to the perfected nature. Why? Because: (a) direct intuition that is devoid of conceptual differentiation is not distracted [by conjecture] about existent or non-existent [entities] and (b) the latter is incorporated in the perfected nature since it is perfection as an absence of erroneous inversion¹⁸². The absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the individual and universal characteristics refers just to the dharmadhatu because the essential nature of the latter transcends the sphere of conceptual differentiation. The absence of erroneous inversion in relation to both the lack of purity and purity refers to a special state of the dharmadhātu. The absence of erroneous inversion in relation to what is adventitious refers to that same dharmadhatu: for it is due to the mental defilement and the mental purity of that which, like space, is pure by nature that the two [purity and its lack] are respectively determined as adventitious. The absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the

¹⁷⁶ Ms.(72b.3): -vrddhir api tattviid but Y's emendation to -vrddhyasadbhiiviid is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf, his fn.4 p.225.

¹⁷⁷ Ms.(72b.4) line begins: -vipary#sena param#rth#...; cf. Y's fn.5 p.225.

¹⁷⁸ Read: 'nunnatau căviparyăsă te syabhāvatrayam adhikryteei în place of 'nunnatau căviparyăsa-kalpita Eśraye štate syabhāvatrayam adhikryteei; Ma.(72b.5): -sās te syabhāvatrayam adhikryteei. Tib. kheis pa med pa la phyin ci ma log pa de dag do bo âid gaum gyi dbatî du byas pa o ze'o (D301a.1-2). There seems to be some confusion in folio 72b of Y's Ms. where some extraneous text appears to be inserted at the beginning of lines 2 through to 6. The readings noted by Y in his fins.1, 3, 5, 6 & 7 p.225 are completely absent from the Ms.

¹⁷⁹ Ms.(72b.6): -sah paratantrasvabhāvam...: cf. Y's fn.7 p.225.

¹⁸⁰ Ms.(72b.6): avipaviparyāsah but Y's emendation to 'viparyāsah is preferred.

¹⁸¹ vi of avisare is inserted in the Ms. margin.

¹⁸² Ms.(72b.7) line begins: -parinispatty# ca...; cf. Y's fn.2 p.226

lack of fear and the lack of arrogance refers also to the dharmadhātu because of the unfabricated nature of the latter, for, like space, it is devoid of deficiency and excellence. These tenfold absences of erroneous inversion are to be understood in this way in relation to the three natures.

The Ten Vajra Words

N68.9 Furthermore, these ten absences of erroneous inversion are to be connected respectively with the ten vajra words. The ten vajra words are: (i) existence and non-existence, (ii) the absence of erroneous inversion, (iii) the basis, (iv) the resemblance to a magical creation, (v) the absence of conceptual differentiation, (vi) intrinsic luminosity, (vii) defilement and purification, (viii) the resemblance to space, (ix) non-deficiency and (x) non-excellence.

The 'body' of the vaira words is established by way of: (a) ownbeing, (b) objective support, (c) absence of conceptual differentiation and (d) objections and refutations. Of these, (a) "by way of ownbeing" refers to the three natures called 'perfected', 'imaginary' and 'other-dependent' corresponding respectively with the first three [vajra] (b) "By way of objective support" refers to these same (c) "By way of the absence of conceptual differen-[three] natures. tiation" refers to: (i) that on account of which one does not conceptually differentiate, i.e. direct intuition devoid of conceptual differentiation, and (ii) that which does not perform conceptual differentiation in regard to intrinsic luminosity. Then, by means of these, the establishment of both the object of knowledge and the act of knowing is to be understood respectively, namely, through the three natures and the absence of conceptual differentiation. (d) "By way of objections and refutations" refers to the remaining [vajra] words. This is the objection in this regard: "If these dharmas which are characterized by the imaginary and the other-dependent [natures] are non-existent, how can they be perceived? On the other hand if they do exist, the intrinsic luminosity of the dharmas is not tenable." One refutes this through their resemblance to a magical creation, i.e. on the grounds that what comprises a magical creation does not exist yet it is perceived. [An objection]: "If the dharmas possess intrinsic luminosity, how can they be defiled initially

169

¹⁸³ The Tib. Bhisya appears confused in this area and inserts the first of the three antaraśloka here, whereas in the Sanskrit Bhisya all three are found at the end of this section.

and subsequently purified?" Its refutation: Defilement and purification are to be understood according to their resemblance to space, i.e. on the grounds that space, which is pure by nature, can be defiled and then purified. [An objection]: "If there is the removal of the moral defilement 184 of immeasurable numbers of sentient beings when immeasurable numbers of Buddhas are born, how is it that samsāra is not eradicated and nirvāpa is not augmented?" Its refutation is on the grounds of non-deficiency and non-excellence, because the realms of sentient beings and 'sides' to purification are immeasurable.

Secondly, the 'body' is established as follows:

- (a) Wherein, whatever and whence is error and (b) wherein and whatever is non-error and indeed (c) the results of both error and non-error and (d) the end of these two.
- (i) Existence and non-existence, (ii) the absence of erroneous inversion, (iii) the basis, (iv) the resemblance to a magical creation, (v) the absence of conceptual differentiation, (vi) eternal intrinsic luminosity,
- (vii) Defilement and purification,
 (viii) the resemblance to space, (ix)
 non-deficiency and (x) non-excellence;

These are the ten vajra words.

The spiritual practice that conforms with the Dharma has now been described.

[Sthiramati]

Y226.14 [1] These ten absences of erroneous inversion that have just been described are to be connected respectively with the ten vajra words described in the Y227 Suras. Which are the ten vajra words? They are: (i) existence and non-existence, (ii) the absence of erroneous inversion, (iii) the basis, (iv) the resemblance to a magical creation, (v) the absence of conceptual

¹⁸⁴ Read: klešāpagamaḥ in place of klešāpasamaḥ; Tib. kun nas fion mods pa bsal na (D23b.4). Cf. N's fn.2 p.69.

differentiation 185, (vi) intrinsic luminosity 186, (vii) defilement and purification 187. (viii) the resemblance to space, (ix) non-deficiency and (x) non-excellence. These are [of the nature of] a vaira because: (a) like a vaira. they penetrate all erroneous inversion and (b) the former cannot be penetrated by the latter. This is [equivalent to] the knowledge of the absence of erroneous inversion that has just been described fin the last section]. And since this 188 vairaf-like knowledge] is illustrated, i.e. is made known, through them, they are [described as] the vaira words; what is meant is: vaira-like explanations. Furthermore, it is [the ten words of the previous section! beginning with "syllable", "object" and "mental activity" that are signified by the term "word". Consequently, "words of the vaira" means: 'objective supports of the vaira; alternatively, these words are described as vaira words because. like a vajra, they are difficult to split 189. The vajra words are specifically mentioned in this context because the absences of erroneous inversion must be connected with the Sūtras. Alternatively, it is to demonstrate that this explanation of the vaira words is through the ten kinds of absence of erroneous inversion. Of these, (a) the existence or non-existence of the meaning of syllables is [equivalent to the vaira word] "existence and non-existence"; hence, the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to syllables is to be known as referring to "existence and non-existence" (listed) among the vaira words. (b) The appearance as the duality which is imaginary refers to the "object" in this context and the [knowledge] that it is non-existent as such refers to the absence of erroneous inversion in this regard. Thus, the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the object is to be connected with the "absence of erroneous inversion" [listed] among the vaira words. (c) Since that same mental activity that consists in talk is a 'basis' in this context, the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to mental activity is to be connected with the "basis" [listed] among the vaira words. (d) The absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the non-dispersal of mind, since [it sees in the object] its resemblance to a magical creation etc. 190, is to be connected with the "resemblance to a magical creation" [listed] among the vairs words 191. (e) It is that same individual characteristic of the dharmadhatu that is [equivalent to] the absence of conceptual differentiation since it is devoid of imaginative constructions; therefore, the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the individual characteristic is to be regarded as the

¹⁸⁵ Read: avikalpanatā in place of nirvikalpanatvam; cf. Bhāsya N68.11.

¹⁸⁶ Read: prakṛtiprabhāsvaratā in place of prakṛtiprabhāsvaratvam; cf. Bhāsya N68.11.

¹⁸⁷ Ms.(74b.3) line begins: -śavyavadānam; cf. Y's fn.2 p.227.

¹⁸⁸ Ms. (74b.3): yadya but Y's emendation to yac cs is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.3 p.227.

¹⁸⁹ I.e. vajra-pāda may be interpreted as a tatpuruşa or karmadhāraya compound.

¹⁹⁰ Ms.(74b.7): māyādyupamayā but Y's emendation to māyādyupamatayā is correct; cf. his fn.5 p.227.

¹⁹¹ Ms.(74b.6): vajrapadeşu viparyāse but Y's emendation to vajrapadeşv aviparyāse is preferred; cf. his fn.4 p.227.

Y228

Y229

"absence of conceptual differentiation" 192. (f) The universal 193 [characteristic] of the dharmadhātu refers to its intrinsic luminosity; therefore, the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the universal characteristic is included as "intrinsic luminosity". (g) Since a special lack of purity 194 and a special purity on the part of the dharmadhātu is [equivalent to] its defilement and purification 195, the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the lack of purity and purity is included by [the vajra word] "defilement and purification". (h) Moreover, the absence of erroneous inversion 196 in relation to the adventitious nature of defilement and purification on the part of the dharmadhātu is to be connected with the "resemblance to space". (i) & (j) Since there is no destruction or growth of the dharmadhātu in relation to its states of defilement and purification, the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the lack of fear and lack of arrogance is to be connected with "non-deficiency" and "non-excellence" [listed] among the vajra words. These ten absences of erroneous inversion are to be connected 197 respectively with the ten vaira words in this way.

- [2] The 'body' of the vajra words is established as follows: the vajra words are employed with reference to 198 certain categories these categories form their 'body'. However, they are also established as fourfold, i.e. by way of: (a) own-being, (b) objective support, (c) absence of conceptual differentiation and (d) objections and refutations.
- [3] Of these, ...the three natures called 'perfected', 'imaginary' and 'other-dependent' correspond respectively with the first three [vajra] words. Therein, the first [three]¹⁹⁹ are: "existence and non-existence", "the absence of erroneous inversion" and "the basis". Of these, by "existence and non-existence", the perfected [nature] is referred to; by "the absence of erroneous inversion", the imaginary [nature] is referred to; by "the basis", the other-dependent [nature] is referred to. How so? Certain people believe that the perfected is explained as both existent and non-existent because it both exists and does not exist²⁰⁰. How can it be due to the fact that the perfected [nature] has the characteristic of both existence and non-existence?²⁰¹ Some believe that this is because it is verbally expressed as existent

¹⁹² Read: akalpanatā in place of nirvikalpanatvena; cf. Bhāsya N68.11.

¹⁹³ Ms.(74a.1): -nyant; disregard Y's fn.6 p.227.

¹⁹⁴ Ms.(74a.1): aviśuddhiviśeso; disregard Y's fn.1 p.228.

¹⁹⁵ Ms.(74a.2); vyavadānaň cety; disregard Y's fn.2 p.228.

¹⁹⁶ Ms.(74a.2) includes the avagraha; disregard Y's fn.3 p.228.

¹⁹⁷ Ms.(742.3): -draspavyā but Y's emendation to yojayitavyā is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.4 p.228.

¹⁹⁸ Ms.(74a.3): adhikrtya vajra-; disregard Y's fn.7 p.228.

¹⁹⁹ The words: bhavānyād yāni tāni which are inserted here (Ms.74a.4) have not been translated for they have no coherence in the context and are not found in the Tib. (cf. D302a.5).

²⁰⁰ There is an inconsistency between the Sanskrit and Tib. texts in this area that is difficult to reconcile, for the reconstructed portion is far in excess of the lacunse. As noted by Y (fn.10 p.228), the Ms. (74s.5) line begins: -paratantrah, but his emendation to iti ke cit is preferred on the basis of the Tib.

²⁰¹ Cf. Trisvabhāvanirdeša, verse 13.

and non-existent. Others believe that because syllables possess combination and familiarity, one sees the object as having significance insofar as it is mentally constructed and, in the contrary case, one sees it as being devoid of significance, [thus], it is understood that the perfected nature is inexpressible. Hence, this [vaira word? "existence and non-existence" is said to refer to the perfected nature. Others again believe that the Dharma that comprises the teachings of the universal vehicle is described as the perfected nature because; (a) it flows out of the extremely pure dharmadhātu and (b) it is the objective support which acts as counteragent to erroneous inversion; and although, as an objective entity its essential nature is conceptually differentiated²⁰², it is not imaginary. Hence, [the vajra word] "existence and nonexistence" is said to refer to the perfected. However, others say that "existence and non-existence" is said to refer to the perfected nature because it is not erroneously inverted²⁰³. The imaginary is [described] as being without erroneous inversion²⁰⁴ because it is characterized²⁰⁵ by manifesting in the nature of the duality which does not exist. The other-dependent is described verbally as "the basis" 206; it is described as the basis because it is the (causal) ground and is described as other-dependent because it is the result.

[4] These three natures should be known by way of objective support²⁰⁷.

[5] By way of the absence of conceptual differentiation refers to... Since there are different ways of resolving this compound in this context, he says: (i) that on account of which one does not conceptually differentiate, i.e. direct intuition devoid of conceptual differentiation and (ii) that which does not perform conceptual differentiation in regard to intrinsic luminosity. These are the different ways of resolving the compound²⁰⁸ (avikalpanatā): (a) there is an absence of conceptual differentiation (avikalpana) since, on account of this, one does not conceptually differentiate and (b) there is an absence of conceptual differentiation (avikalpana) since it [i.e. intrinsic luminosity] is not conceptually differentiated. The state (bhāva) of that is [equivalent to] the absence of conceptual differentiation (avikalpanatā). In this way he shows that the absence of conceptual differentiation is revealed by these two vajra words [i.e. avikalpanatā and prakṛtiprabhāsvaratā]. Then, by means of these, the establishment of both the object of knowledge

²⁰² Read: sa kaipitasvabhāvārtho pi bhavati in place of so 'rthah kaipitasvabhāvasya bhavati. Ms.(74a.6): sa-, not so- as per Y; Tib. mam par brtags pa'i no bo nid kyi don yin du zin kyad (D312b.1).

²⁰³ Mr.(74a,7): 'viparyastatvāt; disregard Y's fn.1 p.229.

²⁰⁴ Ms. (74a.7): viparyäsena but Y's emendation to aviparyäsena is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.2 p.229.

²⁰⁵ Read: -laksapatvät as per Ms.(75a.1) in place of -laksapät.

²⁰⁶ Read: Bárayena paratantrasyābhidhānam as per Ma. (75a.1) in place of Bárayena paratantras tasyābhidhānam; disregard Y's fns.3 & 4 p.229.

²⁰⁷ Ms.(75a.1) is corrected to read: #lambanato ifleyam; cf. Y's fn.5 p.229.

²⁰⁸ Ms.(75a.2): vigrahabhedo; disregard Y's fn.6 p.229.

and the act of knowing are to be understood respectively, namely²⁰⁹, through the three natures and the absence of conceptual differentiation. Therein, the object of knowledge is established through the three natures because they consist in the apprehended object; the act of knowing is established through the absence of conceptual differentiation because it consists in the apprehending subject.

7230

By way of objections and refutations that are employed refers to the remaining vaira words²¹⁰. With regard to this, certain vaira words actually are refutations of objections; examples of these are "the resemblance to a magical creation". "the resemblance to space", "non-deficiency" and "non-excellence". However, some [vaira words] are just objections, for example, "defilement and purification". Therefore, [the vaira word] "objections and refutations" (codyaparihāra) can be [interpreted both as l'refutations of objections' and 'objections'; together they produce 'objections and refutations' (codyaparihārau)²¹¹, considering the rule (cf. Pānini III.I.94 & I.II.64) that of two 'original' words (i.e. codyaparihāra and codya), only one remains (i.e. codyanasihārau). This²¹² is the objection in this regard: "If these dharmas which are characterized by the imaginary and other-dependent [natures] are non-existent.... the ellipsis is: as apprehended object and apprehending subject. how can they be perceived? I.e., as apprehended object and apprehending subject - this is what is intended. On the other hand if they do exist, the intrinsic luminosity of the dharmas is not tenable"; because they exist in the aspects of apprehended object and apprehending subject - this is the objection. One refutes this through their resemblance to a magical creation, i.e. on the grounds that what comprises a magical creation does not exist yet it is perceived. For a magical creation exists as a perception; consequently, its existence as such cannot be known. An objection: if the dharmas possess intrinsic luminosity, how can they be defiled initially 213 and subsequently purified? For, if they are pure by nature, the defiled state is never possible. Its refutation: Defilement and purification are to be understood according to their resemblance with space, i.e. on the grounds that space, which is pure by nature²¹⁴, can be

209 Read: veditavyam / yad uta in place of vijileyam tad yatha; cf. Bhāsya N68.19.

²¹⁰ Ms. (75a.3): Sistăni va-: disregard Y's fn.2 p.230. The Tib. is slightly different here: "By way of objections and refutations refers to the remaining varia words that were mentioned"; brgal ba dat lan ni rdo reje is high jesad zin pai linga ma mans so (D302b.6).

can han in roo riet song ossat zin pai inag ma mams so (0202.0).

211 Read perhaps: codyapariblizas ca codyaya pariblizas ca codyapariblizas ca co

²¹² Bhāsya inserts: idam which is not found in Tikā; cf. Bhāsya N68.20.

²¹³ Read: pūrvam samklešah as per Ms.(75a.7) in place of pūrvasamklešah; cf. also Bhāsya N69.3.

²¹⁴ Read: yamākāšam prakriiparišuddham in place of and yamā prakriivišuddha ākāša; cf. Bhāşya N69.4-5.

defiled by adventitious secondary defilement and then, due to the removal of the latter, purified²¹⁵. Thus, although it is pure by nature, the defilement on the part of the dharmadhatu is on account of adventitious secondary defilement and purification fon its behalfl is due to the removal of the latter. An objection: "If there is the removal of the moral defilement²¹⁶ of immeasurable numbers of sentient beings when immeasurable numbers of Buddhas are born, how is that 217, although the Buddhas are born individually in beginningless sams ara. samsāra is not eradicated and nirvāna is not augmented due to the removal of immeasurable numbers of sentient beings from samsāra and their entry to nirvāna? Its refutation is on the grounds of non-deficiency and non-excellence. because the realms of sentient beings and 'sides' to purification are immeasurable. For, the diminution or eradication of something which possesses limitations can be conceived²¹⁸ but the realm of sentient beings is immeasurable and its diminution cannot be conceived, how much less can its eradication, like that of space, possibly be conceived? Since there is indeed no excellence of purification too because of its immeasurable nature, how can there be augmentation on its part, like with space, because both the removal of obscuration and the arising of obscuration belong to it?

[7] Secondly, the 'body' is established²¹⁹ as follows, i.e. with reference to the vaira words:

(a) Wherein, whatever and whence is error and (b) wherein and whatever is non-error and indeed (c) the results of both error and non-error and (d) the end of these two.

The object of error, the essential nature of error²²⁰, the cause of error, the result of error and the end of the result of error²²¹ are explained by the vajra words. Similarly, the essential nature of non-error, the object of non-error, the result of non-error and the end result of the latter²²² are explained²²³. In regard to the above [verse], "wherein there is error" refers to existence and non-existence, i.e. the characterizing of syllables as having significance or being without significance, because the verbal expression and the object of the expression and the connection between them is imaginatively con-

²¹⁵ Read: vyavadāyate as per Ms.(75b.1) in place of vyavadīyate; cf. Bhāsya N69.5.

²¹⁶ Read: kleśāpagamaḥ in place of saṃkleśo nirākriyate; cf. Bhāsya N69.6 and my fn.184 above.

²¹⁷ Read: tat katham in place of katham; cf. Bhasya N69.7.

²¹⁸ The Tib. (D303a.7) stion gyi for praiftly ate should be amended to miton gyi.

²¹⁹ Ms.(75b.4): -vyavasthānam; disregard Y's fn.2 p.231.

²²⁰ Ms.(75b.4); bhrāntivisayo bhrānti-; disregard Y's fn.3 p.231.

²²¹ Read: bhrāntiphalaparyantas in place of bhrāntiparyantas; Tib. khrul pa'i bras bu mthar phyin pa (D303b.2).

Read: tasya ca phalaparyanta iti as per Ms.(75b.5) in place of tatparyantas ceti; although phala is not found in the Tib. (cf. D303b.3).

²²³ Tib. inserts bstan = nirdista which is not in the Ms.

structed in the nature of an entity. "Whatever is error" refers to erroneous inversion. i.e. error, because it manifests in the aspect of the duality but is non-existent in itself. "Whence is error" refers to the fact that error comes from a basis - this basis is the mental activity that is developed by talk and which consists in the store-consciousness. "And whatever is non-error" refers to the absence of error, i.e. non-dispersal towards the existence or non-existence of the object which is fin reality like a magical creation etc. The absence of error is the counteragent to the error which consists in the notional attachment to existent and non-existent entities and these are explained respectively through their resemblance to a magical creation and the absence of conceptual differentiation²²⁴. "And wherein" refers to where there is an absence of error; moreover, this refers to intrinsic luminosity which has the characteristic of the perfected nature. "And indeed the results of error and non-error": in this regard, defilement is the result of error - the ellipsis is - i.e. the natural outcome of error. Since the absence of error consists in the natural outcome of the absence of error²²⁵, the result of the absence of error is purification because it is the result of the absence of error - the ellipsis is -[purification] together with its associates. The use of the particle "indeed" refers to these two results described by [the vaira word] 'defilement and purification'; however, in order to demonstrate that through [the vaira word] 'the resemblance to space', the results of both error and the absence of error on the part of the dharmadhātu is seen to have the nature of defilement and purification, but they are not intrinsic to it. "And the end of these two": some people believe that this refers to the end of both defilement and purification, i.e. nirvāna: moreover, the latter is described as the 'end'²²⁶ insofar as it is without deficiency and excellence. However, others believe that the end of defilement is [equivalent to] the possession of non-deficiency because [defilement] does not accumulate due to the immeasurable nature of samsara; and the end of purification is [equivalent to] the possession of non-excellence²²⁷ because [purification] does not increase due to the immeasurable nature of nirvana.

Y232

[8] These ten vajra words have been described through their connection with the absence of erroneous inversion; however, since they do not derive from a Sūtra, they are included by way of two internal verses:

(i) Existence and non-existence, (ii) the absence of erroneous inversion,
 (iii) the basis, (iv) the resemblance

to a magical creation, (v) the absence

²²⁴ Ms.(75b.7): 'vikalpanatayii; disregard Y's errata amendment p.143.

²²⁵ Ms.(76a.1): -nisyandityii bhrimter, but Y's emendation to -nisyandityii abhrimter is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.1 p.232.

²²⁶ Read: paryantatvam as per Ms. (76a.2) in place of paryantam, as noted by Y (cf. his fn.2 p.232), Tib. (D304a.3): mthar thug pa med pa'i phyir - aperyantatvät.

²²⁷ Ms.(76a.3); -statiyukto; disregard Y's fn.3 p.232.

of conceptual differentiation. (vi) eternal intrinsic luminosity²²⁸;

(vii) Defilement and purification. (viii) the resemblance to space, (ix) non-deficiency and (x) non-excellence²²⁹ - these are the ten vajra words.

[9] In this context, (a) the view that the connection between a word and its object belongs to the perfected (nature) is erroneous inversion. (b) The view that objects exist by way of essential nature in exactly that way in which they appear is erroneous inversion. (c) The view [that incorporates] the cause for the arising of the object is erroneous inversion²³⁰. (d) The views that [incorporate] imputation or negation in regard to the object are erroneous inversion. (e) The view that the own-being of the object is in accord with its nominal designation²³¹ is erroneous inversion. (f) & (g) The views that regards the individual or universal characteristics 232 of entities as substantially existent are erroneous inversion. (h) The view that the purity or the lack of purity of actions is due to auspicious or inauspicious behaviour is erroneous inversion. The view that both the lack of purity and purity belong to one and the same (entity) is erroneous inversion. (i) & (j) The manifestation of fear and arrogance because of the belief in deficiency and excellence in regard to the relinquishment and acquisition of defilement and purification [respectively] is erroneous inversion. These are the ten erroneous inversions; because they are non-existent on the part of the bodhisattva, [the spiritual practicel which becomes free from erroneous inversion in relation to the categories as described should be known as the meditative development of penetrating insight²³³. The spiritual practice that conforms with the Dharma has now been described.

sadasattīviparyāsa āśrayo māyopamā // akaipanā prakttyā ca bhāsvaratvam sadaiva hi //

in place of: sadasantiviparyika milyopamarvam ikirayah //
nirvikatpah prakriyik ca prabhikwaril sadaiva hi //
Ms.(76a.3-4): sadasantiviparyiksa-....sadaiva hi // cf. Bhāṣya N69.13-14.

²²⁸ Read:

²²⁹ Read: ahīnānadhikatvam as per Ms.(76a.4) in place of ahīnatvādhikatvam disregarding Y's fn.5 p.232.

²³⁰ Tib.: don de 'byun ba'i rgyu la phyin ci log which omits darsanam; amend to: ...rgyur lta ba'i phyin ci log. Cf. D304a.6.

²³¹ This sentence in the Ms.(76a.5) is enclosed by parentheses.

²³² Ms.(76a.5): svasāmānyalaksanayor, disregard Y's fn.6 p.232.

²³³ Read: bodhisattvasya tadabhāvād yathoktes: arthesv aviparyāsaparinatā vidaršanābhāvanā veditavyā in place or bodhisatīvasya tadabhāvāci aviparyāsāvasthitasya yathokteşv artheşv apariņatā tavy in place on community and in the community of the co

d. Spiritual Practice in Relation to the Avoidance of the Two Extremes.

.169.19 Which is the spiritual practice in relation to the avoidance of the two extremes? That which is taught as the middle way in the Ratnakūţa. This is to be known as being due to the avoidance of which extremes?

V.23 abcd

The extremes concerning separateness and identity, those of the tirthika and śrāvaka, the twofold extremes of imputation and negation in regard to the personal entity and the dharmas.

V.24 abcd

The extremes concerning adverse elements and their counteragents, those termed eternalism and annihilationism, the twofold and threefold [extremes] concerning the apprehended object and apprehending subject as well as defilement and purification.

V.25 abod

The seven kinds of dual extremes consisting in conceptual differentiation are also considered in regard to:
(a) existent and non-existent entities,
(b) the object of appeasement and the [act of] appeasing, (c) the object of fear and the dread of the latter:

N70 V.26 abcd

(d) The apprehended object and apprehending subject, (e) correctness and falsity, (f) performance and non[-performance] and (g) non-origination and simultaneity - these are the dual extremes that consist in conceptual differentiation.

Of these, (a) the notion that the self is something separate from form etc. is one extreme; the notion that it is identical is another extreme. The middle way is for the purpose of avoiding both of these, i.e. that which does not investigate a self, concluding with the non-investigation of the human kind, for, among the views concerning a self, there is one view that holds the individual soul to be [identical with] the physical body and [another view that holds] the individual soul to be one [entity] and the physical body another.

The notion that form is permanent is an extreme embraced by the tirthikas; the notion that it is impermanent is an extreme embraced by the śrāvakas. The middle way is for the purpose of avoiding both of these, i.e. that which does not investigate the permanence, nor does it investigate the impermanence, of form etc.

The notion that the self exists²³⁴ is the extreme of imputation in regard to the personal entity; the notion that it is insubstantial is the extreme of negation, for, there is the negation of just that which exists as a designation. The middle way is for the purpose of avoiding both of these, i.e. the direct intuition devoid of conceptual differentiation which holds the mean position between [the existence of] a self and its insubstantiality.

The notion that mind is real is the extreme of imputation in regard to the dharmas; the notion that it is not real is the extreme of negation. The middle way is for the purpose of avoiding both of these, i.e. that [perspective] wherein there is no mind, nor volition, nor thought, nor consciousness.

The notion that the dharmas, such as those that are unwholesome, are [equivalent to] defilement is an extreme in regard to adverse elements; the notion that the wholesome ones etc. are [equivalent to] purification is an extreme in regard to the counteragent. The middle way is for the purpose of avoiding both of these, i.e. that which consists in the non-acceptance, non-utterance and non-communication of these two extremes.

The notion that both the personal entity and the dharmas exist is the extreme of eternalism; the notion that they do not exist is the extreme of annihilationism. The middle way is for the purpose of avoiding both of these since it holds a mean position between the two extremes.

The notion that nescience consists in the apprehended object and apprehending subject²³⁵ is one extreme; likewise the notion that knowledge, the formative forces and the unconditioned which is the counteragent to the latter, up until old-age and death, consist in the apprehended object and apprehending subject is another extreme.

²³⁴ Tib. inserts yod (D305b.3) which is not found in the Sanskrit.

²³⁵ Read: grahikā which accords with the Bhāsya Ms.(cf. N's fn.12 p.70) and Tikā quotation (Ms.78a.5); cf. my fn.273 below.

Alternatively, the notion that the cessation of these consists in the apprehended object and apprehending subject is an extreme, i.e. it is checked by that path. Thus, the extremes in regard to the apprehended object and apprehending subject are twofold according to their differentiation as belonging to the 'black' or the 'white' side. The middle way is for the purpose of avoiding both of these, i.e. in detail, both knowledge and nescience are non-dual because of the absence of the apprehended object and the apprehending subject on the part of knowledge and nescience etc.

Defilement is threefold: (a) the defilement of moral defilement, (b) the defilement of action and (c) the defilement of rebirth. In regard to these, the defilement of moral defilement is threefold: (i) false view, (ii) the causes of passion, aversion and delusion and (iii) the resolve for a new existence. The counteragents to these are: (i) emptiness knowledge, (ii) signless knowledge and (iii) non-resolve knowledge. The defilement of action refers to the formative influence of virtuous and non-virtuous actions. The counteragent to these is knowledge without formative influence. The defilement of rebirth refers to: (i) rebirth in a new existence, (ii) the production of the mind and the mental concomitants every moment in one who is reborn and-(iii) the continuance of a new existence. The counteragents to these are: (i) non-birth knowledge, (ii) non-production knowledge and (iii) absence of own-being knowledge. The removal of these three kinds of defilement is [equivalent to] In this regard, through emptiness knowledge etc. the purification. dharmas that are the objects of emptiness knowledge etc., up to [the dharmas that are the objects of the absence of own-being knowledge], are not respectively caused to be emptiness etc. on account of these three kinds of defilement, [rather], they are emptiness etc. by their very nature because the dharmadhatu is undefiled by nature. Therefore, if one imagines that the dharmadhatu is defiled or is purified, this is an extreme because there can be no defilement or purity on the part of that which is undefiled by nature. The middle way is for the purpose of avoiding this extreme, i.e. that which does not cause the dharmas to be empty through emptiness; on the contrary the dharmas are already empty and the same holds for the other [six categories of knowledge].

There are an additional seven kinds of dual extremes that consist in conceptual differentiation, for example, the conceptual differentiation in regard to an existent entity is one extreme and the conceptual differen-

tiation in regard to a non-existent entity is another extreme²³⁶. These are due to imagining that: (a) emptiness is for the destruction of the belief in the existence of the personal entity, or else, (b) insubstantiality is non-existent. This middle way is for the purpose of avoiding these dual extremes that consist in conceptual differentiation. Emptiness is certainly not for the destruction of the belief in the personal entity, moreover, emptiness itself is empty. In detail, the same holds for past emptiness and future emptiness etc.

Conceptual differentiation in regard to the object of appeasement is one extreme and conceptual differentiation in regard to the [act of] appeasing is another extreme. These are on account of imaginative constructions in regard to the object of relinquishment and the [act of] relinquishing which are due to fear of emptiness. The example of 'space' is for the purpose of avoiding these two extremes that consist in conceptual differentiation.

Conceptual differentiation in regard to the object of fear is one extreme and [conceptual differentiation] in regard to the dread of that object of fear [is another extreme]. These result from fear of imaginary forms etc. and are on account of the dread of suffering. The example of the 'painter' is for the purpose of avoiding these two extremes that consist in conceptual differentiation. The former example is in relation to the *fravaka*, but the latter is [in relation to] the *bodhisattva*.

Conceptual differentiation in regard to the apprehended object is one extreme and [conceptual differentiation] in regard to the apprehending subject [is another extreme]. The example of the 'magician' is for the purpose of avoiding these two extremes that consist in conceptual differentiation. For, the knowledge of the non-existence of the object is caused by the knowledge of representation-only and the knowledge of the non-existence of the object annuls that very knowledge of representation-only because, if the object is non-existent, its representation is not possible; thus, these two are similar in this respect.

Conceptual differentiation in regard to correctness is one extreme and [conceptual differentiation] in regard to falsity [is another extreme]. Both of these conceptually differentiate the investigation of what is real as correct or false. The example of 'fire coming from two sticks' is for the purpose of avoiding these two extremes; i.e., just as fire is generated from two sticks which are devoid of the characteristic of fire and [the fire] that is generated consumes those two sticks; similarly, the

572

N73

noble faculty of wisdom which has the characteristic of correctness is generated from the investigation [of phenomena] as they are in reality which is devoid of the characteristic of correctness and [the faculty of wisdom] that is generated annihilates [through clear understanding] that investigation of what is real; thus, these two are similar in this respect. And although the investigation of what is real is devoid of the characteristic of correctness, it does not have the characteristic of falsity because it is in conformity with correctness.

Conceptual differentiation in regard to the performance of action is one extreme and [conceptual differentiation] in regard to the non-performance of action [is another extreme]. Both of these imagine direct intuition to involve an act that is preceded by intelligence, or else, as being devoid of efficacy. The example of the 'oil-lamp' is for the purpose of avoiding these two extremes that consist in conceptual differentiation.

Conceptual differentiation in regard to non-origination is one extreme and [conceptual differentiation] in regard to simultaneity [is another extreme], i.e., if one imagines that the counteragent does not arise, or else, [imagines] defilement to endure for a long time. The second example of the 'oil-lamp' is for the purpose of avoiding these two extremes that consist in conceptual differentiation.

The spiritual practice in relation to the avoidance of the two extremes has now been described.

[Sthiramati]

Y233.9 [1] Immediately following the spiritual practice that conforms with the Dharma, the spiritual practice that relates to the avoidance of the two extremes²³⁷ should be mentioned. Hence he asks: which is the spiritual practice in relation to the avoidance of the two extremes? So he says: that which is taught as the middle way in the Dharma discourse named the Ratnaküţa for the purpose of illustrating the essential nature of correct spiritual practice. In detail, it is taught as follows concluding with the second example of the 'oil-lamp'²³⁸:

"In this matter, O Kāśyapa, the bodbisattva who desires instruction through the Dharma discourse, the Mahī²³⁹ Ratnakūṭa, should apply himself properly to the Dharma. And as to what is proper application to the Dharma; namely, it is

²³⁷ Ms.(76a.7): anudharmapratipattyanantaram antadvayavarjane pratipattir, disregard Y's fn.1 p.233.

²³⁸ Read: dvittyatailapradyotadiyiäntaparyantah in place of dvittyapradipodäharanaparyantah; Tib. mar mei dpe gfiis pa la thug gi bar du (D304b.5). Cf. Y250.11 = D313a.7.

²³⁹ mahā is omitted from the Ms. (cf. 76b.1) but is found in the Tib. (D304b.3) and the KP (#52 p.82).

that which investigates the reality of all *dharmas*, i.e. which does not investigate a self, nor does it investigate a sentient being, nor an individual soul, nor a man, nor mankind²⁴⁰, nor a personal entity, nor a human, nor the human kind. This is described as the middle way, O Kāšyapa, ²⁴¹

[2] This is to be known as being due to the avoidance of which extremes?²⁴² What is meant is; the spiritual practice of the middle way should be known as being due to the avoidance of which extremes? Hence he says:

V.23 ab

The extremes concerning separateness and identity, those of the tirthiks and itelescope etc.

These fifteen types are differentiated into two extremes; this [the middle way] is to be known as being due to the avoidance of these. In this regard, the views concerning separateness etc. 243 are extremes considering that there is the figurative use of a cause in regard to the effect because one becomes notionally attached each to his own view. Of these, the notion that the self is something separate from form etc. is one extreme; the notion that it is identical is another extreme. respect, those [views] which construe form etc., the aggregates, the sense-fields and the elements as entities to be enjoyed on the part of an eternally existing self are [equivalent to] the extreme view which holds the self to be something separate from form etc. Again, the extreme view that the self is identical to form etc. refers to those [views] which hold the internal formative forces consisting in form etc. to be the self and which construe that which sees, hears and discerns²⁴⁴ as the self, and external things as belonging to the self. There is one view, expressed in a Sütra, that holds the individual soul to be [identical with] the physical body and [another view that holds] the individual soul to be one [entity] and the physical body another - these are described by the word "extreme" in this context. For the purpose of avoiding both of these, i.e. for the purpose of avoiding²⁴⁵ the extremes of identity and difference of the self²⁴⁶ in relation to form etc., there is the middle wav²⁴⁷, i.e. direct intuition that has for its object only the dharmas. In order to demonstrate this, he says: i.e. that which does not investigate a self, concluding with the non-investigation of a human being. For, when [the

²⁴⁰ Read: nasattvanajīvanapoṣanapuruṣa- as per Ms.(76b.2) in place of nasattvanajīvanapoṣa-; Tib. sems can med pa dari / stop med pa dari / gso ba med pa dari / skyes bu med pa dari (D304b.4).

²⁴¹ From KP #52 p.82.

²⁴² Read: kasyāntasya varjanād asau veditavyā in place of katamasyāntasya varjanāt sā veditavyā; Ms. (76b.3): -ād asau veditavyā contrary to Y's fn.5 p.233. Cf. Bhāsya N69.20.

²⁴³ Read: pṛthaktvādi- in place of pṛthagādi-; cf. Y234.3.

²⁴⁴ Read: viilināti as per Ms.(76b.4) in place of ilināti.

²⁴⁵ Ms.(76b.6): -parivarjanartham; disregard Y's fn.1 p.234.

²⁴⁶ Read: Itmano as per Ms. (76b.6) in place of Itmanah /.

²⁴⁷ Ms. (76b,6): pratipad vit contrary to Y's fn.2 p.234; however his emendation to pratipad ya(d) is preferred on the basis of the Tib.

bodhisattva] understands that the self is non-existent, like the son of an infertile woman, due to the insight that it is only dharmas, he does not see a self; what is meant is; he does not adhere to [the belief in a self]. What is the reason that its identity or difference is perceived in relation to form etc. and why is [the self] mentioned by special synonyms²⁴⁸, such as "sentient being" when it is understood in that sense just by the word "self"? Since all [people] do not understand all synonyms, it is explained through another synonym in order that everyone can understand its meaning. Alternatively, it is for the purpose²⁴⁹ of conveying its meaning through different synonyms to those who were distracted at a particular time because when it is mentioned through that description, it is conveyed to others.

The notion that form is permanent²⁵⁰ is an extreme embraced by the tirthikas. For, having become attached to imaginary form as an entity, they construe it to be permanent because they are misled through erroneously inverting it as permanent. The notion that it is impermanent is an extreme embraced by the śrāvakas. This is an extreme because they impute a substantial although impermanent nature²⁵¹ upon form etc. whose essential nature is imaginary. The view that [form] is impermanent is an extreme because it causes one to shrink excessively from samsāra and to fall into an extreme in regard to nirvāna. For the purpose of avoiding both of these²⁵², i.e. for the purpose of avoiding the views [of form] as permanent or impermanent, there is the middle way, i.e. that which does not investigate permanence, nor does it investigate the impermanence, of form etc. Just what is this [middle way]? It is direct intuition free from conceptual differentiation, i.e. that which does not understand form etc. just in relation to fentities of] form etc. or as permanent or impermanent. The word "etc." in the term "form etc."253 refers to the [four other] aggregates of sensation, ideation, the formative forces and consciousness, the elements of earth, water, fire, wind and space and the sensefields of sight, hearing, smell, taste, tactility and mind,

[4] The action that the self exists is the extreme of imputation in regard to the personal entity. The [view] which regards the self, which is non-existent in actuality²⁵⁴ like the son of an infertile woman, as separate or not separate from the aggregates, elements or sense-fields is an extreme because it imputes²⁵⁵ the self, in the nature of an entity, upon the personal entity. The notion that it is insubstantial

²⁴⁸ Ms.(73a.1): -pary#yaviśes#-; disregard Y's fn.4 p.234.

²⁴⁹ Read: - Brtham in place of - Brthah.

²⁵⁰ Read: nityam rūpam iti in place of rūpādayo nityam iti; cf. Bhāsya N70,7.

²⁵¹ Ms.(73a.2); vastur@penānitvatvena; disregard Y's fn.1 p.235.

²⁵² Ms.(73a.3): tadubhayapsrivarjanärtham; disregard Y's fn.2 p.235.

²⁵³ rūpādīnām seems to be corrected to rūpādīn in the Ms. margin (73a.4).

²⁵⁴ Tib.(D305b.4): rdzas med par (= adravystah) but Ms.(73a.5): dravystah (contrary to Y's fn.3 p.235).

²⁵⁵ samāropaņam but Tib.(D305b.4); sgro 'dogs pa'i phyir = samāropatvāt.

is the extreme of negation. For, negation is described as imagining that something existent is non-existent²⁵⁶. But if the self does not exist, how can its description as 'insubstantial' be an extreme of negation? For, there is the negation of just that which exists as a designation²⁵⁷. It is an extreme which negates [the existence] as something insubstantial that is referred to. Moreover, it is a continuum of dharmas wherein the close connection of cause and result is evident. Alternatively, it is a totality in regard to which the designation as god or human [is made]. The middle way is for the purpose of avoiding both of these, i.e. for the purpose of avoiding the views that the self exists or does not exist; and now, in order to define what is the middle way²⁵⁸, he says: i.e. that which holds the mean position²⁵⁹ between [the existence of] a self and its insubstantiality; but what does that mean consist in? Direct intuition devoid of conceptual differentiation.

[5] Having shown that conceptual differentiation in regard to the apprehended object is an extreme [and] in order to demonstrate that conceptual differentiation²⁶⁰ in regard to the apprehending subject is also an extreme, he says; the notion that mind is real etc. Alternatively, after showing that imputation and negation in regard to the personal entity is an extreme and in order to show the extremes of imputation and negation in regard to the dharmas, he says: "the notion that mind is real etc.". The notion that mind exists as a reality in exactly that way in which it appears, or, as it is expressed²⁶¹ - this is the extreme of imputation in regard to the dharmas, because the own-being of mind²⁶² which is without reality, is imputed as real. The notion that mind is not real is the extreme of negation. The view which regards [mind] as unreal although existent in a nature devoid of the apprehended object and apprehending subject and inexpressible is an extreme of negation because it completely negates the own-being of the dharmas. For the purpose of avoiding both of these, i.e. for the purpose of penetrating the insubstantiality of the dharmas which is devoid of imputation and negation, there is the middle way, i.e. that [perspective] wherein there is no mind, nor volition, nor thought, nor consciousness²⁶³; the ellipsis is that the notional attachment [to mind etc.] does [not]

²⁵⁶ Ms.(73a.5): 'satya- but Y's emendation to 'satva- is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.4 p.235.

²⁵⁷ Ms.(73a.6): -ptisato; disregard Y's fn.5 p.235.

²⁵⁸ Ms.(73a.7): -tipado; disregard Y's fn.6 p.235.

²⁵⁹ Read: madhyam as per Ms. (73a.7) in place of madhyamam; cf. Bhāsya N70.12.

²⁶⁰ Read: -vikalpasyāntatvamadarśanārtham as per Ms.(73a.7) in place of -vikalpasyāntatvam pradarśanārtham; cf. Bhāsya N70.14.

²⁶¹ Ms.(73b.1); abhilipyate but Y's emcndation to abhilapyate is preferred; cf. his fn.1 p.236.

²⁶² Ms.(73b.1); cittasvabhāvasva; Y's parentheses?!

²⁶³ Read: yatra na cittam na cetanā na mano na vijdānum in place of sā yatrācittam acetanā amano vijdānam; cf. Bhāsya N70.14.

occur²⁶⁴. Alternatively, that sphere within which mind does not arise in its nature as a collection²⁶⁵ of latent impressions accompanied by impurity, nor does volition [arise] characterized by formative influence²⁶⁶, nor does thought in the aspect of self-conceit [arise], nor does consciousness [arise] as conceptual differentiation - this is described as the middle way. Therein, "mind" refers to the store-consciousness since it is the collection of latent impressions of all *dharmas* accompanied by impurity. "Volition" refers to the actions of mind and consists in the actions that stimulate mind toward the wholesome, the unwholesome and the neutral. "Thought" refers to defiled mind. "Consciousness" refers to the six groups of consciousness.

[6] The notion that the dharmas, such as those that are unwholesome, are [equivalent to] defilement is an extreme in regard to adverse elements; the notion that the wholesome ones etc. are [equivalent to] purification is an extreme in regard to the counteragent. "etc.", the explanations [of dharmas] are referred to that are reprehensible²⁶⁷, not reprehensible, mundane, supramundane, with and without impurity, conditioned and unconditioned etc. In this respect, the extreme in regard to adverse elements and the extreme in regard to the counteragent refers to the notion that defilement and purification are exactly (equivalent to) the mode in which the unwholesome and wholesome dharmas appear and are articulated. Therein [the dharmas] are unwholesome because they have the sense of discomfort; they are reprehensible because they cause the manifestation of bad conduct; they are mundane because they are erroneously inverted; they are accompanied by impurity because they have a propensity towards impurity; they are conditioned because they are produced from causal conditions. However, the wholesome ones etc. should be known as the inverse of these. The middle way is for the purpose of avoiding both of these; the term "both of these" refers to the adverse element and the counteragent. Since the middle way is not known in relation to this, he says: that which consists in the non-acceptance, non-utterance and non-communication of these two extremes. Of these, nonacceptance²⁶⁸ refers to the absence of the notional attachment to adverse elements and the counteragent. It is non-utterance because it does not cause others to accept these extremes in regard to adverse elements and the counteragent. It is non-communication

²⁶⁴ Read: abhinivesah pravartanta iti as per Ms. (73b.3). The negative particle inserted by Y (cf. his fn.2 p.236) is unnecessary since the Sanskrit construction retains the force of the negative particles of the prior quotation from the Bhaya.

²⁶⁵ Ms.(73b.3): citātmakam; disregard Y's fn.3 p.236.

²⁶⁶ Tib. inserts med pa in error; cf. D306a.4.

²⁶⁷ Ms.(73b.5): savadya-; disregard Y's fn.4 p.236.

²⁶⁸ Ms.(78a.1): - Inupagamo; disregard Y's fn.1 p.237.

because it does not recommend²⁶⁹ [to others] the extremes²⁷⁰ in regard to adverse elements and the counteragent.

[7] Having taught the middle way [which acts] as counteragent to the extremes embraced by the tirthikas and śrāvakas and in order to clearly illustrate the middle way [which acts] as counteragent to the extremes pertinent to the bodhisattva, he says; the notion that both the personal entity and the dharmas exist is the extreme of eternalism; the notion that they do not exist is the extreme of annihilationism. The notion that they exist at all times is the extreme of eternalism because of the imputation of the individual characteristic; the notion that they do not exist is the extreme of annihilationism because their essential nature is negated, in every respect, The middle way is for the purpose of avoiding both of these since it holds a mean position between the two extremes. Some believe that the "mean" in this context is intended as being separate from both extremes. Others maintain that the "mean" here lies between these two extremes²⁷¹ and has the characteristic of both. Having considered [these two views], how [should it be understood]? The extreme of eternalism refers to the notional attachment to the view that an entity that is an object both of knowledge and verbal description, like the imagination of what is unreal or the dharmadhatu, exists substantially and in an ultimate sense - (this is an extreme] because these [entities] do not exist as such. Similarly²⁷², the view that [an entity] definitely does not exist, like the horns of a hare, is the extreme of annihilationism. What is the reason? Because it does exist as a designation. Hence, since it denies [the existence of] the latter, it is described as 'he extreme of annihilationism. Consequently, that which holds the mean position between existence and non-existence has the characteristic of both since it does not exist substantially nor in an ultimate sense and does exist as a designation.

[8] With reference to the extreme of imputation in regard to the adverse elements and their counteragent, he says: the notion that nescience consists in the apprehended object and apprehending subject²⁷³ is one extreme; likewise the notion that knowledge, the formative forces and the unconditioned, which is the counteragent to the latter, consists in the apprehended object and apprehending subject, is another extreme. Moreover, because of the reference here to the final member [of the twelve nidāna by the words]: up until old-age and death, consciousness and name/form etc. are also included. The notion that consciousness consists in the apprehended object and apprehending subject is an

²⁶⁹ Ms. (78a.1): asamvarnanā but Y's emendation to asamvarnanāt on the basis of the Tib. is preferred; cf. his fn.2 p.237.

²⁷⁰ Tib. omits anta; cf. D306b.4.

²⁷¹ Ms.(78a.3): antayor; disregard Y's fn.3 p.237.

²⁷² Ms.(78a.1); tathā; disregard Y's fn.4 p.237.

²⁷³ Read: grāhikā as per Ms.(78a.5) in place of grāhakā; cf. Bhāsya N70.20 & fn.12.

extreme; similarly, the notion that name/form, the six sense-fields, contact, sensation, craving, grasping, becoming, birth and also old-age and death, consist in the apprehended object and apprehending subject is also an extreme. Therein, nescience consists in an absence of the knowledge of the [four] truths and the [three] iewels. The formative forces are impure volitions which have meritorious, demeritorious and neutral²⁷⁴ [tendencies] for their own-being because they are the formative influences for the new existence. Similarly, consciousness etc. should be stated in detail in a way that conforms with the explanations [given in the section entitled]: The Characteristic of Defilement'²⁷⁵. Knowledge refers to direct intuition devoid of impurity. The counteragent to the formative forces refers just to direct intuition that is unconditioned; it is only hence²⁷⁶ and in order to distinguish it from other unconditioned [elements] that he says: "the counteragent to the latter". However, others consider that in this respect the unconditioned is also eightfold²⁷⁷ because of the fact that it possesses nonorigination; but the counteragent refers to volition that is without impurity²⁷⁸ because it does not formatively influence the new existence. Alternatively, the notion that the cessation of these consists in the apprehended object and appreheading subject is an extreme. By the word "these", [the nidana] beginning with consciousness and concluding with old-age and death, are referred to. Since it is not known whether sensation is an entity or a cause, he says: it, i.e. name/form, is checked by that...; by what is name/form checked? By the path which is without impurity and which is the counteragent to name/form. Knowledge and nescience which are non-dual do not make a duality, thus, in this context the path is comprised only by direct intuition together with its associated elements. Consequently²⁷⁹, since [name/form] is checked by this [i.e. the path], there is cessation; but what is the extreme here²⁸⁰? Just the notional attachment to the apprehended object and apprehending subject. What is the reason? Because the imposition of a non-existing duality occurs in regard to nescience etc. which are non-dual by nature281. Thus, the extremes in regard to the apprehended object and apprehending subject are twofold according to their differentiation as belonging to the 'black' or the 'white' side. Therein, the 'black' side refers to the twelve limbs that consist in becoming, i.e. from nescience up until old-age and death. Likewise, the 'white' side refers to the same twelve modes according to their differentiation as the cessation of

²⁷⁴ Ms.(78a.6): - #miska- but Y's emendation to - #miliya- is correct.

²⁷⁵ Cf. Chapter I (Y36-44).

²⁷⁶ Ms. (78a.7); atha vā but Y's emendation to ata evā- is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.3 p.238.

²⁷⁷ These eight are, according to Y's Japanese translation (p.383 fn.11): kuśala, akuśala, avyākṛta, śūnya, apratisamkhyānanirodha, pratisamkhyānanirodha, acala, and samjiñāvedayitanirodha

²⁷⁸ Ms.(78b.1): -tipaksas tv anāsravā cetanā; disregard Y's fn.4 p.238.

²⁷⁹ Ms.(78b.2): mlirgo tas ca contrary to Y's fn.5 p.238 but his emendation to mlirgah / atas ca is preferred on the basis of the Tib.

²⁸⁰ Ms.(78b.2); punar atrantah; disregard Y's fn.6 p.238.

²⁸¹ Ms.(78b.3); pratikrtisv but Y's emendation to prakrtisv is correct.

nescience etc., i.e. from the cessation of ignorance up until the cessation of old-age and death. Moreover, in this context, it is just the path that is the counteragent to these [twelve] that is signified by the word "cessation" since ignorance etc. is checked on account of it. For the purpose of avoiding these, i.e. for the purpose of avoiding the extremes that consist in the apprehended object and apprehending subject 282. the middle way is mentioned, i.e. is referred to. And since the latter 283 is not known, he says; both knowledge and nescience are non-dual. knowledge and nescience, up until old-age and death and the cessation of the latter, be non-dual? Hence he says: because of the absence of the apprehended object and apprehending subject on the part of knowledge and nescience etc. However, that absence is because of the fact that nescience etc. is non-dual by nature. Alternatively, since both knowledge and nescience have an imaginary nature, knowledge and nescience are non-dual since the non-existence of both knowledge and nescience, which have an imaginary nature, is the same. It should be stated similarly for the formative forces, the unconditioned, consciousness and the cessation of the latter, up until old-age and death and its cessation. But what is the middle way in this context? Just this knowledge that, since knowledge and nescience are non-dual²⁸⁴ and do not make a duality²⁸⁵, the knowledge to be generated is non-existent and the nescience to be checked is non-existent, the formative forces to be relinquished are non-existent and the cessation of the latter which is to be attained is non-existent. The knowledge that this is so is the non-making of duality²⁸⁶.

[9] Defilement is threefold. In this respect, moral defilements²⁸⁷ are described as defilements either because: (a) they cause the defilements of action and rebirth and (b) they are defiled in themselves; alternatively, it is because they cause disturbance to oneself and others. Actior is also described as defilement, because: (a) it develops under the influence of moral defilement, (b) it is the cause of rebirth and (c) certain [actions] are morally defiled in themselves. Rebirth too is [described as] defilement because it is both the cause²⁸⁸ of the moral defilement of action and it is the basis of all misfortune²⁸⁹. Furthermore, in order to demonstrate the threefold differentiation of the defilement of moral defilement, he says: the defilement of moral defilement is threefold etc. Of these, (i) false view refers to the five beginning with the false

²⁸² Ms.(78b.4); grāhvagrāhakānta-; disregard Y's fn.2 p.239.

²⁸³ Ms.(78b.5): śānta but Y's emendation to sā ca is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.3 p.239.

²⁸⁴ Ms.(78b.7): caldvayam; disregard Y's fn.4 p.239.

²⁸⁵ Ms.(78b.7): advaidhikāram corrected to advaidhīkāram in the margin.

²⁸⁶ Ms.(78b.7): etad advaidhtkäram; disregard Y's fn.5 p.239.

²⁸⁷ Read: kleśāḥ as per Ms.(79a.1) in place of kleśaḥ - this is substantiated by the Tib. fion mods mams (D308a.2).

²⁸⁸ nimittatvät but Tib. dban gis byun ba ...phyir (D308a,3).

²⁸⁹ Ms.(79a.1-2): draväsyada- but Y's emendation to draväśraya- on the basis of the Tib. is preferred; cf. his fn.2 p.240.

view of individuality. (ii) Passion, aversion and delusion indeed are causes because they are causes of grief etc. and the new existence. However, others say that the causes of passion etc. are: the sense faculties and objects conducive to it, superficial mental attention and the latent impressions of passion etc. that are lodged in the storeconsciousness; but they claim that they, as they appear as these fi.e. passion etc.l. are the cause of passion etc. (iii) The resolve for a new existence: i.e. the desire for a new existence. The counteragents to these are: (i) emptiness knowledge etc. Of these, the counteragent to false views is emptiness knowledge; in this context, emptiness knowledge refers to knowledge that has emptiness for its object. The counteragent to the cause of passion etc. is (ii) signless knowledge because it is devoid of all signs. Alternatively, signless knowledge refers to knowledge that has the signless for its object because it has the emptiness of imaginary passion etc. for its object. The counteragent to the resolve for the new existence is (iii) non-resolve knowledge. Non-resolve knowledge²⁹⁰ refers to knowledge that is either devoid of the resolve for the new existence, or else, which has the emptiness of the new existence for its object. The defilement of action refers to the formative influence of virtuous and non-virtuous actions²⁹¹. Formative influence refers to the accumulation and actualization of the actions, both virtuous and non-virtuous, which project the new existence. The counteragent to these is knowledge without formative influence. Knowledge without formative influence refers to that knowledge, on account of which, one's actions do not formatively influence the new existence; and this has thusness for its object. The defilement of rebirth refers to: (i) the birth in a new existence, i.e. the mind at conception: (ii) the arising of the mind and the mental concomitants every moment in one who has been born, i.e. subsequent to conception, up until death²⁹²; and (iii) the continuance of the new existence, i.e. the death state in accompaniment with moral defilement which is followed immediately by the intermediate state293 and following from the latter comes the rebirth state. However, for those who have gained the formless attainments, the rebirth state follows immediately after the death state. The counteragents to these are: (i) non-birth knowledge, (ii) nonproduction knowledge and (iii) the absence of own-being knowledge. Of these, the non-birth knowledge refers to the knowledge that all dharmas are 'unborn' since they have the nature of imaginative construction; alternatively, it is that which has emptiness for its object²⁹⁴. The non-production knowledge refers to the knowledge

²⁹⁰ Ms.(79a.5): jñānaprahitam but Y's emendation to jñānapranihitam on the basis of the Tib. is preferred; cf. his fn.3 p.240.

²⁹¹ Ms. (79a.5) omits *karma* which should be inserted on the basis of the Bhāşya (cf. N71.8) and the Tib. (D308b.1).

²⁹² Ms.(79a.6): *Urdhvam ā marapāt*; disregard Y's fn.5 p.240.

²⁹³ Ms.(79a.7) line begins: -nantaram antarabhavah...; cf. Y's fn.6 p.240.

²⁹⁴ Ms.(79b.1) line begins: -visayam vā taj mānājātib...; cf. Y's fn.1 p.241.

that has for its object the emptiness of the imaginary dharmas because one penetrates their non-production on account of this [knowledge]. The absence of own-being knowledge refers to the knowledge that the continued existence of an entity²⁹⁵ is devoid of own-being, because, like the son of an infertile woman, it does not exist. These seven kinds of knowledge beginning with emptiness knowledge are the counteragents to the seven kinds of adverse elements beginning with false view as have just been described. The removal of these three kinds of defilement consisting in moral defilement, action and rebirth which are differentiated as sevenfold is [equivalent to] purification; 'removal' [has the sense of] relinquishment. However, others believe that purification refers to thusness, the path and nirvana because the resolution of the term (apagama) is as follows: (defilement) departs (apagacchati) in it (i.e. tathata), by means of it (i.e. marga) and there is the departure itself (i.e. nirvana), therefore, purification refers to thusness etc. In this regard, ...through emptiness knowledge etc; due to the word "etc.", the following are included: emptiness knowledge, signless knowledge, non-resolve knowledge, knowledge without formative influence, non-birth knowledge, non-production knowledge and absence of own-being knowledge. The dharmas that are the objects of emptiness knowledge etc....; and here too, by the word "etc.", the following are included: the object of emptiness knowledge, the object of signless knowledge, the object of nonresolve knowledge, the object of knowledge without formative influence²⁹⁶, the object of non-birth knowledge, the object of non-production knowledge and the object of the absence of own-being knowledge all of which are characterized by the perfected Up to [the dharmas that are the objects of the absence of ownbeing knowledge], are not respectively caused to be emptiness etc. on account of these three kinds of defilement: i.e. the dharmas that are the object of emptiness knowledge etc., which are separate from the defilements of moral defilement, action and rebirth that were just described, are not caused [to be empty etc.] through emptiness knowledge etc.²⁹⁷ What is the reason that they are not caused [to be empty etc.?? Because they are emptiness etc. by their very nature. What is meant is; they are devoid of the own-being of false view etc. by their very nature. As to why this is so, he says: because the dharmadhatu is undefiled by nature. Hence, since the dharmas that are the object of emptiness knowledge etc. are emptiness etc. by their very nature, they are not caused [to be empty etc.] through emptiness knowledge etc. The term "respectively" has the sense of 'appropriate' in this context, i.e. the object of emptiness knowledge which is devoid of, or free from the defilement

²⁹⁵ Ms. (79b.1): bhava- but Y's reading of bhava- is preferred on the basis of the Tib.: dños po (D308b.6).

²⁹⁶ Ms.(79b.4): ¡deyānībhisaṃskāro but Y's reading of jdeyānabhisaṃskāro is correct.

²⁹⁷ The Tib. omits from in an asiliny at a dibhir (Y241.24) to dharmah (Y242.2).

of false view, is not caused²⁹⁸ [to be empty] through emptiness knowledge²⁹⁹ because the emptiness of false view is, in itself, devoid of the own-being of false view. Thus, the object of signless knowledge which is devoid of the sign of passion etc. is not caused [to be signless] through signless knowledge because the emptiness of the sign of passion etc. is, in itself, signless. The object of non-resolve knowledge is not caused [to be non-resolve] through non-resolve knowledge because the emptiness of resolve is, by its very nature, characterized by non-resolve300. The object of knowledge without formative influence is not caused to be devoid of formative influence. through knowledge that is without formative influence because the emotiness of formative influence is, by its very nature, characterized by the absence of formative influence. The object of non-birth knowledge is not caused [to be non-born] through non-birth knowledge because the emptiness of birth is, by its very nature, characterized by non-birth. The object of non-production knowledge³⁰¹ is not caused to be nonproduced through non-production knowledge because the emptiness of the production of the mind and the mental concomitants every moment is, by its very nature, characterized by non-production. The object of the absence of own-being knowledge is not caused [to be devoid of own-being] through the absence of own-being knowledge because the emptiness of the new existence is, by its very nature, devoid of own-being. Alternatively, the statement: "...respectively ...on account of the three kinds of defilement etc.", [can be interpreted as follows]; a particular dharma of the dharmas that are objects of emptiness knowledge etc. which has a connection with the defilements of moral defilement, action and rebirth that are differentiated as sevenfold, i.e. with a particular variety of defilement, is not caused [to be emptiness]³⁰² through emptiness knowledge etc.; on the contrary, it is understood (adhigamyate) [to be emptiness]. In this respect, emptiness knowledge is connected with defilement that consists in false view, and similarly up to [the seventh relation wherein] the absence of own-being knowledge is connected with [defilement that consists in] the continuance of the new existence. What is the reason that [the object of emptiness knowledge etc.] is not caused [to be empty etc.]? Because these dharmas are emptiness etc. by their very nature for the dharmadhatu is undefiled by nature. In this respect, false views are not caused to be empty through emptiness knowledge, because, by their very nature they are characterized by emptiness. The sign of passion etc. is not caused to be signless through signless knowledge³⁰³ because the dharmadhātu is signless by its very nature. Likewise up to [the seventh category wherein] the continuance of the new existence is not caused to be devoid of own-being through the absence of own-being knowledge

²⁹⁸ Read: kriyate as per Ms.(79b.6) in place of kriyante.

²⁹⁹ Ms. (79b.6) erroneously inserts inenasūnyatāvā between inānasūnyatavā and inevasūnyatā.

³⁰⁰ Ms.(79b.7): -pranidhānalaksanatvāt; disregard Y's fn.2 p.242.

³⁰¹ Ms.(80a.1): iñeyānutpādo; disregard Y's fn.2 p.242.

³⁰² Tib. inserts ś@nyatā (i.e. ston pa flid); cf. D309b.4.

³⁰³ Ms.(80a.4): jñānajñānānimittena but Y's reading of jňānānimittena is correct.

for even when the counteragent has not arisen, the imaginary nature is simply nonexistent; and since this is the case, then, if one imagines that the dharmadhatu is defiled or is purified, this is an extreme. What is the reason? He says: because there can be no defilement or purity³⁰⁴ on the part of that which, like space, is undefiled by nature. The middle way is for the purpose of avoiding this extreme, i.e. that which does not cause the dharmas, which are the objects of emptiness knowledge etc. 305 and which consist in the perfected nature, to be empty³⁰⁶, i.e. as devoid of false view etc. which belongs to the other-dependent nature and is adverse to it³⁰⁷ [i.e. the perfected nature] through emptiness in the nature of knowledge³⁰⁸. On the contrary, the dharmas are already empty of the essential nature of false view which belongs to the imaginary nature, even when knowledge has not arisen. Similarly, [the middle way] does not cause the dharmas to be signless through [the knowledge of] signlessness. [rather] the dharmas are already signless, and so on up to fthe seventh category in relation to which the middle wayl does not cause the dharmas to be devoid of own-being through [the knowledge of their absence of own-being, [rather] the dharmas are already devoid of own-being. "A perspective such as this, O Kāśyapa, is described as an investigation of the reality of the dharmas."309 In this way, both the existence of knowledge and the existence of what is adverse to it are revealed 310 as being devoid of the imaginary nature: thus, the repudiation of the extremes that consist in imposition and negation is complete.

[10] The above [represents] the classification of conceptual differentiation in sequential order; in addition to these there are seven kinds of dual extremes that consist in conceptual differentiation. In order to demonstrate [this] classification of conceptual differentiation, he says: for example, the conceptual differentiation in regard to an existent entity is one extreme and the conceptual differentiation in regard to a non-existent entity is another extreme. How so? Hence he says: these are due to imagining that: (a) emptiness is for the destruction of the belief in the existence of the personal entity, or else, (b) insubstantiality is non-existent. If [knowledge] does not cause the dharmas to be empty through emptiness [knowledge] because they are empty by nature, then in that case, emptiness is for the destruction of

³⁰⁴ Read; -viśuddhy-; as per Ms.(80a.5) in place of -śuddhy- (vi is inserted in the margin).

³⁰⁵ Ms.(80a.6): dharman jfieyaśūnyatādīn; disregard Y's fn.1 p.243.

³⁰⁶ śūnyān is inserted in the margin of the Ms. (80a.6).

³⁰⁷ Ms. (80a.6): suddhipakṣeṇa but Y's emendation to tadvipakṣeṇa is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.2 p.243.

³⁰⁸ Read: śūnyatayā vidyātmikayā as per Ms.(80a.6) in place of śūnyatāyā vidyātmikāyā.

³⁰⁹ From KP #63.

³¹⁰ Read: paridipitam iti in place of paridipayann; Tib. bstan pas (D310a.4). Ms.(80b.1) is unclear but does not substantiate Y's rendering.

that [notion] that the personal entity exists, or else [the notion that] insubstantiality does not exist because of the non-existence of the personal entity; for, without an adverse element, there is no counteragent. Therefore, it is to be accepted necessarily that the personal entity exists or, that insubstantiality does not311. Conceptual differentiation in regard to the existence³¹² and non-existence of these two is an extreme. The middle way is for the purpose of avoiding these dual extremes that consist in conceptual differentiation in regard to existence and non-existence. "Emptiness. O Kāśyapa, is certainly not for the destruction of the personal entity, moreover, emptiness itself is empty etc." - thus is the quotation from the Sūtra³¹³. In this respect, emptiness is neither for the destruction of the defiled personal entity, nor for the production of a purified 314 personal entity for there can be no destruction nor production of the personal entity because it exists just as a designation, like an 'army' etc. Moreover, emptiness itself is empty but it is not [empty] of the personal entity that is imputed through the false view of individuality because the latter is absolutely non-existent. After considering it in this way, the emptiness of the personal entity and the dharmas by way of substantiality is not an ens because it is devoid of the latter; nor is it a non-ens since it consists in the perfected [nature]³¹⁵. Moreover, in order to illustrate that emptiness does consist in the perfected [nature], he says: the same holds for past emptiness, future emptiness and the emptiness of the present³¹⁶ because it illustrates the fact that [emptiness] exists as such in all the three times; alternatively, it is because it illustrates the fact that [emptiness] exists as such in the states of the ordinary person, the adept and the one still in training. Of these, the [emptiness of the] past refers to the state of the ordinary person; future [emptiness] refers to the state of the adept; and the [emptiness of the] present refers to the state of the one still in training. And since the emptiness of ownbeing of existent and non-existent entities is thus, it is therefore said that: "you should rely on emptiness. O Kāśyapaⁿ³¹⁷, because it is the object of direct intuition free from conceptual differentiation, "...do not [rely on] the personal entity318", because it is just a verbal expression.

[11] Conceptual differentiation in regard to the object of appeasement is an extreme and in regard to the [act of] appeasing; the fact that conceptual

311 Ms.(80b.3): nairātmyābhāvo; disregard Y's fn.1 p.244.

³¹² Tib. omits "existence" but reads: "...in regard to the non-existence of these two..."; de med pa(r) yad mam par riog pa'i mtha' o (D310a.7).

³¹³ KP #64.

³¹⁴ Read: vyavadāta- as per Ms.(80b.4) in place of vyavadāna-.

³¹⁵ parinispannena but Tib.(D310b.3); parinispannātmakatvena (yons su grub pa'i bdag nid kyis).

³¹⁶ Bhāṣya (N72.3): pūrvāntaśūnyatā / aparāntaśūnyatā ity evamādivistarah but Ţikā (Y244. 13): pūrvāntaśūnyā / aparāntaśūnyā / pratyutpannaśūnyeti.

³¹⁷ KP #64 which differs slightly: śūnyatā kāśyapa pratisaratha.

³¹⁸ Read: mā pudgalam in place of na pudgalam, cf. KP ibid. Ms.(80b.7) line begins: -ity abhi-dhāna... contrary to Y's fn.5 p.244.

differentiation [in regard to the latter] is another extreme has to be understood³¹⁹. How so? Hence he says: these are on account of imaginative constructions in regard to the object of relinquishment and the [act of] relinquishing which are due to the fear of emptiness. Of these, the object of appearement is [equivalent to] the object of relinquishment; the [act of] appeasing is [equivalent to] the fact of relinquishing. After imagining the dharmas to be fequivalent to objects of relinquishment and [the knowledge of] emptiness to be [equivalent to] the relinquishing³²⁰, fear is generated in regard to emptiness; for, how can the three kinds of defilement be objects of relinquishment if they are empty by nature? Hence, they, like the personal entity, ought not be objects of relinquishment and consequently, since there can be no relinquishing in the absence of the object of relinquishment, fear is generated in regard to emptiness - this is certainly an extreme. What is the reason? Because [people] are notionally attached to the fact that the dharmas of form and sensation etc. exist substantially in exactly that way in which they are transformed³²¹ in the nature of consciousness. They fear³²² emptiness due to ignorance³²³ of the fact that those [dharmas] of form etc. whose essential nature is imaginary, are, by their very nature, non-existent like the self. Therefore, the example of 'space' is for the purpose of avoiding these two extremes that consist in conceptual differentiation. For instance:

"[Just as] a certain person who is afraid of space³²⁴ may beat his chest and cry out saying: "remove this space!" What do you think, Kāśyapa, would he be able to remove the space?" [Kāśyapa] replies: "Certainly not, O Venerable One." The Venerable One continues: "Similarly, Kāśyapa³²⁵, I would say that those śramaṇas and brahmans who are fearful in regard to emptiness have met with a severe distraction; and for what reason? Because, O Kāśyapa, they go astray in regard to emptiness itself and are consequently fearful of it". 326

This is what is being said: just as one is unable to remove space because it consists in all form, similarly, emptiness cannot be removed since it is established in all *dharmas* at all times, and emptiness cannot be removed from the state of being established through

³¹⁹ anuvartate literally means "persists" or "remains in force". The Tib. omits śamzne 'pi vikalpo 'nta ity anuvartate; cf. D310b.6.

³²⁰ śūnyatām ca prahānatvena is added in the margin of the Ms.; cf. 80b.

³²¹ viparivartante: Tib.(D311a.1): snan ba.

³²² Ms.(81a.2); vibheti, contrary to Y's fn.4 p.245, however his emendation to bibheti is correct.

³²³ Ms.(81a.2): ajflänänästac but Y's emendation to ajflänäc is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.3 p.243.

³²⁴ Read: ākāśato in place of ākāśajo; Ms.(81a.2); ākāsata.

³²⁵ Ms.(81a.3); kāśyapapa but Y's reading of kāśyapa is correct.

³²⁶ KP #66.

the non-relinquishment of dharmas³²⁷, nor can emptiness be established through the relinquishment of dharmas and he consequently shows that this is a groundless fear.

[12] Conceptual differentiation³²⁸ in regard to the object of fear is an extreme and in regard to the dread of that object of fear; it is the fact that conceptual differentiation [in regard to the latter] is another extreme that is referred to. That, from which fear arises, is described as the object of fear; insofar as one becomes fearful of this, there is dread, i.e. an undesirable result of that [original fear]. That which one imaginatively construes in regard to both the object of fear and the dread [of the latter] are the extremes³²⁹. How so? Hence he says: these result from fear of imaginary forms etc. and are on account of the dread of suffering. For, naive people, after imagining forms etc. which have an imaginary nature³³⁰ as being substantial, then imagine the fear from these [forms etc.] and their undesired result. Consequently, on account of their dread of suffering, they become fearful both of their forms etc. and their signs. The example of the 'painter' is for the purpose of avoiding these two extremes that consist in conceptual differentiation.

"[Just as] a painter, O Kāśyapa, after creating the terrifying figure of a yakṣa³³¹ by himself is rendered unconscious after collapsing and falling face-first in abject terror³³² of it; similarly, O Kāśyapa, all³³³ ordinary naive people, after creating forms, sounds, odours, tastes and tangible things by themselves, wander in saṃsāra because of those [forms etc.] and fail to understand those dharmas as they are in reality".³³³4

Moreover, just as the painter, having, through false conceptual differentiation, superimposed the existence of a real yakşa upon the terrifying figure of the yakşa that he himself has created, and fearing it, is rendered unconscious, similarly, all ordinary people who are impelled by false conceptual differentiation wander in samsāra on account of their individual conceptual differentiations³³⁵ which are described as their own creations and are in a momentary state because they are not established in homogeneous groups. The former example is in relation to the árāvaka but the

³²⁷ Read: na ca dharmāprahānena śūnyatā vyavasthānād apanetum śakyate in place of na ca dharme prahāne śūnyatāvyavasthitād apanetum śakyate. Tib. chos ma spańs pas stod pa nid gnas pa las bsal bar yan mi nus la (D311a.5). This passage is omitted from the Ms.

³²⁸ Read: vikalpo as per Ms.(81a.5) and Bhāsya (N72.8) in place of kalpo.

³²⁹ Ms.(81a.6) line begins: -staphalarn / tasmims ...so 'ntah...; the reading noted by Y in his fn.1 p.246 obviously belongs to the following line and is now missing from the Ms.

³³⁰ Read: parikalpitarūparūpādīn in place of parikalpitarūpādīn; Ms.(81a.6); parikalpitarūpārūpādīn. Tib. kun briags pa'i no bo gzugs la sogs pa la (D311a.7-311b.1)

³³¹ Ms.(81a.7): yakşartipam; disregard Y's fn.4 p.246.

³³² Read perhaps bhitatrasto in place of bhitasrasto: Ms.(81a.7); bhitastasto.

³³³ Tib. omits sarva: cf. D311b.1.

³³⁴ KP #67.

³³⁵ Read: iti svayam vikalpitaih as per Ms. in place of iti vikalpitaih; Tib. žes bya ba bdag ñid kyi(s) mam par rtog pa dag gis (D311b.5). Ms.(81b.2) line begins -srtäh svayam kr...bhrā-myane etc.

latter is [in relation to] the bodhisattva. Some believe that the śrāvaka is afraid of emptiness because he has not gained mastery over emptiness; however, the bodhisattva is defiled by conceptual differentiation in regard to the imaginary dharmas because moral defilement consists in conceptual differentiation. Others believe there is no meaningful distinction between the two, nevertheless, they are verbally expressed as a pair on account of the influence of the two categories. Others again believe that due to his fear of emptiness, the śrāvaka fails to understand what is to be understood³³⁶; although the bodhisattva's [failure to understand] is due to his fear of the dharmas that are conceptually differentiated. Others believe that the former [example acts] as the counteragent to the [tendency to] cling to the self, whereas the latter [acts] as the counteragent to the [tendency to] cling to the dharmas.

[13] Conceptual differentiation in regard to the apprehended object is an extreme and in regard to the apprehending subject; it is the fact that conceptual differentiation [in regard to the latter] is another extreme that is referred to. How can these apprehended objects of form etc. and their apprehending subjects, i.e. the groups of consciousness which are perceived in terms of individual characteristic and result, have the nature of superimposition³³⁷ like the self etc.? It is the imaginative construction of apprehended object and apprehending subject as substantial entities that is intended as an extreme. The example of the 'magician' is for the purpose of avoiding these two extremes that consist in conceptual differentiation. For instance:

"[Just as], O Kāśyapa, a magician conjures up a magical creation, then that which is created by means of the magical show³³⁸ may devour the magician himself; similarly, O Kāśyapa, when a *bhikṣu* who practises spiritual discipline (yogācāra) is mentally attentive³³⁹ to any particular objective support³⁴⁰, everything appears as just a void for him etc.",³⁴¹

How does one thereby avoid³⁴² the two kinds of extreme that consist in the conceptual differentiation of this kind? Hence he says: for, the knowledge of the non-existence of the object is caused by the knowledge of representation-only and that knowledge of the non-existence of the object annuls that very knowledge of representation-only, because if the object is non-existent, its representation³⁴³ is not possible; thus these [two] are

³³⁶ Ms.(81b.3): anadhigatam but Y's emendation to adhigantavyam is preferred on the basis of the Tib.: cf. his fn.1 p.247.

³³⁷ Ms. (81b.4): anadhyāropitātmano but Y's emendation to adhyāropitātmano is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.2 p.247.

³³⁸ Read: sa māyānirmitas as per Ms.(81b.5) in place of samayā nirmitas.

³³⁹ Read: manasikaroti as per Ms.(81b.6) in place of manaskaroti.

³⁴⁰ Ms.(81b.6): evārambaņam.

³⁴¹ KP #68.

³⁴² Read: parivarjayattty in place of parivarjayantty; Ms.(81b.6): parivarjayattity.

³⁴³ Tib.(D312a,5): mam par ses pa = viifiāna but Tīkā and Bhāsya: viifiapti.

similar in this respect. The knowledge of representation-only functions as the magician [in the example]; the knowledge of the non-existence of the object functions as the yakşa that is conjured up, for, the knowledge of the non-existence of the object is characterized by the knowledge that it is representation-only. In the same way that [the yakşa] which is conjured up may devour its creator, similarly, the knowledge of the non-existence of the object will 'devour' that very knowledge of the latter as representation-only, considering that the perception of the object is a representation, because, if the object does not exist, that it is representation-only is not possible.

[14] Conceptual differentiation in regard to correctness is an extreme and in regard to falsity; it is the fact that conceptual differentiation [in regard to the latter] is another extreme that is referred to. In the state that is conducive to penetration, both of these conceptually differentiate the investigation of what is real as being dual extremes, i.e. as correct or false. How so? Correctness refers to the dharmas that are without impurity; falsity refers to those that are accompanied by impurity. In this respect, if one attaches to the investigation of what is real just in terms of correctness, then it is [only an investigation of] the wholesome dharmas that are accompanied by impurity and it would not constitute an investigation of what is real. Again, if [the investigation of what is real is thought to be] characterized by incorrectness, then it is only falsity that is produced like the wholesome [dharmas] that are associated with the three realms of existence³⁴⁴. The example of 'fire coming from two sticks' is for the purpose of avoiding these two extremes. For instance:

"[Just as], O Kāśyapa, a fire is generated in dependence upon two sticks and that very [fire] being generated³⁴⁵ consumes those two sticks, similarly, O Kāśyapa, the noble faculty of wisdom is generated in dependence upon the investigation of what is real and when it has been generated, it consumes that very investigation of what is real". 346

This is what is meant here: just as fire is generated from two sticks which are devoid of the characteristic of fire and [the fire] that is generated consumes the same two sticks, similarly, the noble faculty of wisdom, which has the characteristic of correctness, is generated from the investigation of what is real³⁴⁷ which is devoid of the characteristic of correctness³⁴⁸, and [the faculty of wisdom] that is generated annihilates

³⁴⁴ Ms.(82a.2-3): traidhātukatraidhātukapratisamyukta... but Y's reading of traidhātukapratisamyukta... is correct.

³⁴⁵ Read: illtas as per Ms.(82a.3) in place of 'aniilitas'; disregard Y's fn.2 p.248.

³⁴⁶ KP #69.

³⁴⁷ bhūtapratyavekṣāyāḥ here but Bhāṣya (N73.2); yathābhūtapratyavekṣāyāḥ.

³⁴⁸ Contrary to Y's fn.3 p.248, the words: bhiliam pratyaveksam are not inserted here in the Ms. (82a.4), rather, it is in agreement with the Bhasya (N73.2).

[through clear understanding]349, i.e. consumes, that very investigation of what is real - thus is the meaning. The latter is described as "consumed" it being as if consumed due to precisely the destruction because one observes that it is modified. And even if this investigation of what is real is³⁵⁰ accompanied by impurity, it is described as the investigation of what is real because it is in conformity with correctness.

[15] Conceptual differentiation in regard to the performance of action is an extreme and in regard to the non-performance of action; it is the fact that conceptual differentiation (in regard to the latter) is another extreme that is referred to. How so? Hence he says: both imagine direct intuition to involve an act that is preceded by intelligence, or else, as being devoid of efficacy. Having considered: "I will relinquish nescience" if this direct intuition³⁵¹ engages in activity for the sake of the relinquishment of that [nescience], this is the extreme that consists in conceptual differentiation in regard to the performance of action. The notion that, because of its momentary nature, the existence of direct intuition is not possible at the time of the performance of action that leads to relinquishment and consequently is not able to relinquish nescience, is the extreme that consists in conceptual differentiation in regard to the non-performance of action. The example of the 'oil-lamp' is for the purpose of avoiding these two extremes that consist in conceptual differentiation. For instance:

"[Just as], O Kāśyapa, when an oil-lamp is lit, pitch-darkness vanishes and by no means, O Kāśyapa, does the oil-lamp have this thought: "I can disperse all pitch-darkness"; on the contrary, pitch-darkness vanishes in dependence upon the oil-lamp. Similarly, O Kāśvapa, when direct intuition arises. nescience vanishes and by no means, O Kāśyapa, does direct intuition have this thought: "I can disperse nescience". On the contrary, nescience vanishes in dependence upon direct intuition".352

This is what is being said: in the same way that, although a lamp is empty of the performance of action and is devoid of the intention to dispel pitch-darkness, just through the mere presence of the lamp, all darkness³⁵³ vanishes from that place where it is lit³⁵⁴. Similarly, direct intuition that is free from conceptual differentiation is produced in one's mental continuum and nescience vanishes from that mental continuum just because of the mere fact that it is present there. There is no [deliberate] act

³⁴⁹ Read: vibhāvayatīty as per Ms.(82a.5) and Bhāsya N73.4 in place of vibhāvayamtīty.

³⁵⁰ Ms.(82a.5): satt; disregard Y's fn.1 p.249.

Read perhaps; anena inanena which accords with the Tib.; ye ses 'dis (D312b.6) in place of the 351 Ms.(82a,6) reading of iffanam idam.

³⁵² KP #70.

³⁵³ Read: sarvam tamo as per Ms.(82b.2) in place of sarvatamo.

³⁵⁴ Ms.(82b) line 1 ends: vyāpārašūnya- (Y249.19): line 2 begins; -deše krivste...(Y249.20). Cf. Y's fns.3 & 4 p.249.

(kriyā) on the part of direct intuition because it is devoid of conceptual differentiation. And similarly, because of the absence of the efficacy of the performance of action on the part of direct intuition³⁵⁵, it dispels adverse elements³⁵⁶ through its mere presence, like the lamp.

[16] Conceptual differentiation in regard to non-origination is an extreme and in regard to simultaneity; the fact that conceptual differentiation [in regard to the latter] is another extreme is understood. How so? Hence he says: if one imagines that the counteragent does not arise...; the extreme that consists in conceptual differentiation in regard to non-origination refers to the notion that insofar as the counteragent has not arisen for a period of time in the past, so too will it not arise in the present. Or else, [one imagines] defilement to endure for a long time; i.e. if nescience is only established as the counter correlate to direct intuition, direct intuition does not have the capacity to arise and if it is produced in some way, even so, the removal of nescience is unable to be carried out by means of direct intuition whose nature is momentary because [nescience] has developed over a long period of time, and consequently, there would be simultaneity on the part of defilement and purification. The second example of the 'oil-lamp' is for the purpose of avoiding these two extremes that consist in conceptual differentiation. For instance:

"[Just as], O Kāśyapa, there is an oil-lamp in a house, an abode, or a cottage³⁵⁷ which has not been lit by anyone for over a thousand years, then if some person were to light that oil-lamp - what do you think, O Kāśyapa, would the pitch-darkness have this thought: "I have accumulated for a thousand years and I shall not depart from here"?" [Kāśyapa] replied: "Indeed not, O Venerable One, for when the oil-lamp is lit, pitch-darkness does not have the power not to depart - it must necessarily depart from there." The Venerable One then said: "Similarly, O Kāśyapa, although karma and moral defilement have accumulated for hundreds of thousands of aeons, they vanish in an instant on account of an investigation facilitated by proper mental attention³⁵⁸. As to the oil-lamp, O Kāśyapa, it is an epithet for the noble faculty of wisdom; the pitch-darkness, O Kāśyapa³⁵⁹, is an epithet for karma and moral defilement."³⁶⁰

By means of this simile [the Buddha] refutes [the notions that]: (a) the counteragent does not arise on the grounds that its arising is dependent upon conditions and (b) the

¥250

³⁵⁵ Ms.(82b.3): evan na jäänasya ...sämarthyäbhävät sämarthyäbhävah but Y's emendation to evam ca jäänasya vyäpärasämarthyäbhävät is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. Y's fn.5 p.249.

³⁵⁶ Ms.(82b.3): pratipaksa- but Y's emendation to vipaksa- is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.1 p.250.

³⁵⁷ Ms.(82b.5) is not clear - avacarake or avavarake; Tib. khari phran lo (D313a.7).

³⁵⁸ Ms.(82b.7): yoniśomanaskārena vigacchati but Y's emendation to yoniśomanaskārapratyaveksayā vigacchati is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.3 p.250.

³⁵⁹ Ms.(82b.7): savalasyai but Y's emendation to kāśyapa is correct.

³⁶⁰ KP #71 p.106.

simultaneous [co-existence] of defilement [and purification] on the grounds that the Y251 black' side has little strength although its counteragent is strong, like [in the example of] the oil-lamp and pitch-darkness.

Spiritual practice in relation to the avoidance of the two extremes

e. Specific and Non-specific Spiritual Practice.

N73.16 Which are the specific and non-specific spiritual practices?

V.27 ab

The specific and non-specific are to be known in relation to the ten spiritual levels;

That perfection which predominates on a particular spiritual level is specific to that [level]. When [the bodhisattva] becomes fully accomplished in every respect in regard to all [the perfections], it is [described as] non-specific.

The supremacy in relation to spiritual practice has now been described.

[Sthiramati]

Y251.4 [1] The specific and non-specific spiritual practices were listed immediately after the spiritual practice in relation to the avoidance of the two extremes. Hence, immediately following the explanation of the spiritual practice in relation to the avoidance of the two extremes, he asks: which are the specific and non-specific spiritual practices? Hence he says:

V.27 ab

The specific and non-specific are to be known in relation to the ten spiritual levels;

In relation to each of the ten spiritual levels³⁶¹ there are two modes of spiritual practice. How so? Hence he says: that perfection which predominates on a particular spiritual level etc. A bodhisattva established on a particular bodhisattva level applies himself strenuously to a particular perfection; the latter predominates in comparison with the other perfections on that bodhisattva level and is described as specific. For example, on the first spiritual level the perfection of generosity pre-

³⁶¹ Y inserts: tāsu daśasu bhūmişv ekaikaśo, on the basis of the Tib.: sa bcu po de dag re re la (D313b.5), which is not found in the Ms.

dominates and thus the spiritual practice in regard to it is described as "specific". The same applies [to the other spiritual levels], up until the perfection of direct intuition on the tenth [level]. When [the bodhisattva] becomes fully accomplished in regard to all the remaining perfections on each of the spiritual levels³⁶², without distinction, the spiritual practice on these [levels] is described as non-specific; as has been stated: "on the joyous level [i.e. the first level] the perfection of generosity predominates...". And nor does [the bodhisattva] not become fully accomplished in regard to the other [perfections] according to his power and capacity³⁶³. The explanations concerning the remaining spiritual levels should similarly be known in accordance with the Sūtras.

The supremacy in relation to spiritual practice has now been described.

³⁶² Ms.(83a.4): tasyām tasyām bhūmau which agrees with the Tib.; cf. Y's fn.3 p.251. Y's parentheses?!

³⁶³ Cf. DS p.20.10.

3. Supremacy of Objective Support.

N73.22 Which are the supremacies of objective support?

V.27 cd & 28 abcd

These are considered to consist in:

(a) the establishment, (b) the [dharma]dhātu, (c) the probandum, (d) the probans, (e) retention, (f) correct determination, (g) preservation, (h) penetration, (i) diffusion, (j) understanding, (k) meditative calm and (l) the culminating objective support.

There are twelve kinds of objective support, namely, (a) the objective support for establishing the designations of the dharmas; (b) the dharmadhatu as objective support; (c) the probandum as objective support; (d) the probans as objective support; (e) the objective support for retention; (f) the objective support for correct determination; (g) the objective support for preservation; (h) the objective support for penetration; (i) the objective support for diffusion; (j) the objective support for understanding; (k) the objective support for meditative calm and (l) the culminating objective support. Of these, the first refers to those dharmas that are respectively determined as the perfections etc. second refers to thusness. The third and fourth refer respectively to [the latter] two again because [the bodhisattva] arrives at an understanding of the dharmas, such as the perfections, through his penetration of the dharmadhatu. The fifth is the objective support for the knowledge that consists in learning. The sixth [is the objective support for the knowledge that] consists in reflection since [the bodhisattva] retains it after having understood it. The seventh [is the objective support for the knowledge that] consists in meditative development due to its retention [by each bodhisattva], individually. The eighth is [the objective support] on the first spiritual level for the path of vision. ninth is [the objective support] for the path of meditative development, up until the seventh spiritual level. The tenth is [the objective support] for the mundane and supramundane paths on that same [level] because [the bodhisattva] arrives at the understanding of the Dharma in its

N74

various modes³⁶⁴. The eleventh is [the objective support] on the eighth spiritual level. The twelfth is [the objective support] on the three spiritual levels beginning with the ninth. And those [original] first and second [objective supports] receive nominal designations as the respective objective supports pertinent to each state.

The objective supports have now been described.

[Sthiramati]

Y252.2 [1] Since this is the appropriate place for an explanation of supremacy in relation to the objective support³⁶⁵, he says: which are the supremacies of objective support³⁶⁶ Hence, in order to define these³⁶⁷, he says:

V.27 a [They are considered to consist in]:

(a) the establishment, (b) the [dharma]dhātu etc.

There are twelve kinds of objective support, beginning with the objective support for establishing, up until the culminating objective support. These are described as the supremacies in relation to the objective supports³⁶⁸ because they are pre-eminent in comparison with all the other objective supports; it is just the highest that is supreme and this refers to something unequalled and extraordinary³⁶⁹. Of these, the first refers to those dharmas which are I respectively determined] as the perfections etc.; and since these are respectively determined by the Buddhas and bodhisattvas for the purpose of helping sentient beings. they are [equivalent to] the term "establishment". In order to demonstrate just this, the author of the commentary says: the objective support for establishing the designations of the dharmas. The designations of the dharmas refers to the designations of the dharmas, such as the perfection of generosity, the factors that contribute to enlightenment and the noble truths etc., i.e. [the dharmas] are established by such [a designation]³⁷⁰; or else, that [i.e. the designation] is [equivalent to] the establishment in this regard - thus is the establishment of the designations of the dharmas. And in the following³⁷¹, the successive order of the objective supports.

³⁶⁴ Tib. omits prakāraso; cf. D26a.6 & 7.

³⁶⁵ Read: alambanānuttaryanirdeśāvasara as per Ms.(83a.5) in place of alambanānuttaryam nirdeśāvasara, disregard Y's fns.1 p.252.

³⁶⁶ Ms.(83a.5-6): Alamban Anuttaryanı, disregard Y's fn.1 p.252.

³⁶⁷ tamirdhāranārtham however, Tib. is slightly different: de'i og tu de dmigs kyis dbye ba'i phyir "...immediately after the latter and in order to define them as objective supports, [be says]: (D314a.3).

³⁶⁸ Ms.(83a.6): Alambananuttaryam; disregard Y's fn.1 p.252.

³⁶⁹ Ms.(83a.6) is not clear, read perhaps: anuttaram evanuttaryam / vaikṛtavaiśamam ca; Y's reading of fn.3 p.252 is not correct. This sentence is not found in the Tib. (cf. D314a.4).

³⁷⁰ Read: tayā vyavatişthanti in place of tayā vyavatişthate; Ms.(83b.1) line begins: -vyavatişthanti... Cf. Y's fn.5 p.252.

³⁷¹ Ms.(83b.1); cătra vyavasthānā-; disregard Y's fn.6 p.252.

beginning with the establishment, shall be shown because [this order] is in conformity with one's direct realization. The second refers to thusness because it is synonymous with 'dharmadhātu'. The third and fourth...; of these, the third refers to the probandum as objective support and the fourth, the probans as objective support: refer to the latter two, i.e. the first and the second, respectively. The first refers to the probandum as objective support and the second refers to the probans as objective support. He provides the reason in regard to this: since [the bodhisattval arrives at an understanding of the dharmas, such as the perfections, through his penetration of the dharmadhatu. What is meant is³⁷²: they arrive at an understanding of the perfections which are devoid of impurity due to their penetration of the dharmadhatu³⁷³, i.e. firstly, they have the probandum as objective support, and secondly, the probans as objective support. The fifth, the objective support for retention, is for the knowledge that consists in learning³⁷⁴. The knowledge that consists in learning is described as retention because it holds fast to the dharmas such as the perfections and to the dharmadhatu. upon hearing it [for the first time]. The term: "the objective support for retention" (dhāranālambanam) is [resolved as]; the objective support that leads to retention (dhāranāyā ālambanam); furthermore, it refers to the first and second [objective supports l. i.e. (a) the objective support for establishment and (b) the dharmadhātu as objective support. The sixth [is the objective support for knowledge that] consists in reflection because [the bodhisattva] retains it after having understood it. [The bodhisattva] arrives at an understanding by means of [the four kinds of reasoning, i.e. (a) dependence, (b) cause and effect, (c) proof of logical possibility and (d) real nature, due to his retention of the meaning of what is learnt. Correct determination refers to the knowledge that consists in reflection; its objective support is the objective support for correct determination. that fof the knowledgel that consists in meditative development; that it is the objective support for the latter is understood. Due to its retention [by each bodhisattval, individually - preservation is due to retention of the meaning [by each bodhisattval, individually. The knowledge that consists in meditative development is applicable to the entry to the first spiritual level. Furthermore, this relates to the applications of mindfulness, up until the powers, and its objective support is the objective support for preservation. The eighth is [the objective support] for the path of vision on the first spiritual level. This refers to the penetration of the all-pervading nature of the dharmadhātu which is without duality

372 Ms. (83b.2): -Ity stah but Y's emendation to Ity arthah on the basis of the Tib. is correct; cf. his fn.1 p.253.

³⁷³ Ms.(83b.2): -prativedhādvyanāsravāḥ but Y's emendation to prativedhād anāsravāḥ is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.8 p.252.

³⁷⁴ chrutamayasya jūlinasya but Bhlasya: śrutamayajūlina- (N74.9-10).

Thy the bodhisattvasl, individually. Alternatively, penetration refers to the piercing of the resistance of: (a) moral defilements that are to be relinquished by [the path of] vision and (b) undefiled nescience which has the meaning of the all-pervading as its object: moreover, this is the path of vision. Its objective support is the objective support for penetration. The ninth is [the objective support] for the path of meditative development, up until the seventh spiritual level. Diffusion refers to the state of existence of the most excellent culminating point and is due to the practice of that same penetration of the dharmadhatu. It consists in the path of meditative development, up until the seventh spiritual level. The objective support for that path of meditative development is [equivalent to] the objective support for The tenth is that for the mundane and supramundane paths diffusion on that same [level] - that it is the objective support for the latter is understood; since [the bodhisattva] arrives at the understanding of the Dharma in its various modes. It is an "understanding" (pragama) since, on that same spiritual level, he attains the understanding (adhigama) of the Dharma in its various modes (prakāra)375 by virtue of his freedom from the notional attachment to all376 the modes etc. of the signs of the Dharma such as the Sūtras. The latter is described as the path of meditative development on that same seventh spiritual level. The objective support that pertains to understanding is the objective support for understanding. eleventh is [the objective support] on the eighth spiritual level: since, on the eighth level, the meditative calm flows³⁷⁷ spontaneously on the part of (the bodhisattval whose mental attention is devoid of all signs and effort, that same path of meditative development is described as meditative calm³⁷⁸. The objective support that pertains to meditative calm is the objective support for meditative calm. The twelfth is [the objective support] on the three spiritual levels beginning with the ninth, because, on the ninth, he attains the culminating point of direct intuition that consists in the analytical knowledges, on the tenth he attains the culminating point of mastery over karma and on the Buddha level he attains the culminating point of the purification of obscuration that consists in moral defilement and the knowable, in its entirety. In this way, because he reaches the culminating point of the purification of both direct intuition and karms on the three spiritual levels beginning with the ninth, the objective support of one who is established on those levels is described as the culminating objective support. However, others believe that the culminating objective support is mentioned in regard to the three spiritual levels³⁷⁹, after considering the differentiation of the culminating point of the path as weak, middling and strong. And

¥254

³⁷⁵ Read: praktiraso as per Ms.(84a.1) in place of praktirena.

³⁷⁶ Tib. omits sarva; cf. D315a.2.

³⁷⁷ Ms.(84a.2): praśajhavahanāt; disregard Y's fn.1 p.254.

³⁷⁸ Read: prasatha ucyate as per Ms. (84a.2) in place of prasathats ucyate; disregard Y's fn.2 p.254.
379 Ms. (84a.3): tisrsu bhūmisu bhūmisuktam but Y's reading of tisrsu bhūmisuktam i

⁹ Ms.(84a.3): tisysu bhūmisu bhūmisūktam but Y's reading of tisysu bhūmisūktam is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. D315a.6-7.

those [original] first and second [objective supports] receive nominal designations as the respective objective supports³⁸⁰ pertinent to each state - so extensive are the objective supports, namely³⁸¹, the objective support for the establishment of the *dharmas* and the *dharmadhātu* as objective support. In this respect³⁸², these two objective supports receive particular nominal designations in relation to each state of direct intuition, [for example], the objective support for retention exists in the state of the knowledge that consists in learning because what is learnit is the object of direct intuition consisting in retention, therefore³⁸³, retention knowledge is described as retention. The same should be stated [for the other seven objective supports] up until the culminating objective support. On the ninth, tenth and Buddha levels, there is the culminating attainment, i.e. supramundane direct intuition and the mundane [direct intuition] attained subsequently to that. Thus, the objective support pertinent to this is the culminating objective support.

The supremacy of objective support has now been described.

³⁸⁰ Read: tad tad ālambanam nāma as per Ms.(84a.4) and Bhāsya (N74.16) in place of tad tad ālambananāms.

³⁸¹ Ms.(84a.4) etävad dhy ālambanam yad uta; disregard Y's fn.3 p.254.

³⁸² Ms. (84a.4): taccaited but Y's emendation to tatraited is preferred on the basis of the Tib.; cf. his fn.4 p.254.

³⁸³ Read: tena dhāraṇā- as per Ms.(84a.5) in place of tena ca dhāraṇā- contrary to Y's fn.5 p.254.

4. Supremacy of Full Attainment.

N74.20 Which are the full attainments?

V.29 abcdef

N75

Those which consist in: (a) non-defect, (b) non-rejection, (c) non-distraction, (d) fulfilment, (e) generation, (f) development, (g) pliability, (h) non-fixation, (i) non-obscuration and (j) the full attainment of the latter without interruption.

These are the ten kinds of full attainment. Of these, (a) the absence of defects in causal conditions refers to full attainment in relation to the spiritual lineage. (b) The non-rejection of the universal vehicle refers to full attainment in relation to firm conviction. (c) The absence of distraction away to the lesser vehicle refers to full attainment in relation to the generation of the resolve [for enlightenment]. (d) The fulfilment of the perfections refers to full attainment in relation to spiritual prac-(e) The generation of the noble path refers to full attainment in relation to the entry into certainty. (f) The development of the roots of the wholesome refers to full attainment in relation to the maturation of sentient beings due to the prolonged exercise. (g) The pliability of mind refers to full attainment in relation to the purification of the field. (h) Non-fixation in samsars or nirvans refers to full attainment in relation to the acquisition of the prophesies at the irreversible level because [the bodhisattva] does not turn away from samsara or nirvapa. absence of obscuration refers to full attainment in relation to the (j) The non-interruption of the latter refers to full Buddha-level. attainment in relation to the complete exposition of enlightenment.

[Sthiramati]

Y255.2 [1] Now, in order to correctly determine the supremacy in relation to full attainment whose appropriate place [for exposition] has arrived, he says: which are the full attainments? They are full attainments insofar as they are brought about through particular spiritual practices. They are described as supreme because: (a) they are superior to other full attainments and (b) there are no other full attainments that are superior to them. In order to demonstrate them, he says:

V.29 ab

Those which consist in: (a) nondefect, (b) non-rejection³⁸⁴, (c) nondistraction, (d) fulfilment etc.

Therein, there are ten kinds of full attainment, beginning with the absence of defects in causal conditions and concluding with the complete exposition of enlightenment. Of these, the absence of defects in causal conditions refers to full attainment in relation to the spiritual lineage. The absence of defects in causal conditions [means that] each of them is present; when these are secured [the bodhisattva] attains the spiritual lineage in full - what is meant is: the latter [i.e. the spiritual lineage] becomes abundant and ever more excellent. Moreover, [these conditions] refer to the mixing with good men, listening to the True Dharma and proper mental attention etc. A defect in these is due to defects in relation to the spiritual lineage and friends etc.³⁸⁵

- [2] The non-rejection of the universal vehicle refers to full attainment in relation to firm conviction. If one does not reject the Dharma of the universal vehicle which is characterized by profundity and magnanimity then the growth of firm conviction which has the nature of the maintenance of [the bodhisattva's] determination, ensues.
- [3] The absence of distraction away to the lesser vehicle refers to full attainment in relation to the generation of the resolve [for enlightenment]. Although the conditions may exist for a reversal of the resolve for enlightenment on the part of one whose resolve for enlightenment has been generated, if 386 his resolve does not stray to the śrāvaka vehicle or to the pratyekabuddha vehicle, then his resolve for enlightenment reaches maturity.
- [4] The fulfilment of the perfections refers to full attainment in relation to spiritual practice. On account of the fulfilment of the perfection of generosity etc. there is growth in the accomplishment of omniscience on the part of those whose resolve for enlightenment has been generated due to the force of the latter [i.e. bodhicitta].
- [5] The generation of the noble path refers to full attainment in relation to the entry into certainty³⁸⁷. From the very beginning one enters into certainty due to the generation of the noble path which has not [been generated] previously³⁸⁸.

³⁸⁴ Ms.(84a,7) line begins: viksepaś ca...

³⁸⁵ Ms.(84b.1); tadgotramitrādi; disregard Y's fn.3 p.255.

³⁸⁶ Ms.(84b.2): yady; disregard Y's fn.4 p.255.

³⁸⁷ nyāmāvakrānti- but Bhāsya (N75.3): niyāmāvakrānti-; nyāma and niyāma (also niyama) are parallel terms - for a full discussion on these of: U. Wogihara's "Laxikalisches aus der Bodhisattvabhtmi", pp. 28-31 of his edition of BB.

³⁸⁸ Read: prathamata eväpürvasyäryamärgasyotpädän as per Ms. (84b.4) in place of prathamata eväpürvasyäryamärgasyotpäde; Tib. thog ma ñid du shon ma skyes pa'i 'phags pa'i lam bskyed pas (D316a.2-3). Disregard Y's fn.1 p.256.

Something faulty or in decay is described as 'raw' (\$\tilde{a}ma\$) - where this is non-existent, it is certain [lit. 'free from defect'] (\$ny\tilde{a}ma\$), like a place sheltered from the wind\$^{389}\$, i.e. a region free from impurity. [The term]: 'entry into certainty' (\$ny\tilde{a}ma\tilde{a}vakr\tilde{a}nti\$) is [resolved as] entry into certainty (\$ny\tilde{a}ma\tilde{a}y\tilde{a}m avakr\tilde{a}nti\$); what is meant is: the latter [i.e. avakr\tilde{a}nti\$, lit. 'descent'] is [equivalent to] 'entry' (\$prave\tilde{s}a\$)^{390}. Also, as regards the reading: 'entry into certainty' (\$niyam\tilde{a}vakr\tilde{a}nti\$), the word 'certitude' (\$niyama\$) is none other than certainty (\$niyama\$), for thus it is said that one for whom the path of vision has arisen is certain [to be boin] in a propitious state of existence or \$nirv\tilde{a}na\$.

[6] The development of the roots of the wholesome refers to full attainment in relation to the maturation of sentient beings due to the prolonged exercise. The development of the roots of the wholesome refers to the growth of the roots of the wholesome in those who have attained the path of vision due to prolonged exercise or practice on the path of meditative development which is attained subsequently to that [path of vision]. Since [the bodhisattva] has the ability to bring beings to maturity on account of that [growth], consequently³⁹¹, the development of the roots of the wholesome refers to full attainment in relation to the maturation of beings. However, others believe that the maturation of sentient beings by [the bodhisattva] in this way is fully attained because ³⁹² the roots of the wholesome are strengthened ³⁹³ in the mental continuum of sentient beings owing to prolonged practice by him.

[7] The pliability of mind³⁹⁴ refers to full attainment in relation to the purification of the field³⁹⁵. Since the pliability of mind on the part of those whose roots of the wholesome have increased through meditative development is due to separation from both the signs and the effort that are adverse to the path traversable by just the one [i.e. the Buddha], he shows the Buddha-field [is attained], like crystal etc. according to one's wish, through the influence of pliability ³⁹⁶. Hence, the pliability of mind refers to full attainment in regard to the purification of the field. ³⁹⁷ Although in a different Sūtra it is said: "One who wishes the pure Buddha-field should [first] purify his own mind".

³⁸⁹ Ms.(84b.4): nivātavad; disregard Y's fn.2 p.256.

³⁹⁰ Read: nyāmāvakrāntih praveśa as per Ms.(84b.4) in place of nyāmāvakrāntipraveśa; this is omitted from the Tib. (D316a.3).

³⁹¹ Read: -samartho bhavatity atah as per Ms. (84b.6) in place of -samartho bhavati / atah.

³⁹² Read: yato as per Y's original reading in place of the Ms. (84b.6) reading of yady; Tib. (D316a.6); 'di liar.

³⁹³ Read; drdhikrtam bhavatiti as per Ms.(84b.7) in place of drdhikrtam.

³⁹⁴ Read: cittakarmanyatyam as per Ms.(84b.7) and Bhissya (N75.5) in place of cittakarmanyatil.

³⁹⁵ Ms.(84b.7): ksetra-; disregard Y's fn.4 p.256.

³⁹⁶ Cf. MSA VII.7 comm.

³⁹⁷ The translation of the Tika from here, i.e. Y256,22, until Y261.11 is based entirely on the Tib. and the Bhava since the Ms. for this section is completely absent.

- [8] Non-fixation in samsara or nirvana refers to full attainment in relation to the acquisition of the prophesies at the irreversible level. Hence he says: because [the bodhisattva] does not turn away from samsāra or nirvāna, because he is not fixed in samsāra or nirvāna on account of his mental pliability. Since he possesses the prosperous result of the three perfections beginning with generosity, he is not fixed in nirvana due to his regard for sentient beings. Since he possesses the three perfections beginning with vigour, he is not defiled by the moral defilements of samsara: therefore, he is not fixed in samsara. Alternatively, he is not fixed in samsara nor nirvana for he does not turn away from either of them. In this respect, he does not turn away from samsara because of his regard for sentient beings for he accepts samsāra out of compassion; nor does he turn away from nirvana on account of his wisdom. Thus, since the bodhisattva is fixed neither in samsara nor nirvana, he remains on the unshakable level which is irreversible, and [experiences] full attainment in relation to the acquisition of the prophesy of his Buddha[hood]. Alternatively, [he experiences] full attainment in relation to both the acquisition of the irreversible level and the acquisition of the prophesy.
- [9] The absence of obscuration refers to full attainment in relation to the Buddha-level³⁹⁸, because (the bodhisattva experiences) full attainment in relation to the Buddha-level, which incorporates the three Bodies, on account of his relinquishment of all obscuration that consists in moral defilement and the knowable together with their latent impressions. Therefore, the absence of obscuration refers to full attainment in relation to the Buddha-level.
- [10] The non-interruption of the latter refers to full attainment in relation to the complete exposition of enlightenment. There is no interruption to that Buddha's enlightenment due to the force of his universal compassion [which endures I for as long as the world exists. Full attainment in relation to the complete exposition of enlightenment is [equivalent to] the demonstration of enlightenment. In this regard, [the Buddha's] dwelling in the Tusita realm, his descent into the womb, his birth, his enjoyment of sense-pleasures, his departure from home, his performance of difficult deeds, his turning of the Great Wheel of the Dharma and his mahāparinirvāņa etc. are demonstrated, because the complete exposition of enlightenment is not something separate from these [events]. The expression: "the complete exposition of enlightenment", includes [these] additional [events] also, since it is mentioned just as an example.

³⁹⁸ The Tib. Tika (D316b.6) appears to phrase this passage in verse form:

sgrib pa med pa' sads rgyas kyi //
sa yan dag par 'grub pa' o' //
However, the second line, for metrical reasons, cannot possibly be in verse form and the reading of the Tib. Bhāsya (D26b.4) is preferred: sgrib pa med pa ni sais rgyas kyi sa yan dag par 'grub pa = nirāvaraņatā buddhabhūmisamudāgama; cf. Bhāsya N75.8.

The Explanation of the Name of the Treatise.

N75.11 Thus, this is:

V.30 a

The treatise that consists in the analysis of the middle:

Because it elucidates the middle way. This is also the analysis of the middle and the extremes because it elucidates the middle and the two extremes. Alternatively, it [elucidates] the middle which is devoid of beginning and end.

V.30 b

Its meaning is hidden and quintessential;

Because it is not the sphere of speculation nor can it be shattered by those who hold other views, respectively.

V.30 c-

And it has universal meaning;

Because it is relevant to both oneself and to others.

V.30 c+

It incorporates all meaning;

Because it is relevant to the three vehicles.

V.30 d

It removes all ill.

Because it brings about the relinquishment of both the obscuration that consists in moral defilement and the knowable.

[Sthiramati]

N76

Y258.12 [1] Thus, i.e. since the general meanings of the words herein are complete and in order to express or to understand the name [of the treatise] in conformity with these meanings, this is described as:

V.30 a.

The treatise that consists in the analysis of the middle;

To provide the reason for engagement in the entry herein, he says: because it elucidates the middle way; because this treatise herein elucidates the middle way devoid of the extremes of eternalism and annihilationism. Therefore, this treatise is described as the analysis of the middle - what is meant is: it is an exposition and illustration of the middle [way]. This is also the analysis of the middle and the extremes; here now he provides the reason: because it elucidates the middle and the two extremes, hence it is described as the analysis of the middle and the extremes. The

middle, in this context, refers to the dharmadhātu which is without duality and the extremes refer to imputation and negation. Alternatively, since it elucidates the middle which is devoid of beginning and end, some believe this refers to thusness; others believe that it is the imagination of the unreal which is without beginning and end that is elucidated in this context and is referred to by the words 'middle and extremes'.

V.30 b Its meaning is hidden and quintessential;

Its meaning is hidden because it is not the sphere of speculation and its meaning is quintessential because it cannot be shattered by those who hold other views, respectively. It is not the sphere of speculation because it is recognized as the domain of direct intuition devoid of conceptual differentiation. Its meaning is quintessential because it promulgates the meaning [of phenomena] as they are in reality.

V.30 c- And it has universal meaning;

Because it is relevant to both oneself and to others; because it is relevant to the bodhisattva's way of life, and because that way of life of the bodhisattva causes the attainment of benefit for oneself and others.

V.30 + It incorporates all meaning;

Because it is relevant to the three vehicles; since it illustrates the path of the śrāvaka, the pratyekabuddha and the bodhisattva.

V.30 d It removes all ill.

Y259

Because it brings about the relinquishment of both the obscuration that consists in moral defilement and the knowable.

The Summary Meaning of the Supremacy of the Vehicle.

The summary meaning of supremacy. Summarily, supremacy is threefold: (a) spiritual practice, (b) the foundation of spiritual practice and (c) the result of spiritual practice. Which kind of spiritual practice is highest and in which way [is that practice performed]? "Mental attention to the Dharma of the universal vehicle, as set forth etc." [V.7 ab], i.e. how and in which mode does it: (a) become free from distraction through the meditative development of tranquillity and (b) become free from erroneous inversion through the meditative development of penetrating insight? As to its purpose - it is in order to go forth by the middle way. And it is in relation to what? "The specific and non-specific are [to be known] in relation to the ten spiritual levels" [V.27 ab].

The summary meaning of the [various categories of] absence of erroneous inversion: (a) [the bodhisattva] penetrates the sign of tranquillity and the sign of direct intuition³⁹⁹ through the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to syllables. (b) He penetrates the sign of erroneous inversion 400 through the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to objects. (c) He avoids the underlying cause of erroneous inversion through the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to mental activity. (d) He firmly grasps the sign of the latter through the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to non-dispersal. cultivates the path devoid of conceptual differentiation which [acts] as the counteragent to the latter through the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the individual characteristic. (f) He penetrates that which is pure by nature through the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the universal characteristic. (g) He understands in full the relinquishment and non-relinquishment of obscuration to the latter through the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to impure and pure mental activity. (h) He understands defilement and purification in full, as they are in reality, through the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to their adventitious nature. (i) He sets forth towards the

400 Read: viparyāsanimittam in place of vipasyanānimittam on the basis of the Tib. etc.; cf. N fn.6 p.76 of the Bhāsya.

³⁹⁹ Read: śamathanimittam vipaśyanānimittam ca in place of śamathanimittam on the basis of D; cf. Nagao's fn.5 p.76 of the Bhāṣya.

absence of obscuration through the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to lack of fear and lack of arrogance.

The Madhyantavibhaga is completed

Whatever virtue there is in writing this commentary, May it be for the increase of merit and knowledge for the great universe; As the consequence of this, may the universe enjoy, before long,

Great prosperity and the threefold enlightenment.

[Sthiramati]

- Y259.22 [1] The summary meaning of supremacy; the purpose for expressing the summary meaning has been discussed previously. Summarily, supremacy is threefold, although in detail it is infinite: (a) spiritual practice; he has stated that: "supremacy is [considered] in relation to: spiritual practice..." [V.1 a]. (b) The foundation of spiritual practice; he has stated that [it is considered: "in relation to objective support" [V.1 b-]. (c) The result of spiritual practice; he has stated that: "it is considered as listed in relation to full attainment" [V.b+c].
 - [2] Which kind of spiritual practice is highest? He has stated: "the highest consists in twelve" [V.2 d]. And in which way [is that practice performed]? "Mental attention to the Dharma of the universal vehicle, according to its teaching, etc. is perpetual on the part of the bodhisattva, through the three modes of wisdom" [V.7 abcd]; and similarly: "this [spiritual practice] is to be known as being associated with the ten acts of the Dharma" [V.8 cd], i.e. how and in which mode does it: (a) become free from distraction through the meditative development of tranquillity due to the absence of the six kinds of distraction, and (b) become free from erroneous inversion through the meditative development of penetrating insight due to the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the ten [categories] beginning with syllables? As to its purpose - it is in order to go forth by the middle way; he has stated: "which is the spiritual practice which incorporates the avoidance of the two extremes? [cf. Bhāṣya N69.19] And it is in relation to what? "In relation to the ten spiritual levels" [V.27 b]. What is [the spiritual practice]? "The specific and non-specific" [V.27 c]. How so? It was stated: "...are to be known in relation to the ten spiritual levels" [V.27 b].

[3] The summary meaning of the [various categories of] absence of erroneous inversion; (a) [the bodhisattva] penetrates 401 the sign of tranquillity and the sign of direct intuition402 through the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to syllables; i.e. he comes to the realization that a combination [of syllables] is devoid of essential nature. (b) He penetrates the sign of erroneous inversion through the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to objects; i.e. [he realizes] that the duality is just an appearance. (c) He avoids the underlying cause of erroneous inversion 403; i.e. the 'seed' of the notional attachment to the duality which is deposited in the store-consciousness, through the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to mental activity. 404 (d) He firmly grasps the sign of erroneous inversion owing to the absence of the notional attachment to existent and non-existent lentities which are like magical creations, through the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to non-dispersal. (e) He cultivates the path devoid of conceptual differentiation, which has the individual characteristic 405 of the dharmas for its object and is free from speech, which [acts] as the counteragent to the latter through the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the individual characteristic. (f) He penetrates that which is pure by nature, as being common to all dharmas, through the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the (g) He understands in full the relinquishment universal characteristic. non-relinquishment⁴⁰⁶ of obscuration to the latter through the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to impure and pure mental activity, because the dharmadhatu which is luminous by nature is defiled by adventitious secondary defilement. (h) He understands defilement and purification in full through the lack of erroneous inversion in relation to their adventitious nature, because it is impossible that defilement and purification can belong to what is luminous by nature, in any other way [i.e. other than as adventitious]. (i) He sets forth towards the absence of obscuration 407 through the absence of erroneous inversion in relation to the lack of fear and lack of arrogance on account of the absence of the notional attachment to the personal entity.

Y262

and the dharmas.

⁴⁰¹ Read: pratividhyati as per Bhāṣya (cf. N76.13 = D27a.2), but Tib.: dmigs ps (D318a.1) which should be changed to rab tu rtogs ps as noted by Y (cf. his fn.5 p.261).

⁴⁹² vipasyananimittam is omitted from both the Sanskrit and Tib. Bhasya but is found in the Tib. Tika: Ihag mthod gi mtshan ma (D318a.1); cf. N's fn.5 p.76.

⁴⁰³ Read: viparyāsanidānam in place of viparyāsāśrayam; cf. Bhāsya N76.14. Ms.(85.1) line begins: -tam dvayābhmiveśabljam...

⁴⁰⁴ The final portion of the Ms. begins here (Y261.11) and continues to the end.

⁴⁰⁵ Ms.(85.2): dharmalakṣaṇa... but Y's emendation to dharmasvalakṣaṇa... is preferred on the basis of the Tib. rat gi mishan did (D318a.3). Ms. line 2 begins: -naprakṛtim sarvadharma...; cf. Y's fn.7 p.261.

⁴⁰⁶ Ms.(85.2): ...prahīnāprahīnātām but Y's reading of ...prahīnāprahīnatām is preferred.

⁴⁰⁷ Read; nirāvaraņe as per Bhāsya (N76.20) in place of Ms.(85.3); nirāvaraņo.

And so is completed this sub-commentary on the Madhyāntavibhāga composed by the Ācārya Sthiramati which follows scriptural tradition; and thus, the essence of the Pañcaviṃśatisāhasrikā Prajūāpāramitā is completed. 408

⁴⁰⁸ A portion of the Ms.(85.3) is missing and the words prajnaparamita panca- are inserted after Y's fn.3 p.262. The Tib. (D318a.6-7) has quite a different reading for this passage and is translated as follows: "The sub-commentary on the Fifth Chapter from the Madhyantavibhaga Sastra by the carya Sthiramati concerning the Supremacy of the Vehicle is complete."

अक्रिमिष्टिक्य र रवायक के इन्हर वर तथा हिन्द कर वर तथा विभाव में के रवाय विभाव है है व व व व व व व व व व व व व विक्रकरा है तर होते ही कि सामा का माना का माना है होते हैं कि कि साम कर माहिए होते हैं कि स्वाधित होते हैं कि ए स्यक्षक के धेनी माध्यम् देव के होत्या स्थाप क्षाप्त स्थाप स् મુકામાં કરાતા કાંચાલ કરાયુપ્ય કામણ માના તાલા તાવણ માંગા વેશ કલા કર્ય મામાં કે મામાં માત્ર કે માને કે ભાવના જાયા છે. તે કે માર્ક કર્યા છે છે. તે જ્યારા સાથ્ય કરતા કે જે જે તો કો જે જે તે નેકેકે છે કેવી કર્યો કરે એ લાંદ્રેલ કેવા ન તે માં માર્ટ કરાફ જેટલ મુક્તાલ ભાદ સિલામાં છે. क्षित्र शासात्रीति हत्तात्री हिल्लामा हिल्ल असे हत्या। असी असी असी से प्रमान के से से से असे के से के प्रमान क द्रशासी देश र ए के श्वाकाय ह्यात माशिकार ए से भाग शिवाप है भा में 104 [Y33/13] \$P\$在1KIPPOEP\$创始的ASPSIR \$P\$产品的ANGE \$P\$到到@是"各国场门的国际》会员 ひんせんもの/セ/も少もみがかりをからたりとかだっとんにっとりゃんとあかり MI O BO BOOK OF SEE SEE WAY BY GREY SEEDER LOVE ANTER WEARING AND AND CALE BOMEN BURGER SIGNER PROPERTY AND AND COLORS CAM SECTIVE TREE TAR SIEW SIEW SIEW SELECTE PRINCIPLE OF PRINCIPLE SIE 本品件 与始系统 电电子中内线 思节中央 排放 网络萨姆尔 经收益 医细胞 (中)地区 医血液 ્લેલ્ટ રાજેલાલાક બાંગ્યનન સંવેદ મુક્લિક કરે છે. કેલાં માત્ર સલ્લા છકાં જાતિ ત્ર ક્લારા પ્રદેશ હાલા કરી કર્યો કરે તે મે દ્રિયાણ લોકો કરા કરે લાગ સામે ત્રા સામે કર્યા હાલ 在19位的中华发展的方式(C. 1810日)(1810日)(1810日)(1810日)(1810日)(1810日)(1810日)(1810日)(1810日)(1810日)(1810日)(1810日)(1810日)(1810日) क्षा वर्षा क्षण क्षण कार्या वर्षा व हार के काई की है के मान प्रकृति के कलाता कर है के साम किया है। जो की बार ने स्वाधित का अपने के अपने का किया है PE/18A) 9b ત્ર જ પ્રજાણ કે લાક છતાં રહ્યા રિલ્લા સાથે લાક સાથે સાથે સાથે સાથે સાથે સાથે જ સાલ્યા ે અંધે છેને વર્ષ પંચાણ વસાતા મહાન કરતા માના સાથ્ય શક્યાલ કુલ તમ્ alun nerdinuland us cariste and the medical and the first PERCHANA GAMENA PARTY CHICANA OUT SAUCH SAUCH 日本の時 19 m 受けいいをときに 11を 取用が口を ましゅういっちゅう サカ に関り 協当者 なかけっているからいないにいるいは、よりかるそとないとはらいかんだいからというに関いるとは कार मेर अन्य के विकर्त के मान कर मान कर मार्थ के देव पान कर मान कर म वैनाहाता को देशिया विभावकात सक्ता का का का अपने का विभाव के विद्या में कि का विद्या में कि के कि યા કે પ્રાથમિત શાસ માના સાથ માના માના માત્રા માં માલા છે. તેના માત્ર જાણ માત્ર કરા માત્ર જાણ રામ્ક્રે તો [87/bt A] B. Lewist F. C. Complete Control of Control ઇ ક્રેવોસ ન તર સાધા ભાગ છે. કે માના માત્ર કરાય છે. કરાય बस्यातात्रशहार्यम् व्यवस्थायः संबद्धात्रम् भारतिस्त्र प्राप्तिक्रतास्त्रम् व १ वर्षा 는 보고 있는 모든 기업을 받는 기업을

[TT/LT] 98

Bibliography

Abhyankar, K.V.

- A Dictionary of Sanskrit Grammar, Reprint. Gaekwad's Oriental Series No. 134, Baroda: Oriental Institute, 1986.

Annambhatta

 Tarka-samgraha, Sanskrit text edited and annotated by Y.V. Athalye, with English translation by M.R. Bodas. 2nd Edition, Bombay Sanskrit Series No. LV, Poona: Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, 1974.

Asanga

- Abhidharmasamuccaya, Sanskrit text. See Gokhale, V.V. & Pradhan, Pralhad.

Asanga or Vasubandhu

- Mahāyānasūtrālamkāra-bhāsya, Sanskrit text. See Bagchi, S.

Athalye, Y.V.

- See Annambhatta.

Bagchi, S.

- Mahāyāna-Sūtrālankāra of Asanga, Sanskrit text edited by S. Lévi. Darbhanga: Mithila Institute, 1970. Buddhist Sanskrit Texts No.13.

Bareau, André

- "Index of Vimśatikā & Trimśikā of Vasubandhu" in Vāk, No.3 December 1953, pp.102-128.

Bhattācārya, V. & Tucci, G.

- Madhyāntavibhāgasūtrabhāsyatīkā of Sthiramati, Part I, Edited Sanskrit text. Calcutta Oriental Series, No.24, 1932.

Bodas, M.R.

See Annambhatta.

Chandra, Lokesh

- <u>Tibetan-Sanskrit Dictionary</u>, Compact Edition, Reprint. Kyoto: Rinsen, 1982.

Chattopadhyāya, Alaka.

- see Chimpa, Lama & Chattopadhyaya, A.

Chimpa. Lama & Chattopadhyāya, Alaka.

- <u>Taranātha's History of Buddhism in India</u>, Translation from Tibetan. Simla: Indian Institute of Advanced Study, 1970.

Conze, Edward.

- Materials for a Dictionary of Prajñāpāramitā Literature, Tokyo: Suzuki Research Foundation, 1973.

Dayal, Har

- The Bodhisattva Doctrine in Buddhist Sanskrit Literature, Reprint. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1978.

Das, Chandra

- <u>Tibetan-English Dictionary</u>, Compact Edition, Reprint. Tokyo: Rinsen, 1981.

de Jong, J.W.

- "Notes on the Second Chapter of the Madhyantavibhagatīka" in Central Asiatic Journal, Vol. XXI, 1977, pp.111-117.

de La Vallée Poussin, L.

- L'Abhidharmakośa de Vasubandhu, Tomes I-VI, French translation and annotation. Reprint, Mélanges chinois et bouddhiques XVI, 1971.
- "Le petit traité de Vasubandhu-Năgărjuna sur les trois natures", Edited Sanskrit and Tibetan texts and French translation of Trisvabhāvanirdeśa, in <u>Mélanges chinois et bouddhiques</u>, 2 (1932-33) pp. 147-61.
- Vijñaptimātratāsiddhi La Siddhi de Hiuan-Tsang, Tomes I & II, French translation and annotation. Paris: Librairie Orientaliste Paul Geunther. 1928-29.

Demiéville, Paul

- "La Yogācārabhūmi de Sangharakşa" in <u>Bulletin de l'École française</u> <u>d'Éxtrême-Orient</u>. No.44, 1954.
- "Le chapitre de la *Bodhisattvabhūmi* sur la Perfection du Dhyāna" in Rocznik Orientalistyczny, Tom XXI, 1957, pp.109-128.

Dutt, N.

Bodhisattvabhūmi of Asanga, Edited Sanskrit text. Patna: KP Jayaswal Research Institute, 1978.

Edgerton, Franklin.

- Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit Dictionary, Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1977.

Frauwallner, Erich

- "Landmarks in the History of Indian Logic" in Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde Süd-und Ostasiens, Band V, 1961, pp.125-148.
- On the Date of the Buddhist Master of the Law Vasubandhu. Rome: Serie Orientale Roma III, 1951.

Friedmann, David L.

 Sthiramati - Madhyāntavibhāgatīkā, Analysis of the Middle Path and the Extremes, English translation and annotation. Utrecht, 1937.

Gokhale, V.V.

- Fragments from the Abhidharmasamuccaya of Asamga, Edited Sanskrit text. Reprinted from the Journal of the Bombay Branch, Royal Asiatic Society, N.S., Vol. 23, 1947.

Hakamaya, Noriaki

- "The Realm of Enlightenment in Vijfiaptimātratā: The Formulation of the "Four Kinds of Pure Dharmas" in the <u>Journal of the International</u> <u>Association of Buddhist Studies</u>, Vol. 3, 1980, No. 2, pp.21-41.

Hall, Bruce Cameron

- "The Meaning of Vijfiapti in Vasubandhu's Concept of Mind" in The Journal of the International Association of Buddhist Studies, Vol. 9, No.1, 1986, pp.7-23.

Hamilton, C.H.

 Wei Shih er Shih Lun or The Treatise in Twenty Stanzas on Respresentation-only, English translation and annotation. Reprint, New York: Kraus Reprint Corporation, 1938.

Hirabayashi, J. & Iida, Shotaro

- "Another Look at the Mādhyamika vs. Yogācāra Controversy Concerning Existence and Non-existence" in L. Lancaster (Ed.): <u>Prajñāpāramitā and Related Systems</u>, Studies in honour of Edward Conze. Berkeley Buddhist Studies Series 1, 1977, pp.341-360.

Hirakawa, Akira et al.

 Index to the Abhidharmakośabhāsya, Part Onc - Sanskrit-Tibetan-Chinese, Tokyo; Daizo Shuppan Kabushikikaisha, 1973.

Honda, Megumu

- "Annotated Translation of the Daśabhūmika-Sūtra", in <u>Studies in South, East, and Central Asia</u>, New Delhi: International Academy of Indian Culture, 1968; pp.113-276.

Hoornaert, Paul

- "Bondage (bandha) and Release (mokşa) in Early Yogacara Buddhism" in <u>Transactions of the International Conference of Orientalists in Japan, No. XXVII, 1982, pp.95-96.</u>
- "The Ontological Foundation of Religious Practice in Yogacara-Buddhism: The Ontological Significance of Madhyantavibhagakanika I.1" in Transactions of the International Conference of Orientalists in Japan, No.XXVI, 1981, pp.38-50.

Jacob, Colonel G.A.

- A Handful of Popular Maxims - Current in Sanskrit Literature, Reprint, Delhi: Nīrājanā, 1983.

Jaini, P.S.

- "On the Theory of Two Vasubandhus" in <u>Bulletin of the School of</u> Oriental and African Studies. Vol. XXI, 1958, pp.48-53.
- "The Sanskrit Fragments of Vinitadeva's Trimśikā-ţīkā" in <u>Bulletin of the School of Oriental And African Studies</u>, Vol. XLVIII Part 3 1985, pp.470-492.

Jäsche, H.A.

- A Tibetan-English Distionary, Reprint, Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1980.

Kajiyama, Yuichi

 "Bhāvaviveka, Sthiramati and Dharmapāla" in Beiträge zur Geistesgeschichte Indiens - Festschrift für Erich Frauwallner; Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde Süd-und Ostasiens, Band XII-XIII, 1968/1969, pp.193-203.

Keenan, John P.

 "Original Purity and the Focus of Early Yogacara" in <u>The Journal of</u> the <u>International Association of Buddhist Studies</u>, Vol. 5, No.1, 1982, pp.7-18.

Kochumuttom, Thomas A.

- A Buddhist Doctrine of Experience, Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1982.

Lamotte, Étienne.

- La Somme du Grand Véhicle d'Asanga (Mahäyānasamgraha), Tome I, The Tibetan and Chinese versions; Tome II, French translation. Louvain: Bureaux du Muséon, 1938.
- Le Traité de la Grande Vertu de Sagesse de Năgăriuna (Mahāpraiñāpāramitāšāstra). Tome IV, French translation and dissertation. Louvain: Institut Orientaliste. 1976.

- Samdhinirmocana Sutra - L'Explication des Mystères, Edited Tibetan text and French translation. University of Louvain, 1935.

Lévi, Sylvain

- Mahāyāna-Sūtrālamkāra, French translation and annotation. Tome II, Paris: Librairie Honoré Champion, 1911.
- Matériaux pour L'Étude du Système Vijnaptimatra, Paris: Librairie Honoré Champion, 1932.
- <u>Vijňaptimātratāsiddhi</u>, Edited Sanskrit text. Paris: Librairie Ancienne Honoré Champion, 1925.

Lipman, Kennard

- "The cittamätra and its Madhyamaka critique: Some phenomenological reflections" in <u>Philosophy East and West</u>, Vol. XXXII No.3, July 1982, pp.295-308.

Maitreya / Asanga

- Dharmadharmatāvibhāga(kārikā), Tibetan text. See Nozawa, Josho.
- Madhyāntavibhāga(kārikā), Sanskrit text. See Nagao, Gadjin.
- Mahāyānasūtrālamkāra(kārikā), Sanskrit text. See Bagchi, S.

Monier-Williams, M.

- A Sanskrit-English Dictionary, Reprint, Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1982.

Nagao, Gadjin

- "Ascent and Descent: Two-Directional Activity in Buddhist Thought" in <u>The Journal of the International Association of Buddhist Studies</u>, Vol. 7, No.1, pp.176-183.
- Book Review in <u>The Eastern Buddhist</u>, Vol.XI No.1, New Series May 1978, pp.109-112.
- "Collation of the Madhyāntavibhāgaṭīkā with its Manuscript, Chapter I, Lakṣaṇapariccheda" in Annual of Oriental and Religious Studies (Suzuki Gakujutsu Zaidan Kenkyu Nempo), No.15 1978, pp.16-22.
- "From Mādhyamika to Yogācāra: An Analysis of MMK, XXIV.18 and MV, I.1-2" in The Journal of the International Association of Buddhist Studies, 2/1 1979, pp.29-43.
- <u>Index to the Mahāyāna-sūtrālamkāra</u>, Parts I & II, Tokyo: Nippon Gakujutsu Shinko-kai. 1958 & 1961.
- Madhyāntavibhāga-bhāsya, Edited Sanskrit text. Tokyo: Suzuki Research Foundation, 1964.
- "On the Theory of Buddha-Body" in Eastern Buddhist, Vol. VI, No.1, May 1973, pp.25-53.
- "The Bodhisattva Returns to This World" in L. Kawamura (ed.) The Bodhisattva Doctrine in Buddhism, Waterloo: Wilfred Laurier University Press, 1981, pp.61-94.
- "The Buddhist World-View as Elucidated in the Three-Nature Theory and its Similes" in <u>Eastern Buddhist</u>, New Series, XVI/I (Spring 1983), pp.1-18.
- ""What Remains" in Sūnyatā: A Yogācāra Interpretation of Emptiness" in M. Kiyota (ed.): Mahāyāna Buddhist Meditation: Theory and Practice, Honolulu: University Press of Hawaii, 1983.

Nagatomi, Masatoshi

- "Mānasa-pratyakṣa: A Conundrum in the Buddhist Pramāṇa System" in Sanskrit and Indian Studies, Essays in Honour of Daniel H.H. Ingalis. Dordrecht: D. Reidel Publishing, 1980, pp.243-260.

Nanjio, Bunyiu

- The Lankāvatāra Sūtra, Edited Sanskrit text. Bibliotheca Otaniensis Vol. One. Kyoto: Otani University Press. 1956.

Nozawa, Josho

- "The Dharmadharmatāvibhanga and the Dharmadharmatāvibhangavṛṭṭi", Edited Tibetan texts in G. Nagao & J. Nozawa (Ed.): <u>Studies in</u> <u>Indology and Buddhology</u>, Presented in Honour of Professor Susumu Yamaguchi on the Occasion of his Sixtieth Birthday. Kyoto: Hozokan, 1955, pp.9-49.

Obermiller, E.

- Review of V. Bhattäcärya & G. Tucci's Sanskrit edition of the first chapter of the MAV-tlkä (see sub. Bhattäcärya, V. & Tucci, G) entitled: "Madhyäntavibhägasütrabhäsyattkä of Sthiramati..." in <u>The Indian Historical Quarterly</u>, Vol. IX, March 1933, No.1, pp.1019-30.
- "Sublime Science of Maitreya" in Acta Orientalia. IX, 1932, pp.81-306.
- The History of Buddhism in India and Tibet by Bu-ston. Translation from Tibetan. Reprint, Delhi: Sri Satguru, 1986.

Oldmeadow, Peter

- Reality as Understood in the Mahāyānasūtrālamkāra, Dissertation and annotated translation of Chs. VI & XI. Unpublished University of Sydney Honours Thesis, Sydney: Dept. of Religious Studies, 1984.
- The Enlightenment [Bodhi] Chapter of the Mahāyānasūtrālamkāra, Unpublished ANU BLit. Sub-Thesis. Canberra: South and West Asia Centre, 1984.

O'Brien, P.W.

-"A Chapter on Reality from the Madhyāntavibhāgaśāstra" in Monumenta Nipponica, English translation and annotation. Vol. IX April 1953 No. 1/2, pp.277-303 and Vol. X April 1954 No. 1/2, pp.227-269.

Pandeya, Ramachandra.

- Madhyānta-vibhāga-śāstra, Edited Sanskrit text. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass. 1971.

Pāsādika, Bhikkhu

- "The Dharma-Discourse of the Great Collection of Jewels", English translation and restoration of the Kāśyapaparivarta, in Linh Son Publication D'Études Bouddhologiques, No.1 Nov. 1977, pp.26-41; No.2 Fév. 1978, pp.31-42; No.3 Mai 1978, pp.41-48; No.4 Août 1978, pp.34-42; No.5 Nov. 1978, pp.28-39; No.6 Fév. 1979, pp.35-45; No.7 Mai 1979, pp.27-37; No.8 Août 1979, pp.31-43; No.9 Nov. 1979, pp.26-41.

Pradhan, Pralhad.

- Abhidharma Samuccaya of Asanga, Edited Sanskrit text. Santiniketan: Visva-Bharati, 1950.
- Abhidharmakośabhāsyam of Vasubandhu, Edited Sanskrit text. 2nd Edition, Patna: K.P. Jayaswal Research Institute, 1975.

Rander, W.

- Daśabhūmikasūtra, Edited Sanskrit text. Leuven: J.B. Istas, 1926.

Rahula, Walpola

 Le Compendium de La Super-Doctrine (Philosophie) (Abhidharmasamuccaya) D'Asanga, French translation and annotation. l'École Française d'Étrême-Orient, 1971, Vol. LXXVIII.

- "Vijnaptimatrata Philosophy in the Yogacara System Some Wrong Notions" in Zen and the Taming of the Bull, London: Gordon Fraser, 1978, pp.79-85.
- "Wrong Notions of *Dhammatā* (*Dharmatā*)" in Zen and the Taming of the Bull. London: Gordon Fraser. 1978, pp.87-96.

Renou, Louis

- Terminologie Grammaticale du Sanskrit, Paris: Librairie Honoré Champion, 1957.

Ruegg, D Seyfort

- La Théorie du Tathāgatagarbha et du Gotra, Paris: l'école Française d'Extrême-Orient, 1969, Vol. LXX.
- "The Uses of the Four Positions of the Catuskoti and the Problem of the Description of Reality in Mahāyāna Buddhism" in <u>Journal of Indian Philosophy</u>, Vol. 5, 1977, pp.1-71.

Rhys Davids, T.W. & Stede, W.

- The Pali Text Society's Pali - English Dictionary, London: The Pali Text Society, 1979.

Sakaki, R. (ed.)

 Mahävyutpatti, Two Vols. Tokyo: Suzuki Research Foundation, 1962.

Schmithausen, Lambert

- "On the Problem of the Relation of Spiritual Practice and Philosophical Theory in Buddhism" in German Scholars on India Vol. II, Bombay: Nachiketa Publications, 1976, pp.235-250.
- "On the Vijnaptimātra Passage in Samdhinirmocanasūtra VIII.7" in Studies of Mysticism in Honour of the 1150th Anniversary of Kobo-Daishi's Nirvānam, Acta Indologica, Vol. VI, 1984, pp.433-55.
- "Sauräntika-Voraussetzungen in Vimáatikā und Trimáikā" in Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde Süd-und Ostasiens, Band XI, 1967, pp.109-136.

Sponberg, Alan

- "Dynamic Liberation in Yogacara Buddhism" in The Journal of the International Association of Buddhist Studies, Vol.2, No.1, 1979, pp.44-63.

Stanley, Richard

- A Translation of the Vijnaptimatratasiddhi of Vasubandhu, Unpublished ANU Honours Sub-Thesis. Canberra: South and West Asia Centre, 1983.

Stcherbatsky, Th.

- Buddhist Logic, Two Volumes, New York: Dover, 1962.
- Madhyānta-vibhanga Discourse on Discrimination Between Middle and Extremes, English translation and annotation. Reprint, Calcutta: Indian Studies: Past & Present, 1971.

Sthiramati

- Trimśikā-(vijūapti)-bhāsya, Sanskrit text. See: Lévi, Sylvain (sub. Vijūaptimātratāsiddhi).
- Madhyāntavibhāga-tīkā, Sanskrit text. See: Yamaguchi, Susumu.
- Dbus dan mthah mam par hbyed pahi ligrel bśad, (Madhyāntavibhāga-tikā), Tibetan Tripiţaka, Derge (sde dge) Edition, Sems Tsam Vol. 2, No. 4032, Tokyo; University of Tokyo, 1980.

- Dbus dan mthah rnam par hbyed pahi hgrel báad, (Madhyāntavibhāga-tīkā), Tibetan Tripiṭaka, Peking Edition, Vol. 109, No. 5534, Kyoto: Otani University. 1957.
- Sum cu pa'i báad pa, (Trimáikā-bhāṣya), Tibetan Tripiṭaka, Derge (sde dge) Edition, Sems Tsam Vol.14, No. 4064, Tokyo: University of Tokyo, 1980.

Suzuki, D.T.

- An Index to the Lankavatara Sutra, Kyoto: The Sanskrit Buddhist Texts Publishing Society, 1934.
- The Lankavatara Sutra, English translation. Reprint, London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1959.

Takakusu, J.

- A Record of the Buddhist Religion as Practised in India and the Malay Archipelago (A.D. 671-695) by I-Tsing. Reprint, Delhi: Munshiram Manoharlal. 1966.
- "The Life of Vasu-bandhu by Paramartha (A.D. 499-569)" in <u>T'oung Pao</u>, Vol. 5, 1904, pp.269-296.

Takasaki, Jikido

- "Dharmatā, Dharmadhātu, Dharmakāya and Buddhadhātu - Structure of the Ultimate Value in Mahāyāna Buddhism-" in <u>Journal of Indian</u> and Buddhist <u>Studies</u>, Vol. XIV No.2 March 1966, pp.903-919.

Takeuchi, Shoko

- "Phenomena and Reality in Vijfiaptimatra Thought (I)" in <u>Buddhist Thought and Asian Civilization</u>, Essays in honour of H.V. Guenther on his sixtieth birthday. Emmeryville: Dharma Publishing.

Tatia, Nathmal

- Abhidharmasamuccaya-bhāsyam. Edited Sanskrit text. Patna: K.P. Jayaswal Research Institute, 1976.

Tucci, Guiseppe

On Some Aspects of the Doctrines of Maitreya(nātha) and Asanga.
 Calcutta: University of Calcutta, 1930.

Ueda, Yoshifumi

- "Two Main Streams of Thought in Yogacara Philosophy" in Philosophy East and West. 17 (Jan-Oct.) 1967, pp.155-65.

Ui, H.

"Maitreya as a Historical Personage" in <u>Indian Studies in Honor of Charles Rockwell Lanman</u>. Cambridge, 1929.

Vasu, S. C.

- The Ashtādhyāyī of Pāṇiṇ. Reprint, Two Volumes, Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass. 1980.

Vasubandhu

- Abhidharmakośa(-bhāsya). Sanskrit text. See: Pradhan, P.
- Trimáikā (kārikās), Sanskrit text. See: Lévi, Sylvain.
- Trisvabhāvanirdeśa. Sanskrit and Tibetan texts. See: de la Vallée Poussin, L.
- Dharmadharmatāvibhāga-vrtti, Tibetan text. See: Nozawa, Josho.
- Dous dan mthah mam par hbyed pahi hgrel pa, (Madhyāntavibhāgabhāşya), Including the kārikās of Maitreya/Asanga, Tibetan Tripitaka, Darge (sde dge) Edition, Sems Tsam Vol. 2, No. 4027, Tokyo: University of Tokyo, 1980.

- Dbus dan mthah mam par hbyed pahi hgrel pa, (Madhyāntavibhāgabhāsya), Including the kārikās of Maitreya/Asanga, Tibetan Tripiţaka, Peking Edition, Vol. 108, No. 5528, Kyoto: Otani University, 1957.
- Vimšatikā-vrtti, Sanskrit text, see: Lévi, Sylvain.

von Staël-Holstein, Baron A.

- The Kāśyapaparivarta, Edited Sanskrit, Tibetan and Chinese text. Shanghai: Commercial Press, 1926.

Wayman, Alex

- Analysis of the Śrāvakabhūmi Manuscript. University of California Press. 1961.
- "The Yogacara Idealism", a Review Article in Philosophy East and West, 15 No.1, 1965, pp.65-73.
- "Yogācāra and the Buddhist Logicians" in <u>The Journal of the International Association of Buddhist Studies</u>, Vol. 2, No.1, 1979, pp.65-78.

Weinstein, Stanley

- "The Ālaya-vijñāna in Early Yogācāra Buddhism - A Comparison of Its Meaning in the Sandhinirmocana-sūtra and the Vijñapt-mātratā-siddhi of Dharmapāla" in <u>The Transactions of the International Conference of Orientalists in Japan, No.III, 1958.</u>

Wijesekera, O. H. De A.

- "Vedic Gandharva and Pali Gandhabba" in <u>University of Ceylon Review</u>, April 1945, pp.73-107.

Willis, J.D.

- A Study of the Chapter on Reality, Based Upon the "Tattvārtha-Patalam" of Asanga's "Bodhisattvabhūmi", PhD Thesis (1976). Ann Arbor: University Mocrofilms International, 1980.
- On Knowing Reality The Tattvārtha Chapter of Asanga's Bodhisattvabhūmi, Reprint, Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1982.

Wogihara, Unrai

- Bodhisattvabhumi, Edited Sanskrit text. Tokyo: Sankibo Buddhist Book Store, 1971.
- Sphutārthā Abhidharmakośavyākhvā by Yaśomitra, Edited Sanskrit text. Two Vols., Tokyo: Sankibo Buddhist Book Store, 1971.

Yamada, Isshi

- "Vijāaptimātratā of Vasubandhu" in Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 1977, pp.158-176.

Yamaguchi, Susumu

Sthiramati - Madhyāntavibhāgatīkā, Tome I, Edited Sanskrit text.
 Reprint, Tokyo: Suzuki Research Foundation, 1966.